ETH Zürich

Possible Solutions

Probability Theory and Statistics (BSc D-ITET)

1. a) The following probabilities are given in the exercise

 $P(\langle \text{The train departs on time} \rangle) = P(DoT) = 0.7$ $P(\langle \text{The train arrives on time} \rangle) = P(AoT) = 0.75$

 $P(\langle \text{The train departs on time AND arrives on time} \rangle) = P(DoT \cap AoT) = 0.6.$

Therefore, we obtain

 $P(\langle \text{The train departs with delay} \rangle) = P(DwD) = 1 - P(DoT) = 0.3$ $P(\langle \text{The train arrives with delay} \rangle) = P(AwD) = 1 - P(AoT) = 0.25.$

We need to compute $P(AoT \mid DwD)$. From the definition of conditional probabilities, one has

$$P(AoT \mid DwD) = \frac{P(AoT \cap DwD)}{P(DwD)}.$$

Since we already found that P(DwD) = 0.3, we now focus on the numerator. Since a train can either depart on time or with delay it holds

$$P(AoT) = P(AoT \cap DwD) + P(AoT \cap DoT).$$

Therefore, one has

$$P(AoT \cap DwD) = P(AoT) - P(AoT \cap DoT) = 0.75 - 0.6 = 0.15.$$

Hence, we calculate

$$P(AoT \mid DwD) = \frac{0.15}{0.3} = 0.5.$$

b) Let T be the event <The member has trained>, and let F be the event <The member finishes the tour>. It is given in the exercise $P(T^c) = 0.2$. Moreover, we know from the exercise that

$$P(F \mid T) = 0.85, \quad P(F \mid T^c) = 0.6.$$

Please Turn!

- i) We need to compute P(F). Applying the formula of total probabilities, we get $P(F) = P(F \mid T)P(T) + P(F \mid T^c)P(T^c) = 0.85 \cdot 0.8 + 0.6 \cdot 0.2 = 0.68 + 0.12 = 0.8.$
- ii) We need to compute $P(T \mid F)$. Applying Bayes' formula, we obtain

$$P(T \mid F) = \frac{P(F \mid T)P(T)}{P(F)} = \frac{0.85 \cdot 0.8}{0.8} = 0.85.$$

c) The unconditioned law of N is given by

$$P(N = n) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda/2} d\lambda = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{3\lambda}{2}} \lambda^n \frac{d\lambda}{2n!}$$

= $\int_0^\infty e^{-y} \left(\frac{2}{3}y\right)^n \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{2n!} dy = \frac{1}{2n!} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n+1} \underbrace{\int_0^\infty e^{-y} y^n dy}_{=n!}$
= $\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n$.

d) Observe that the distribution obtained in c) differs from the one in the hint only by the value of the parameter p. We solve here the question for a general $p \in (0, 1)$.

$$\begin{split} E[N] &= \sum_{k \ge 0} kp(1-p)^k = p \sum_{k \ge 0} k(1-p)^k \\ &= p(1-p) \sum_{k \ge 1} k(1-p)^{(k-1)} = p(1-p) \left(-\sum_{k \ge 0} (1-p)^k \right)' \\ &= p(1-p) \left(-\frac{1}{1-(1-p)} \right)' = p(1-p) \frac{1}{p^2} = \frac{1-p}{p}. \end{split}$$

Hence for $p = \frac{1}{3}$ as in c), we get E[X] = 2; otherwise, using the hint, E[X] = 3. REMARK: N has a geometric distribution shifted by -1, therefore it is consistent to have $\frac{1}{p} - 1$ as expected value for N.

2. a) Y is a discrete random variable which only takes two values, 0 and 1. We can compute the probability of each of these by integrating the joint density function. We obtain the following:

$$P[Y=0] = \int_0^1 12(x^2 - x^3)(1-x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{2}{5},$$

and

$$P[Y=1] = 1 - P[Y=0] = \frac{3}{5}.$$

Therefore, $Y \sim \text{Ber}(p = 3/5)$.

