



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich  
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

# The Fiber Connected Sum

Bachelor Thesis

Amanda Jenny

September 20, 2018

Advisor: Dr. Ana Cannas da Silva  
Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich



---

## Abstract

In 1994, Robert E. Gompf achieved a breakthrough in the construction of symplectic manifolds by presenting the construction of the fiber connected sum. We will consider it in the context of the already then well-known connected sum before applying the construction to show that the fiber connected sum of the symplectic blow-up of a symplectic four-manifold with  $(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{C}P^1)$  is symplectomorphic to the original manifold. In the last part, we will take a closer look at the proof in the case of trivial normal bundles.



---

# Contents

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Some Foundations</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Basics of Symplectic Topology . . . . .	3
2.2 Connected Sum . . . . .	8
2.2.1 At a Point . . . . .	8
2.2.2 Along a Submanifold . . . . .	11
2.3 Implications for Symplectic Geometry . . . . .	13
<b>3 An Explicit Example</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1 Blowing Up and Down . . . . .	15
3.1.1 The Complex Blow Up . . . . .	15
3.1.2 The Symplectic Blow Up . . . . .	18
3.2 Fiber Connected Sum of the Blow Up with $(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{C}P^1)$ . . . . .	21
3.2.1 Outline of the General Construction . . . . .	21
3.2.2 The Summation . . . . .	22
<b>4 Fiber Connected Summation with Trivial Normal Bundles</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>A Appendix</b>	<b>29</b>
A.1 Differential Topology . . . . .	29
A.2 Gompf's Construction . . . . .	33
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>47</b>



## Chapter 1

---

# Introduction

---

Symplectic geometry has its roots in classical mechanics, more accurately Hamiltonian mechanics, but it has evolved into a major branch of geometry and is now studied for its own sake. This does not mean ideas from physics have completely vanished in the topic; rather, symplectic geometry intertwines concepts from several different fields such as differential and algebraic geometry, physics, and topology. The most obvious difference to Riemannian geometry is the symplectic form, which is skew-symmetric in contrast to the symmetry of a Riemannian inner product. This leads to profoundly different properties, such as Darboux's theorem, asserting that a symplectic structure has no local invariants, or the size of the group of symplectomorphism versus the group of isometries. As the title already suggests, the topic of this thesis falls more into the topological part of symplectic geometry. It is even newer, having emerged after 1970. When Gompf published his influential paper in 1994, many fundamental questions were still only partially answered, such as

*Which manifolds can carry symplectic structures?*

*Do symplectic manifolds exist which are not Kähler?*

For the first question some obstructions at that time were known; the most obvious being that the manifold must have even dimension. On noncompact, connected manifolds the existence of an almost complex structure was shown by Gromov to be sufficient[8]. In the closed case one also requires a nontrivial de Rham cohomology class of dimension 2, whose top power is a volume form inducing the same orientation as the almost complex structure.

The second question was first answered in the affirmative by Thurston[21]. However, with the help of a new surgery technique, Gompf constructed various examples of a much greater variety as Thurston did, such as symplectic manifolds not even homotopy equivalent to a complex manifold. In this paper he also proved his celebrated theorem[5].

*For any finitely presentable group  $G$  there is a closed symplectic 4-manifold  $M$  with fundamental group  $\pi_1(M) \cong G$ .*

While surgery on contact manifolds was rather well understood at that time, symplectic manifolds proved to be more resistant. In particular, the connected sum could not be carried over to the category of symplectic manifolds because  $2n$ -spheres  $S^{2n}$  do not admit symplectic structures for  $n > 1$ . Gompf used the normal bundles and tubular neighbourhoods of symplectic submanifolds to circumvent that issue. His main theorem and two preliminary lemmas can be found in the appendix.

