

# HARMONIC MAPS FROM $B^3$ INTO $S^2$ HAVING A LINE OF SINGULARITIES

Tristan RIVIERE

## I. Introduction

In this paper, we consider maps from a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  taking values in the unit sphere  $S^{m-1}$ , of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . If  $u$  is a map in  $H^1(\Omega, S^{m-1})$  we denote by  $E(u)$  the Dirichlet energy:

$$E(u) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

Weakly harmonic maps from  $\Omega$  into  $S^{m-1}$  are critical points of  $E(u)$  for variations on range; more precisely  $u$  is harmonic from  $\Omega$  into  $S^{m-1}$  if:

$$\forall \xi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E\left(\frac{u + t\xi}{|u + t\xi|}\right)(0) = 0$$

this turns out to be equivalent to the fact that  $u$  is a weak solution of the equation

$$\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u = 0 \quad (1)$$

Two classes of the weak harmonic maps are particularly studied:

I *the minimizing harmonic maps*: those are the maps which minimize the energy  $E(u)$  among the maps of  $H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$  with value into the sphere  $S^{m-1}$ .

II *the stationary harmonic maps*: those are the weak harmonic maps which are also critical points of the energy  $E(u)$  for the variations in the domain  $\Omega$ : more precisely  $u$  is harmonic and

$$\forall \eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n) \quad \frac{d}{dt} E(u(x + t\eta(x)))(0) = 0 \quad (2)$$

There are several results concerning partial regularity of harmonic maps we recall briefly most of them in the following board. By *Sing* we denote the singular set of the considered maps,  $\dim(Sing)$  the Hausdorff dimension of *Sing* and  $\mathcal{H}^k(Sing)$  the value of the  $k$ -th Hausdorff measure of *Sing*. In italic we precise the name of the authors of the proofs followed by the references of the proofs.

	<b>Minimizers</b>	<b>Stationary maps</b>	<b>Weak harmonic maps</b>
$n = 2$	$Sing = \emptyset :$ <i>Morrey</i> [10]	$Sing = \emptyset :$ <i>Gruter</i> [7], <i>Schoen</i> [11]	$Sing = \emptyset :$ <i>Helein</i> [9]
$n \geq 3$	$dim(Sing) \leq n - 3:$ <i>Schoen-Uhlenbeck</i> [12]	$\mathcal{H}^{n-2}(Sing) = 0 :$ <i>Evans</i> [3]	???

The preceding results remain valid for maps from a Riemannian Manifold with boundary into a compact Riemannian Manifold without boundary, except the result of L.C.Evans, whose proof fundamentally uses the symmetries of the sphere.

No general result concerning the regularity of weakly harmonic maps from a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ) into a sphere has been found. We give here examples of harmonic maps from  $B^3$  into  $S^2$  whose singular set is exactly a segment in  $B^3$  and thus with Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^1$  not equal to zero; this shows that the regularity results, concerning the stationary maps, do not extend to arbitrary weakly harmonic maps: indeed, stationarity and more precisely monotonicity formulas (see the preceding references) are an essential tool in the regularity theory for these maps.

We may even conjecture that there exists harmonic maps for  $n = 3$  singular everywhere.

Our starting point is a prescribed singularity result of R.Hardt, F.H.Lin and C.Poon [8] concerning axially symmetric maps from  $B^3$  into  $S^2$ : a map  $u$  from  $B^3$  into  $S^2$  is axially symmetric if it can be written in cylindrical coordinates in the following way:

$$u(r; \theta; z) = (\cos\theta \sin\phi(r, z); \sin\theta \sin\phi(r, z); \cos\phi(r, z))$$

$\phi(r, z)$  is usually called the angle function. Now let  $g$  be a map from  $\partial B^3$  into  $S^2$  verifying the following condition:

$$(C) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g \text{ is } C^\infty(\partial B^3; S^2) \\ g \text{ is axially symmetric} \\ g \text{ has an angle function in } [-\pi; +\pi] \\ g((0, 0, 1)) = g((0, 0, -1)) \end{array} \right.$$

The result of Hardt, Lin and Poon asserts that for any set of consecutive points of  $B^3$  on the  $z$  axis  $\{a_1^+, a_1^-, \dots, a_j^+, a_j^-\}$  there exists an axially symmetric harmonic map  $u \in C^\infty(B^3 / \{a_1^+, a_1^-, \dots, a_j^+, a_j^-\})$  having singularities of degree  $\pm 1$  if  $g((0, 0, 1)) = (0, 0, 1)$  or  $\mp 1$  if  $g((0, 0, 1)) = (0, 0, -1)$  at the  $a_i$  and such that  $u|_{\partial B^3} = g$ .