See next page!

- **b)** We have $E[X+Y] = E[X] + E[Y] = \int_0^1 12(x^3 x^4) \, dx + \frac{3}{5} = \frac{6}{5}$.
- c) Since Cov(X, Y) = E[XY] E[X]E[Y], we only need to calculate E[XY] since we have the other two from the previous exercise. Using the joint density function, we find that

$$E[XY] = \sum_{y=0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} xy f_{X,Y}(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{0}^{1} 12(x^{2} - x^{3})x^{2} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{2}{5}.$$

Therefore, $Cov(X, Y) = \frac{2}{5} - \frac{9}{25} = \frac{1}{25}$, Hence, X, Y are not independent.

- d) Note that $sin(2\pi Y) \equiv 0$, therefore it is independent of any other random variable. In particular, it is independent of X^2 .
- **3.** a) We recognize immediately that $X \sim Bin(N = 100, q = (1 p) = 0.99)$. We then calculate Var(X) = Nq(1 q) = 0.99, and we get

$$P(X \le \operatorname{Var}(X)) = P(X = 0) = {\binom{100}{0}} q^0 (1-q)^{100} = (0.01)^{100}.$$

b) Since X has a Binomial distribution, we have E[X] = N(1-p) = 100(1-p). Using the natural approximation

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n} \simeq E[X] = 100(1-p),$$

we obtain the natural estimator $\tilde{p} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{100n}$.

c) The likelihood function is defined by $L(p; x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ = the probability to observe the sequence x_1, \ldots, x_n . Since the X_i , with $1 \le i \le n$, are independent, we get

$$L(p; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{100}{x_i} q^{x_i} (1-q)^{100-x_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{100}{x_i} (1-p)^{x_i} p^{100-x_i}$$

which yields a log-likelihood function given by

$$\log L(p; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{100}{x_i} + x_i \log(1-p) + (100 - x_i) \log(p)$$

Taking its derivative with respect to p and setting it equal to 0, gives then the maximum likelihood estimator \hat{p} :

$$\frac{\partial \log L(p; x_1, \dots, x_n)}{\partial p} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{x_i}{1-p} + \frac{100 - x_i}{p} \right) = 0$$
$$\iff (100n - s_n)(1-p) = s_n p \iff 100n - s_n = 100np$$
$$\iff p = 1 - \frac{s_n}{100n},$$

where $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. Consequently, we have $\hat{p} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{100n}$. REMARK: This yields the same estimator as in part **b**).

Please Turn!

d) We clearly have n = 1+64+20+15 = 100, and $s_n = 100n-1\cdot0-64\cdot1-20\cdot2-15\cdot3 = 10'000 - 149 = 9'851$. Therefore, we get

$$p^* = \frac{100n - s_n}{100n} = \frac{149}{10000} = 0.0149.$$

e) Let us calculate

$$E[\hat{p}] = \frac{100n - \sum_{i=1}^{n} E[X_i]}{100n} \stackrel{E[X_i]=100(1-p)}{=} \frac{100n - 100n(1-p)}{100n} = p,$$

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{p}) = \frac{\operatorname{Var}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}{(100n)^2} \stackrel{\text{indep.}}{=} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}(X_i)}{(100n)^2} \stackrel{\text{a}}{=} \frac{100n(1-p)p}{(100n)^2} = \frac{(1-p)p}{100n}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\frac{p^* - E[\hat{p}]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{p})}} = \frac{\sqrt{100n}(p^* - p)}{\sqrt{(1 - p)p}} = \frac{100(0.0049)}{\sqrt{0.99}\sqrt{0.01}} \sim \frac{0.49}{0.1} = 4.9.$$

Clearly, under the assumption p = 0.01, the likelihood of observing p^* is very small, since it is roughly 4.9 standard deviations away from its expected value. Therefore, it doesn't support the vendor's claim and indicates that the true value of p is larger than 0.01.