

# Erratum:

## Self-dual instantons and holomorphic curves

Stamatis Dostoglou      Dietmar A. Salamon  
University of Missouri      ETH–Zürich

28 September 2002

We correct two mistakes in [2]. The first concerns the exponential decay in the proof of [2, Theorem 7.4] (see Section 2 below) and the second concerns the bubbling argument in the proof of [2, Theorem 9.1] (see Section 3 below).

The analysis deals with the small  $\varepsilon$  limit of the self-duality equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A - d_A \Psi + *_s(\partial_s A - d_A \Phi - X_s(A)) &= 0, \\ \partial_t \Phi - \partial_s \Psi - [\Phi, \Psi] + \varepsilon^{-2} * F_A &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$A(s+1, t) = f^* A(s, t), \quad \Phi(s+1, t) = f^* \Phi(s, t), \quad \Psi(s+1, t) = f^* \Psi(s, t), \quad (2)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} A(s, t) = A^\pm(s), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \Phi(s, t) = \Phi^\pm(s), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \Psi(s, t) = 0, \quad (3)$$

Here  $P \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a nontrivial  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$  bundle over a compact oriented 2-manifold (with area form),  $f : P \rightarrow P$  is an  $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ -equivariant lift of an area preserving diffeomorphism  $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ ,  $P_f$  and  $\Sigma_h$  denote the respective mapping tori, and  $*_s$  denotes a family of Hodge  $*$ -operators on  $\Sigma$  associated to a smooth family of complex structures  $J_s$  such that  $J_{s+1} = h^* J_s$ .  $X_s : \mathcal{A}(P) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$  denotes a smooth family of Hamiltonian vector fields associated to Hamiltonian functions  $H_s : \mathcal{A}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that are determined by the holonomy. They are gauge invariant and are smooth with respect to the  $C^0$ -topology on  $\mathcal{A}(P)$ . We have  $A(s, t) \in \mathcal{A}(P)$  and  $\Phi(s, t), \Psi(s, t) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$ . The limit connections  $a^\pm = A^\pm + \Phi^\pm ds \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{flat}}(P_f, H)$  are  $H$ -flat as in [1, Proposition 4.4].

For a connection  $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$  with sufficiently small curvature we denote by  $H_A^1 := \ker d_A \cap \ker d_A *_s$  the space of harmonic 1-forms in  $\Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$  with respect to the connection  $A$  and the Hodge  $*$ -operator  $*_s$ , and by  $\pi_A : \Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow H_A^1$  the projection associated to the Hodge decomposition

$$\Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P) = H_A^1 \oplus \mathrm{im} d_A \oplus \mathrm{im} *_s d_A.$$

This is well defined whenever  $F_A$  is sufficiently small (in the  $L^\infty$ -norm). The value of the parameter  $s$  is understood from the context. When a connection  $A(s) + \Phi(s) ds$  on  $P_f$  is given we abbreviate  $\nabla_s \alpha := \partial_s \alpha(s) + [\Phi(s), \alpha(s)]$ .

# 1 A priori estimates

In preparation for the corrections in the proof of [2, Theorem 9.1] we need a stronger version of [2, Theorem 7.1].

**Remark 1.1.** The assertion of [2, Theorem 7.1] continues to hold if the hypothesis  $\|B_t\|_{L^\infty} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_0$  is replaced by the weaker inequality

$$\sup_{(s,t) \in \Omega} \|B_t(s,t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \varepsilon \sup_{(s,t) \in \Omega} \|C(s,t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c_0. \quad (4)$$

All the estimates in the proof of [2, Theorem 7.1] continue to hold under this assumption. To see this consider, as an example, the inequality

$$|f_0| \leq v_0 + c_1 u_0$$

on page 617. A key term in  $f_0$  is the expression  $\langle B_t, *[B_t \wedge C] \rangle$ . We can estimate this term by the product  $\|B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \|B_t\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \|C\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}$  and use the fact that, by (4), the first factor is bounded by  $c_0$ . Moreover, the inequality (4) implies  $\|F_{A(s,t)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \varepsilon c_0$ . This and the Sobolev embedding  $W^{1,2}(\Sigma) \hookrightarrow L^4(\Sigma)$  imply uniform estimates of the form

$$\|\phi\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq c_1 \|d_A \phi\|_{L^2(\Sigma)},$$

$$\|\alpha\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq c_1 \left( \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|d_A \alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|d_A *_s \alpha\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right)$$

for all  $(s,t) \in \Omega$ ,  $\phi \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$ , and  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$  (see [2, Lemma 7.6]). Applying this to  $\phi = C$  and  $\alpha = B_t$  we obtain

$$\|B_t\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \|C\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq c_1 \sqrt{u_0 v_0}$$

and this leads to the required estimate. The term  $\langle B_t, *_s d^2 X_s(A)(B_t, B_t) \rangle$  can be estimated by  $\|B_t\|_{L^4(\Sigma)}^2 \leq v_0 + c_2 u_0$ . A crucial observation is that the cubic terms in  $f_0$  do not involve derivatives. The arguments in the subsequent steps for the estimates of the higher derivatives are similar (see for example the inequality  $|f_1| \leq v_1 + c_3^{-1}(\varepsilon^{-1} v_0 + \varepsilon^{-2} u_0)$  on page 618).