However, the main focus of this thesis will not be on the construction but on working out an explicit example. We will consider the symplectic blow up  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\omega})$  of a symplectic 4-manifold  $(M, \omega)$  and show that its fiber connected sum along the exceptional divisor with  $(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{C}P^1)$  is symplectomorphic to the original manifold  $(M, \omega)$ . Along the way we will explain the symplectic blow up, touching upon its connection to the complex blow up, yet another interplay between symplectic and algebraic geometry.

Chapter 2 will introduce important concepts needed later on, namely the connected sum and its failure in the symplectic category, as well as some basics from symplectic geometry. In the third chapter we will dive into the blow up and the application of the fiber connected sum. The last chapter will be dedicated to a short survey of an easier instance of Gompf's construction - the case of trivial normal bundles.



## Chapter 2

---

# Some Foundations

---

### 2.1 Basics of Symplectic Topology

In this subsection we state a few fundamental theorems in symplectic geometry. They can be found in most standard textbooks on the subject so their appearance is more for the sake of completeness. Throughout this thesis, we will assume all manifolds to be smooth and to have empty boundary.

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $M$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional manifold. A symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $M$  is a nondegenerate closed differential 2-form.*

The symplectic form  $\omega$  induces a nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form  $\omega_p$  on each tangent space  $T_pM$  of  $M$ . This makes obvious the first obstruction mentioned in the motivation: A vector space supporting such a form must have even dimension.

A manifold may admit more than one symplectic form, so the question arises how different symplectic forms on a manifold  $M$  may be related. Readers who wish to have more information on this topic may be happier with chapter 3 of *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*[15]. Here we will only present Moser's isotopy, which gives sufficient conditions for two symplectic forms to be isotopic, and explore some of its consequences.

**Theorem 2.2 (Moser's Theorem)** [2, p.43] *Let  $M$  be a compact manifold and assume we have two symplectic forms  $\omega$  and  $\omega'$  on  $M$  such that there is a smooth family of symplectic forms  $\{\omega_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  with  $\omega_0 = \omega$  and  $\omega_1 = \omega'$  and with cohomology class independent of  $t$ . Then there exists an isotopy  $\{\rho_t : M \rightarrow M\}_t$  such that  $\rho_t^* \omega_t = \omega$ .*

**Proof** The idea is to construct a time-dependent vector field  $X$  on  $M$  such that the isotopy is given by the flow of  $X$ . More precisely, we want a smooth

family  $X_t \in \text{Vect}(M)$  such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\rho_t = X_t(\rho_t)$$

As  $M$  is compact, we know that the flow is defined everywhere and above equation is well-defined. We consider what properties the vector field must have such that its flow is the desired isotopy. First of all, we know that  $[\omega_t]$  is independent of  $t$ , hence, for each  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , there exists  $\alpha_t \in H_{dR}^1(M)$  such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\omega_t = d\alpha_t$$

In the simple case where  $\omega_t = \omega + t(\omega' - \omega)$ , it is evident that  $\alpha_t$  depends smoothly on  $t$ ; in the general case, the argument is more involved using induction over the cardinality of a finite good cover of  $M$  and the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, or Hodge Theory.

Moreover, as we want that  $\rho_t^*\omega_t = \omega_0$  and assuming  $\rho_t$  is the flow of a time-dependent vector field  $X_t$ , the vector field must satisfy

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt}\rho_t^*\omega_t = \rho_t^*(\mathcal{L}_{X_t}(\omega_t) + \frac{d}{dt}\omega_t) = \rho_t^*(d(i_{X_t}\omega_t) + i_{X_t}d\omega_t + \frac{d}{dt}\omega_t)$$

where  $i_{X_t}\omega_t(Y) = \omega_t(X_t, Y)$ . As  $d\omega_t = 0$ , this simplifies to

$$0 = \rho_t^*(d(i_{X_t}\omega_t) + \frac{d}{dt}\omega_t) = \rho_t^*(d(i_{X_t}\omega_t) + d\alpha_t) = \rho_t^*(d(i_{X_t}\omega_t + \alpha_t))$$

