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#### Abstract

We study a compactification of the Drinfeld period domain over a finite field which arises naturally in the context of Drinfeld moduli spaces. This compactification is in some sense dual to the compactification by projective space. It is normal but singular at the boundary. We construct a desingularization and obtain a smooth modular compactification of the Drinfeld period domain with a natural stratification, simple functorial description, and the boundary a divisor with normal crossings in the strongest sense.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction

Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer and let $V$ be a $d$-dimensional vector space over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $q$ elements. Denote by $S_{V}$ the symmetric algebra on $V$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and by $\operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V}\right)$ its field of fractions. Thus $S_{V}$ is non-canonically isomorphic to the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in $d$ variables, but refraining from the choice of a basis for $V$ will make the constructions and statements of the article more lucid and canonical.


$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{V} & :=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{1}{v} \right\rvert\, v \in V \backslash\{0\}\right] \\
R S_{V} & :=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[v, \left.\frac{1}{v} \right\rvert\, v \in V \backslash\{0\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We make $S_{V}$ and $R S_{V}$ into graded rings by defining $\operatorname{deg}_{S_{V}}(v)=\operatorname{deg}_{R S_{V}}(v):=1$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{R S_{V}}\left(\frac{1}{v}\right):=-1$. We make $R_{V}$ into a graded ring by defining $\operatorname{deg}_{R_{V}}\left(\frac{1}{v}\right):=1$. This definition will turn out to be more convenient for the remainder of the article.

Associated to these rings we define the following schemes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{V}:=\operatorname{Proj}\left(S_{V}\right) \\
Q_{V}:=\operatorname{Proj}\left(R_{V}\right) \\
\Omega_{V}:=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left(R S_{V}\right)_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $P_{V}$ is non-canonically isomorphic to projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{d-1}$. The scheme $\Omega_{V}$ is equal to the open affine complement of the union of all $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-rational hyperplanes in $P_{V}$, as well as the open affine subscheme of $Q_{V}$ obtained by inverting all homogeneous elements of degree 1 in $R_{V}$. It is an important example of a period domain over a finite field - the Drinfeld period domain. See for example Rapoport [8], Orlik [9] and Orlik-Rapoport [10].

The scheme $\Omega_{V}$ is an analogue over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of Drinfeld's period domain over a nonarchimedean local field $F$. The latter is defined as the complement in projective $(d-1)$-space of the union of all $F$-rational hyperplanes, and makes sense only as a rigid analytic space, not as an algebraic variety. When $F$ has equal positive characteristic, this period domain plays a central role in the analytic description of the moduli space of Drinfeld modules. See for example Deligne-Husemoller [11] and Drinfeld [12]. The special case of Drinfeld modules of rank $d$ with respect to the ring $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ and with a level structure of level $(t)$ leads naturally to the scheme $\Omega_{V}$ defined above. The natural (Satake, or Baily-Borel) compactification of this Drinfeld moduli space turns out to be essentially $Q_{V}$, and not $P_{V}$. As $Q_{V}$ is singular (see section 4) we are thus led to the natural problem of constructing a good desingularization of $Q_{V}$, which is the main goal of this article.

The details of the relation of $\Omega_{V}, Q_{V}$ and its desingularization with Drinfeld moduli spaces will not be discussed.

We now describe the main results of the article. The study of the projective coordinate ring $R_{V}$ of $Q_{V}$ is carried out in sections 2 and 3 . We determine a presentation of $R_{V}$ with generators and relations (Corollary 2.5), prove that $R_{V}$ is integrally closed (Proposition 2.12), and determine its Hilbert polynomial (Propositions 2.6, 2.9, 2.10). Although $R_{V}$ is neither regular nor factorial (Proposition 2.11), we show that up to a Frobenius power, the ring $R_{V}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra $S_{V^{*}}$ on the dual vector space $V^{*}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (see Theorem 3.1 for a precise statement). From this we again deduce that $R_{V}$ is integrally closed.

In section 4 we study the projective variety $Q_{V}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(R_{V}\right)$. Applying the ring-theoretic results of sections 2 and 3 , we deduce that $Q_{V}$ is a normal scheme, and compute its degree (Corollary 4.1). We construct a natural and well-behaved stratification of $Q_{V}$ where the strata are indexed by nonzero subspaces of the vector space $V$ (Theorem 4.6, Remark 4.7). This stratification is in some sense dual to the usual stratification of projective space $P_{V}$ (Proposition 4.2), where the strata are indexed by nonzero quotients of $V$. We discuss the birational equivalence of $Q_{V}$ and $P_{V}$ (Propositions 4.8, 4.9). Finally we prove that the singular locus of $Q_{V}$ is equal to the union of all strata of codimension at least 2 (Theorem 4.10).

Before turning our attention to the construction of a good desingularization of $Q_{V}$ in arbitrary dimension, we study the special cases where $d=2$ and $d=3$ in section 5 . If $d=2$, the schemes $Q_{V}$ and $P_{V}$ are isomorphic smooth projective curves, and in fact $Q_{V}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(R_{V}\right)$ is the $q$-uple embedding of the projective line $P_{V^{*}}$ (Proposition 5.1). If $d=3$, the schemes $Q_{V}$ and $P_{V}$ are non-isomorphic surfaces. We prove that $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ become isomorphic after blowing up both surfaces in every zero-dimensional stratum (Theorem 5.2).

Section 6 forms the heart of the article. Here we construct a desingularization $B_{V}$ of $Q_{V}$ in arbitrary dimension. Throughout the section we work exclusively with functors of points. Thus we begin by determining the functors represented by $P_{V}, Q_{V}$ and $\Omega_{V}$ (Corollaries 6.3, 6.4). We define $B_{V}$ functorially and show that it is indeed representable by a projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ (Proposition 6.5, Corollary 6.14). We then construct a stratification for $B_{V}$ where the strata are indexed by filtrations of the vector space $V$ (Theorem 6.9). The stratum corresponding to the trivial filtration is open and dense in $B_{V}$ and isomorphic to $\Omega_{V}$ (Proposition 6.7). The stratification enjoys several natural and beautiful geometric properties (Proposition 6.6, Corollary 6.8, Remark 6.11, Corollary 6.15). We prove that $B_{V}$ is smooth (Proposition 6.13, Corollary 6.14) and that the boundary $B_{V} \backslash \Omega_{V}$ is a divisor with normal crossings in the strongest sense (Proposition 6.16). Finally, we construct projective morphisms to $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ which are isomorphisms on $\Omega_{V}$. Therefore $B_{V}$ is a desingularization of $Q_{V}$ (Corollary 6.17).

I would like to thank Prof. Richard Pink for initiating and guiding this project, for countless conversations on a wide range of mathematical topics, and more generally for his supervision and support during my time at ETH Zurich.

## 2 Structure of $R_{V}$

In this section we study the rings $R_{V}$ and $R S_{V}$ defined in the introduction. Our first goals are to find $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-bases for $R_{V}$ and $R S_{V}$, and a presentation of $R_{V}$ with generators and relations. Not surprisingly, it is convenient to treat these questions simultaneously. Having found an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-basis for $R_{V}$, we deduce formulas for the Hilbert function and Hilbert polynomial of $R_{V}$. At the end of the section we give an ad-hoc proof of the fact that $R_{V}$ is integrally closed.

Let $A_{V}:=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{v} \mid 0 \neq v \in V\right]$ denote the polynomial ring over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in the indeterminates $X_{v}$ for all nonzero vectors $v$ in $V$. Denote by $\kappa_{V}$ the degree-preserving surjection of graded rings

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa_{V}: A_{V} \rightarrow R_{V} \\
X_{v} \mapsto \frac{1}{v} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $J_{V} \subset A_{V}$ be the homogeneous ideal generated by all homogeneous elements of the form

$$
X_{v}-\alpha X_{\alpha v}
$$

for all $0 \neq v \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, and

$$
X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}}+X_{v^{\prime}} X_{v^{\prime \prime}}+X_{v} X_{v^{\prime \prime}}
$$

for all $v, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime} \in V \backslash\{0\}$ such that $v+v^{\prime}+v^{\prime \prime}=0$.
It is easy to see that the ideal $J_{V}$ is contained in the kernel of $\kappa_{V}$. In Corollary 2.5 we will see that $J_{V}$ is actually equal to the kernel of $\kappa_{V}$. Thus we will obtain a presentation of $R_{V}$ with generators and relations as $R_{V} \cong A_{V} / J_{V}$.

For the entire section, we choose an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}$ of $V$. We call a monomial in $A_{V}$ reduced with respect to this basis if it is of the special form

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(X_{e_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{i j} e_{j}}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

for some $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ and $\alpha_{i j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Let $K_{V}$ denote the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear subspace of $A_{V}$ generated by all reduced monomials.

Proposition 2.1 The $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space $A_{V}$ is the sum of the linear subspaces $J_{V}$ and $K_{V}$.
Proof. We have to show that every monomial in $A_{V}$ lies in the sum $J_{V}+K_{V}$. By the definition of $J_{V}$, we have the following two relations in the quotient $A_{V} / J_{V}$ :
(1) $X_{\alpha v}=\frac{1}{\alpha} X_{v} \quad$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $0 \neq v \in V$
(2) $\quad X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}}=X_{v^{\prime}} X_{v-v^{\prime}}-X_{v} X_{v-v^{\prime}} \quad$ for some $v \neq v^{\prime} \in V \backslash\{0\}$

We will show that every monomial in $A_{V}$ can be transformed into an element of $K_{V}$ by using these relations finitely many times.

For any nonzero vector $v=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i} e_{i}$ in $V$ we define

$$
\operatorname{width}(v):=\max \left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, d\} \mid \alpha_{i} \neq 0\right\}
$$

For any monomial $f=\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{v_{i}}$ in $A_{V}$ we define

$$
\operatorname{width}(f):=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{width}\left(v_{i}\right)
$$

We carry out the proof by induction on the width $w$ of the monomial $f$, starting with $w=0$. By the usual convention that the empty sum is equal to 0 and the empty product is equal to 1 , the case $w=0$ implies $f=1$ and thus $f \in K_{V}$.
Now assume that the statement is true for all monomials of width less than $w$, and let $f=\prod_{i=1}^{n} X_{v_{i}}$ have width $w$. Using relation (1), it suffices to consider the case where every $v_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_{i j} e_{j}$ is "monic" in the sense that $\alpha_{i j}=1$ for $j=\operatorname{width}\left(v_{i}\right)$. If $f$ is not already reduced, there exist indices $j$ and $k$ such that $v_{j} \neq v_{k}$, but $\operatorname{width}\left(v_{j}\right)=\operatorname{width}\left(v_{k}\right)$. Since $v_{j}$ and $v_{k}$ are "monic", this implies that $\operatorname{width}\left(v_{j}-v_{k}\right)<\operatorname{width}\left(v_{j}\right)$. Using relation (2) we can replace the factor $X_{v_{j}} X_{v_{k}}$ by $X_{v_{k}} X_{v_{j}-v_{k}}-X_{v_{j}} X_{v_{j}-v_{k}}$, so that

$$
f=\left(\prod_{i \neq j, k} X_{v_{i}}\right) X_{v_{k}} X_{v_{j}-v_{k}}-\left(\prod_{i \neq j, k} X_{v_{i}}\right) X_{v_{j}} X_{v_{j}-v_{k}}
$$

By construction, both summands have width less than $w$. Thus the induction hypothesis implies that both summands lie in $J_{V}+K_{V}$, hence also $f$.
q.e.d.

Proposition 2.2 The system ( $S$ ) of all elements of $R S_{V}$ of the following form is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ basis of $R S_{V}$ :

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(e_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{i j} e_{j}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

for some $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha_{i j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, and $\alpha_{i j}=0$ for all $j$ if $r_{i} \geq 0$.

For the second part of the proof of Proposition 2.2 we will need the following well-known lemma, whose proof we include for lack of a suitable reference.

Lemma 2.3 Let $L$ be a field and let $L(T)$ denote the field of rational functions in one indeterminate over $L$. Then the system of elements

$$
\left((T-a)^{n} \mid a \in L, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text { and } a=0 \text { if } n \geq 0\right)
$$

of $L(T)$ is linearly independent over $L$.
Proof. Assume there exists a non-trivial linear combination

$$
\sum_{a, n} \alpha_{(a, n)}(T-a)^{n}=0
$$

Since the subsystem ( $T^{n} \mid n \geq 0$ ) of the system under consideration is already $L$-linearly independent, there must exist an element $b \in L$ and an integer $n<0$ such that $\alpha_{(b, n)} \neq 0$. Fix such an element $b$ and let $m$ denote the minimum of all integers $n<0$ with $\alpha_{(b, n)} \neq 0$. We multiply the above linear combination with the least common multiple of all the denominators. Then every summand is divisible by $(T-b)$ except for the summand corresponding to the index $(b, m)$. Thus we obtain an equation of the form

$$
(T-b) \cdot f(T)+\alpha_{(b, m)} g(T)=0
$$

where f and g are nonzero polynomials in $L[T]$ and $g(b) \neq 0$. Substituting $b$ for $T$ yields the desired contradiction.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. First we show that the system $(S)$ generates $R S_{V}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Set

$$
V(i):=\sum_{j=1}^{i} \mathbb{F}_{q} e_{j}
$$

Since the ideal $J_{V}$ is contained in the kernel of $\kappa_{V}$ and since $\kappa_{V}$ is surjective, Proposition 2.1 implies that $\kappa_{V}\left(K_{V}\right)=R_{V}$. Unfolding the definitions, we see that the composition of $\kappa_{V}$ with the inclusion $R_{V} \hookrightarrow R S_{V}$ maps the system of all reduced monomials of $A_{V}$ onto the subsystem of $(S)$ consisting of all elements

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(e_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{i j} e_{j}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

with $r_{i} \leq 0$ for all $i$.
Therefore, every element of $R S_{V}$ can be written as a linear combination of elements $x$ of the form

$$
x=\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d} e_{i}^{\ell_{i}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(e_{i}+v_{i}\right)^{r_{i}}}
$$

for some $v_{i} \in V(i-1), r_{i} \geq 0, \ell_{i} \geq 0$.

After cancelling we can assume that whenever $v_{i}=0$, either $r_{i}=0$ or $\ell_{i}=0$. If $x$ is not already an element of the system $(S)$, there exists an index $i$ such that $r_{i}>0$ and $\ell_{i}>0$. Denote by $n$ the largest such index $i$. We will now show that $x$ can be written as a linear combination of elements of the same form, but with smaller value of $n$ for each summand. Once this is shown, one can apply the same procedure to each of the summands. By performing at most $d$ iteration steps, $x$ can be written as a linear combination of elements of the above form with the additional property that for every index $i$, either $r_{i}=0$ or $\ell_{i}=0$, i.e., as a linear combination of elements of the system $(S)$.