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open set and  $K \subset \Omega$  be a compact subset. Then for every constant  $c_0 > 0$ , there exist constants  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $c > 0$  such that the following holds. If  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  and  $\Xi = A + \Phi ds + \Psi dt$  is a connection on  $\Omega \times \Sigma$  that satisfies (1) and (4) then*

$$\|B_t\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c \left( \|B_t\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \right).$$

*Proof.* By Remark 1.1, the connection  $\Xi$  satisfies (7.4) in [2, page 615]. The assertion follows by taking  $p = \infty$ . More precisely, (7.4) asserts that

$$\|B_t\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|d_A C\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c \left( \|B_t\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \right).$$

Since  $C + \varepsilon^{-2} * F_A = 0$ , it follows from (4) that  $\|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq \varepsilon c_0$ , hence  $\|C\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq c_1 \|d_A C\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}$ , hence  $\|F_A\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq \varepsilon c_2$  and, by [2, Lemma 7.6],  $\|C\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq c_3 \|d_A C\|_{L^4(\Sigma)} \leq c_4 \|d_A C\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)}$ .  $\square$

The next a priori estimate is an adaptation of [3, Lemma 9.1] to the present context. It is needed in the bubbling analysis in Section 3.

**Lemma 1.3.** *There is a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$  with the following significance. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set and  $K \subset \Omega$  be a compact subset. Then, for every  $c_0 > 0$  and every  $p \geq 2$ , there are positive constants  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $c$  such that the following holds. If  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  and the maps  $A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(P)$  and  $\Phi, \Psi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$  satisfy (1) and*

$$\|\partial_t A - d_A \Psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \leq c_0, \quad \|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \Sigma)} \leq \delta_0, \quad (5)$$

then

$$\int_K \left( \|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \varepsilon^p \|\nabla_s F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \varepsilon^p \|\nabla_t F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c\varepsilon^{2p}, \quad (6)$$

$$\sup_K \left( \|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_s F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_t F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \leq c\varepsilon^{2-2/p}. \quad (7)$$

The proof uses the following estimate. Denote by  $B_r(z) \subset \mathbb{C}$  the open ball of radius  $r$  centered at  $z$  and abbreviate  $B_r := B_r(0)$ .

**Lemma 1.4 ([3]).** *Let  $u : B_{R+r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$ -function and  $f, g : B_{R+r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous such that*

$$f \leq g + \Delta u, \quad u \geq 0, \quad f \geq 0, \quad g \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\int_{B_R} f \leq \int_{B_{R+r}} g + \frac{4}{r^2} \int_{B_{R+r} \setminus B_R} u.$$

*Proof of Lemma 1.3.* As in [2, Lemma 7.6] one can show that there exist constants  $\delta_0 > 0$  and  $c_1 > 0$  such that every  $A \in \mathcal{A}(P)$  with  $\|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq \delta_0$  satisfies the inequalities

$$\|\phi\| \leq c_1 \|d_A \phi\|,$$

$$\|d_A (*_s dX_s(A)\alpha + \dot{*}_s \alpha)\| \leq c_1 (\|\alpha\| + \|d_A \alpha\| + \|d_A *_s \alpha\|)$$

for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\phi \in \Omega^0(\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_P)$ , and  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma; \mathfrak{g}_P)$ . Here and in the following all norms are  $L^2$ -norms on  $\Sigma$ .

Now let  $A, \Phi, \Psi$  satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma and define

$$B_s := \partial_s A - d_A \Phi, \quad B_t := \partial_t A - d_A \Psi, \quad C := \partial_t \Phi - \partial_s \Psi - [\Phi, \Psi]. \quad (8)$$

Then the proof of [2, Theorem 7.1] shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 (\nabla_s \nabla_s C + \nabla_t \nabla_t C) &= d_A^{*s} d_A C - 2 * [B_t \wedge B_t] + [*_s X_s(A) \wedge B_t] \\ &\quad - * d_A (*_s dX_s(A) B_t + \dot{*}_s B_t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, with  $\Delta := \partial^2/\partial s^2 + \partial^2/\partial t^2$  the standard Laplacian, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \|C\|^2 &= 2 \|\nabla_s C\|^2 + 2 \|\nabla_t C\|^2 + 2 \langle \nabla_s \nabla_s C + \nabla_t \nabla_t C, C \rangle \\
&= 2\varepsilon^{-4} \|d_A *_s B_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^{-4} \|d_A B_t\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A C\|^2 \\
&\quad - 4\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, *[B_t \wedge B_t] \rangle + 2\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, *[*_s X_s(A) \wedge B_t] \rangle \\
&\quad - 2\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, *d_A (*_s dX_s(A) B_t + *_s B_t) \rangle \\
&\geq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} \|C\|^2 - \frac{c}{\varepsilon^2} \|C\|.
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds for  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ , with  $\varepsilon_0$  sufficiently small, and suitable positive constants  $\delta$  and  $c$ , depending only on  $\delta_0$ ,  $c_0$ , and  $c_1$  (as well as the metrics on  $\Sigma$  and the vector fields  $X_s$ ). Since  $2\Delta \|C\|^p \geq p \|C\|^{p-2} \Delta \|C\|^2$  for  $p \geq 2$ , this implies