It suffices to find, for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $X_t \in \text{Vect}(M)$  such that

$$i_{X_t}\omega_t + \alpha_t = 0$$

This is called the Moser equation. Each  $\omega_t$  is symplectic, so in particular non-degenerate, and hence, for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we find such an  $X_t \in \text{Vect}(M)$ . Moreover, the family  $\{X_t\}$  depends smoothly on  $t$  because  $\{\omega_t\}$  and  $\{\alpha_t\}$  do. Now extend  $\{X_t\}_t$  smoothly to all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

For the next theorem and the construction in chapter 4, we use Moser's and Weinstein's technique. Here the concept of a tubular neighbourhood is essential; the definition is in the appendix.

**Theorem 2.3** [3] *Let  $N \subseteq M$  be a smooth submanifold as in the tubular neighbourhood theorem and choose a tubular neighbourhood  $U$  of  $N$  in  $M$ . Let  $i : N \hookrightarrow U$  be the obvious inclusion. If a closed  $k$ -form  $\alpha$  on  $U$  restricted to  $N$  vanishes, i.e.,  $i^*\alpha = 0$ , then  $\alpha = d\mu$  for some  $\mu \in \Omega^{k-1}(U)$ . Moreover, we can choose  $\mu$  such that  $\mu_q = 0$ , for all  $q \in N$ .*

**Proof** Choose a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  and let  $\exp$  denote the exponential map with respect to that metric. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\exp|_{\nu(N)_\varepsilon} : \nu(N)_\varepsilon \rightarrow U_\varepsilon := \{p \in M : \inf_{q \in N} d(p, q) < \varepsilon\}$  is a diffeomorphism. Without loss of generality we may assume  $U = U_\varepsilon$ . Define, for  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\psi_s : U_\varepsilon \rightarrow U_\varepsilon$  by

$$\psi_s(\exp(p, v)) := \exp(p, sv).$$

Then  $\psi_0(U_\varepsilon) = N$ ,  $\psi_1 = id_{U_\varepsilon}$ ,  $\psi_s|_N = id_N$  and  $\{\psi_s\}$  depends smoothly on  $s$ . Moreover, for  $s > 0$ ,  $\psi_s$  is a diffeomorphism and so we can define  $X_s \in \text{Vect}(U_\varepsilon)$  by

$$X_s := \frac{d}{ds} \psi_s \circ (\psi_s)^{-1}$$

Then  $\{X_s\}_{0 < s \leq 1}$  depends smoothly on  $s$  and vanishes on  $N$ . Unfortunately, we cannot extend it to  $[0, 1]$  as  $\psi_0$  is not injective. However, we will sidestep this issue as follows. Set

$$X_0(\exp(p, v)) = \frac{d}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} \psi_0(\exp(p, v)) = 0$$

for  $(p, v) \in \nu(N)_\varepsilon$  and define a smooth cutoff function  $\beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\beta(t) = 1$  for  $t > \delta$  and  $\beta(t) = 0$  for  $t < \frac{\delta}{2}$  where  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$  can be chosen arbitrarily small. Now define a new family  $\{Y_s\}$  by

$$Y_s := X_{\beta(s)}$$

This family depends smoothly on  $s$  and still vanishes on  $N$ . Denote by  $\rho_s$  its flow which agrees with  $\psi_s$  for  $s > \delta$ .

Now define a homotopy operator  $I : \Omega^l(U) \rightarrow \Omega^{l-1}(U)$  as follows. For  $\eta \in \Omega^l(U)$  set

$$I(\eta) = \int_0^1 \rho_s^*(i_{X_s} \eta) ds.$$

**Claim:**  $I$  as defined above is a homotopy operator between  $(i \circ \pi)^*$  and  $id_U^*$  where  $\pi : U \rightarrow N$  is given by  $\pi(\exp(p, v)) = p$ .