This theorem is in fact a regularity result for minimizers of the generalised relaxed energy functional  $F_v(u)$  introduced by F.Bethuel, H.Brezis and J.-M.Coron in [1], where  $F_v(u)$  is given by

$$F_v(u) = E(u) + 8\pi L(u, v) \quad \text{with} \quad E(u) = \int_{B^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx,$$

for a fixed  $v \in H^1(B^3, S^2)$

$$L(u, v) \text{ is given by } L(u, v) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sup_{\xi: B^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \|\nabla \xi\|_\infty \leq 1} \left\{ \int_{B^3} (D(u) - D(v)) \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx \right\}$$

and  $D(u)$  by  $D(u) = (u \cdot u_y \wedge u_z; u \cdot u_z \wedge u_x; u \cdot u_x \wedge u_y)$ , vector field introduced in [2]

It is easy to verify that  $L(u, v_1) = L(u, v_2)$  if  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  have the same singularities. R.Hardt, F.H.Lin and C.Poon have proved that, for a fixed  $v$  having a finite number of singularities, any minimizer of  $F_v(u)$  (such a minimizer is weakly harmonic see [1]) among axially symmetric map having an angle function in  $[-\pi; +\pi]$  and a fixed boundary condition  $g$  verifying (C), has exactly the same singularities as  $v$ , with same degrees. (This implies in particular that  $L(u, v) = 0$ ). Indeed, when  $u$  and  $v$  have only a finite number of singularities  $L(u, v)$  can be interpreted as the minimal connexion, see [2], between all the singularities of  $u$  and  $v$  after having inversed the sign of the degree of the singularities of  $v$ . Note also that minimizers of  $F_v(u)$  do not depend only on  $v$  but only on its singularities along the  $z$  axis.

The idea of our proof is a construction of a  $H^1$ -convergent sequence  $v_n$  of axially symmetric maps from  $B^3$  into  $S^2$  having more and more singularities along the  $z$  axis and we observe the behavior of the minimizers of  $F_{v_n}$  by passing to the limit. Our aim is to find a special configuration of the added singularities for which there exists a strong  $H^1$  convergence of a special sequence of minimizers. This strong  $H^1$  convergence will indeed preserve, at the limit, all the singularities we have added.

## II. Prescribed singularities for axially symmetric maps.

We recall here without proof the result of R.Hardt, F.H.Lin and C.Poon [8] in the form we will use later. For this we introduce the following notations: for  $g : \partial B^3 \rightarrow S^2$  verifying (C) let

$$H_g^1(B^3; S^2) = \{u \in H^1(B^3; S^2); u|_{\partial B^3} = g\}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in H^1(B^3; S^2); u \text{ is axially symmetric, with angle function in } [-\pi, +\pi], \\ u \text{ has only a finite number of singularities which alternate} \\ \text{with degrees } \pm 1 \text{ along the } z \text{ axis} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi = \overline{\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi}^{H^1}$$

*Remark:* in [8], the notation  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  denotes a slightly different and smaller set, more precisely, they impose at every singular point of any map in  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  a special asymptotic configuration, nevertheless this set has the same closure in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2)$ .

**THEOREM 1 [8]** . Let  $g$  be a map from  $\partial B^3$  into  $S^2$  verifying the condition (C) and  $v$  in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  then any minimizer of  $F_v$  among  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$  has the same singularities with the same degree as  $v$ .

### III. The construction of maps having a line of singularities

We prove in this part the following result:

**THEOREM 2** . Let  $g$  be a map from  $\partial B^3$  into  $S^2$  verifying the condition (C), let  $a, b$  be arbitrary real numbers such that  $-1 < a < b < 1$ , there exists an axially symmetric harmonic map  $u$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi \cap C^\infty(\bar{B}^3 / \{(0, 0, z); a \leq z \leq b\}) \cap H_g^1(B^3, S^2)$  whose singular set is exactly the segment  $[a, b]$  on the  $z$  axis.

*Remark:* we can make a similar construction for an union of disjoint segments on  $B^3 \cap z$  axis.