$$\|C\|^p \leq \frac{c}{\delta} \|C\|^{p-1} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{p\delta} \Delta \|C\|^p.$$

Using the inequality  $ab \leq a^p/p + b^q/q$  with  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ,  $a := c/\delta$  and  $b := \|C\|^{p-1}$  we obtain  $b^q = \|C\|^p$ , and hence

$$\|C\|^p \leq \frac{c^p}{\delta^p} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{\delta} \Delta \|C\|^p. \quad (9)$$

By Lemma 1.4, this implies that

$$\int_{B_R(z)} \|C\|^p \leq \frac{\pi(R+r)^2 c^p}{\delta^p} + \frac{8\varepsilon^2}{r^2 \delta} \int_{B_{R+r}(z)} \|C\|^p.$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and every pair of positive real numbers  $R$  and  $r$  such that  $B_{R+r}(z) \subset \Omega$ . Now observe that  $\varepsilon^2 \|C\| = \|F_A\| \leq \delta_0 \text{Vol}(\Sigma)$  and use the last inequality repeatedly, with  $R$  replaced by  $R+r, R+2r, \dots, R+(p-1)r$ , to obtain the estimate  $\int_{B_R(z)} \|C\|^p \leq c_p$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $B_{R+pr}(z) \subset \Omega$ . Now choose  $R$  and  $r$  such that  $B_{R+pr}(z) \subset \Omega$  for every  $z \in K$ . Cover  $K$  by finitely many balls of radius  $R$  to obtain

$$\int_K \|F_A\|^p = \varepsilon^{2p} \int_K \|C\|^p \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^{2p}. \quad (10)$$

It follows from (9) that the function  $z \mapsto \|C(z)\|^p + c^p |z - z_0|^2 / 8\delta^{p-1} \varepsilon^2$  is subharmonic in  $\Omega$  for every  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence, by the mean value inequality and (10), we have

$$\sup_K \|F_A\| = \varepsilon^2 \sup_K \|C\| \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^{2-2/p} \quad (11)$$

for a suitable constant  $c_{K,p}$ . It follows from (10) and (11) that every connection  $\Xi = A + \Phi ds + \Psi dt$  on  $\Omega \times P$  that satisfies (1) and (5) also satisfies (4) in every compact subset of  $\Omega$  and hence, by Corollary 1.2, satisfies the hypotheses of [2, Theorem 7.1]. Hence it follows from [2, Theorem 7.1] with  $p = \infty$  that,

for every open set  $U$  with  $\text{cl}(U) \subset \Omega$ , there is a constant  $c_U$  such that every connection  $\Xi$  on  $\Omega \times P$  that satisfies (1) and (5) also satisfies the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \|\nabla_s B_t\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_t B_t\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} &\leq c_U, \\ \varepsilon \|C\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s C\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_t C\|_{L^\infty(U \times \Sigma)} &\leq c_U, \\ \|C\|_{L^2(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_s C\|_{L^2(U \times \Sigma)} + \varepsilon \|\nabla_t C\|_{L^2(U \times \Sigma)} &\leq c_U. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Note that the last inequality is equivalent to (6) for  $p = 2$ .

Now consider the function  $u : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$u(s, t)^2 := \frac{1}{2} \left( \|C(s, t)\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s C(s, t)\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_t C(s, t)\|^2 \right)$$

Again all norms are  $L^2$ -norms on  $\Sigma$ . In the following we shall assume, for simplicity, that the Hodge  $*$ -operator  $*_s = *$  is independent of  $s$  and that  $X_s = 0$  for all  $s$ . Then, as in the proof of [2, Theorem 7.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u^2 &= \varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A C\|^2 + \|\nabla_s C\|^2 + \|\nabla_t C\|^2 + \|d_A \nabla_s C\|^2 + \|d_A \nabla_t C\|^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \nabla_s C\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_t \nabla_t C\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \nabla_t C\|^2 \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon^2 \langle C, [\nabla_s C, \nabla_t C] \rangle - 2\varepsilon^{-2} \langle C, *[B_t \wedge B_t] \rangle \\ &\quad - 4 \langle \nabla_s C, *[B_t \wedge \nabla_s B_t] \rangle - 4 \langle \nabla_t C, *[B_t \wedge \nabla_t B_t] \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle d_A \nabla_s C, [B_s, C] \rangle + \langle d_A \nabla_t C, [B_t, C] \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \nabla_s C, *[B_s \wedge *d_A C] \rangle - \langle \nabla_t C, *[B_t \wedge *d_A C] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small it follows that