**Proof:** The exterior derivative commutes with the integral as  $[0, 1]$  is compact. Fix  $\eta \in \Omega^l(U)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} dI(\eta) + I(d\eta) &= \int_0^1 \rho_s^* d(i_{X_s} \eta) ds + \int_0^1 \rho_s^*(i_{X_s} d\eta) ds = \\ &= \int_0^1 \rho_s^*(d(i_{X_s} \eta) + i_{X_s} d\eta) ds = \int_0^1 \rho_s^*(\mathcal{L}_{X_s} \eta) ds \end{aligned}$$

## 2. SOME FOUNDATIONS

---

where we used Cartan's magic formula in the last equation. But the formulas connecting Lie derivatives and flows give us

$$\int_0^1 \rho_s^*(\mathcal{L}_{X_s}\eta)ds = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d}{ds}\rho_s^*\eta\right)ds = \rho_1^*\eta - \rho_0^*\eta = \psi_1^*\eta - \psi_0^*\eta$$

as on the boundary of  $[0, 1]$   $\psi_s$  agrees with  $\rho_s$ . But  $\psi_0 = i \circ \pi$  and  $\psi_1 = id_U$ , hence  $I$  is the desired homotopy operator. Note that if  $d\eta = 0$  and  $i^*\eta = 0$ , then  $dI(\eta) = \eta$ . But this is exactly the case for the  $k$ -form  $\alpha$ . Hence we can set  $\mu := I(\alpha)$ . Furthermore, because the vector fields  $X_s$  vanish on  $N$  for all  $s$ , we have that, for all  $q \in N$ ,  $\mu_q = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4 (Moser Isotopy in the Symplectic Setting)** [15, p.109] *Let  $M$  be a manifold and let  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$  be two closed 2-forms on  $M$  that agree on a compact submanifold  $N \subset M$  and are nondegenerate on  $N$ . Then there exist two neighbourhoods  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  of  $N$  in  $M$  and a diffeomorphism  $\psi : U_0 \rightarrow U_1$  with  $\psi^*\omega_1 = \omega_0$  and  $\psi|_N = id_N$ .*

**Proof** Choose a tubular neighbourhood  $U$  of  $N$  and set  $\alpha := \omega_1 - \omega_0$ . If  $i : N \rightarrow U$  is the obvious inclusion, then  $i^*\alpha = 0$  and  $d\alpha = 0$ . With the theorem above, we obtain a 1-form  $\eta$  on  $U$  such that  $d\eta = \alpha$ . Now define  $\omega_t = \omega_0 + t d\eta$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $\{\omega_t\}$  is a smooth family of closed 2-forms connecting  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$  with cohomology class not depending on  $t$ . Moreover, because nondegeneracy is an open condition,  $\omega_t$  is nondegenerate on some neighbourhood of  $N$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . With Moser's trick we obtain an isotopy  $\{\rho_t : U \rightarrow U\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  such that  $\rho_t^*\omega_t = \omega_0$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Set  $\psi := \rho_1$ . Then  $\psi^*\omega_1 = \omega_0$  and as  $\omega_t = \omega_0$  on  $N$ , the  $\rho_t$  are equal to the identity on  $N$ , hence  $\psi|_N = id_N$ . Finally set  $U_0 = U_1 = U$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Setting  $N = \{p\}$  for a point  $p \in M$  we obtain Darboux's theorem.

**Theorem 2.5 (Darboux's Theorem)** [2, p.46] *Let  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold. Then for every  $p_0 \in M$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U \subset M$  of  $p_0$  and a chart  $(U, x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$  such that*

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i \tag{2.1}$$

Darboux's theorem shows that locally all symplectic forms are the same, in contrast to, e.g., Riemannian metrics.

Last but not least, we will require Weinstein's Symplectic Neighbourhood

Theorem, which allows us to extend a symplectomorphism of two symplectic submanifolds to a symplectomorphism of sufficiently small neighbourhoods if it is covered by an isomorphism of their normal bundles.