In order to find the desired linear combination of $x$, note that

$$
\frac{e_{n}^{\ell_{n}}}{\left(e_{n}+v_{n}\right)^{r_{n}}}=\frac{\left(e_{n}+v_{n}-v_{n}\right)^{\ell_{n}}}{\left(e_{n}+v_{n}\right)^{r_{n}}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\ell_{n}}\binom{\ell_{n}}{k}\left(e_{n}+v_{n}\right)^{\ell_{n}-r_{n}-k} v_{n}^{k} .
$$

Now since $v_{n} \in V(n-1)$, the $k$-th power $v_{n}^{k}$ on the right hand side can be written as a linear combination of products of basis vectors $e_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Thus multiplying this equation by the missing factors

$$
\frac{\prod_{i \neq n} e_{i}^{\ell_{i}}}{\prod_{i \neq n}\left(e_{i}+v_{i}\right)^{r_{i}}}
$$

and subsequent cancelling yields the desired linear combination of $x$. Thus we have shown that the system $(S)$ generates $R S_{V}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

Now we come to the linear independence of the system $(S)$. We proceed by induction on $d$. The case $d=1$ is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.3. We now assume that the statement holds for $(d-1)$ indeterminates. Let $T$ be a finite indexing set and assume that

$$
\sum_{t \in T} \gamma_{t} b_{t}=0,
$$

where $\gamma_{t} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and where

$$
b_{t}:=\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(e_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{i j t} e_{j}\right)^{r_{i t}}
$$

is an element of the system $(S)$ under consideration. To simplify notation, denote $v_{i t}:=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{i j t} e_{j}$.

In order to apply the induction hypothesis, we wish to arrange the summands of the finite sum $\sum_{t \in T} \gamma_{t} b_{t}$ in a convenient way. As the index $t$ runs over the indexing set $T$, the pair $\left(r_{d t}, v_{d t}\right)$ takes values in the set $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d-1}\right)$. More formally, we consider the map

$$
\begin{gathered}
T \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d-1}\right) \\
t \longmapsto\left(r_{d t}, v_{d t}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We partition $T$ into the non-empty fibers of this map: For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d-1}\right)$ define $T_{(n, f)}:=\left\{t \in T \mid\left(r_{d t}, v_{d t}\right)=(n, f)\right\}$. Note that for $n \geq 0$, the set $T_{(n, 0)}$ is the only potentially non-empty set among the $T_{(n, f)}$. For notational purposes, define

$$
C_{(n, f)}:=\sum_{t \in T_{(n, f)}} \gamma_{t} \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(e_{i}+v_{i t}\right)^{r_{i t}}\right)
$$

We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{t \in T} \gamma_{t} b_{t} \\
& =\sum_{(n, f)} \sum_{t \in T_{(n, f)}} \gamma_{t} \cdot\left(e_{d}+f\right)^{n} \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-1}\left(e_{i}+v_{i t}\right)^{r_{i t}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{(n, f)} C_{(n, f)} \cdot\left(e_{d}+f\right)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $C_{(n, f)}$ lies in $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d-1}\right)$ for all pairs $(n, f)$, we can apply Lemma 2.3 with ground field $L=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d-1}\right)$ and coefficients $C_{(n, f)}$. We conclude that $C_{(n, f)}=0$ for all $(n, f)$.
Note that every element $C_{(n, f)} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d-1}\right)$ has precisely the form of an element of the system $(S)$ under consideration, only in one indeterminate less. Thus we can apply the induction hypothesis to each $C_{(n, f)}$ and conclude that $\gamma_{t}=0$ for all $t \in T$. This finishes the proof of the linear independence of the system $(S)$.
q.e.d.

Corollary 2.4 The system consisting of all elements of $R_{V}$ of the following form is an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-basis of $R_{V}$ :

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(e_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{i j} e_{j}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

for some $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\leq 0}, \alpha_{i j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, and $\alpha_{i j}=0$ for all $j$ if $r_{i}=0$.
Proof. We have already seen that $J_{V} \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(\kappa_{V}\right)$. Since $\kappa_{V}: A_{V} \rightarrow R_{V}$ is surjective, Proposition 2.1 implies that $R_{V}=\kappa_{V}\left(K_{V}\right)$. Since $\kappa_{V}$ maps the system of all reduced monomials in $A_{V}$ to precisely the system under consideration, this shows that the system generates $R_{V}$ as an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space. The linear independence follows readily from Proposition 2.2.
q.e.d.

Corollary 2.5 The kernel of $\kappa_{V}$ is equal to the ideal $J_{V}$. Thus we have found a presentation of $R_{V}$ by generators and relations as

$$
R_{V} \cong A_{V} / J_{V}
$$

Our choice of grading for $R_{V}$ in the introduction implies that this isomorphism is actually a degree-preserving isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-algebras.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 2.4 that the restriction $\left.\kappa_{V}\right|_{K_{V}}: K_{V} \rightarrow R_{V}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector spaces, and that the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space $A_{V}$ decomposes as $A_{V}=J_{V} \oplus K_{V}$. Thus $\operatorname{ker}\left(\kappa_{V}\right) \subset J_{V}$. The converse inclusion $J_{V} \subset \operatorname{ker}\left(\kappa_{V}\right)$ has already been observed at the beginning of the section.
q.e.d.

Using the basis for $R_{V}$ of Corollary 2.4 we will now study the Hilbert function and the Hilbert polynomial of $R_{V}$. We refer the reader to Matsumura [1], section 13, and BrunsHerzog [2], chapter 4, for background material.

Recall from the introduction that we define $\operatorname{deg}_{R_{V}}\left(\frac{1}{v}\right):=1$, so that $R_{V}$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ graded ring. We denote the Hilbert function of $R_{V}$ by $H_{d}$. This notation is well-chosen since the isomorphism class of $R_{V}$ only depends on the dimension $d$ of the vector space $V$. Our first goal is to derive a recursion formula for the Hilbert function.

Proposition 2.6 The value of the Hilbert function $H_{d}$ at an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ can be computed from the Hilbert function $H_{d-1}$ by the formula

$$
H_{d}(n)=H_{d-1}(n)+q^{d-1} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} H_{d-1}(k) .
$$

Proof. Set $V(d-1):=\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \mathbb{F}_{q} e_{i}$ and fix an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. Then $H_{d}(n)$ is precisely the number of basis elements

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(e_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_{i j} e_{j}\right)^{r_{i}}
$$

of degree $n$, where $r_{i} \leq 0$ and $\alpha_{i j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ as in Corollary 2.4. We count the number of such basis elements of degree $n$ for fixed values of the exponent $r_{d}$. Then the sum of these numbers will be equal to $H_{d}(n)$.
Any basis element of degree $n$ decomposes uniquely into a product

$$
b \cdot\left(e_{d}+\sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \alpha_{d j} e_{j}\right)^{r_{d}}
$$

where $b$ is a basis element of $R_{V(d-1)}$ of degree $n-r_{d}$. Thus if $r_{d}=0$, the number of possibilities is equal to $H_{d-1}(n)$. If $r_{d}<0$, the scalars $\alpha_{d j}$ can be chosen arbitrarily in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for all $j=1, \ldots, d-1$. Hence there are $q^{d-1}$ possibilities for the second factor and $H_{d-1}\left(n-r_{d}\right)$ possibilities for the first factor. Thus

$$
H_{d}(n)=H_{d-1}(n)+\sum_{r_{d}=1}^{n} q^{d-1} \cdot H_{d-1}\left(n-r_{d}\right)=H_{d-1}(n)+q^{d-1} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} H_{d-1}(k)
$$

q.e.d.

Remark 2.7 Using the formula of Proposition 2.6 above, one easily computes the Hilbert function of $R_{V}$ for small values of $d$. The following formulas hold for all integers $n \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}(n)=1 \\
& H_{2}(n)=q n+1 \\
& H_{3}(n)=\left(\frac{q^{3}}{2}\right) n^{2}+\left(-\frac{3}{2} q^{3}+q^{2}+q\right) n+\left(q^{3}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now study the Hilbert polynomial of $R_{V}$. We will need the following well-known lemma.
Lemma 2.8 For integers $m \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ denote by $s_{m}(n)$ the $m$-th power sum

$$
s_{m}(n):=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{m} .
$$

Then $s_{m}(n)$ is a polynomial in $n$ of degree $(m+1)$ and with leading coefficient $\frac{1}{m+1}$.
Lemma 2.8 can easily be proven directly by standard arguments using the difference function $\Delta(n):=s_{m}(n+1)-s_{m}(n)=n^{m}$. Alternatively, see Conway-Guy [7] for an explicit formula for the coefficients of the polynomial $s_{m}(n)$ in terms of Bernoulli numbers.

We denote by $P_{d}$ the Hilbert polynomial of $R_{V}$, i.e., the unique polynomial $P_{d} \in \mathbb{Q}[n]$ such that $P_{d}(n)=H_{d}(n)$ for all $n \gg 0$. Due to an obstruction in cohomology, one cannot in general expect that the Hilbert function and the Hilbert polynomial agree for all $n \geq 0$. This is however true for a polynomial ring, and, as we will now see, also for the ring $R_{V}$.

Proposition 2.9 (i) $P_{d}(n)=H_{d}(n)$ for all $n \geq 0$.
(ii) The Hilbert polynomial of $R_{V}$ satisfies the same recursion formula as the Hilbert function, i.e.,

$$
P_{d}(n)=P_{d-1}(n)+q^{d-1} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{d-1}(k)
$$

for all integers $n \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 0$.

Proof. We prove ( $i$ ) by induction on $d$. For the case $d=1$ see Remark 2.7 above. If the statement is true for $(d-1)$, Proposition 2.6 above implies that

$$
H_{d}(n)=P_{d-1}(n)+q^{d-1} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{d-1}(k)
$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Now since $P_{d-1}(n)$ is a polynomial in $n$, Lemma 2.8 implies that the right hand side of the equation is already a polynomial in $n$. This polynomial agrees with the Hilbert polynomial $P_{d}$ for all $n \gg 0$, and therefore even for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $H_{d}(n)=P_{d}(n)$ for all $n \geq 0$.
The statement of (ii) follows directly from (i) and Proposition 2.6.
q.e.d.

Proposition 2.10 The Hilbert polynomial of $R_{V}$ has degree $(d-1)$, and its leading coefficient is equal to

$$
\frac{1}{(d-1)!} q^{\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}} .
$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $d$. For the case $d=1$ we again refer to Remark 2.7 and part $(i)$ of Proposition 2.9 above. Now assume the statement is true for $(d-1)$, i.e.,

$$
P_{d-1}(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{d-2} a_{i} n^{i}
$$

for some $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and where

$$
a_{d-2}=\frac{1}{(d-2)!} q^{\frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2}} .
$$

Using (ii) of Proposition 2.9 above, we calculate

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{d}(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{d-2} a_{i} n^{i}+q^{d-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{d-2} a_{i} k^{i}= \\
=\sum_{i=0}^{d-2} a_{i} n^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{d-2} q^{d-1} a_{i} s_{i}(n-1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that the polynomials $s_{i}(n)$ and $s_{i}(n-1) \in \mathbb{Q}[n]$ have the same degree and the same leading coefficient. Thus from Lemma 2.8 we see that $P_{d}(n)$ has degree $(d-1)$ and that its leading coefficient is equal to

$$
\frac{1}{d-1} q^{d-1} a_{d-2}=\frac{1}{(d-1)!} q^{(d-1)+\frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2}}=\frac{1}{(d-1)!} q^{\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}} .
$$

q.e.d.

The following proposition collects some elementary properties of the ring $R_{V}$.
Proposition 2.11 (i) The Krull dimension of $R_{V}$ is equal to $d$.
(ii) If $d \geq 2$, the ring $R_{V}$ is not factorial.
(iii) If $d \geq 2$, the ring $R_{V}$ is not regular at the augmentation ideal $\mathfrak{m}:=\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} R_{V, i}$.

Proof. The ring $R_{V}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-algebra and an integral domain. Thus the Krull dimension of $R_{V}$ is equal to the transcendence degree of its field of fractions $\operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{V}\right)$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Since $\operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{V}\right)$ is isomorphic to a function field over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in $d$ indeterminates, the transcendence degree of $\operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{V}\right)$ is equal to $d$. This proves $(i)$. Alternatively, one could appeal to Proposition 2.10, where it was proven that the degree of the Hilbert polynomial of $R_{V}$ equals $(d-1)$. This again shows that the Krull dimension of $R_{V}$ is equal to $d$.

We now prove (ii). If $d \geq 2$, we can choose pairwise linearly independent vectors $v, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime}$ in $V$ such that $v+v^{\prime}+v^{\prime \prime}=0$. The elements $\frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{v^{\prime}}, \frac{1}{v^{\prime \prime}} \in R_{V}$ are irreducible since they are homogeneous of degree 1 . The equality

$$
\frac{1}{v}\left(\frac{1}{v^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{v^{\prime \prime}}\right)=-\frac{1}{v^{\prime}} \frac{1}{v^{\prime \prime}}
$$

shows that $\frac{1}{v}$ divides the product $\frac{1}{v^{\prime}} \frac{1}{v^{\prime \prime}}$, but it does not divide any of the two factors. Thus $\frac{1}{v}$ is irreducible but not prime, so $R_{V}$ cannot be factorial.

To prove (iii), we need to show that if $d \geq 2$, the local ring $R_{V, \mathfrak{m}}$ at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset R_{V}$ is not regular. Since $R_{V}$ is a finitely generated algebra over a field and an integral domain, every maximal ideal of $R_{V}$ has the same height. Therefore part (i) of the proposition implies that the Krull dimension of $\left(R_{V}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is equal to $d$. Because $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}>d$.

The $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-algebra $R_{V}$ is generated in degree 1 over $R_{V, 0}=\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \mathfrak{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{2}=H_{d}(1)
$$

where $H_{d}$ denotes the Hilbert function of $R_{V}$ as in Proposition 2.6 above. From the recursion formula in Proposition 2.6 we see that

$$
H_{d}(1)=H_{d-1}(1)+q^{d-1} .
$$

By iterating and using that $H_{1}(1)=1$, we conclude that $H_{d}(1)=\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} q^{i}$. If $d \geq 2$, this number is greater than $d$.

We finish the section with an ad-hoc proof of the fact that the domain $R_{V}$ is integrally closed. We will give a more conceptual proof in section 3 below.

Proposition 2.12 The integral domain $R_{V}$ is integrally closed.