$$\Delta u^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon^2} u^2 - \frac{c}{\varepsilon^2} u$$

with suitable positive constants  $\delta$  and  $c$ . To see this examine the last eight terms in the formula for  $\Delta u^2$  and use (12). Now it follows as in (9) that

$$u^p \leq \frac{c}{\delta} u^{p-1} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{p\delta} \Delta u^p$$

for  $p \geq 2$ . By (11) and (12), we have  $u \leq c'/\varepsilon$  for some constant  $c'$ . Hence we can argue as above to show that, for every compact subset  $K \subset U$ , there is a constant  $c_{K,p} > 0$  such that  $\int_K u^p \leq c_{K,p}$  and  $\sup_K u^p \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^{-2}$ . This proves the lemma.  $\square$

## 2 Exponential decay

The estimate  $f'' \geq \rho^2 f$  in [2, page 623] does not follow from the preceding inequalities. To prove it one needs the following refinement of [2, Lemma 7.5]. All norms are understood on  $[0, 1] \times \Sigma$ . Norms without subscript are  $L^2$ -norms.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume all  $H$ -flat connections on  $P_f$  are nondegenerate. Then there are positive constants  $\delta_0$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$ , and  $c$  such that the following holds. If  $A + \Phi ds$  is a connection on  $P_f$  satisfying*

$$\|F_A\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_s A - d_A \Phi - X_s(A)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta_0$$

and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha\|^2 + \|\phi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2 &\leq c \left( \|\ast_s \nabla_s \alpha - \ast_s dX_s(A)\alpha - \ast_s d_A \phi - d_A \psi\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \psi - \varepsilon^{-2} d_A \alpha\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \ast_s \phi + \varepsilon^{-2} d_A \ast_s \alpha\|^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

for every infinitesimal connection  $\alpha + \phi ds$  on  $P_f$  and every  $\psi \in \Omega^0(\Sigma_h, \mathfrak{g}_{P_f})$ .

*Proof.* Suppose not. Then there are sequences  $\varepsilon_\nu \rightarrow 0$  and  $A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds \in \mathcal{A}(P_f)$  such that  $\|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_s A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Phi_\nu - X_s(A_\nu)\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$  and (13) does not hold with  $c = \nu$ ,  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\nu$ ,  $A = A_\nu$ ,  $\Phi = \Phi_\nu$ . The estimate (13) is gauge invariant. Hence, by Uhlenbeck's weak compactness theorem [6, 7], we may assume that the sequence  $A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds$  is bounded in  $W^{1,p}$  (for some  $p > 3$ ). Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that it converges, weakly in  $W^{1,p}$  and strongly in  $L^\infty$ , to an  $H$ -flat connection  $A + \Phi ds \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(P_f, H)$ . Since  $A + \Phi ds$  is nondegenerate there are positive constants  $\nu_0$  and  $c_0$  such that

$$\|\alpha_0\| \leq c_0 \|\pi_{A_\nu} (\partial_s \alpha_0 + [\Phi_\nu, \alpha_0] - dX_s(A_\nu)\alpha_0)\|$$

for every path  $\alpha_0(s) \in H^1_{A_\nu(s)}$  such that  $\alpha_0(s+1) = f^* \alpha_0(s)$  and every  $\nu \geq \nu_0$ .

Now the assertions of [1, Lemmata 7.3 and 7.4] continue to hold for connections  $A + \Phi ds$  on  $P_f$  such that  $\|F_A\|_{L^\infty}$  is sufficiently small and the constants in these lemmata depend continuously on  $\|\partial_s A - d_A \Phi\|_{L^\infty}$ . Since  $\|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^\infty}$  tends to zero, the sequence  $\|X_s(A_\nu)\|_{L^\infty}$  is bounded and so is  $\|\partial_s A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Phi_\nu\|_{L^\infty}$ . Hence, by [1, Lemma 7.4], there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha\|^2 &\leq c \left( \|\ast_s \nabla_s \alpha - \ast_s dX_s(A_\nu)\alpha - \ast_s d_{A_\nu} \phi - d_{A_\nu} \psi\|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \psi - \varepsilon^{-2} d_{A_\nu} \alpha\|^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla_s \ast_s \phi + \varepsilon^{-2} d_{A_\nu} \ast_s \alpha\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for every infinitesimal connection  $\alpha + \phi ds$  on  $P_f$  and every  $\psi \in \Omega^0(\Sigma_h, \mathfrak{g}_{P_f})$ . Here  $\nabla_s := \partial_s + [\Phi_\nu, \cdot]$ . Combining this with [1, Lemma 7.3] we find that the connection  $A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds$  satisfies (13) for  $\nu \geq \nu_0$  and some constant  $c > 0$ . This contradicts our assumption on the sequence  $A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds$  and so the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Proof of [2, Theorem 7.4].** Let  $A + \Phi ds + \Psi dt$  be a solution of (1-3) and let  $B_s, B_t, C$  be given by (8). Assume