**Theorem 2.6 (Symplectic Neighbourhood Theorem)** [15, p.120] *For  $j = 1, 2$ , let  $(M_j, \omega_j)$  be a symplectic manifold with compact symplectic submanifold  $N_j$ . Assume there is a symplectomorphism  $\varphi : (N_0, \omega_1) \rightarrow (N_1, \omega_2)$  covered by an isomorphism of their symplectic normal bundles  $\Phi : \nu(N_1) \rightarrow \nu(N_2)$ . Then  $\varphi$  extends to a symplectomorphism  $\psi : (U_1, \omega_1) \rightarrow (U_2, \omega_2)$ , where  $U_j$  is a neighbourhood of  $N_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , such that  $d\psi$  induces the map  $\Phi$  on  $\nu(N_1)$ .*

**Proof** Choose a Riemannian metric on  $M_j$  that is compatible with  $\omega_j$ .<sup>1</sup> Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be sufficiently small such that for  $j = 0, 1$  we have the embeddings  $\exp_j : \nu(N_j)_\varepsilon \rightarrow M_j$  and set  $V_j := \exp_j(\nu(N_j)_\varepsilon)$ , where  $\nu(N_j)_\varepsilon = \{(q, v) \in \nu(N_j) : |v| < \varepsilon\}$ .

Now define

$$\psi' := \exp_1 \circ \Phi \circ (\exp_0)^{-1} : V_0 \rightarrow V_1$$

This is a diffeomorphism and its derivative  $d\psi'$  induces  $\Phi$  on  $\nu(N_0)$ . Then  $\psi'^*\omega_1$  agrees with  $\omega_0$  on  $Q$  and Moser Isotopy give us a diffeomorphism  $\rho : U \rightarrow U'$  on neighbourhoods  $U$  and  $U'$  of  $N_0$  in  $M_0$  such that  $\rho^*\psi'^*\omega_1 = \omega_0$  on  $U$ . Shrinking  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  (or  $U$  and  $U'$ ) if necessary, we can conclude by setting  $\psi = \psi' \circ \rho$  and denoting by  $U_j$  the respective shrunk neighbourhood.  $\square$

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we use the existence of an almost complex structure  $J_i$  on the symplectic manifold  $M_i$ [15, p.153] and define  $g_i(u, v) := w(u, Jv)$ .

## 2.2 Connected Sum

Gluing manifolds together is, in principle, not difficult. Doing so and wanting to preserve additional structure is another matter altogether. First of all, if you have smooth manifolds you usually want the resulting manifold also to be smooth, this can be done by identifying not points but collars and smoothing any occurring corners if necessary. If you have an orientation on both manifolds you want to do the gluing in a way such that the sum has an orientation. For that case the connected sum is a well-known tool.

There are two variants of the connected sum, one is a generalisation of the other: the connected sum at a point and the connected sum along a submanifold.

### 2.2.1 At a Point

**Definition 2.7 (Connected Sum at a Point)** [15, p.293]: Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be oriented  $n$ -manifolds. Fix a point  $p_i$  in each manifold  $M_i$  and let  $j_i : B(1) \rightarrow B^i$  be a neighbourhood of  $p_i$  diffeomorphic to the open unit ball (as oriented manifolds, for  $i = 1, 2$ ). Choose  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < 1$  and an orientation reversing diffeomorphism  $\varphi : B^1 \rightarrow B^2$ , f.e.,  $\varphi = j_2 \circ \Phi \circ j_1^{-1}$  where  $\Phi \in O(n) \setminus SO(n)$ . Set  $B_\varepsilon^i := j_i(B(\varepsilon))$ , where  $B(\varepsilon)$  is the open ball of radius  $\varepsilon$ . Now for  $q \in B_\varepsilon^1 \setminus B_\delta^1$  define

$$f(q) = \frac{\delta\varepsilon}{|\varphi(q)|^2} \varphi(q).$$

Then  $f$  is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism as it sends a sphere of radius  $\delta < R < \varepsilon$  to a sphere of radius  $\delta\varepsilon R^{-1}$  and we use it as our gluing map, that is, define the connected sum of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  to be

$$M_1 \# M_2 := (M_1 \setminus cl(B_\delta^1)) \cup_f (M_2 \setminus cl(B_\delta^2))$$

where  $cl(B_\delta^i)$  denotes the closure of  $B_\delta^i$ .