Proof. It suffices to show that $R_{V}$ is integrally closed in the domain $R S_{V}$, which is a localization of the regular ring $S_{V}$ and thus integrally closed in $\operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V}\right)$.

Given an element $b$ of the basis for $R S_{V}$ defined in Proposition 2.2, we define a pair of non-negative integers $\ell(b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ as follows. If $b$ is contained in $R_{V}$, set $\ell(b):=(0,0)$. If $b$ is not contained in $R_{V}$, there exists an integer $i$ such that $r_{i}>0$. Then we set $n:=\max \left\{i \mid r_{i}>0\right\}$ and define

$$
\ell(b):=\left(n, r_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} .
$$

Fix a total order on $\mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ by defining

$$
(x, y)>\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right): \Longleftrightarrow \text { either } x>x^{\prime} \text { or if } x=x^{\prime}, \text { then } y>y^{\prime} .
$$

For an arbitrary element $x=\sum_{i} \beta_{i} b_{i} \in R S_{V}$ for pairwise distinct basis elements $b_{i} \in R S_{V}$ and scalars $\beta_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, define

$$
\ell(x):=\max _{i} \ell\left(b_{i}\right) .
$$

Lemma 2.13 (i) Let $x, x^{\prime} \in R S_{V}$. Then $\ell\left(x+x^{\prime}\right) \leq \max \left(\ell(x), \ell\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$.
(ii) Let $x \in R S_{V}$ and $a \in R_{V}$. Then $\ell(a x) \leq \ell(x)$.
(iii) Let $x \in R S_{V} \backslash R_{V}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{>0}$. Then $\ell\left(x^{n}\right)>\ell\left(x^{n-1}\right)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.13. Part $(i)$ is clear from how we extended the definition of $\ell$ from the special case of a basis element to an arbitrary element of $R S_{V}$ above.
It follows from the algorithm used in the first part of the proof of Proposition 2.2 that statement ( $i i$ ) is true if $a$ and $x$ are basis elements. For arbitrary $a=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} a_{i}$ and $x=$ $\sum_{j} \beta_{j} b_{j}$ with basis elements $a_{i}, b_{j}$ and scalars $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, we calculate

$$
\ell(a x) \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \max _{i, j} \ell\left(a_{i} b_{j}\right) \leq \max _{j} \ell\left(b_{j}\right)=\ell(x) .
$$

The statement of (iii) is clear if $x$ is a basis element. If $x=\sum \beta_{i} b_{i}$ is arbitrary, fix an index $k$ such that $\ell(x)=\ell\left(b_{k}\right)$. Then we conclude that $\ell\left(x^{n}\right)=\ell\left(b_{k}^{n}\right)>\ell\left(b_{k}^{n-1}\right)=\ell\left(x^{n-1}\right)$.
q.e.d.

Assume that $x \in R S_{V} \backslash R_{V}$ is integral over $R_{V}$. Fix an equation

$$
x^{n}+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{1} x+a_{0}=0
$$

for some $n>0$ and some $a_{i} \in R_{V}$. Then

$$
\ell\left(x^{n}\right)=\ell\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} x^{i}\right) \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \max _{i \leq n-1} \ell\left(a_{i} x^{i}\right) \stackrel{(i i)}{\leq} \max _{i \leq n-1} \ell\left(x^{i}\right) \stackrel{(i i i)}{=} \ell\left(x^{n-1}\right) \stackrel{(i i i)}{<} \ell\left(x^{n}\right),
$$

contradiction.
q.e.d.

## 3 Relating $R_{V}$ and $S_{V^{*}}$

It is the goal of this section to relate the rings $R_{V}$ and $S_{V^{*}}$, where $V^{*}$ denotes the dual vector space of $V$. We will show that $R_{V}$ and $S_{V^{*}}$ are "isomorphic up to Frobenius" in a sense made precise by Theorem 3.1 below.

The majority of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1. As an application, we will use the theorem to give a more conceptual proof of the fact that $R_{V}$ is integrally closed.

We begin by fixing some notation. For a linear form $w \in V^{*}$ and a vector $v \in V$ we define $\langle w, v\rangle:=w(v)$. Furthermore, we define the Frobenius homomorphisms

$$
F_{R_{V}}: R_{V} \longleftrightarrow R_{V}, \quad x \longmapsto x^{q^{d-1}}
$$

and

$$
F_{S_{V^{*}}}: S_{V^{*}} \longleftrightarrow S_{V^{*}}, \quad x \longmapsto x^{q^{d-1}} .
$$

Theorem 3.1 (i) There exists a unique $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-algebra homomorphism $\psi: S_{V^{*}} \rightarrow R_{V}$ such that

$$
w \longmapsto \sum_{\substack{v \in V \\\langle w, v\rangle=1}} \frac{1}{v}
$$

(ii) There exists a unique $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-algebra homomorphism $\varphi: R_{V} \rightarrow S_{V^{*}}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{v} \longmapsto \prod_{\substack{w \in V * \\\langle w, v\rangle=1}} w .
$$

(iii) $\varphi \circ \psi=F_{S_{V^{*}}}$
(iv) $\psi \circ \varphi=F_{R_{V}}$

Proof of 3.1(i). We need to show that the formula for $\psi(w)$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear as $w$ ranges over the vector space $V^{*}$. This is immediate once we write $\psi(w)$ in the form

$$
\psi(w)=\sum_{\substack{v \in V=1 \\\langle w, v\rangle=1}} \frac{1}{v}=\sum_{v \in(V \backslash\{0\}) / \mathbb{F}_{q}^{X}} \frac{\langle w, v\rangle}{v} .
$$

Proof of 3.1(ii).
We first construct an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-algebra homomorphism $\eta: S_{V} \rightarrow \operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$ such that

$$
0 \neq v \longmapsto \prod_{\substack{w \in v^{*} \\\langle w, v\rangle=1}} \frac{1}{w}
$$

In order to show that such a homomorphism $\eta$ exists, we need to verify that
(a) $\eta(\alpha v)=\alpha \eta(v)$
(b) $\eta\left(v+v^{\prime}\right)=\eta(v)+\eta\left(v^{\prime}\right)$
for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$and all $0 \neq v, v^{\prime} \in V$.
To prove (a), we calculate

$$
\eta(\alpha v)=\prod_{\substack{w \in V^{*} \\\langle w, \alpha v\rangle=1}} \frac{1}{w}=\prod_{\substack{w \in V^{*} \\\langle w, v\rangle=1}} \frac{\alpha}{w}=\alpha^{q^{d-1}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{w \in V^{*} \\\langle w, v\rangle=1}} \frac{1}{w}=\alpha \eta(v) .
$$

Equation (b) follows from equation (a) if $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ are linearly dependent. If $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ are linearly independent, we have to prove that the formula

$$
\prod_{\substack{w \in V^{*} \\\left\langle w, v+v^{\prime}\right\rangle=1}} \frac{1}{w}=\prod_{\substack{w \in V^{*} \\\langle w, v\rangle=1}} \frac{1}{w}+\prod_{\substack{w \in V^{*} \\\left\langle w, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=1}} \frac{1}{w}
$$

holds in $\operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$.
After choosing an appropriate basis for $V$ we can identify $V$ with $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$ and $v, v^{\prime}$ with the standard basis elements $(1,0, \ldots, 0),(0,1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$. Denote by $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d} \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}\right)^{*}$ the dual basis of the standard basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$. Then we have to prove that the formula

$$
\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{d}^{d} \\ \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=1}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i} X_{i}}=\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{d}^{d} \\ \alpha_{1}=1}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i} X_{i}}+\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{D}^{d}}^{d} \\ \alpha_{2}=1}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i} X_{i}}
$$

holds in the field of rational functions $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right)$.
We proceed by induction on the number of indeterminates $d$. For $d=2$ we have to show that

$$
\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{1}{\alpha X_{1}+(1-\alpha) X_{2}}=\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{1}{X_{1}+\alpha X_{2}}+\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{1}{\alpha X_{1}+X_{2}} .
$$

Multiplying this equation by the factor $X_{1} \cdot \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(X_{2}-\alpha X_{1}\right)$ we obtain the equivalent equation

$$
\left(\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\ \alpha \neq 1}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)\left(X_{2}-X_{1}\right)=X_{1}+\left(\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\ \alpha \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) X_{2}
$$

This equation in turn follows from the observation that

$$
\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\ \alpha \neq 1}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha}=-1=\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \\ \alpha \neq 0}} \frac{1}{\alpha} .
$$

We now assume that the statement is true for $(d-1)$ indeterminates $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d-1}$. We will deduce the statement for the $d$ indeterminates $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ by substituting the expressions $\left(X_{i}^{q}-X_{d}^{q-1} X_{i}\right)$ for $T_{i}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, d-1$.
Let $\ell$ be a linear form over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in two variables $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$. We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \\
\ell\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \alpha_{i} T_{i}} & =\prod_{\substack{\frac{\alpha}{\ell} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-1} \\
\ell\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \alpha_{i}\left(X_{i}^{q}-X_{d}^{q-1} X_{i}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\alpha}^{d-1} \\
\ell\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \alpha_{i} X_{i}\right)^{q}-X_{d}^{q-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \alpha_{i} X_{i}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\alpha}^{d-1} \\
\ell\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=1}} \prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \alpha_{i} X_{i}+\beta X_{d}} \\
& =\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d} \\
\ell\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=1}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \alpha_{i} X_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using this calculation for each of the three linear forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell^{\prime}: \quad\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \longmapsto \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, \\
& \ell^{\prime \prime}: \quad\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \longmapsto \alpha_{1}, \\
& \ell^{\prime \prime \prime}: \quad\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \longmapsto \alpha_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce the statement for the $d$ variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ from the statement in the $(d-1)$ variables $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d-1}$. This finishes the proof of part (b) above.

We have therefore shown that the $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$-algebra homomorphism $\eta: S_{V} \rightarrow \operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$ is welldefined. Since $\eta(v) \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq v \in V$, the map $\eta$ extends uniquely from $S_{V}$ to $R S_{V}$. By restricting this extension from $R S_{V}$ to its subring $R_{V}$ we obtain the desired map $\varphi$.
q.e.d.

Proof of 3.1(iii). We have to show that for any $0 \neq w_{0} \in V^{*}$ the equation

$$
\sum_{\substack{v \in V \\\left\langle w_{0}, v\right\rangle=1}} \prod_{\substack{w \in V^{*} \\\langle w, v\rangle=1}} w=w_{0}^{q^{d-1}}
$$

holds in $S_{V^{*}}$.
We choose a basis $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ for $V^{*}$ such that $X_{d}=w_{0}$. By fixing the corresponding dual basis for $V$, we can identify $V$ with $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$ and the dual basis of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ with the standard basis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{d}$. Then we need to show that the equation

$$
\sum_{\substack{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d} \\ \alpha_{d}=1}} \prod_{\substack{\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d} \\\langle\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}\rangle=1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \beta_{i} X_{i}\right)=X_{d}^{q^{d-1}}
$$

holds in the field of rational functions $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}\right)$. Equivalently, we have to prove the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-1}} \prod_{\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-1}}\left(X_{d}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \beta_{i}\left(X_{i}-\alpha_{i} X_{d}\right)\right)=X_{d}^{q^{d-1}} \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed by induction on the number of variables $d$. The case $d=1$ is clear. Since we will use the statement for two indeterminates in the induction step below, we treat the case $d=2$ next. We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \prod_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(X_{2}+\beta\left(X_{1}+\alpha X_{2}\right)\right) & =\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(X_{2}^{q}-\left(X_{1}-\alpha X_{2}\right)^{q-1} \cdot X_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} X_{2}^{q}-X_{2} \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \frac{X_{1}^{q}-\alpha^{q} X_{2}^{q}}{X_{1}-\alpha X_{2}} \\
& =q X_{2}^{q}-X_{2} \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} X_{1}^{q-i-1} \cdot\left(-\alpha X_{2}\right)^{i} \\
& =-X_{2} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{q-1}\left(X_{1}^{q-i-1} \cdot X_{2}^{i} \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \alpha^{i}\right) \\
& =X_{2}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where for the last equality we used that

$$
\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \alpha^{i}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
0 & \text { if } i \neq q-1 \\
-1 & \text { if } i=q-1
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Here we define $0^{0}:=1$, in accordance to our calculation above.
We now assume that equation $(E)$ holds for the $(d-1)$ variables $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{d-1}$, i.e.

$$
\sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{-}^{d-2}} \prod_{\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-2}}\left(T_{d-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-2} \beta_{i}\left(T_{i}-\alpha_{i} T_{d-1}\right)\right)=T_{d-1}^{q^{d-2}}
$$

Given a scalar $\alpha_{d-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, we substitute the expression

$$
X_{d}^{q}-X_{d} \cdot\left(X_{d-1}-\alpha_{d-1} X_{d}\right)^{q-1}
$$

for $T_{d-1}$, and the expression

$$
X_{i}^{q}-X_{i} \cdot\left(X_{d-1}-\alpha_{d-1} X_{d}\right)^{q-1}
$$

for $T_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d-2$.
Thus for each scalar $\alpha_{d-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ we obtain an equation in the variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$. We denote by $\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ the sum of all of these equations.

The right hand side of $\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\sum_{\alpha_{d-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(X_{d}^{q}-X_{d} \cdot\left(X_{d-1}-\alpha_{d-1} X_{d}\right)^{q-1}\right)^{q^{d-2}} .
$$

By the calculation for the case $d=2$ above, this expression is equal to $\left(X_{d}^{q}\right)^{q^{d-2}}=X_{d}^{q^{d-1}}$.
The left hand side of $\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-1}} \prod_{\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-2}}\left(\left(X_{d}^{q}-X_{d} \cdot\left(X_{d-1}-\alpha_{d-1} X_{d}\right)^{q-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{d-2} \beta_{i}\left(X_{i}^{q}-\alpha_{i} X_{d}^{q}-X_{i} \cdot\left(X_{d-1}-\alpha_{d-1} X_{d}\right)^{q-1}+\alpha_{i} X_{d} \cdot\left(X_{d-1}-\alpha_{d-1} X_{d}\right)^{q-1}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-1}} \prod_{\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-2}}\left(\left(X_{d}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-2} \beta_{i}\left(X_{i}-\alpha_{i} X_{d}\right)\right)^{q}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(X_{d}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-2} \beta_{i}\left(X_{i}-\alpha_{i} X_{d}\right)\right) \cdot\left(X_{d-1}-\alpha_{d-1} X_{d}\right)^{q-1}\right) \\
= & \sum_{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-1}} \prod_{\underline{\beta} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{d-1}}\left(X_{d}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \beta_{i}\left(X_{i}-\alpha_{i} X_{d}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have deduced the desired formula in the $d$ variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$.
q.e.d.