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-1} \|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_h \times \mathbb{R})} + \|B_t\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_h \times \mathbb{R})} &\leq c_0, \\ \varepsilon^{-1} \|F_A\|_{L^2(\Sigma_h \times [0, \infty))} + \|B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma_h \times [0, \infty))} &\leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Corollary 1.2, there is a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \|F_A\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_h \times \{t\})} + \|\partial_s A - d_A \Phi - X_s(A)\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma_h \times \{t\})} \leq c_1 \delta \quad (14)$$

for  $t \geq 1$ . Define

$$f(s) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left( \|B_t(s, t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma, *_s)}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|C(s, t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma, *_s)}^2 \right) dt.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f''(s) &= 2 \|\nabla_s B_t - dX_s(A)B_t - d_A C\|^2 + 2\varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A B_t\|^2 \\ &\quad - 3\langle C, *_s[B_t \wedge B_t] \rangle + \langle *_s d^2 X_s(A)(B_t, B_t), B_t \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(See [2, page 622].) By (14), the connection  $A(\cdot, t) + \Phi(\cdot, t) ds \in \mathcal{A}(P_f)$  satisfies the requirements of Lemma 2.1 for  $t \geq 1$  and  $\delta$  sufficiently small. Applying the estimate (13) to the triple  $\alpha := B_t$ ,  $\phi := C$ ,  $\psi := 0$  and using the identity  $\nabla_s *_s C + \varepsilon^{-2} d_A *_s B_t = 0$ , we obtain

$$\|B_t\|^2 + \|C\|^2 \leq c_2 \left( \|\nabla_s B_t - dX_s(A)B_t - d_A C\|^2 + \varepsilon^{-2} \|d_A B_t\|^2 \right).$$

(The mistake in [2] is the factor  $\varepsilon^2$  in front of  $\|C\|^2$  in this inequality; it can be removed because of the improved inequality in Lemma 2.1.) Combining this with the identity for  $f''(s)$  and the fact that  $\|B_t\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_1 \delta$  we obtain the desired inequality  $f''(t) \geq \rho^2 f(t)$  for  $t \geq 1$  and  $\rho > 0$  sufficiently small. With this understood the proof proceeds as in [2].  $\square$

### 3 Bubbling analysis

The assertion in [2, page 634] that the limit connection  $\Xi_0$  represents a **non-constant** holomorphic sphere  $S^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$  does not seem to follow from the argument in [2]. A modified bubbling argument will result in a nonconstant holomorphic sphere but only proves a weaker estimate. More precisely, we prove the following theorem instead of [2, Theorem 9.1].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $a^\pm \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(P_f, H)$  and assume that either  $H \in \mathcal{H}_0^{\text{reg}}$  and  $\mu_H(a^-, a^+) \leq 3$ , or  $\mathcal{CS}_H(a^-) - \mathcal{CS}_H(a^+) < 8\pi^2$ . Then there exist positive constants  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $c_0$  such that*

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \|F_A\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t A - d_A \Psi\|_{L^\infty} \leq c_0 \quad (15)$$

for every solution  $A, \Phi, \Psi$  of (1-3) with  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The assertion of [2, Theorem 8.1] continues to hold if the hypothesis (8.1) is replaced by the weaker inequality (15). To see this, replace the last inequality in [2, page 625] by  $\|C^\nu\|_{L^p} \leq c\varepsilon_\nu^{2/p-1}$  or, equivalently,

$$\|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^p} \leq c\varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}.$$

For  $p = 2$  this follows from the first inequality in [2, page 625, Step 2], for  $p = \infty$  it holds by assumption, and for  $2 \leq p \leq \infty$  it follows by interpolation. Now replace the constant  $\varepsilon_\nu^2$  by  $\varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}$  in the following places.

- In the inequality (8.4) on page 626.
- Replace the inequality  $\|A' - A\|_{L^p} \leq c_2 \varepsilon^2$  by  $\|A' - A\|_{L^p} \leq c_2 \varepsilon^{1+2/p}$  in the middle of page 626.
- In the first two inequalities after (8.9), in the first inequality after (8.10), and in the first inequality in the proof of Step 5 (page 628).
- In the first inequality on page 629 and in the last inequality before (8.11).