If  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the orientations on  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively (for example induced by a volume form), then we can define an orientation  $\theta$  on  $M_1 \# M_2$  by setting it equal to  $\theta_i$  on  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . By construction, the two orientations agree on the overlap and hence  $\theta$  is well-defined.

**Claim**  $M_1 \# M_2$  is again an oriented  $m$ -manifold. Moreover, if both manifolds are connected, the connected sum  $M_1 \# M_2$  is independent of the choices made in the construction up to diffeomorphisms.

The proof will rely on the following theorem of Palais[16], which we only state here.

**Theorem 2.8** [16, p.131] *Let  $M^m$  be a smooth manifold,  $p \in M$ ,  $U \subset M$  an open neighbourhood of  $p$  and  $\psi : U \rightarrow M$  an orientation preserving smooth injective mapping. Assume  $d\psi(p)$  is nonsingular and if  $M$  is oriented, assume that  $d\psi(p)$  to be orientation preserving. Then there exists an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $p$  and a smooth isotopy  $\{\varphi_s\}_{0 \leq s \leq 1}$  such that  $\varphi_s|_V = \psi|_V$ .*

**Corollary 2.9** *Any two embeddings into  $M$  with the same domain are isotopic, that is, if  $j_1, j_2 : U \hookrightarrow M$  are embeddings, there exists a diffeomorphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\varphi \circ j_1 = j_2$  on some open subset  $V \subset U$ .*

**Proof** This first claim is one of the assertions which are very intuitive but whose proof is rather tedious and involves checking some properties case by case. As the connected sum only tangents the topic of this thesis, we refer to Kosinski[13, p.90] for a full proof of the first statement.

Because any two open balls of radius  $r_1$  and  $r_2 > 0$ , respectively, are diffeomorphic, the diffeomorphism type of the connected sum is independent of the choice of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$ . Using this and the theorem by Palais (that is, the corollary), we obtain that the diffeomorphism type does not depend on the choice of embeddings as well.

Furthermore, because  $SO(n)$  is path connected, for any two  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in O(n) \setminus SO(n)$  there exists a path  $A : t \rightarrow SO(n)$  with  $A(0) = id$  and  $A(1) = \Phi_2 \Phi_1^{-1}$ . Then  $\varphi_t := j_2 \circ A(t) \Phi_1 \circ j_1^{-1}$  is an isotopy. Finally, the connected sum does not depend on the choice of points by the assertion of the homogeneity lemma<sup>2</sup>.

**Remark 2.10** *Assuming  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  to be closed, one can use a simple Mayer-Vietoris argument to show that if  $n = \dim(M_i) > 2$ , then*

$$\dim H_{dR}^k(M_1 \# M_2) = \begin{cases} \dim H_{dR}^k(M_1) + \dim H_{dR}^k(M_2), & 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ \dim H_{dR}^k(M_1) + \dim H_{dR}^k(M_2) - 1, & k = 0, n \end{cases}$$

*Hence  $H_{dR}^*(M_1 \# M_2)$  does only depend on the de Rham cohomology of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  as one would expect from the previous proposition.*

**Remark 2.11** *In particular, if  $n$  is odd, then the Euler characteristic  $\chi(M_1 \# M_2)$  is simply the sum  $\chi(M_1) + \chi(M_2)$ , and if  $n$  is even, it is given by  $\chi(M_1 \# M_2) = \chi(M_1) + \chi(M_2) - 2$ .*

**Remark 2.12** *As we cut out an  $n$ -ball, which has a volume (although arbitrarily small), we lose said volume. This can be avoided by connecting  $M_1$  and*

<sup>2</sup>The result can be found in the appendix

$M_2$  via a cylinder, thus obtaining  $\text{vol}(M_1 \# M_2) = \text{vol}(M_1) + \text{vol}(M_2)$  if one chooses the size of the cylinder appropriately.