## Proof of 3.1(iv).

Using (iii) we see that

$$
\varphi \circ\left((\psi \circ \varphi)-F_{R_{V}}\right)=\left(F_{S_{V^{*}}} \circ \varphi\right)-\left(\varphi \circ F_{R_{V}}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus once we show that $\varphi$ is injective, the assertion of (iv) will follow from (iii).
To prove the injectivity of $\varphi$ we first fix appropriate $S_{V^{*}-\text { algebra structures on the rings }}$
 $S_{V^{*}} \hookrightarrow R_{V}$. Consider $\operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$ as an $S_{V^{*}}$ algebra via the inclusion $S_{V^{*}} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$. Finally, denote by $S_{V^{*}}^{F}$ the ring $S_{V^{*}}$ endowed with the $S_{V^{*}}$-algebra structure induced by the Frobenius map $F_{S_{V^{*}}}$.

The following diagram of $S_{V^{*}}$-algebras commutes because of the above choices of $S_{V^{*-}}$ algebra structures.


Here we denote by $\operatorname{Frac}(\psi)$ the field homomorphism induced by $\psi: S_{V^{*}} \hookrightarrow R_{V}$. The map $j$ is induced by $\varphi: R_{V} \hookrightarrow S_{V^{*}}^{F}$ and by the identity map of $\operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$. The map $i$ is induced by the inclusion $R_{V} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{V}\right)$ and by $\operatorname{Frac}(\psi)$. Since the diagram commutes, it suffices to show that $j$ is injective.
The fields $\operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{V}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$ have the same transcendence degree $d$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, so that $\operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{V}\right)$ is algebraic over $\operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$ via the map $\operatorname{Frac}(\psi)$. Therefore $\operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{V}\right)$ is integral over the domain $R_{V} \otimes_{S_{V^{*}}} \operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$ via the injection $i$. Thus the domain $R_{V} \otimes_{S_{V^{*}}} \operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$ has to be a field as well, and $j$ must be injective.
q.e.d.

Using Theorem 3.1 and the basis for $R S_{V}$ from Proposition 2.2, we will now give a more transparent proof of the fact that $R_{V}$ is integrally closed.

## Proof of Proposition 2.12.

Let $x \in \operatorname{Frac}\left(R_{V}\right)$ be integral over $R_{V}$. As in the proof given in section 2 we conclude that $x \in R S_{V}$. Being injective, the maps $\varphi$ and $\psi$ of Proposition 3.1 extend to the fields of fractions of $R_{V}$ and $S_{V^{*}}$. By abuse of notation we refer to these extensions as $\varphi$ and $\psi$ again. Since $x$ is integral over $R_{V}$, its image $\varphi(x) \in \operatorname{Frac}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$ is integral over the integrally closed domain $S_{V^{*}}$. Thus $\varphi(x)$ already lies in $S_{V^{*}}$ and its image $\psi(\varphi(x))=x^{q^{d-1}}$ is an element of $R_{V}$.

Thus it suffices to show that an element $y \in R S_{V}$ lies in the subring $R_{V}$ if and only if the Frobenius power $y^{q^{d-1}}$ lies in $R_{V}$. If $y$ is an element of the basis for $R S_{V}$ constructed in Proposition 2.2, the Frobenius power $y^{q^{d-1}}$ is again a basis element, and the statement about $y$ follows directly from the shape of the basis under consideration. Since the Frobenius map is a homomorphism, we can reduce the general case to the case of a basis element by considering arbitrary linear combinations.

## 4 Structure of $Q_{V}$

We now study the projective variety $Q_{V}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(R_{V}\right)$. We begin with some basic properties of $Q_{V}$ which follow directly from the results of section 2 . We then construct stratifications for $Q_{V}$ and for the projective space $P_{V}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(S_{V}\right)$ which are "dual" in a sense made precise by Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.6 below. Finally, we determine the singular locus of $Q_{V}$ and discuss the birational equivalence $Q_{V} \supset \Omega_{V} \subset P_{V}$.

Corollary 4.1 (i) The dimension of $Q_{V}$ is $(d-1)$.
(ii) $Q_{V}$ is projectively normal.
(iii) The degree of $Q_{V}$ is equal to $q^{\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}}$.

Proof. Part (i) follows directly from Proposition 2.11, (i). Part (ii) is merely a repetition of Proposition 2.12. Part (iii) follows from part $(i)$ together with Proposition 2.10. q.e.d.

We now construct the aforementioned stratifications, beginning with the more familiar case of $P_{V}$.

A surjection of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector spaces $\sigma: V \rightarrow V^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ induces a degree-preserving surjection of graded $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$ algebras $S_{V} \rightarrow S_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ with kernel $(v \mid v \in \operatorname{ker}(\sigma)) \subset S_{V}$. Thus we see that for any proper subspace $V^{\prime} \subsetneq V$, the scheme $P_{V / V^{\prime}}$ is the closed subscheme of $P_{V}$ corresponding to the homogeneous ideal $\left(v \mid v \in V^{\prime}\right) \subset S_{V}$. The scheme $\Omega_{V / V^{\prime}}$ is the locally closed subscheme of $P_{V}$ obtained by intersecting the closed subscheme $P_{V / V^{\prime}}$ with the open subscheme of $P_{V}$ on which the homogeneous element $\prod_{v \in V \backslash V^{\prime}} v$ of $S_{V}$ does not vanish.

Theorem 4.2 (Stratification of $P_{V}$ ) The underlying set of the scheme $P_{V}$ is the disjoint union

$$
P_{V}=\bigcup_{V^{\prime} \subsetneq V}^{\bullet} \Omega_{V / V^{\prime}}
$$

Proof. The disjointness is clear from the description of the strata $\Omega_{V / V^{\prime}}$ as locally closed subschemes of $P_{V}$. In order to see that every point of $P_{V}$ lies in one of the $\Omega_{V / V^{\prime}}$, let $x \in P_{V}$ and observe that the set $V_{x}:=\{v \in V \mid v(x)=0\}$ is a linear subspace of $V$. Then $x$ lies in $\Omega_{V / V_{x}} \subset P_{V}$ by definition of $V_{x}$.
q.e.d.

Remark 4.3 The closure of a stratum $\Omega_{V / V^{\prime}} \subset P_{V}$ is again a union of strata:

$$
\overline{\Omega_{V / V^{\prime}}}=P_{V / V^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{V^{\prime} \subset W \subsetneq V} \Omega_{V / W} \subset P_{V}
$$

We now proceed in a similar fashion to construct a stratification for the scheme $Q_{V}$.

Lemma 4.4 Let $0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V$ be a nonzero linear subspace of $V$. Then there exists a degree-preserving surjection of graded $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-algebras $\rho: R_{V} \rightarrow R_{V^{\prime}}$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{v} \longmapsto \begin{cases}\frac{1}{v} & \text { if } v \in V^{\prime} \backslash\{0\} . \\ 0 & \text { if } v \in V \backslash V^{\prime} .\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, the kernel of $\rho$ is equal to the ideal $\left(\left.\frac{1}{v} \right\rvert\, v \in V \backslash V^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. We claim that the surjection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi: A_{V}:=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[X_{v} \mid 0 \neq v \in V\right] \longrightarrow R_{V^{\prime}} \\
& X_{v} \longmapsto \begin{cases}\frac{1}{v} & \text { if } v \in V^{\prime} \backslash\{0\} . \\
0 & \text { if } v \in V \backslash V^{\prime} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

has the kernel $\mathfrak{a}:=J_{V}+\left(X_{v} \mid v \in V \backslash V^{\prime}\right)$. This suffices to prove the lemma because of the presentation $R_{V}=A_{V} / J_{V}$ of Proposition 2.5.
The inclusion $\operatorname{ker}(\pi) \subset \mathfrak{a}$ is immediate from the presentation $R_{V^{\prime}}=A_{V^{\prime}} / J_{V^{\prime}}$. We now prove the converse inclusion. It is easy to see that all elements $X_{v}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ for some $v \in V \backslash V^{\prime}$ and all elements of $\mathfrak{a}$ of the form $X_{v}-\alpha X_{\alpha v}$ for some $0 \neq v \in V$ and some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$already lie in the kernel of $\pi$. Thus we only need to show that for three nonzero vectors $v, v^{\prime}, v^{\prime \prime} \in V$ with $v+v^{\prime}+v^{\prime \prime}=0$, the element $f:=X_{v} X_{v^{\prime}}+X_{v^{\prime}} X_{v^{\prime \prime}}+X_{v} X_{v^{\prime \prime}} \in \mathfrak{a}$ lies in the kernel of $\pi$ as well. This is clear if at least two of the three vectors lie in $V \backslash V^{\prime}$. If not, then all three vectors must lie in $V^{\prime}$, so that $f \in J_{V^{\prime}}$ and therefore $\pi(f)=0$ as well.
q.e.d.

Corollary 4.5 Let $0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V$ be a nonzero linear subspace of $V$. Then the scheme $Q_{V^{\prime}}$ is the closed subscheme of $Q_{V}$ corresponding to the homogeneous ideal $\left(\left.\frac{1}{v} \right\rvert\, v \in V \backslash V^{\prime}\right) \subset$ $R_{V}$. The scheme $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ is the locally closed subscheme of $Q_{V}$ obtained by intersecting the closed subscheme $Q_{V^{\prime}}$ with the open subscheme of $Q_{V}$ on which the homogeneous element $\prod_{0 \neq v \in V^{\prime}} \frac{1}{v}$ of $R_{V}$ does not vanish.
For any three nonzero $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector spaces $0 \neq V^{\prime \prime} \subset V^{\prime} \subset V$, the induced triangle of closed immersions

commutes.

Proof. The statements about $Q_{V^{\prime}}$ and $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ are immediate from Lemma 4.4 above. The last statement follows from the commutativity of the triangle

q.e.d.

Theorem 4.6 (Stratification of $Q_{V}$ ) The underlying set of the scheme $Q_{V}$ is the disjoint union

$$
Q_{V}=\bigcup_{0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V}^{\bullet} \Omega_{V^{\prime}}
$$

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.2 above, the disjointness is a direct consequence of the description of the strata $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ as locally closed subschemes of $Q_{V}$. To show that any point $x$ of $Q_{V}$ lies in one of the strata $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$, we define the set $V_{x}:=\left\{v \in V \backslash\{0\} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{v}(x) \neq 0\right.\right\} \cup\{0\}$. We claim that $V_{x}$ is a nonzero linear subspace of $V$. To see this, we need to show that if $\frac{1}{v}(x) \neq 0$ and $\frac{1}{w}(x) \neq 0$ for some $v, w \in V$, then also $\frac{1}{v+w}(x) \neq 0$. This is immediate from the equality

$$
\frac{1}{v} \cdot \frac{1}{w}=\frac{1}{v+w} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{v}+\frac{1}{w}\right)
$$

Thus $x$ lies in the stratum $\Omega_{V_{x}} \subset Q_{V}$ by definition of the subspace $V_{x}$.

Remark 4.7 The closure of a stratum $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \subset Q_{V}$ is again a union of strata:

$$
\overline{\Omega_{V^{\prime}}}=Q_{V^{\prime}}=\bigcup_{0 \neq W \subset V^{\prime}}^{\bullet} \Omega_{W} \subset Q_{V}
$$

Comparing the two stratifications 4.2 and 4.6 of $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$, respectively, we observe that the sets of isomorphism classes of strata occurring in the two stratifications are identical. The disparity of the schemes $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ is reflected in the functoriality of the indexing sets: The strata of $P_{V}$ are indexed by nonzero quotients of $V$, whereas the strata of $Q_{V}$ are indexed by nonzero subspaces of $V$. Since $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ both contain the non-empty open stratum $\Omega_{V}$, they are birationally equivalent.

Before studying this birational equivalence we introduce convenient open affine covers of $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$. More precisely, for each stratum of $P_{V}$ and of $Q_{V}$ we construct the smallest
open affine neighborhood which is itself a union of strata. We denote the structure sheaves of $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{P_{V}}$ and by $\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}$, respectively.

We begin with the projective space $P_{V}$. For a proper subspace $V^{\prime} \subsetneq V$, define

$$
\mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}}:=\bigcup_{W \subset V^{\prime}} \Omega_{V / W} \subset P_{V}
$$

We call $\mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}}$ the strata neighborhood of $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ in $P_{V}$. Note that $\mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}}$ is indeed the open affine subscheme of $P_{V}$ on which the homogeneous element $\prod_{v \in V \backslash V^{\prime}} v$ of $S_{V}$ does not vanish. Therefore the affine coordinate ring of $\mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}}$ is equal to

$$
\mathcal{O}_{P_{V}}\left(\mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V, w \in V \backslash V^{\prime}\right] .
$$

We proceed analogously for the scheme $Q_{V}$. For a nonzero subspace $0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V$, define

$$
\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}:=\bigcup_{V^{\prime} \subset W}^{\bullet} \Omega_{W} \subset Q_{V}
$$

We call $\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ the strata neighborhood of $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ in $Q_{V}$. It is indeed the open affine subscheme of $Q_{V}$ on which the homogeneous element $\prod_{0 \neq v \in V^{\prime}} \frac{1}{v}$ of $R_{V}$ does not vanish. Thus the affine coordinate ring of $\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ is equal to

$$
\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V^{\prime}, w \in V \backslash\{0\}\right] .
$$

Proposition 4.8 The morphism $P_{V} \supset \Omega_{V} \rightarrow Q_{V}$ identifying the open stratum $\Omega_{V}$ in $P_{V}$ with the open stratum $\Omega_{V}$ in $Q_{V}$ can be extended uniquely to the union of all strata of $P_{V}$ of codimension $\leq 1$. This extension map collapses each 1-codimensional stratum $\Omega_{V / V^{\prime}}$ of $P_{V}$ to the corresponding 0-dimensional closed stratum $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ of $Q_{V}$.