The next theorem is a local version on [2, Theorem 8.1]. It is needed in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $\Omega_\nu \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an exhausting sequence of open sets and  $s_\nu, \varepsilon_\nu > 0, \delta_\nu > 0$  be sequences of real numbers such that  $s_\nu \rightarrow s_0, \varepsilon_\nu \rightarrow 0, \delta_\nu \rightarrow 0$ . Abbreviate  $*_{\nu s} := *_{s_\nu + \delta_\nu s}$  and  $X_{\nu s} := \delta_\nu X_{s_\nu + \delta_\nu s}$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\Xi_\nu = A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds + \Psi_\nu dt$  be a sequence of solutions of the equations*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu + *_{\nu s} (\partial_s A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Phi_\nu - X_{\nu s}(A)) &= 0, \\ \partial_t \Phi_\nu - \partial_s \Psi_\nu - [\Phi_\nu, \Psi_\nu] + \varepsilon_\nu^{-2} * F_{A_\nu} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

on  $\Omega_\nu \times P$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_\nu \left( \varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^2(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} + \|\partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu\|_{L^2(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} \right) &< \infty, \\ \sup_\nu \left( \varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} + \|\partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\nu \times \Sigma)} \right) &< \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Then there is a subsequence, still denoted by  $\Xi_\nu$ , a sequence of gauge transformations  $g_\nu : \Omega_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(P)$ , and a connection  $\Xi_0 = A_0 + \Phi_0 ds + \Psi_0 dt$  on  $\mathbb{C} \times P$  such that

$$\partial_t A_0 - d_{A_0} \Psi_0 + *_{s_0} (\partial_s A_0 - d_{A_0} \Phi_0) = 0, \quad F_{A_0} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left( \|g_\nu^* A_\nu - A_0\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} + \sup_{(s,t) \in K} \|g_\nu^{-1} B_{\nu t} g_\nu - B_{0t}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) = 0$$

for every compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Here we denote  $B_{\nu t} := \partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu$  and  $B_{0t} := \partial_t A_0 - d_{A_0} \Psi_0$ .

*Proof.* We argue as in the proof of [2, Theorem 8.1, Step 3] and use Lemma 1.3 to obtain sharper estimates. More precisely, for every compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  there is a constant  $\nu_K > 0$  such that, for every  $(s, t) \in K$  and every  $\nu \geq \nu_K$ , there is a unique section  $\eta_\nu(s, t) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$  such that

$$F_{A'_\nu} = 0, \quad A'_\nu := A_\nu + *_{\nu s} d_{A_\nu} \eta_\nu,$$



and

$$\|d_{A_\nu} \eta_\nu\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq c_1 \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} \leq c_2 \varepsilon_\nu. \quad (18)$$

Choose  $\Phi'_\nu(s, t), \Psi'_\nu(s, t) \in \Omega^0(\Sigma, \mathfrak{g}_P)$  such that

$$d_{A'_\nu} *_{\nu s} (\partial_s A'_\nu - d_{A'_\nu} \Phi'_\nu - X_{\nu s}(A'_\nu)) = d_{A'_\nu} *_{\nu s} (\partial_t A'_\nu - d_{A'_\nu} \Psi'_\nu) = 0.$$

Note that the sequence  $\Xi'_\nu = A'_\nu + \Phi'_\nu ds + \Psi'_\nu dt$  depends only on  $\nu$  and not on the compact set  $K$  in question. One proves exactly as in [2, pages 626–627] that the sequence  $\Xi'_\nu$  satisfies the estimates

$$\|\Xi'_\nu - \Xi_\nu\|_{1,p,\varepsilon;K} \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}, \quad (19)$$

$$\|B'_{\nu t}\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c_K, \quad (20)$$

$$\|B'_{\nu t} + *_{\nu s} (B'_{\nu s} - X_{\nu s}(A'_\nu))\|_{L^p(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon_\nu^{1+2/p}, \quad (21)$$

for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  and every  $p \geq 2$ , with suitable positive constants  $c_K$  and  $c_{K,p}$ . In addition we wish to prove the estimate

$$\sup_K \|B'_{\nu t} - B_{\nu t}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c_K \sqrt{\varepsilon_\nu}. \quad (22)$$

To see this recall the identities (8.5-7) from [2]. They have the form

$$\begin{aligned} B'_t - B_t &= d_{A'}(\Psi' - \Psi) + *_s d_A \nabla_t \eta + *_s [B_t, \eta], \\ d_A *_s d_A(\Psi' - \Psi) &= d_A *_s B_t - [d_A B_t, \eta] - [F_A, \nabla_t \eta] \\ &\quad - [(A' - A) \wedge ([d_A \nabla_t \eta + [B_t, \eta]])] \\ d_A *_s d_A \nabla_t \eta &= -d_A B_t - [d_A \nabla_t \eta \wedge d_A \eta] - [[B_t, \eta] \wedge d_A \eta] \\ &\quad - 2[B_t \wedge *_s d_A \eta] - [d_A *_s B_t, \eta] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Here we have dropped the subscript  $\nu$ . Since