**Remark 2.13** *As we identify the boundaries of two balls of dimension together such that orientation on each hemisphere is preserved, we have a consistent orientation on the resulting  $n$ -sphere.*

The connected sum (at a point) can be considered in a wider context. In the setting of surgery one can view it as a 0–surgery on a disjoint union [17, p.17], or it can be shown that it induces an additive group structure on the oriented cobordism groups as is explained concisely in Hirsch’s Differential Topology [9, chapter 7]. However, here we only prove that the connected sum induces an associative commutative operation on the diffeomorphism classes of manifolds with neutral element  $S^m$ , which will be the content of the next few propositions. From now on we will assume all manifolds to have dimension  $m \geq 1$ . They are not necessarily symplectic but as usual smooth.

**Definition 2.14** *Let  $\mathcal{M}_m := \{M : M \text{ is a smooth connected } m\text{-manifold}\}$  be the set of all connected  $m$ -manifolds. Define an equivalence relation  $M \sim M' :\Leftrightarrow M \text{ and } M' \text{ are diffeomorphic}$ . We denote by  $[M]$  the equivalence class of  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m$  under this relation.*

We will write  $[M] * [M'] := [M \# M']$ .

**Proposition 2.2.1** *The  $m$ -sphere  $S^m$  acts as a neutral element for  $*$ .*

**Proof** Let  $M \in \mathcal{M}_m$ . We have to show that  $M \# S^m$  is diffeomorphic to  $M$ . Here we will define the connected sum as was done by Kervaire and Milnor [10]; it is equivalent to our description above.

Let  $B(1) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : |x| < 1\}$  be the open unit ball and  $i : B(1) \hookrightarrow M$  an orientation preserving embedding,  $j : B(1) \hookrightarrow S^m$  an orientation reversing embedding. Set  $p_0 := i(0)$  and  $z := j(0)$ . Then

$$M \# S^m = (M \setminus \{p_0\}) \cup_{\sim} (S^m \setminus \{z\})$$

where  $i(tu) \sim j((1-t)u)$  for  $u \in S^{m-1}$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume, that  $z = (0, \dots, 1)$  and  $j(B(1)) = S^m \setminus \{-z\}$ . Let  $k : B(1) \hookrightarrow S^m \setminus \{z\}$  be an orientation preserving embedding such that for  $y = tu \in B(1/2) \setminus B(1/3)$ ,  $k(tu) = j((1-t)u)$  and  $k(0) = -z$ . Then on  $B(1/2) \setminus B(1/3)$ , we have that  $k(x) \sim i(x)$ . Define  $\psi : M \rightarrow M \# S^m$  by

$$\psi(p) = \begin{cases} p, & p \in M \setminus i(B(1/3)) \\ k(i^{-1}(p)), & p \in i(B(1/2)) \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$



By definition of  $k$ ,  $\psi$  is well-defined and smooth and  $\psi(p_0) = -z$ . An inverse is given by  $\vartheta : M \# S^m$

$$\vartheta(p) = \begin{cases} p, & p \in M \setminus i(B(1/3)) \\ i(k^{-1}(p)), & p \in k(B(1/2)) \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