#### III.1) Presentation and reduction of the problem

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $g((0, 0, 1)) = (0, 0, 1)$ . we will often make use of the formalism of the cartesian currents introduced in this context of maps in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2)$  by M.Giaquinta, G.Modica and J.Soucek in [5], [6] and adapted to the axially symmetric case in [8] (lemma 3.1):

**LEMMA 1** . For any  $u$  in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$  ( $\deg g = 0$ ) there exists  $J$  a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $z$  axis  $\cap B^3$  such that

$$\partial[\text{graph } u][B^3 \times S^2] = -\partial[J] \times [S^2] \quad (3)$$

(We replace the sign - by + if  $g((0, 0, 1)) = (0, 0, -1)$ )

For the convenience of the reader, we illustrate the meaning of (3) on a simple example: assume that  $u$  has a finite number of singularities  $a_i^\pm$  of degree  $\pm 1$  which necessarily alternate along the  $z$  axis (this is imposed by topological reasons in the axially symmetric case: see [8]) the graph of  $u$  has the following boundary:

$$\partial[\text{graph } u][B^3 \times S^2] = -\partial\left[\bigcup_{i=1}^j [a_i^-, a_i^+]\right] \times [S^2] = -\left(\sum_{i=1}^j [\{a_i^+\}] - [\{a_i^-\}]\right) \times [S^2]$$

Using this formalism we can give the following interpretation of  $L(u, v)$ : for  $u$  and  $v$  in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$  there exists a one dimensional current  $I_{u,v}$  with support on the  $z$  axis such that

$$-\partial I_{u,v} \times [S^2] = (\partial[\text{graph } u] - \partial[\text{graph } v])[(B^3 \times S^2)],$$

and  $M(I_{u,v}) = L(u, v)$

see [5]; in the particular case where one of the two maps is in  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  this current is rectifiable, with multiplicity 1 and of the form  $+[J_1] - [J_2]$  where  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  are Lebesgue measurable on  $B^3 \cap Z$  axis.

In order to prove theorem 2, we are going to construct  $u$  and  $v$  verifying conditions (C1) (C2) and (C3) below; we have the following:

**THEOREM 3 .** *There exists  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$  verifying the three following conditions:*

- (C1)  $\partial[\text{graph } v][(B^3 \times S^2)] = -\partial[J] \times [S^2]$  where  $J$  is a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $(a, b)$  verifying  $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(J \cap (\alpha, \beta)) < \beta - \alpha$  for any  $a < \alpha < \beta < b$
- $v \in C^\infty(\overline{B^3} \setminus [a, b]; S^2)$
- (C2)  $u$  is a minimizer of  $F_v$  among  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$
- (C3)  $L(u, v) = 0$

Theorem 2, then is a consequence of theorem 3 and the following:

**LEMMA 2 .** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$  verifying (C1) (C2) and (C3) then  $u$  is an axially symmetric harmonic map in  $C^\infty(\overline{B^3} / \{(0, 0, z); a \leq z \leq b\})$  whose singular set is exactly the segment  $[a, b]$  on the  $Z$  axis.*

Proof of lemma 2: The regularity of  $u$  away from the  $z$  axis comes directly from the axial symmetry of  $u$  and the fact that  $u$  is harmonic: indeed the angle function of  $u$  verifies the following elliptic equation for  $r > 0$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( r \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\sin 2\phi}{2r} = 0$$

In any ball  $B_\rho(x)$  centered on the  $z$  axis which does not intersect  $[a, b]$  we observe, as in theorem 9 [8] that  $u$  minimize the classical relaxed energy  $E(u) + 8\pi L(u)$  among  $\mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$ , so, by theorem 7.2 [8]  $u$  is  $C^\infty$  away from  $[a, b]$ . Let us now consider a small ball  $B_\rho(x)$  centered on the  $z$  axis between  $a$  and  $b$  then

$$L(u, v) = 0 \Rightarrow \partial[\text{graph } u]|_{B_\rho(x)} = \partial[\text{graph } v]|_{B_\rho(x)}$$

Moreover, since  $0 < \mathcal{H}^1(J \cap (x - \rho, x + \rho)) < 2\rho$ ,  $\partial[J]|_{B_\rho(x)} \neq 0$ ,  $\partial[\text{graph } v]|_{B_\rho(x)} \neq 0$  thus  $\partial[\text{graph } u]|_{B_\rho(x)} \neq 0$  and  $u$  is not regular on  $B_\rho(x)$  so  $\text{sing}(u) = [a, b]$ . This completes the proof of lemma 2.  $\triangle$

*Remark:* We may conjecture (C1) and (C2) imply (C3). Actually if we replace (C1) by "v have a finite number of singularity" this is true: that is the Theorem1. By constructing two such maps  $u$  and  $v$  which verify (C1) (C2) and (C3) we avoid a more general eventual result.