$$d_A B_t = \nabla_t F_A, \quad d_A *_s B_t = d_A B_s = \nabla_s F_A$$

we obtain from Lemma 1.3 that, for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ , there is a constant  $c'_K > 0$  such that

$$\sup_K \left( \|d_A B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|d_A *_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \right) \leq c'_K \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence it follows from (18) and the last equation in (23) that

$$\sup_K \|d_A \nabla_t \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c''_K \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Using this estimate and the second equation in (23) we obtain

$$\sup_K \|d_A(\Psi' - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} \leq c'''_K \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Combining the last two estimates with the first equation in (23) we obtain (22). Now  $\Xi'_\nu$  descends to a sequence

$$\bar{u}'_\nu : K \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(P)$$

of approximate holomorphic curves (see (21)) with uniformly bounded derivatives (see (20)). We must prove that the sequence  $\bar{u}'_\nu$  is bounded in  $W^{2,p}$  for some  $p > 2$ . By the elliptic bootstrapping analysis for holomorphic curves (see [4, Appendix B]), this is equivalent to a  $W^{1,p}$ -bound on  $\bar{\partial}_J(\bar{u}'_\nu)$ . To obtain such a bound we examine the following formula from [2, page 627]:

$$\begin{aligned} B'_t + *_s(B'_s - X_s(A')) &= *_s *_s d_A \eta - [X_s(A), \eta] - *_s(X_s(A') - X_s(A)) \\ &\quad + [(A' - A), \nabla_s \eta] - *_s[(A' - A), \nabla_t \eta] \\ &\quad - d_{A'}(\Psi' - \Psi + \nabla_s \eta) - *_s d_{A'}(\Phi' - \Phi - \nabla_t \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

To begin with observe that, by Lemma 1.3, we have estimates of the form

$$\int_K \left( \|d_A B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A *_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p} \varepsilon^p.$$

Carrying the argument in the proof of Lemma 1.3 one step further we obtain estimates for the second derivatives of the curvature and hence

$$\int_K \left( \|d_A \nabla_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A *_s \nabla_s B_t\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p};$$

similarly for  $\nabla_t$ . Differentiate the identities in (23) to obtain

$$\int_K \left( \|d_A \nabla_s \nabla_s \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A \nabla_t \nabla_t \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A \nabla_s \nabla_t \eta\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p},$$

$$\int_K \left( \|d_A \nabla_s(\Psi' - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p + \|d_A \nabla_t(\Psi' - \Psi)\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \right) \leq c_{K,p}.$$

Combining these estimates with (24) we obtain

$$\int_K \|\nabla_s(B'_t + *_s(B'_s - X_s(A')))\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^p \leq c_{K,p},$$

and similarly for  $\nabla_t$ . This is the required  $W^{1,p}$ -estimate for  $\bar{\partial}_J(\bar{u}'_\nu)$ . It follows that  $\bar{u}'_\nu$  is bounded in  $W^{2,p}$  and hence has a  $C^1$ -convergent subsequence. The limit of this subsequence is the required holomorphic curve in  $\mathcal{M}(P)$ . The assertion of the theorem now follows from (22) and the  $C^1$ -convergence of  $\bar{u}'_\nu$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Suppose, by contradiction, that there are sequences  $\varepsilon_\nu \rightarrow 0$  and  $\Xi_\nu = A_\nu + \Phi_\nu ds + \Psi_\nu dt$  such that  $\Xi_\nu$  satisfies (1-3) with  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\nu$  and

$$\varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t A_\nu - d_{A_\nu} \Psi_\nu\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow \infty.$$

For each  $\nu$  define the energy density  $e_\nu : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$e_\nu(s, t) := \varepsilon_\nu^{-1} \|F_{A_\nu(s, t)}\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_t A_\nu(s, t) - d_{A_\nu(s, t)} \Psi_\nu(s, t)\|_{L^2(\Sigma, *_s)}.$$

By Corollary 1.2, and the time shift invariance of equation (1), this sequence is unbounded. Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that there is a sequence  $w_\nu = (s_\nu, t_\nu) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$  such that  $e_\nu(w_\nu) \rightarrow \infty$ . Applying a time shift, and passing to a further subsequence, we may assume that  $w_\nu$  converges to  $w_0 = (s_0, t_0)$ . Using Hofer's lemma ([2, Lemma 9.3]), we may assume that there is a sequence of real numbers  $0 < \rho_\nu < 1/2$  such that

$$\sup_{|w - w_\nu| \leq \rho_\nu} e_\nu(w) \leq 2e_\nu(w_\nu), \quad \rho_\nu e_\nu(w_\nu) \rightarrow \infty.$$

There are three cases to consider.

**Case 1:**  $\varepsilon_\nu e_\nu(w_\nu) \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case a nontrivial instanton on  $S^4$  bubbles off. The argument is standard (see [2, pages 630–631]).