Proof. Let $V^{\prime} \subsetneq V$ be a 1-dimensional proper subspace of $V$. Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{P_{V}}\left(\mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}}\right) & =\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V, w \in V \backslash V^{\prime}\right] \\
\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right) & =\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V^{\prime}, w \in V \backslash\{0\}\right] \\
\left(R S_{V}\right)_{0} & =\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\Omega_{V}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{P_{V}}\left(\Omega_{V}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V, w \in V \backslash\{0\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We prove the existence of an extension to the strata neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}} \subset P_{V}$ by providing a dotted arrow which makes the following diagram of affine coordinate rings commute:


Since the dimension of $V^{\prime}$ is equal to 1 , the quotient $\frac{v}{w}$ lies in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ for any two non-zero vectors $v, w \in V^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V^{\prime}, w \in V \backslash V^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Hence we can define the desired dotted arrow to be the inclusion

$$
t: \mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P_{V}}\left(\mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}}\right)
$$

We now show that the 1-codimensional stratum $\Omega_{V / V^{\prime}}$ of $P_{V}$ is mapped onto the 0 dimensional closed stratum $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ of $Q_{V}$ under the corresponding map of affine schemes. The ideal $I$ of the closed subscheme $\Omega_{V / V^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}}$ is equal to

$$
I=\left(\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V^{\prime}, w \in V \backslash V^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{P_{V}}\left(\mathcal{U}_{V / V^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

Therefore, its inverse image

$$
t^{-1}(I)=\left(\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V^{\prime}, w \in V \backslash V^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)
$$

is precisely the ideal of the closed subscheme $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$, as desired.
Since $Q_{V}$ is separated and $P_{V}$ is reduced, any extension of the morphism $P_{V} \supset \Omega_{V} \rightarrow Q_{V}$ to an open subset containing $\Omega_{V} \subset P_{V}$ is unique. Thus all the extensions obtained by varying the 1-dimensional proper subspace $V^{\prime} \subsetneq V$ can be glued together.

The following proposition can be proved in exactly the same fashion:
Proposition 4.9 The morphism $Q_{V} \supset \Omega_{V} \rightarrow P_{V}$ identifying the open stratum $\Omega_{V}$ in $Q_{V}$ with the open stratum $\Omega_{V}$ in $P_{V}$ can be extended uniquely to the union of all strata of $Q_{V}$ of codimension $\leq 1$. This extension map collapses each 1-codimensional stratum $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ of $Q_{V}$ to the corresponding 0-dimensional closed stratum $\Omega_{V / V^{\prime}}$ of $P_{V}$.

Theorem 4.10 The singular locus of $Q_{V}$ consists of all strata of codimension at least 2:

$$
Q_{V}^{s i n g}=\bigcup_{\operatorname{dim}\left(V / V^{\prime} \geq 2\right)}^{\bullet} \Omega_{V^{\prime}}
$$

Proof. Let $0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V$ be a non-zero linear subspace of $V$. Choose a linear subspace $V^{\prime \prime} \subset V$ such that $V=V^{\prime} \oplus V^{\prime \prime}$. Our goal is to construct a morphism of schemes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$

$$
\theta: \mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}
$$

which restricts to an isomorphism of a neighborhood of the closed subscheme $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ onto a neighborhood of the closed subscheme $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\{0\}$ in $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times$ Spec $R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$. Here we denote by $\{0\}$ the vertex of the affine cone Spec $R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$. This will link the problem of determining whether the points of $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ are singular in $Q_{V}$ to the singularity of the ring $R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$, which has already been treated in Proposition 2.11.

We now construct the morphism $\theta$. Fix a nonzero vector $v_{0}^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$. From the presentation of $R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ in Proposition 2.5 it is easy to see that there exists a unique $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$-algebra homomorphism

$$
R_{V^{\prime \prime}}=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{1}{v^{\prime \prime}} \right\rvert\, v^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{0\}\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V^{\prime}, w \in V \backslash\{0\}\right]=\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)
$$

such that

$$
\frac{1}{v^{\prime \prime}} \mapsto \frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime \prime}} .
$$

It is clear that this map is injective. Furthermore, there exists a natural inclusion

$$
\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0}=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v, w \in V^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}\right] \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)
$$

After identifying the rings $\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0}$ and $R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ with their images in $\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)$ under these injections, we observe that their intersection is trivial in the sense that $\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0} \cap R_{V^{\prime \prime}}=$ $\mathbb{F}_{q} \subset \mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)$. Thus the induced $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\varepsilon:\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} R_{V^{\prime \prime}} \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)
$$

is injective as well. To simplify notation we identify the ring $\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ with its image

$$
\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v, w \in V^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}\right]\left[\left.\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime \prime}} \right\rvert\, v^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{0\}\right]
$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)$. The homomorphism $\varepsilon$ induces the desired map of affine schemes

$$
\theta: \mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}
$$

We now show that $\theta$ satisfies the property stated at the beginning of the proof. The closed subscheme $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ corresponds to the ideal

$$
I:=\left(\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V^{\prime}, w \in V \backslash V^{\prime}\right)
$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)$. The inverse image

$$
\varepsilon^{-1}(I)=\left(\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V^{\prime}, w \in V^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{0\}\right)
$$

in the ring

$$
\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v, w \in V^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}\right]\left[\left.\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime \prime}} \right\rvert\, v^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{0\}\right]
$$

is precisely the ideal corresponding to the closed subscheme $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\{0\} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$. Therefore $\theta$ restricts to an isomorphism of the closed subscheme $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ onto the closed subscheme $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\{0\} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$.

We claim that the injective $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\varepsilon_{s}:\left(\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{s} \longleftrightarrow\left(\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)\right)_{s}
$$

obtained by localizing $\varepsilon$ with respect to the homogeneous element

$$
s:=\prod_{\substack{0 \neq \prime^{\prime} \in V^{\prime} \\ 0 \neq v^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\prime \prime}}}\left(\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}}+\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime \prime}}\right) \in\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}
$$

is surjective and thus an isomorphism. To see this, we have to show that for nonzero vectors $u, u^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\prime \prime}$, the element $\frac{u}{u^{\prime}+u^{\prime \prime}}$ of $\left(\mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)\right)_{s}$ already lies in the localization $\left(\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{s^{\prime}}$. This follows from the equality

$$
\frac{u}{u^{\prime}+u^{\prime \prime}} \cdot\left(\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{u^{\prime}}+\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{u^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\frac{u}{u^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{u^{\prime \prime}}
$$

since the element $\left(\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{u^{\prime}}+\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{u^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is invertible in the localization $\left(\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}\right)_{s}$.
Since $\theta: \mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}} \rightarrow \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ is the map of affine schemes corresponding to the ring homomorphism $\varepsilon:\left(R S_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} R_{V^{\prime \prime}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Q_{V}}\left(\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}\right)$, the fact that the localized homomorphism $\varepsilon_{s}$ is an isomorphism implies that $\theta$ is an isomorphism away from the zero-loci of $s$ in $\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ and $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$. Therefore, our next goal is to show that the zero-locus of $s$ in $\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ is disjoint from $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$, and that the zero-locus of $s$ in $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ is disjoint from $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\{0\}$. We need to show that given nonzero vectors $v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime} \in V^{\prime \prime}$, the homogeneous element $\left(\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}}+\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ vanishes nowhere on $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ and $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\{0\} \subset \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$. For a point $x \in \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ or $x \in \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\{0\} \subset \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$, we calculate

$$
\left(\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}}+\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime \prime}}\right)(x)=\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}}(x)+0 \neq 0
$$

by the definition of $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$, as desired.

We have now shown that the map $\theta$ induces an isomorphism of a neighborhood of $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ in $\mathcal{V}_{V^{\prime}}$ with a neighborhood of $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\{0\}$ in $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$, and that this isomorphism is an extension of the isomorphism $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \cong \Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\{0\}$. This implies that $\theta$ yields a bijection

$$
\left(Q_{V}^{\text {sing }} \cap \Omega_{V^{\prime}}\right) \cong\left(\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{\text {sing }} \cap\left(\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\{0\}\right)
$$

Since $\Omega_{V^{\prime}}$ is smooth, we note that

$$
\left(\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times \operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{\operatorname{sing}}=\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \times\left(\operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{\text {sing }}
$$

It was already shown in Proposition 2.11 that the vertex $\{0\}$ of $\operatorname{Spec} R_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ is a singular point if and only if $\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime \prime} \geq 2$. Thus the subset $\Omega_{V^{\prime}} \subset Q_{V}$ consists of only non-singular points if $\operatorname{dim} V / V^{\prime} \leq 1$, and of only singular points if $\operatorname{dim} V / V^{\prime} \geq 2$.
q.e.d.

## 5 Low-dimensional examples

In this section we study the special cases $d=2$ and $d=3$. If $d=2$, the varieties $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ are isomorphic curves. If $d=3$, they are non-isomorphic surfaces. In this case, we will prove that the blow-up of $P_{V}$ in every closed stratum is isomorphic to the blow-up of $Q_{V}$ in every closed stratum. In particular, the blow-up of $Q_{V}$ (or of $P_{V}$ ) is a desingularization of $Q_{V}$ in this special case.

We first assume that $d=2$. Then the curve $Q_{V}$ is non-singular according to Theorem 4.10. In fact, Propositions 4.8 and 4.9 imply that the curves $Q_{V}$ and $P_{V}$ are even isomorphic in this case. Knowing that $Q_{V}$ is a smooth curve, this of course also follows from the general fact that up to isomorphism there is a unique smooth projective curve in every birational equivalence class.

In a similar vein, we now show that the map $\varphi$ of Theorem 3.1 yields an isomorphism of $Q_{V}$ with the $q$-uple embedding of $P_{V^{*}}$.

Proposition 5.1 Let $d=2$. Then the map $\varphi: R_{V} \rightarrow S_{V^{*}}$ of Theorem 3.1 induces an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-algebras

$$
R_{V} \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} S_{V^{*}}^{(q)}:=\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S_{V^{*}, q i} .
$$

Thus the projective curve $Q_{V}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(R_{V}\right)$ is the $q$-uple embedding of the projective line $P_{V^{*}}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(S_{V^{*}}\right)$.

Proof. The degree of the homogeneous element $\varphi\left(\frac{1}{v}\right) \in S_{V^{*}}$ is equal to $q$ for any nonzero vector $v \in V$. Thus $\varphi$ factors through a degree-preserving map $R_{V} \rightarrow S_{V^{*}}^{(q)}$. From Theorem 3.1 we already know that this map is injective. We now prove that it is also surjective. Since the map is degree-preserving, $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear and injective, it suffices to show that the graded $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$algebras $R_{V}$ and $S_{V^{*}}^{(q)}$ have the same Hilbert function. The Hilbert function $H_{2}$ of $R_{V}$ was determined to be $H_{2}(n)=q n+1$ in Remark 2.7 above. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(V^{*}\right)=d=2$, this is precisely the Hilbert function of $S_{V^{*}}^{(q)}$.

For the remainder of the section let $d=3$. Thus $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ are surfaces. According to Theorem 4.10 the singular locus of $Q_{V}$ is the union of all 0-dimensional strata. A 0 -dimensional stratum of $Q_{V}$ or $P_{V}$ consists of precisely one closed point.

In this situation, Propositions 4.8 states that the morphism $P_{V} \supset \Omega_{V} \rightarrow Q_{V}$ can be extended to the union of all strata of $P_{V}$ of codimension $\leq 1$ by collapsing each 1-dimensional stratum of $P_{V}$ to the corresponding 0-dimensional stratum of $Q_{V}$. Proposition 4.9 provides the analogous statement for the morphism $Q_{V} \supset \Omega_{V} \rightarrow P_{V}$. We will now show that by
blowing up both surfaces in all 0-dimensional strata one obtains isomorphic objects. For background material on blowing up we refer the reader to Hartshorne [5], II.7, Eisenbud [3], 5.2., and Eisenbud-Harris [4], IV.2.

Denote by $\widetilde{P_{V}} \rightarrow P_{V}$ and by $\widetilde{Q_{V}} \rightarrow Q_{V}$ the blow-ups of $P_{V}$ and of $Q_{V}$, respectively, in all the 0-dimensional strata. Thus the open stratum $P_{V} \supset \Omega_{V} \subset Q_{V}$ is also a dense open subset of $\widetilde{P_{V}}$ and $\widetilde{Q_{V}}$.

Theorem 5.2 Let $d=3$. Then the identity morphism $P_{V} \supset \Omega_{V}=\Omega_{V} \subset Q_{V}$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism of the blow-ups $\widetilde{P_{V}} \cong \widetilde{Q_{V}}$. In particular, $\widetilde{Q_{V}}$ is a desingularization of $Q_{V}$.

Proof. Let $0 \subsetneq V_{1} \subsetneq V_{2} \subsetneq V$ be a complete flag of $V$. We will construct open affine subschemes $\mathcal{A}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ of $\widetilde{P_{V}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ of $\widetilde{Q_{V}}$ which contain $\Omega_{V}$ and are isomorphic via a map extending the identity on $\Omega_{V}$. We will show that if $0 \subsetneq V_{1} \subsetneq V_{2} \subsetneq V$ ranges over all complete flags of $V$, the open sets $\mathcal{A}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ cover $\widetilde{P_{V}}$ and the open sets $\mathcal{B}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ cover $\widetilde{Q_{V}}$. Since the blow-ups $\widetilde{P_{V}}$ and $\widetilde{Q_{V}}$ are separable and reduced, this implies that the identity map $P_{V} \supset \Omega_{V}=\Omega_{V} \subset Q_{V}$ extends uniquely to an isomorphism $\widetilde{P_{V}} \cong \widetilde{Q_{V}}$.

We begin with the construction of the affine open subscheme $\mathcal{A}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ of $\widetilde{P_{V}}$. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_{V / V_{2}}}$ the inverse image of the strata neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{V / V_{2}}$ under the projection map $\widetilde{P_{V}} \rightarrow P_{V}$. Denote by $A:=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V, w \in V \backslash V_{2}\right]$ the affine coordinate ring of $\mathcal{U}_{V / V_{2}}$ and by $I:=\left(\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V_{2}, w \in V \backslash V_{2}\right)$ the ideal in $A$ corresponding to the closed point $\Omega_{V / V_{2}}$ of $\mathcal{U}_{V / V_{2}}$. Thus

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{U}_{V / V_{2}}}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\operatorname{Bl}_{I} A\right),
$$

where $\mathrm{Bl}_{I} A:=A \oplus I \oplus I^{2} \oplus \ldots$ denotes the blow-up algebra of $A$ with respect to $I$.
Inverting the homogeneous element

$$
f:=\prod_{\substack{v \in V_{2} \backslash V_{1} \\ w \in V \backslash V_{2}}} \frac{v}{w} \in I^{k} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{I} A
$$

of degree $k:=\#\left(V_{2} \backslash V_{1}\right) \cdot \#\left(V \backslash V_{2}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Bl}_{I} A$ yields the desired open affine subscheme $\mathcal{A}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ of $\widetilde{P_{V}}$, with affine coordinate ring equal to $\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{I} A\right)\left[\frac{1}{f}\right]_{0}$. This affine coordinate ring is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V, w \in V \backslash V_{2}\right]\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \cdot \frac{w^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}} \right\rvert\, v \in V_{2}, v^{\prime} \in V_{2} \backslash V_{1}, w, w^{\prime} \in V \backslash V_{2}\right] \\
= & \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V_{2}, w \in V \backslash V_{1}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is a consequence of the fact that $\operatorname{dim} V_{2}=2$ :

The second ring is clearly contained in the first ring. For the converse inclusion, one sees easily that it suffices to show that for given vectors $v \in V$ and $w \in V \backslash V_{2}$, the quotient $\frac{v}{w}$ is an element of the second ring. To see this, note that the vector space $V$ decomposes as $V=V_{2} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{q} w$ since $\operatorname{dim} V_{2}=2=\operatorname{dim} V-1$. Hence there exist elements $v_{2} \in V_{2}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $v=v_{2}+\alpha w$. Thus $\frac{v}{w}=\frac{v_{2}}{w}+\alpha$ is indeed an element of the second ring.