The rest of the paper (section III.2) is devoted to the proof of theorem 3; that is the construction of  $u$  and  $v$  verifying (C1) (C2) and (C3). They will be obtained as strong limits of sequences  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$ .

### III.2) Proof of theorem 3

we will construct  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  two sequences of  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi \cap H_g^1(B^3, S^2)$  which verify (D1) and (D2):

(D1)  $v_n$  converges strongly in  $H^1$  to  $v$  which verifies (C1)

(D2) there exists a sequence of minimizers  $u_n$  of  $F_{v_n}$  among  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$  which strongly converges in  $H^1$

The strong limits of those two sequences verify (C1) (C2) and (C3): (C1) is contained in (D1), (C2) comes easily from the sequentially lower semicontinuity for the weak  $H^1$  topology of  $F_v$  (exactly like in lemma 5), finally theorem 1 implies that  $L(u_n, v_n) = 0$  and the two strong convergences enable us to pass to the limit in  $L$ , since  $L$  is continuous for the strong  $H^1$  topology (see[1]) then (C3) is verified.

*Remark:* (D2) is of course the most difficult condition to obtain and the strong convergence is necessary: a simple weak convergence could efface all the singularities we have added.

#### III.2) a) Construction of a family of sequences $v_n$ verifying (D1)

As we have announced in the introduction  $v_n$  will be constructed by adding more and more singularities, and because of  $v_n$  must strongly converge, we have to do this by spending little energy. So we need the following lemma:

**LEMMA 3 .** Let  $u$  in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$  and  $-1 < \alpha < \beta < 1$  two reals such that  $u$  be regular in a neighborhood  $V$  of the segment  $[\alpha; \beta]$  on the  $Z$  axis. Then for any  $C > 8\pi$  there exists  $\tilde{u}$  in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$  such that

- (a)  $(0, 0, \alpha)$  and  $(0, 0, \beta)$  are the only one singularities of  $\tilde{u}$  in  $V$  and with opposite degrees  $\pm 1$  or  $\mp 1$
- b)  $\tilde{u} = u$  in  $B^3/V$
- c)  $\int_{B^3} |\nabla(u - \tilde{u})|^2 dx \leq C|\beta - \alpha|$

Proof of lemma 3: This lemma is a direct application of the "construction of the dipole" in the axially axially symmetric case made in [8](lemma 6.1) and we shortly recall it here for the convenience of the reader.

We modify  $u$  into two balls of radii  $\varepsilon|\beta - \alpha|$ , centered in  $(0, 0, \alpha)$  and in  $(0, 0, \beta)$  and we also modify  $u$  into the cylinder joining them; precisely into

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\varepsilon = & \{(r, \theta, z) / \alpha \leq z \leq \beta \text{ and } r \leq \varepsilon|\beta - \alpha|\} \\ & \cup \{(r, \theta, z) / r^2 + (z - \alpha)^2 \leq \varepsilon^2|\beta - \alpha|^2\} \\ & \cup \{(r, \theta, z) / r^2 + (z - \beta)^2 \leq \varepsilon^2|\beta - \alpha|^2\} \end{aligned}$$

and for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small such that  $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset V$ . Let  $\Omega_\varepsilon^+ = \Omega_\varepsilon \cap \{z \geq \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2}\}$  and  $\Omega_\varepsilon^- = \Omega_\varepsilon \cap \{z \leq \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2}\}$ .  $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon^+ \cap \partial\Omega_\varepsilon^-$  is the horizontal disk  $B_{\varepsilon|\beta - \alpha}^2((0, 0, \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2}))$ . Let  $\tilde{u}$  coincide, on this disk, with the unique conformal axially homeomorphism that maps onto the large spherical region  $\{X \in S^2 : |X - (0, 0, 1)| > \tan(\phi(\varepsilon|\beta - \alpha); \frac{\beta + \alpha}{2}))\}$  Let  $\tilde{u}$  coincide with  $u$  in  $B^3/\Omega_\varepsilon$ . The rest of  $\tilde{u}$  is, in  $\Omega_\varepsilon^-$  the natural radial extension centered in  $(0, 0, \alpha)$  of its value on  $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon^-$  and in  $\Omega_\varepsilon^+$ ,  $\tilde{u}$  is the natural radial extension centered in  $(0, 0, \beta)$  of its value on  $\partial\Omega_\varepsilon^+$ . We remark that such modifications preserve the axial symmetry of the map and maintain its angle function in  $[-\pi; +\pi]$ . The map that we obtain is only lipschitz in  $V/\{(0, 0, \alpha); (0, 0, \beta)\}$  but it does not spend a lot of  $H^1$  energy to smooth the angle function in this domain.