**Case 2:**  $\varepsilon_\nu e_\nu(w_\nu) \rightarrow 1$ . In this case a nontrivial instanton on  $\mathbb{C} \times \Sigma$  bubbles off. The bubbling analysis relies on an asymptotic analysis of finite energy solutions of (1) over  $\mathbb{C} \times \Sigma$  and on the resulting energy quantization. In [2, pages 632–633] this argument is only sketched. In [3, Proposition 11.1] an analogous argument has been carried out in a situation where the space of connections on  $P$  is replaced by a finite dimensional symplectic manifold equipped with a Hamiltonian group action. The adaptation of the proof to the present case is straight forward.

**Case 3:**  $\varepsilon_\nu e_\nu(w_\nu) \rightarrow 0$ . In this case a nonconstant holomorphic sphere in the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}(P) := \mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(P)/\mathcal{G}(P)$  of flat connections bubbles off. Abbreviate  $c_\nu := e_\nu(w_\nu)$  and consider the rescaled sequence

$$\tilde{A}_\nu(w) := A_\nu(w_\nu + c_\nu^{-1}w),$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}_\nu(w) := c_\nu^{-1} \Phi_\nu(w_\nu + c_\nu^{-1}w), \quad \tilde{\Psi}_\nu(w) := c_\nu^{-1} \Psi_\nu(w_\nu + c_\nu^{-1}w).$$

This triple satisfies (16) and (17) with  $\delta_\nu := c_\nu^{-1}$ ,  $\varepsilon_\nu$  replaced by  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_\nu := \varepsilon_\nu c_\nu$ , and  $\Omega_\nu := B_{\rho_\nu c_\nu}$ . By assumption, we have

$$\left\| \partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\nu} \left\| F_{\tilde{A}_\nu} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \frac{e_\nu(w_\nu + c_\nu^{-1}w)}{e_\nu(w_\nu)} \leq 2 \quad (25)$$

for  $|w| \leq \rho_\nu c_\nu$  and

$$\left\| \partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu(0) - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu(0) \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\nu} \left\| F_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 1. \quad (26)$$

It follows from (25) and Corollary 1.2 that, for every compact subset  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ , there are positive constants  $\nu_K$  and  $c_K$  such that, for every  $\nu \geq \nu_K$ ,

$$\left\| \partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu \right\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\nu} \left\| F_{\tilde{A}_\nu} \right\|_{L^\infty(K \times \Sigma)} \leq c_K. \quad (27)$$

Hence  $\tilde{\Xi}_\nu = \tilde{A}_\nu + \tilde{\Phi}_\nu ds + \tilde{\Psi}_\nu dt$  satisfies all the requirements of Theorem 3.3. The limit connection  $\Xi_0$  represents a finite energy holomorphic sphere in the symplectic quotient  $\mathcal{M}(P)$ . We prove that it is nonconstant. Namely, by (27) and Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_\nu} \left\| F_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)} \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 0.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.3 and (26),

$$\left\| \partial_t A_0(0) - d_{A_0(0)} \Psi_0(0) \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \partial_t \tilde{A}_\nu(0) - d_{\tilde{A}_\nu(0)} \tilde{\Psi}_\nu(0) \right\|_{L^2(\Sigma)} = 1.$$

This concludes the discussion of case 3.

Since the bubbling in all three cases results in nontrivial instantons, respectively nonconstant holomorphic spheres, we can argue as in [2, pages 624–625] to obtain a contradiction. Thus the theorem is proved.  $\square$

One can now use Theorem 3.1 and the strengthened form of [2, Theorem 8.1] in Remark 3.2 to prove [2, Theorem 9.2].

## References

- [1] S. Dostoglou and D.A. Salamon, Cauchy-Riemann operators, self-duality, and the spectral flow, in *First European Congress of Mathematics, Volume I, Invited Lectures (Part 1)*, edited by A. Joseph, F. Mignot, F. Murat, B. Prum, R. Rentschler, Birkhäuser Verlag, Progress in Mathematics, **Vol. 119**, 1994, pp. 511–545.
- [2] S. Dostoglou and D.A. Salamon, Self-dual instantons and holomorphic curves, *Annals of Mathematics* **139** (1994), 581–640.
- [3] A.R. Gaio, D.A. Salamon, Gromov–Witten invariants of symplectic quotients and adiabatic limits, Preprint ETH–Zürich, June 2001.
- [4] D. McDuff, D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic Curves and Quantum Cohomology*, Third Edition, to appear 2003.
- [5] D.A. Salamon, Quantum products for mapping tori and the Atiyah-Floer conjecture, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* **196** (1999), 199–235. Revised in December 2000, <http://www.math.ethz.ch/~salamon>
- [6] K. Uhlenbeck, Connections with  $L^p$  bounds on the curvature, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **83** (1982), 31–42.
- [7] K. Wehrheim, *Uhlenbeck Compactness*, in preparation.