We now proceed analogously with the construction of the affine open subscheme $\mathcal{B}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ of $\widetilde{Q_{V}}$. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}_{V_{1}}}$ the inverse image of the strata neighborhood $\mathcal{V}_{V_{1}}$ under the projection $\operatorname{map} \widetilde{Q_{V}} \rightarrow Q_{V}$. Denote by $B:=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V_{1}, w \in V \backslash\{0\}\right]$ the affine coordinate ring of $\mathcal{V}_{V_{1}}$ and by $J:=\left(\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V_{1}, w \in V \backslash V_{1}\right)$ the ideal in $B$ corresponding to the closed point $\Omega_{V_{1}}$ of $\mathcal{V}_{V_{1}}$. Thus

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{V}_{V_{1}}}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{J} B\right)
$$

Inverting the homogeneous element

$$
g:=\prod_{\substack{v \in V_{1}>\{0\} \\ w \in V_{2} \backslash V_{1}}} \frac{v}{w} \in J^{\ell} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{J} B
$$

of degree $\ell:=\#\left(V_{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \cdot \#\left(V_{2} \backslash V_{1}\right)$ in $\mathrm{Bl}_{J} B$ yields the desired open affine subscheme $\mathcal{B}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ of $\widetilde{Q_{V}}$, with affine coordinate ring equal to $\left(\mathrm{Bl}_{J} B\right)\left[\frac{1}{g}\right]_{0}$. Using that any two nonzero vectors of the 1 -dimensional vector space $V_{1}$ only differ by multiplication with a scalar in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$, we see that this affine coordinate ring is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V_{1}, w \in V \backslash\{0\}\right]\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \cdot \frac{w^{\prime}}{v^{\prime}} \right\rvert\, v, v^{\prime} \in V_{1} \backslash\{0\}, w \in V \backslash V_{1}, w^{\prime} \in V_{2} \backslash V_{1}\right] \\
= & \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V_{1}, w \in V \backslash V_{1}\right]\left[\left.\frac{w^{\prime}}{w} \right\rvert\, w \in V \backslash V_{1}, w^{\prime} \in V_{2} \backslash V_{1}\right] \\
= & \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left.\frac{v}{w} \right\rvert\, v \in V_{2}, w \in V \backslash V_{1}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the open subscheme $\mathcal{A}_{V_{1}, V_{2}} \subset \widetilde{P_{V}}$ is isomorphic to the open subscheme $\mathcal{B}_{V_{1}, V_{2}} \subset \widetilde{Q_{V}}$ via a map extending the identity on $\Omega_{V}$.

It remains to show that the constructed open subsets of the blow-ups are indeed coverings. We begin with the blow-up $\widetilde{P_{V}}$. For a fixed 2-dimensional subspace $V_{2}$ of $V$, we prove that if $V_{1}$ ranges over all 1-dimensional subspaces of $V_{2}$, the open sets $\mathcal{A}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ cover $\mathcal{U}_{V / V_{2}}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a homogeneous prime ideal of $\mathrm{Bl}_{I} A$ such that for every 1-dimensional subspace $V_{1}$ of $V_{2}$, the homogeneous element

$$
f_{V_{1}}:=\prod_{\substack{v \in V_{2} 2 V_{1} \\ w \in V \backslash V_{2}}} \frac{v}{w} \in I^{k} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{I} A
$$

of degree $k=\#\left(V_{2} \backslash V_{1}\right) \cdot \#\left(V \backslash V_{2}\right)$ lies in $\mathfrak{p}$. We have to show that $\mathfrak{p}$ contains the augmentation ideal $I \oplus I^{2} \oplus \ldots$ of $\mathrm{Bl}_{I} A$.
Denote by $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the set consisting of all vectors $v \in V_{2}$ for which there exists a vector $w \in V \backslash V_{2}$ such that the homogeneous element $\frac{v}{w} \in I^{1} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{I} A$ of degree 1 lies in $\mathfrak{p}$. The set $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is in fact a subspace of $V$.
We have to show that $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is equal to $V_{2}$. Choose a 1-dimensional subspace $V_{1}$ of $V_{2}$. Since $f_{V_{1}}$ lies in $\mathfrak{p}$, there exists a nonzero vector $v^{\prime} \in V_{2} \backslash V_{1}$ such that $v^{\prime} \in V_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Set $V_{1}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{F}_{q} v^{\prime}$. Then since $f_{V_{1}^{\prime}}$ lies in $\mathfrak{p}$, there exists a nonzero vector $v^{\prime \prime} \in V_{2} \backslash V_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $v^{\prime \prime} \in V_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By construction, the vectors $v^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime \prime}$ are linearly independent. Thus $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is equal to $V_{2}$.

We now prove the analogous result for the blow-up $\widetilde{Q_{V}}$. For a fixed 1-dimensional subspace $V_{1}$ of $V$, we prove that if $\underline{V}_{2}$ ranges over all 2-dimensional subspaces of $V$ containing $V_{1}$, the open sets $\mathcal{B}_{V_{1}, V_{2}}$ cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}_{V_{1}}}$. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a homogeneous prime ideal of $\mathrm{Bl}_{J} B$ such that for every 2-dimensional subspace $V_{2}$ of $V$ containing $V_{1}$, the homogeneous element

$$
g_{V_{2}}:=\prod_{\substack{v \in V_{1} \backslash\{0\} \\ w \in V_{2} \backslash V_{1}}} \frac{v}{w} \in I^{\ell} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{J} B
$$

of degree $\ell=\#\left(V_{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \cdot \#\left(V_{2} \backslash V_{1}\right)$ lies in $\mathfrak{q}$. We have to show that $\mathfrak{q}$ contains the augmentation ideal $J \oplus J^{2} \oplus \ldots$ of $\mathrm{Bl}_{J} B$.
Choose a generator $v_{1}$ of $V_{1}$. Denote by $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ the set consisting of $0 \in V$ and of all nonzero vectors $w \in V$ such that the homogeneous element $\frac{v_{1}}{w} \in J^{1} \subset \mathrm{Bl}_{J} B$ of degree 1 does not lie in $\mathfrak{q}$. It is easy to see that the set $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is in fact a subspace of $V$. Since $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ contains $V_{1}$, the dimension of $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is at least 1 . We have to show that the dimension of $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is in fact equal to one.
Choose any 2-dimensional subspace $V_{2}$ of $V$ containing $V_{1}$. Then since $g_{V_{2}}$ lies in $\mathfrak{q}$, there exists a nonzero vector $w \in V_{2} \backslash V_{1}$ which does not lie in $V_{q}$. Hence the dimension of $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is at most 2 . If it was equal to 2 , the fact that the homogeneous element $g_{V_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ lies in $\mathfrak{q}$ yields a contradiction to the fact that $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime ideal. Thus the dimension of $V_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is equal to 1 , as desired.
q.e.d.

## 6 Desingularization of $Q_{V}$ in arbitrary dimension

This section forms the heart of the article. We construct a desingularization $B_{V}$ of $Q_{V}$ as follows. We first define $B_{V}$ as a functor, motivated by a functorial interpretation of blowing up and by the results of section 5 . We then prove that this functor is representable by a projective variety over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ which contains $\Omega_{V}$ as a dense open subscheme. We exhibit a natural stratification for $B_{V}$, show that $B_{V}$ is nonsingular, and prove that the boundary $B_{V} \backslash \Omega_{V}$ is a divisor with normal crossings in the strongest sense. Finally, we construct morphisms to $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ which are isomorphisms on $\Omega_{V}$.

We begin by describing the functors of points of $P_{V}, Q_{V}$ and $\Omega_{V}$. For basic results regarding open and closed subfunctors and representability in algebraic geometry we refer the reader to Eisenbud-Harris [4], chapter VI, and Grothendieck [6], EGA 0: 8.1, EGA I: 3.4.

For convenience and future reference we first collect some basic open and closed conditions in the following lemma. We say that a morphism of sheaves or a section of a sheaf vanishes at a point of a scheme if it vanishes after pulling back to the residue field at that point.

Lemma 6.1 Let $X$ be a scheme. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally free coherent sheaf on $X$ and let $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ be a global section of $\mathcal{F}$. Furthermore, let $\varphi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a morphism of locally free coherent sheaves on $X$.
(i) The set of points of $X$ on which $f$ vanishes is a closed subset of $X$.
(ii) The set of points of $X$ on which $\varphi$ vanishes is a closed subset of $X$.
(iii) The set of points of $X$ on which $\varphi$ is an isomorphism is an open subset of $X$.

Proof. All statements are local, so we can assume that $X=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ is affine and $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are free of finite rank. Suppose $f$ is given by the coordinates $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$. Then the zero locus of $f$ is equal to the zero locus of the ideal generated by the $a_{i}$ in $A$. This proves $(i)$. Part (ii) follows from $(i)$ if we set $\mathcal{F}:=\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{X}}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G})$, the sheaf of morphisms from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{G}$, and $f:=\varphi \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$. To prove (iii), we can assume that $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ have the same finite rank, so that $\varphi$ is given by a matrix with coefficients in $A$. Then $\varphi$ is an isomorphism away from the zero locus of the determinant of this matrix, which by $(i)$ is a closed subset of $X$.
q.e.d.

We now recall a description of the functor of points of an arbitrary projective scheme over an affine base, generalizing the well-known characterization of projective $n$-space as the functor which to a scheme $T$ associates the set of invertible quotients of $\mathcal{O}_{T}^{\oplus n+1}$.

Given an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on a scheme $T$, we call a collection of global sections $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \in$ $\Gamma(T, \mathcal{L})$ of $\mathcal{L}$ generating if for each $t \in T$ the images $\left(s_{1}\right)_{t}, \ldots,\left(s_{n}\right)_{t}$ in the stalk $\mathcal{L}_{t}$ generate the stalk as an $\mathcal{O}_{T, t}$-module. Of course this is equivalent to requiring that the sections $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ do not vanish simultaneously at any point of $T$, or to requiring that the induced morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{T}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a surjection.

Remark 6.2 Let $A$ be a ring and let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ be homogeneous polynomials in $A\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$. Define

$$
X:=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\left[X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right] /\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)\right) .
$$

Then the functor of points of $X$ is isomorphic to the contravariant functor from the category of $A$-schemes to the category of sets which to an $A$-scheme $T$ associates the set of all equivalence classes of the following data: An invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $T$, together with generating global sections $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n} \in \Gamma(T, \mathcal{L})$, such that $f_{i}\left(s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)=0$ in $\Gamma\left(T, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \operatorname{deg} f_{i}}\right)$ for all $i$. Two such pairs of invertible sheaves with global sections $\left(\mathcal{L}, s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n}\right),\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}, s_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, s_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ are defined to be equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ which identifies $s_{i}$ with $s_{i}^{\prime}$ for all $i$.

The proof is a straightforward adaption of the well-known special case of projective space. See for example [5], chapter II, Theorem 7.1.

Corollary 6.3 The scheme $P_{V}$ represents the functor which associates to an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme $T$ the set of all equivalence classes of pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi)$ consisting of an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ together with a surjection $\varphi: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$.
The open subscheme $\Omega_{V}$ of $P_{V}$ corresponds to the open subfunctor obtained by additionally requiring that for any nonzero vector $0 \neq v \in V$, the section $\varphi(1 \otimes v) \in \Gamma(T, \mathcal{L})$ vanishes nowhere on $T$.

Corollary 6.4 The scheme $Q_{V}$ represents the functor which associates to an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme $T$ the set of all equivalence classes of pairs $(\mathcal{G}, \lambda)$ consisting of an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ together with a map of sets $\lambda: V \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \Gamma(T, \mathcal{G})$ such that
(i) the set of global sections $\{\lambda(v) \mid 0 \neq v \in V\}$ generates $\mathcal{G}$
(ii) $\lambda(\alpha v)=\frac{1}{\alpha} \lambda(v)$ for all $0 \neq v \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$
(iii) $\lambda(v) \cdot \lambda\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\lambda(v) \cdot \lambda\left(v+v^{\prime}\right)+\lambda\left(v^{\prime}\right) \cdot \lambda\left(v+v^{\prime}\right)$ in $\Gamma\left(T, \mathcal{G}^{\otimes 2}\right)$ for all linearly independent vectors $v, v^{\prime} \in V$.

The open subscheme $\Omega_{V}$ of $Q_{V}$ corresponds to the open subfunctor obtained by additionally requiring that for any nonzero vector $0 \neq v \in V$, the section $\lambda(v) \in \Gamma(T, \mathcal{G})$ vanishes nowhere on $T$.

Proof. Apply Remark 6.2 above to the presentation of $R_{V}$ in Corollary 2.5.
q.e.d.

The birational equivalence of $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ takes the following shape on the level of functors. Given a $T$-valued point $(\mathcal{G}, \lambda)$ of the open subfunctor of $Q_{V}$ in Corollary 6.4, any section $\lambda(v)$ trivializes the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{G}$, so we can assume that $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{O}_{T}$. For a nonzero vector
$0 \neq v \in V$ define $\varphi(1 \otimes v):=\frac{1}{\lambda(v)}$. Then the properties $(i)$ and (ii) of $\lambda$ above imply that we can extend $\varphi$ to a morphism of sheaves $\varphi: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{T}$ as in Corollary 6.3. This yields a natural transformation from the open subfunctor of $Q_{V}$ in Corollary 6.4 to the open subfunctor of $P_{V}$ in Corollary 6.3, and the inverse map is constructed analogously.

We now come to the definition of the contravariant functor $B_{V}$ from the category of schemes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ to the category of sets. To an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme $T$, we associate the set of all equivalence classes of the following objects: For every nonzero subspace $0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V$ an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}$ on $T$, together with a surjection

$$
\varphi_{V^{\prime}}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}
$$

and for every inclusion of nonzero subspaces $0 \neq V^{\prime \prime} \subset V^{\prime} \subset V$ a morphism

$$
\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}}: \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime \prime}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}
$$

such that the restriction of $\varphi_{V^{\prime}}$ to the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V^{\prime \prime} \subset \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V^{\prime}$ is equal to the composition $\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}} \circ \varphi_{V^{\prime \prime}}$. In other words, we require the diagram

to commute.
For the sake of readability we denote such an object as a triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$. Two such objects $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$ are defined to be equivalent if for every nonzero subspace $V^{\prime} \subset V$ there exists an isomorphism of invertible sheaves $\mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V^{\prime}}$ which is compatible with the surjections $\varphi_{V^{\prime}}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{V^{\prime}}$.