So we obtain (see [8]):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 dx & \leq 8\pi|\beta - \alpha| + O(\varepsilon) + 2\pi \int_0^{\varepsilon|\beta - \alpha|} \int_{-\frac{z}{\varepsilon}}^{\frac{z}{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^2|\beta - \alpha|^2 \frac{z^2}{r^3} \left| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right|^2 dz dr \\ \text{then } \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 dx & \leq 8\pi|\beta - \alpha| + O(\varepsilon) + C \left\| \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega^\varepsilon)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

But  $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = 0$  on the  $z$  axis in  $V$  then  $\|\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\varepsilon)}^2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\tilde{u} = u$  in  $B^3/\Omega_\varepsilon$  and since  $\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^2 dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we obtain the desired result.  $\Delta$

Thus the singularities will be added dipole by dipole. Each singularity will be an end of a segment of the sequence of sets on the  $Z$  axis that we introduce now.

Let  $\mu_n$  and  $\delta_n$  be two sequences of positive reals verifying the following condition:

$$(\Delta) \begin{cases} 0 < \delta_0 < \frac{b-a}{2} \\ 0 < \delta_n < \mu_n^2 \\ 0 < \mu_{n+1} < \delta_n^2 \end{cases} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

We denote by  $E = (E_n)$  the associated sequence of subset of  $[a, b]$  constructed by induction as follows:

$$E_0 = [a, b], \quad E_{n+\frac{1}{2}} = E_n \setminus \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{open segments of length } \delta_n < \mu_n^2 \\ \text{each centred at the middles of the segments of } E_n \end{array} \right\}$$

$$E_{n+1} = E_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{closed segments of length } \mu_{n+1} < \delta_n^2 \text{ each centered at} \\ \text{the middles of the segments of } [a, b] \setminus E_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \text{ in } [a, b] \end{array} \right\}$$

Let  $\mathcal{E}_{a,b}$  be the set of such sequences  $(E_n)$ ; we have the following lemma:

**LEMMA 4 .** For any  $E$  in  $\mathcal{E}_{a,b}$  there exists  $v_n$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi \cap H_g^1(B^3, S^2)$  such that

- a)  $\partial[\text{graph } v_n] \llcorner (B^3 \times S^2) = -\partial[E_n] \times [S^2]$
- b)  $v_n$  verifie (D1)

*Remark:* Since  $v_n$  is in  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  the cartesian currents equality of the lemma signifies that the singularities of  $v_n$  are exactly the ends of the segments of  $E_n$  and for any given segment of  $E_n$  the degree of the singularity at the superior end is +1 and the degree of the singularity at the inferior end is -1

Proof of lemma 4: We construct  $v_n$  by induction: let  $v_0$  be any map in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  whose singularities are exactly  $a$  and  $b$ . From  $v_n$  we construct  $v_{n+1/2} \in \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  whose graph has the boundary  $-\partial[E_{n+1/2}] \times [S^2]$  by inserting dipoles of length  $\delta_n < \mu_n^2$  each centered at the center of the segments of  $E_n$  as it is made in lemma 2 for a given constant  $C > 8\pi$  independent of  $n$ . then, similary, from  $v_{n+1/2}$  we construct  $v_{n+1} \in \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  whose graph has the boundary  $-\partial[E_{n+1}] \times [S^2]$  by inserting dipoles of length  $\mu_{n+1} < \delta_n^2$  each



centered at the center of the segments of  $[a, b]/E_{n+1/2}$  in  $[a, b]$ ; those dipoles of course will have an opposite orientation than those that we have added between  $n$  and  $n + 1/2$ . We now verify that  $v_n$  strongly converges in  $H^1$ .  $N_n$  the number of segment of  $E_n$  is

$$N_n = 2/3 * 4^n + 1/3$$

$$\text{so } \int_{B^3} |\nabla(v_n - v_{n+1/2})|^2 dx \leq C(4^n(2/3) + 1/3) \delta_n \leq C' 4^n * (\mu_1^2)^{4^{n-1}}$$

$$\text{and } \int_{B^3} |\nabla(v_{n+1/2} - v_{n+1})|^2 dx \leq C(2(4^n(2/3) + 1/3) - 1) \mu_{n+1} \leq C' 4^n * (\mu_1^2)^{4^n}$$

$$\text{then } \int_{B^3} |\nabla(v_{n+1} - v_n)|^2 dx \leq C'' 4^n * (\mu_1^2)^{4^n}$$

it is clear that  $v_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^1$ , let  $v$  be its limit there exists a Lebesgue measurable subset  $J$  of  $B^3 \cap \mathbb{Z}$  axis such that  $\partial[\text{graph } v][B^3 \times S^2] = -\partial[J] \times [S^2]$ .  $[E_n] - [J]$  is a mass equibounded sequence of 1 dimension currents, we can extract a weakly convergent sequence of currents always denoted  $[E_n] - [J]$ ; let  $L$  be the limit

$$[E_n] - [J] \rightharpoonup L \quad \text{and} \quad \text{spt}(L) \subset [a, b].$$

Since  $v_n \rightarrow v$  strongly in  $H^1$  we have

$$\partial[\text{graph } v_n] \rightarrow \partial[\text{graph } v]$$

$$\text{then } \partial[E_n] - \partial[J] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{so} \quad \partial[L] = 0$$

The constancy theorem (see [4]) implies  $L = 0$  and  $[E_n] \rightarrow [J]$ . Thus,  $\chi_n$ , the characteristic function of  $E_n$  weakly converges in  $L^{\infty*}$  to  $\chi$  the characteristic function of  $J$ .

Let us show now that

$$\forall(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad a < \alpha < \beta < b \quad \text{then} \quad 0 < \mathcal{H}^1(J \cap (\alpha, \beta)) < \beta - \alpha.$$

For  $p$  sufficiently large there exists a segment  $S$  of  $E_p$  such that  $S \subset [\alpha, \beta]$  thus it is sufficient to show that  $0 < \int_S \chi dx < |S|$ . Since  $\chi_n \rightarrow \chi$  in  $L^{\infty*}$ ,  $\int_S \chi_n dx \rightarrow \int_S \chi dx$ ; moreover

$$\int_S \chi_{n+1+p} dx = \int_S \chi_{n+p} dx - (2 * 4^n + 1) \frac{\delta_{n+p}}{3} + (4^{n+1} - 1) \frac{\mu_{n+p+1}}{3}$$

$$= |S| - \delta_p - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\delta_{k+p}}{6} (4^{k+1} + 2) + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{\mu_{k+p}}{3} (4^k - 1)$$

$$\text{thus } \int_S \chi dx = |S| - \delta_p - \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\delta_{k+p}}{6} (4^{k+1} + 2) + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\mu_{k+p}}{3} (4^k - 1)$$

using the condition (C) verified by  $\delta_n$  and  $\mu_n$  the result easily follow.  $\triangle$

### III.2) b) Choice of $E_n$ ; strong convergence of a sequence of minimizers:(D2)

#### a) A strong convergence lemma

The following result which is very useful is a simple consequence of theorem 1

**LEMMA 5 .** Let  $w_n$  be in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  which strongly converges in  $H^1$  to  $w$  and let  $u_n$  be a sequence of minimizers of  $F_{w_n}$  among  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$  which weakly converges to  $u$  in  $H^1$ ; if  $w$  is in  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  then

- a)  $u$  minimizes  $F_w$  in  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$
- b) the convergence of  $u_n$  to  $u$  is strong

Proof of lemma 5: First we show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_{w_n}(u_n) = F_w(u)$ . Let  $\xi$  be in  $C^\infty(B^3; \mathbb{R})$  with  $\|\nabla \xi\| \leq 1$ , the sequentially lower semicontinuity for the weak  $H^1$  topology of the functional

$$u \rightarrow \int_{B^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + 2 \int_{B^3} D(u) \cdot \nabla \xi dx \quad (\text{see}[1])$$

implies that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B^3} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + 2 \int_{B^3} D(u_n) \cdot \nabla \xi dx \geq \int_{B^3} |\nabla u|^2 dx + 2 \int_{B^3} D(u) \cdot \nabla \xi dx$$

Since  $w_n \rightarrow w$  strongly in  $H^1$ :  $\int_{B^3} D(w_n) \cdot \nabla \xi dx \rightarrow \int_{B^3} D(w) \cdot \nabla \xi dx$  then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{w_n}(u_n) \geq F_w(u)$$

moreover, using the fact that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{w_n}(u) = F_w(u)$  and the minimality of  $u_n$  for  $F_{w_n}$  then  $F_w(u) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{w_n}(u_n)$  thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{w_n}(u_n) = F_w(u)$$