Since all morphisms $\varphi$ in this definition are surjective, the maps $\psi$ making the corresponding diagrams commute are unique. In particular, for any chain of nonzero subspaces

$$
0 \neq V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset V_{3} \subset V
$$

the maps $\psi$ automatically satisfy the cocycle condition

$$
\psi_{V_{2}}^{V_{1}} \circ \psi_{V_{3}}^{V_{2}}=\psi_{V_{3}}^{V_{1}} .
$$

Similarly, if two objects $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$ are equivalent, the corresponding isomorphisms $\mathcal{L} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ are automatically compatible with the maps $\psi$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ as well.

We finish the construction of the functor $B_{V}$ by associating to a morphism $f: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-schemes the map of sets $f^{*}: B_{V}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow B_{V}(T)$ obtained by pulling back all of the above data along $f$. We now study this functor in more detail.

Proposition 6.5 The functor $B_{V}$ is representable by a projective scheme over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Proof. We show that $B_{V}$ is isomorphic to a closed subfunctor of the functor represented by the product of projective spaces $\prod_{0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V^{\prime}} P_{V^{\prime}}$. This implies both the representability of $B_{V}$ and the projectivity of the representing scheme.

A $T$-valued point of the product $\prod_{V^{\prime}} P_{V^{\prime}}$ is given by a collection of invertible quotients

$$
\left(\varphi_{V^{\prime}}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V}
$$

Fix an inclusion of nonzero subspaces $0 \neq V^{\prime \prime} \subset V^{\prime}$ of $V$. Then since $\varphi_{V^{\prime}}$ is an epimorphism, there exists at most one dotted arrow $\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}}$ making the diagram

commute. Thus $B_{V}$ is isomorphic to the subfunctor of $\prod_{V^{\prime}} P_{V^{\prime}}$ which associates to an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme $T$ the set of all collections of invertible quotients

$$
\left(\varphi_{V^{\prime}}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V}
$$

satisfying the extra condition that for any inclusion of nonzero subspaces $0 \neq V^{\prime \prime} \subset V^{\prime} \subset V$, there exists a morphism $\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}}$ making the above diagram commute.

To finish the proof we have to show that this extra condition is indeed a closed condition. It suffices to prove this for a single fixed inclusion of nonzero subspaces $0 \neq V^{\prime \prime} \subset V^{\prime} \subset V$. Denote by $i_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ the inclusion of the kernel of $\varphi_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ into $\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V^{\prime \prime}$. Then the diagram

shows that the existence of a dotted arrow $\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}}$ is equivalent to the condition that the composite morphism $\varphi_{V^{\prime}} \circ i_{V^{\prime \prime}}$ vanishes. Since the sheaf $\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{V^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is again locally free, this is indeed a closed condition by Lemma 6.1, (ii).
q.e.d.

By the usual abuse of notation we make no notational distinction between the functor $B_{V}$ and the scheme representing it.

Our next goal is to construct the aforementioned natural stratification of $B_{V}$. Fix a filtration $\mathcal{F}=\left(V=V_{0} \supsetneq \ldots \supsetneq V_{r-1} \supsetneq V_{r}=0\right)$ of $V$.

We define a subfunctor $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $B_{V}$ by imposing the following condition on the set of $T$-valued points. For every inclusion of nonzero subspaces $0 \neq V^{\prime \prime} \subset V^{\prime}$ of $V$ with the property that there exists no index $i$ such that $V^{\prime \prime} \subset V_{i} \subsetneq V^{\prime}$, we require the morphism $\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}}$ to be an isomorphism. Thus $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is an open subfunctor of $B_{V}$ by Lemma 6.1, (iii), and representable by an open subscheme of $B_{V}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as well.

Similarly, we define a subfunctor $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $B_{V}$ by imposing the following condition: For every inclusion of nonzero subspaces $0 \neq V^{\prime \prime} \subset V^{\prime}$ of $V$ with the property that there exists an index $i$ such that $V^{\prime \prime} \subset V_{i} \subsetneq V^{\prime}$, we require the morphism $\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}}$ to be equal to zero. It follows from Lemma 6.1, (ii), that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a closed subfunctor, and therefore representable by a closed subscheme of $B_{V}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as well.

Finally, we define a subfunctor $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $B_{V}$ by imposing both conditions simultaneously: Given an inclusion of nonzero subspaces $0 \neq V^{\prime \prime} \subset V^{\prime}$ of $V$, we require that $\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}}$ is equal to zero if there exists an index $i$ such that $V^{\prime \prime} \subset V_{i} \subsetneq V^{\prime}$, and an isomorphism in all other cases. Thus $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a locally closed subfunctor of $B_{V}$, and set-theoretically the equation

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}(T)=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}(T) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}(T)
$$

holds for every $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme $T$. We denote the corresponding locally closed subscheme by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as well.

Proposition 6.6 Let $\mathcal{F}=\left(V=V_{0} \supsetneq \ldots \supsetneq V_{r-1} \supsetneq V_{r}=0\right)$ be a filtration of $V$. Then the scheme $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ decomposes as a product as follows:

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}} \cong B_{V_{0} / V_{1}} \times B_{V_{1} / V_{2}} \times \cdots \times B_{V_{r-1} / V_{r}}
$$

Proof. We construct the isomorphism on the level of functors. Let $T$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme and let $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ be a $T$-valued point of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$. For every integer $i=0, \ldots, r-1$ we construct a $T$-valued point $(\mathcal{M}, \rho, \zeta)$ of $B_{V_{i} / V_{i+1}}$ as follows. Let $W$ be a subspace of $V$ such that $V_{i+1} \subsetneq W \subset V_{i}$. Set $\mathcal{M}_{W / V_{i+1}}:=\mathcal{L}_{W}$. By definition of the subfunctor $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$, the morphism $\psi_{W}^{V_{i+1}}$ is equal to zero. It follows from the compatibility of the maps $\varphi$ and $\psi$ in the definition of $B_{V}$ that $\varphi_{W}$ vanishes on the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V_{i+1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W$ and thus descends to a morphism

$$
\rho_{W / V_{i+1}}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W / V_{i+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{W / V_{i+1}}
$$

Finally, for an inclusion of subspaces $V_{i+1} \subsetneq U \subset W \subset V_{i}$, define $\zeta_{W / V_{i+1}}^{U / V_{i+1}}:=\psi_{W}^{U}$. This construction yields a natural transformation of functors $\mu: \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow B_{V_{0} / V_{1}} \times \cdots \times B_{V_{r-1} / V_{r}}$.

We now define a natural transformation in the converse direction. Assume that for every integer $i=0, \ldots, r-1$ we are given a $T$-valued point $(\mathcal{M}(i), \rho(i), \zeta(i))$ of $B_{V_{i} / V_{i+1}}$. We
construct a $T$-valued point $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as follows. Let $W$ be a nonzero subspace of $V$, and let $j$ be the unique integer such that $W \subset V_{j}$ and $W \not \subset V_{j+1}$. Set $\mathcal{L}_{W}:=$ $\mathcal{M}(j)_{\left(W+V_{j+1}\right) / V_{j+1}}$ and define $\varphi_{W}$ as the composite surjection

$$
\varphi_{W}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(W+V_{j+1}\right) / V_{j+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(j)_{\left(W+V_{j+1}\right) / V_{j+1}}
$$

where the second map is given by $\rho(j)_{\left(W+V_{j+1}\right) / V_{j+1}}$.
Given an inclusion of nonzero subspaces $U \subset W$ of $V$, let $k$ denote the unique integer such that $U \subset V_{k}$ and $U \not \subset V_{k+1}$, and let $j$ denote the unique integer such that $W \subset V_{j}$ and $W \not \subset$ $V_{j+1}$. If $k>j$, define the morphism $\psi_{W}^{U}$ to be zero. If $k=j$, set $\psi_{W}^{U}:=\zeta(j)_{\left(W+V_{j+1}\right) / V_{j+1}}^{\left(U+V_{j+1}\right) / V_{+1}}$.
We now check that the triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ indeed defines a $T$-valued point of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let $U, W, k, j$ be defined as in the last paragraph. We need to check that the restriction of $\varphi_{W}$ to the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} U \subset \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W$ is equal to the composition $\psi_{W}^{U} \circ \varphi_{U}$. If $k=j$, this follows from the corresponding property of the triple $(\mathcal{M}(j), \rho(j), \zeta(j))$. If $k>j$ we observe that $U \subset V_{j+1} \subset W$. Thus both morphisms are equal to zero.

Therefore the triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ defines a $T$-valued point of $B_{V}$. By definition of the morphisms $\psi$ it is clear that $\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}}$ is equal to zero whenever there exists an index $i$ such that $V^{\prime \prime} \subset$ $V_{i} \subsetneq V^{\prime}$. Hence the triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ indeed defines a $T$-valued point of the subfunctor $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $B_{V}$. We have thus constructed a natural transformation $\nu: B_{V_{0} / V_{1}} \times \cdots \times B_{V_{r-1} / V_{r}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ in the converse direction.

To complete the proof we need to show that the natural transformations $\mu$ and $\nu$ are inverse to each other. It follows directly from the construction that $\mu \circ \nu=\mathrm{id}$. We now prove that $\nu \circ \mu=\mathrm{id}$. Let $T$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme, let $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ be a $T$-valued point of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$, and denote by $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$ its image under the composition $\nu \circ \mu=\mathrm{id}$. We show that $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi})$ are equivalent triples. Fix a nonzero subspace $W$ of $V$. We have to prove that $\varphi_{W}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{W}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{W}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{W}$ are equivalent quotients.

Let $j$ denote the unique integer such that $W \subset V_{j}$ and $W \not \subset V_{j+1}$. By chasing through the construction of the natural transformations $\mu$ and $\nu$, one verifies that $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{W}=\mathcal{L}_{W+V_{j+1}}$ and that $\tilde{\varphi}_{W}$ is equal to the composition

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{W}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(W+V_{j+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi\left(W+V_{j+1}\right)} \mathcal{L}_{\left(W+V_{j+1}\right)} .
$$

In other words, the following diagram commutes:


The commutativity of the lower triangle implies that $\psi_{W+V_{j+1}}^{W}$ is surjective and thus an isomorphism. Therefore the quotients $\left(\mathcal{L}_{W}, \varphi_{W}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{W}, \tilde{\varphi}_{W}\right)$ are equivalent. This concludes the proof of the proposition.
q.e.d.

Proposition 6.7 Let $(V \supsetneq 0)$ be the trivial filtration of $V$. Then $\mathcal{U}_{(V \supsetneq 0)}=\mathcal{S}_{(V \supsetneq 0)}$ and

$$
\mathcal{S}_{(V \supsetneq 0)} \cong \Omega_{V} .
$$

Proof. The first statement is clear. In order to prove that $\mathcal{S}_{(V \supsetneq 0)} \cong \Omega_{V}$, we use the functorial interpretation of $\Omega_{V}$ obtained in Proposition 6.3 above. The functor $\mathcal{S}_{(V \geqslant 0)}$ is the open subfunctor of $B_{V}$ defined by the condition that all morphisms $\psi$ are isomorphisms. Thus for an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme $T$, any triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ in $\mathcal{S}_{(V \supsetneq 0)}(T)$ can be recovered up to equivalence of triples from the quotient $\varphi_{V}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V}$. Every such quotient $\varphi_{V}$ satisfies the condition that for any nonzero vector $0 \neq v \in V$, the section $\varphi_{V}(1 \otimes v) \in \Gamma\left(T, \mathcal{L}_{V}\right)$ vanishes nowhere on $T$. Conversely, it is clear that every quotient $\varphi_{V}$ satisfying this condition can be extended to a triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ in $\mathcal{S}_{(V \supsetneq 0)}(T)$.
q.e.d.

Corollary 6.8 Let $\mathcal{F}=\left(V=V_{0} \supsetneq \ldots \supsetneq V_{r-1} \supsetneq V_{r}=0\right)$ be a filtration of $V$. Then the scheme $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ decomposes as a product as follows:

$$
\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}} \cong \Omega_{V_{0} / V_{1}} \times \Omega_{V_{1} / V_{2}} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{V_{r-1} / V_{r}}
$$

Proof. Under the isomorphism of Proposition 6.6, the open subfunctor $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ corresponds to the open subfunctor $\left.S_{\left(V_{0} / V_{1}\right.} \supsetneq 0\right) \times \cdots \times S_{\left(V_{r-1} / V_{r} \supsetneq 0\right)}$ of $B_{V_{0} / V_{1}} \times \cdots \times B_{V_{r-1} / V_{r}}$. Then the statement follows from Proposition 6.7.
q.e.d.

Theorem 6.9 (Stratification of $B_{V}$ ) The underlying set of the scheme $B_{V}$ is the disjoint union

$$
B_{V}=\bigcup_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

where the indexing set consists of all filtrations $\mathcal{F}$ of the vector space $V$.
Proof. We need to show that $B_{V}(K)$ is the disjoint union of the subsets $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}(K)$ for every extension field $K$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The disjointness is clear from the definition of the functors $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ be a $K$-valued point of $B_{V}$. Since $K$ is a field, we can assume that every invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ of the triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ is equal to $K$. Furthermore, every morphism $\psi$ is either an isomorphism or equal to zero. We now provide an algorithm to construct a filtration $\mathcal{F}$ such that $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}(K)$.

Set $V_{0}:=V$. If $\psi_{V}^{V^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism for all nonzero subspaces $V^{\prime}$ of $V$, define $V_{1}:=0$. Thus $\mathcal{F}$ is the trivial filtration $(V \supsetneq 0)$ in this case. If not all of the $\psi_{V}^{V^{\prime}}$ are isomorphisms, let $W$ be a nonzero subspace of $V$ of maximal dimension such that $\psi_{V}^{W}=0$. We claim that $W$ is uniquely determined by this property.
More generally, we show that any nonzero subspace $V^{\prime}$ with the property that $\psi_{V}^{V^{\prime}}=0$ must already be contained in $W$ : If $V^{\prime}$ is not contained in $W$, then $W$ is a proper subspace of $W+V^{\prime}$. Then it follows from the maximality of $W$ that $\psi_{W+V^{\prime}}^{W}=0$ and $\psi_{W+V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime}}=0$. This in turn implies that $\varphi_{W+V^{\prime}}=0$, a contradiction. Thus $V^{\prime}$ must have been contained in $W$, and $W$ is unique. Set $V_{1}:=W$.