Let  $v \in H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$   $F_{w_n}(v) \geq F_{w_n}(u_n) \Rightarrow F_w(v) \geq F_w(u)$  thus  $u$  minimizes  $F_w$  among  $H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi$ . Suppose now that  $w$  is in  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$ , we apply theorem 1 and we have  $L(u, w) = 0$  and  $u \in \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$ ; since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E(u_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F_{w_n}(u_n) = F_w(u) = E(u)$$

,  $u_n$  strongly converge to  $u$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\triangle$

$\beta$ ) the choice of  $E_n$

As we have announced in the introduction for  $v_n$  in  $\mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  the minimizing problem  $F_{v_n}$  among  $\mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi \cap H_g^1(B^3, S^2)$  only depends on the singularities of  $v_n$  and not intrinsically on  $v_n$ , this is a direct consequence of theorem 1. Thus the set of the minimizers is exactly the following

$$I_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi \text{ s.t. } u \text{ minimize } E(u) \text{ among } H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi \\ \text{with the constraint} \\ \partial[\text{graph } u][B^3 \times S^2] = -\partial[E_n] \times [S^2] \end{array} \right\}$$

we consider now the following lemma which is an application of lemma 4

**LEMMA 6 .** For any sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)$  of positive real numbers tending to zero there exists  $(E_n)$  in  $\mathcal{E}_{a,b}$  such that

$$\forall n \geq 1 \quad \forall u \in I_n \quad \inf_{v \in I_{n-1}} \int_{B^3} |\nabla(u-v)|^2 dx < \varepsilon_n$$

Proof of lemma 6: We construct  $E_n$  by induction;  $E_0 = [a, b]$ ; suppose  $E_n$  is constructed then  $(\delta_p)_{p \leq n-1}$  and  $(\mu_p)_{p \leq n}$  are fixed, the associated to  $E_n$  maps  $v_n$  of the lemma 4 are also fixed. we consider two sequences of positive reals  $(\delta_n^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(\mu_{n+1}^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  tending to zero such that  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \mu_{n+1}^k < (\delta_n^k)^2$  and  $\delta_n^k < (\mu_n^k)^2$ . Let  $E_{n+1}^k$  be the sequence of subsets of  $[a, b]$  constructed by adding dipoles of size  $\delta_n^k$  and inversed dipoles of size  $\mu_{n+1}^k$  exactly as in III 2) a) and let  $v_{n+1}^k \in H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  be the perturbation of  $v_n$  for each  $k$  after having added all the dipoles and the inversed dipoles of rank  $k$ , we have  $\partial[\text{graph } v_{n+1}^k][B^3 \times S^2] = -\partial[E_{n+1}^k] \times [S^2]$ . Clearly  $v_{n+1}^k \rightarrow v_n$  strongly in  $H^1$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . Let

$$I_{n+1}^k = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi \text{ s.t. } u \text{ minimize } E(u) \text{ among } H_g^1(B^3, S^2) \cap \mathcal{A}_{AS}^\pi \\ \text{with the constraint} \\ \partial[\text{graph } u][B^3 \times S^2] = -\partial[E_{n+1}^k] \times [S^2] \end{array} \right\}$$

suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall K \in \mathbb{N} \quad \exists k > K \quad \text{and} \quad u_k \in I_{n+1}^k \\ \text{such that} \quad \inf_{v \in I_n} \int_{B^3} |\nabla(u_k - v)|^2 dx > \varepsilon_{n+1} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

.  $u_k$  minimizes  $F_{v_{n+1}^k}$ . Since  $E(u_k)$  is uniformly bounded we can extract a subsequence of  $u_k$  (allways denoted  $u_k$ ) which weakly converge but, since  $v_{n+1}^k \rightarrow v_n \in \mathcal{R}_{AS}^\pi$  strongly in  $H^1$  from lemma 5 we know that this subsequence strongly converges to an element of  $I_n$ ; we then contradict the inequality (4). The lemma is proved.  $\Delta$

Let  $\varepsilon_n = 1/2^n$ , we consider as from now on the sequence  $(E_n) \in \mathcal{E}_{a,b}$  associated to  $\varepsilon_n$  in lemma 5.