We can now repeat the above step with $V$ replaced by $V_{1}$. Iterating this procedure yields a filtration $\mathcal{F}=\left(V=V_{0} \supsetneq V_{1} \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq V_{r}=0\right)$ of $V$ with the property that $\psi_{V^{\prime}}^{V^{\prime \prime}}=0$ if and only if there exists an index $i$ such that $V^{\prime \prime} \subset V_{i} \subsetneq V^{\prime}$. Thus the triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ is an element of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}(K)$.
q.e.d.

In analogy to sections 4 and 5 we call the locally closed subschemes $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ strata and their open neighborhoods $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ strata neighborhoods. Theorem 6.9 above shows that the $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ form an open cover of $B_{V}$.

As a corollary of Proposition 6.6 and Theorem 6.9, we give a description of the set of $K$-valued points of $B_{V}$.

Corollary 6.10 Let $K$ be an extension field of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then there exists a natural bijection between the set $B_{V}(K)$ of $K$-valued points of $B_{V}$ and the set of pairs $(\mathcal{F}, x)$ consisting of a filtration

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left(V=V_{0} \supsetneq V_{1} \supsetneq \ldots \supsetneq V_{r-1} \supsetneq V_{r}=0\right)
$$

and an element

$$
x \in \Omega_{V_{0} / V_{1}}(K) \times \ldots \times \Omega_{V_{r-1} / V_{r}}(K)
$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be filtrations of $V$. We define $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ to be the filtration of $V$ which consists of precisely those subspaces which occur in both $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$. We use the notation $\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F}$ to indicate that $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ can be obtained from $\mathcal{F}$ by deleting some of the filtration steps. The following statements follow directly from Theorem 6.9 above.

## Remark 6.11

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}=\bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}}^{\bullet} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}=\bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{\bullet} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}} \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{F} \Longleftrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}} \\
\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Our next goal is to show that $B_{V}$ is a smooth projective variety and that the boundary $B_{V} \backslash \Omega_{V}$ is a divisor with normal crossings in the sense that it is Zariski-locally isomorphic to the embedding of a union of coordinate planes into affine space.

Fix a filtration $\mathcal{F}=\left(V=V_{0} \supsetneq \ldots \supsetneq V_{r}=0\right)$ of $V$. For every integer $i=1, \ldots, r-1$ we fix a subspace $W_{i} \subset V_{i-1}$ such that $V_{i-1}=V_{i} \oplus W_{i}$, together with a nonzero vector $w_{i}$ in $W_{i}$. In addition we fix a nonzero vector $w_{r}$ in $V_{r-1}$.

Lemma 6.12 The functor $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the functor that associates to an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ scheme $T$ the set of commutative diagrams of the form

with the following properties:
(i) For every integer $i=0, \ldots, r-1$ and every vector $v \in V_{i} \backslash V_{i+1}$ the section $\varphi_{V_{i}}(1 \otimes v)$ in $\Gamma\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)$ vanishes nowhere on $T$.
(ii) For every integer $i=1, \ldots, r$, the section $\varphi_{V_{i-1}}\left(w_{i}\right)$ is equal to 1 in $\Gamma\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)$.

Proof. Let $T$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme and let $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ be a $T$-valued point of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$. We first show that for every $i=0, \ldots, r-1$ the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{V_{i}}$ is trivial. Choose a vector $v \in V_{i} \backslash V_{i+1}$ and let $W$ denote the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-span of $v$. Then since $W$ is one-dimensional, the corresponding invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{W}$ is trivialized by the map $\varphi_{W}$. By the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$,
the morphism $\psi_{V_{i}}^{W}$ is an isomorphism. Thus $\mathcal{L}_{V_{i}}$ is trivial as well. By using the equivalence relation on the set of triples $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ we can assume that $\mathcal{L}_{V_{i}}=\mathcal{O}_{T}$.
It follows directly from the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ that a triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$ can be reconstructed up to equivalence of triples from the subdiagram $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ pictured above, and that every such subdiagram satisfies property $(i)$. Conversely, every diagram $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ satisfying property $(i)$ can be extended to a triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$. Thus $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the functor that associates to an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme $T$ the set of equivalence classes of diagrams $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ satisfying property ( $i$ ). Additionally requiring property (ii) above is then equivalent to the choice of a representative for each equivalence class of diagrams.
q.e.d.

We use the description of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ obtained in Lemma 6.12 in the following definition and in Proposition 6.13 below.

Define a natural transformation

$$
\tau: \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{r-1} \times \Omega_{W_{1}} \times \Omega_{W_{2}} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{W_{r-1}} \times \Omega_{V_{r-1}}
$$

as follows. Let $T$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme and let $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ be a $T$-valued point of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Then for every $i=0, \ldots, r-2$ the morphism $\psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T}$ yields a $T$-valued point of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{1}$ since

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{T}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{T}, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right) \cong \Gamma\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right) \cong \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{1}(T)
$$

Furthermore, it follows from property $(i)$ in Lemma 6.12 above that for every $i=0, \ldots, r-2$ the surjection

$$
\left.\varphi_{V_{i}}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W_{i+1}}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W_{i+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T}
$$

yields a $T$-valued point of $\Omega_{W_{i+1}}$.
Thus we define the image of $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ under $\tau$ to be the $T$-valued point

$$
\left(\psi_{V_{0}}^{V_{1}}, \ldots, \psi_{V_{r-2}}^{V_{r-1}},\left.\varphi_{V_{0}}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{P}_{q}} W_{1}}, \ldots,\left.\varphi_{V_{r-2}}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{P}_{q}} W_{r-2}}, \varphi_{V_{r-1}}\right)
$$

of the product scheme $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{r-1} \times \Omega_{W_{1}} \times \Omega_{W_{2}} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{W_{r-1}} \times \Omega_{V_{r-1}}$.

Proposition 6.13 The natural transformation

$$
\tau: \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{r-1} \times \Omega_{W_{1}} \times \Omega_{W_{2}} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{W_{r-1}} \times \Omega_{V_{r-1}}
$$

is injective. Furthermore, the image of $\tau$ is an open subfunctor of the product functor $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{r-1} \times \Omega_{W_{1}} \times \Omega_{W_{2}} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{W_{r-1}} \times \Omega_{V_{r-1}}$. In particular, the scheme $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to an open subscheme of affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{d-1}$.

Proof. We first prove that $\tau$ is injective. Let $T$ be an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme and let $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ be a $T$-valued point of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$. It suffices to show that every morphisms $\varphi_{V_{j}}$ is uniquely determined by the image of $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ under $\tau$. We proceed by downwards induction on $j$. The statement is clear for $j=r-1$. For arbitrary $j$, the morphism $\varphi_{V_{j}}$ can be reconstructed from the composition $\psi_{V_{j}}^{V_{j+1}} \circ \varphi_{V_{j+1}}$ and the restriction $\left.\varphi_{V_{j}}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{P}_{q} W_{j+1}}}$ as follows. Given a nonzero vector $v \in V_{j}$, there exist unique vectors $v_{j+1} \in V_{j+1}$ and $u_{j+1} \in W_{j+1}$ such that $v=v_{j+1}+u_{j+1}$. Then it follows from the commutativity of the diagram $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{V_{j}}(1 \otimes v)=\left(\psi_{V_{j}}^{V_{j+1}} \circ \varphi_{V_{j+1}}\right)\left(1 \otimes v_{j+1}\right)+\left.\varphi_{V_{j}}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W_{j+1}}\left(1 \otimes u_{j+1}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by induction we conclude that $\varphi_{V_{j}}$ is uniquely determined by the image of $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ under $\tau$. This shows that $\tau$ is injective.
We now determine the image of $\tau$. From equation $(*)$ above we see that every $T$-valued point of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{r-1} \times \Omega_{W_{1}} \times \Omega_{W_{2}} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{W_{r-1}} \times \Omega_{V_{r-1}}$ gives rise to a commutative dia$\operatorname{gram}\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$. However, the morphisms $\varphi_{V_{i}}$ might lack property (i) of Lemma 6.12, so that the diagram $\left(\varphi_{V_{i}}, \psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}\right)$ constructed in this way is not necessarily a $T$-valued point of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Thus the image of $\tau$ is the subfunctor of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{r-1} \times \Omega_{W_{1}} \times \Omega_{W_{2}} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{W_{r-1}} \times \Omega_{V_{r-1}}$ defined by requiring that every morphism $\varphi_{V_{i}}$ constructed inductively via equation (*) possesses property ( $i$ ).
More explicitly, the image of $\tau$ is the subfunctor defined by the following condition: Given any integer $j=0, \ldots, r-2$ and any collection of vectors $v_{r-1} \in V_{r-1}, u_{r-1} \in W_{r-1}$, $u_{r-2} \in W_{r-2}, \ldots, u_{j+1} \in W_{j+1}$, not all equal to zero, we require that the global section

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\varphi_{V_{j}}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W_{j+1}}\left(1 \otimes u_{j+1}\right) \\
+\left.\psi_{V_{j}}^{V_{j+1}} \circ \varphi_{V_{j+1}}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W_{j+2}}\left(1 \otimes u_{j+2}\right) \\
+\left.\psi_{V_{j}}^{V_{j+1}} \circ \psi_{V_{j+1}}^{V_{j+2}} \circ \varphi_{V_{j+2}}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} W_{j+3}}\left(1 \otimes u_{j+3}\right) \\
\vdots \\
+\psi_{V_{j}}^{V_{j+1}} \circ \ldots \circ \psi_{V_{r-2}}^{V_{r-1}} \circ \varphi_{V_{r-1}}\left(1 \otimes v_{r-1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

in $\Gamma\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)$ vanishes nowhere on $T$.
This condition is an open condition by Proposition 6.1.
q.e.d.

Corollary 6.14 The scheme $B_{V}$ is a smooth projective variety.
Proof. In Proposition 6.5 we have already shown that $B_{V}$ is projective. Proposition 6.13 above implies that every open subscheme $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $B_{V}$ is irreducible. Furthermore, every $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contains the open stratum $\mathcal{S}_{(V \supsetneq 0)} \cong \Omega_{V}$. Therefore the fact that the $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ form a cover of $B_{V}$ implies that $\Omega_{V}$ is dense in $B_{V}$, and therefore $B_{V}$ is irreducible. The smoothness of $B_{V}$ follows from Proposition 6.13 and the fact that the open subschemes $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ cover $B_{V}$. q.e.d.

Corollary 6.15 The closure of a stratum $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ in $B_{V}$ is again a union of strata and carries a natural subscheme structure:

$$
\overline{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}}=\bigcup_{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^{\prime}}^{\bullet} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}^{\prime}}=\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}
$$

Proof. Proposition 6.6 and Proposition 6.14 together imply that the scheme $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is irreducible. Thus the non-empty open subscheme $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dense in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{F}}$, and the claim follows from Remark 6.11, (ii).

Corollary 6.16 The boundary $B_{V} \backslash \Omega_{V} \subset B_{V}$ is a divisor with normal crossings in the sense that it is Zariski-locally isomorphic to the embedding of a union of coordinate planes into affine space.

Proof. It suffices to verify the claim on every open subscheme $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of $B_{V}$. We use the characterization of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ in Lemma 6.12. The boundary $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}} \backslash \Omega_{V}$ represents the closed subfunctor of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by the condition that at least one of the morphisms $\psi_{V_{i}}^{V_{i+1}}$ is equal to zero. Thus it is clear from the definition of the natural transformation $\tau$ above that the embedding of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}} \backslash \Omega_{V}$ into $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to an embedding of a union of coordinate planes into an open subset of affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{d-1}$.
q.e.d.

Finally, we construct morphisms from $B_{V}$ to $P_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$. Define a morphism from $B_{V}$ to $P_{V}$ on the level of functors by mapping a triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ to the quotient $\varphi_{V}: \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{V}$. It is clear from Proposition 6.3 that this morphism induces an isomorphism on $\Omega_{V}$.

Similarly, we use the functorial description of $Q_{V}$ in Proposition 6.4 to define a morphism from $B_{V}$ to $Q_{V}$. Given an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-scheme $T$ and a triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ in $B_{V}(T)$, construct a pair $(\mathcal{G}, \lambda)$ in $Q_{V}(T)$ as follows.

The collection of invertible sheaves $\left(\mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}\right)_{0 \neq V^{\prime} \subset V}$ forms an inverse system via the morphisms $\psi$. Thus there exists the inverse limit sheaf $\lim _{\mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}}$ on $T$. In the special case that the triple $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \psi)$ lies in $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}(T)$, it is clear that $\lim \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}$ is again invertible. In the general case, we conclude that lim $\mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}$ is locally isomorphic to an invertible sheaf (and thus itself invertible) since the open subschemes $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{F}}$ cover $B_{V}$. We define $\mathcal{G}$ as the dual $\left(\lim _{\leftrightarrows} \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}\right)^{\vee}:=\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{T}}\left(\lim _{\leftrightarrows} \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)$ of $\varliminf_{\leftrightarrows} \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}}$.

Let $v$ be a nonzero vector in $V$ and denote by $\mathbb{F}_{q} v$ the one-dimensional subspace spanned by $v$. We define the global section $\lambda(v)$ of $\mathcal{G}$ as the composition

$$
\lambda(v): \lim _{\leftrightarrows} \mathcal{L}_{V^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} v} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{F}_{q} v}^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \mathbb{F}_{q} v \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{T} .
$$

Then it follows directly from the construction that $\lambda(v)$ satisfies properties (ii) and (iii) of Proposition 6.4, and that the collection of global sections $(\lambda(v))_{0 \neq v \in V}$ generates $\mathcal{G}$. We
have thus defined a morphism from $B_{V}$ to $Q_{V}$. The description of $\Omega_{V}$ as a subfunctor of $B_{V}$ in Proposition 6.7 and as a subfunctor of $Q_{V}$ in Proposition 6.4 implies that this morphism induces an isomorphism on $\Omega_{V}$. Since both $B_{V}$ and $Q_{V}$ are projective schemes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, this morphism is projective as well.

Corollary 6.17 The projective variety $B_{V}$ is a desingularization of $Q_{V}$.

A classical theorem of surface theory (see for example [5], chapter V, Corollary 5.4) states that every birational morphism of nonsingular projective surfaces can be factored into finitely many monoidal transformations. From this theorem one can easily deduce that if $d=3$, the desingularization $B_{V}$ coincides with the blowups $\widetilde{P_{V}}=\widetilde{Q_{V}}$ constructed in section 5 .
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