### $\gamma$ ) construction of a strongly $H^1$ convergent sequence of minimizers

Let  $p \geq 0$  we construct  $(u_p^n)_{n \leq p}$  such that

$$u_p^n \in I_n \text{ and } \int_{B^3} |\nabla(u_p^n - u_p^{n-1})|^2 dx \leq 1/2^n \quad (5)$$

let  $v$  be any element of  $I_p$ , we note  $u_p^p = v$ ; we know that

$$\inf_{u \in I_{p-1}} \int_{B^3} |\nabla(u - u_p^p)|^2 dx < 1/2^p$$

let  $u_p^{p-1}$  be an element of  $I_{p-1}$  such that  $\int_{B^3} |\nabla(u_p^{p-1} - u_p^p)|^2 dx < 1/2^p \dots$

We construct now  $u_n \in I_n$  such that  $u_n$  strictly converges in  $H^1$ . From  $(u_k^0)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  we extract  $(u_{\phi(k)}^0)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  which weakly converge in  $H^1$  but those  $u_{\phi(k)}^0$  are minimizers of  $F_{v_0}$ , from lemma 5 this convergence is strong and  $u_0$ , the limit, is a minimizer of  $F_{v_0}$ . From  $u_{\phi(k)}^1$  we extract  $u_{\phi'(\phi(k))}^1$  which weakly converge in  $H^1$  but as before this convergence is strong and  $u_1$ , the limit, is a minimizer of  $F_{v_1}$ . Because of the strong convergence of  $u_{\phi'(\phi(k))}^0$  and  $u_{\phi'(\phi(k))}^1$  and the inequality (5) for  $n=1$

$$\int_{B^3} |\nabla(u_0 - u_1)|^2 dx < 1/2$$

Then by induction we construct  $u_n$  in  $I_n$  such that

$$\int_{B^3} |\nabla(u_n - u_{n-1})|^2 dx < 1/2^n$$

This is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^1$ .  $u_n$  and  $v_n$  verify (D1) and (D2), this proves theorem 3.  $\Delta$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

I thank especially F.Bethuel for bringing this problem to my attention and for many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES:

- [1] F.BETHUEL,H.BREZIS ET J.-M.CORON:" *Relaxed energies for harmonic maps*", in variational problems (H.Berestycki, J.-M.Coron, I.Ekeland, ed.) Birkhauser (1990), p.37-52.
- [2] H.BREZIS,J.-M.CORON ET E.LIEB:" *Harmonic maps with defects*",Comm.Math.Phys.,**107**,(1986),p.649-705.
- [3] L.C.EVANS:" *Partial regularity for stationary harmonic maps into sphere*", Arch. Rationa. Mech. Anal.,**116**,(1991), p.101-113.
- [4] H.FEDERER:" *Geometric measure theory*", Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
- [5] M.GIAQUINTA,G.MODICA ET J.SOUCEK:" *The Dirichlet energy of mappings with values into the sphere*",Manuscripta Math.,**65**,(1989), p.489-507.
- [6] M.GIAQUINTA,G.MODICA ET J.SOUCEK:" *Cartesian currents and variational problems for mappings into spheres*",Ann.Sc.Norm.sup.Pisa,Ser.IV,vol.XVI(3),(1990), p.393-485.
- [7] M.GRUTER:" *Regularity of weak H-surfaces*",J. Reine Angew. Math. ,**329**,(1981), p. 1-15.
- [8] R.HARDT,F.H.LIN ET C.POON:" *Axially symmetric harmonic maps minimizing a relaxed energy*",to appear.
- [9] F.HELEIN:" *Regularité des applications harmoniques entre une surface et une sphère*", C.R.A.S.,**311**,(1990),p.519-525.
- [10] C.B.Jr.MORREY:" *The problem of Plateau on a Riemannian Manifold*", Ann. of Math. , **49**,(1948), p. 807-851.
- C.B.Jr.MORREY:" *Multiple Integrals in the calculus of variations*", Grundlehren 130 (Springer, Berlin, 1966).
- [11] R.SCHOEN:" *Analytic Aspect of the Harmonic Maps Problem*", Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **2** (Springer, Berlin, 1984), p.321-358.
- [12] R.SCHOEN AND K.UHLENBECK:" *A regularity theory for harmonic maps*" J. Diff. Geom., **17**,(1982),p.307-335.
- R.SCHOEN AND K.UHLENBECK:" *Boundary regularity and the Dirichlet problem for Harmonic maps*" J. Diff. Geom.,**18**,(1983), p.253-258.

Address: Centre de Mathematiques et de Leurs Applications  
ENS CACHAN  
61,Avenue du Président Wilson