**Proposition 2.2.2** *The operation  $*$  is associative.*

**Proof** Let  $M, M', M'' \in \mathcal{M}_m$ . Let  $j : B(1) \hookrightarrow M$ ,  $j'_1, j'_2 : B(1) \hookrightarrow M'$ , and  $j'' : B(1) \hookrightarrow M''$  be the embeddings, where  $j$  and  $j'_2$  are orientation preserving and  $j'_1$  and  $j''$  are orientation reversing. Because the diffeomorphism types of  $(M \# M') \# M''$  and  $(M \# M') \# M''$  do not depend on the embeddings, we may assume that  $j'_1(B(1))$  and  $j'_2(B(1))$  are disjoint. Then the connected sum with  $M''$  does not affect the connected sum of  $M$  and  $M'$  and by the associativity of the union, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((M \setminus \{j(0)\}) \cup_{\sim} (M \setminus \{j'_1(0), j'_2(0)\})) \cup_{\sim} (M \setminus \{j(0)\}) = \\ (M \setminus \{j(0)\}) \cup_{\sim} ((M \setminus \{j'_1(0), j'_2(0)\}) \cup_{\sim} (M \setminus \{j(0)\})) \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.2.3** *The operation  $*$  is commutative.*

**Proof** Let  $M, M' \in \mathcal{M}_m$ . Similar to the above let  $B(1) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m : |x| < 1\}$  be the open unit ball and  $i : B(1) \hookrightarrow M$  an orientation preserving embedding,  $j : B(1) \hookrightarrow M'$  an orientation reversing embedding. Then  $M \# M' = (M \setminus \{p_0\}) \cup_{\sim} (M' \setminus \{q_0\})$  with where  $i(tu) \sim j((1-t)u)$  for  $u \in S^{m-1}$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\Phi$  be an orientation reversing orthogonal linear transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and define embeddings  $i' : B(1) \hookrightarrow M$  and  $j' : B(1) \hookrightarrow M'$  by  $i'(x) = i(\Phi x)$  and  $j'(x) = j(\Phi x)$ . Then  $i'$  is orientation reversing and  $j'$  is orientation preserving and we can use them for the connected sum  $M' \# M$ . As we identify exactly the same pairs of points in  $M' \# M$  as in  $M \# M'$ , the claim follows immediately.  $\square$

### 2.2.2 Along a Submanifold

The construction above can be extended to submanifolds. However, the submanifold has to satisfy certain conditions. Let  $M_1^n$  and  $M_2^n$  be two manifolds of the same dimension and let  $N^{n-k}$  be another closed smooth manifold. Let  $j_1 : N \hookrightarrow M_1$  and  $j_2 : N \hookrightarrow M_2$  be two embeddings and let  $\nu_1$  be the normal bundle of  $j_1(N)$  in  $M_1$ ,  $\nu_2$  the one of  $j_2(N)$  in  $M_2$ . If they have opposite Euler classes, i.e.,  $e(\nu_1) = -e(\nu_2)$ , we can form the connected sum of  $M$  and  $M'$  along  $N$  as follows: As  $e(\nu_1) = -e(\nu_2)$ , there exists an orientation reversing bundle isomorphism  $\psi : \nu_1 \rightarrow \nu_2$ . Choose a metric on each normal

## 2. SOME FOUNDATIONS

---

bundle. Using the Tubular Neighbourhood Theorem, identify a subbundle of each normal bundle with a neighbourhood  $V_i$  of  $j_i(N)$  in  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Define  $\varphi : V_1 \setminus j_1(N) \rightarrow V_2 \setminus j_2(N)$  by

$$\varphi(p) = \exp_2\left(\frac{\psi(\exp_1^{-1}(p))}{|\exp_1^{-1}(p)|^2}\right) \quad (2.4)$$

As before we can make the following definition.

**Definition 2.15** *The connected sum of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  along  $N$  via  $\psi$  is*

$$M_1 \#_{\psi} M_2 := (M_1 \setminus j_1(N)) \cup_{\varphi} (M_2 \setminus j_2(N)) \quad (2.5)$$

**Remark 2.16** *In the construction above  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  need not be different manifolds, we can also form the connected "self-sum" of a single manifold  $W$  along  $N$  by choosing two embeddings  $i, j$  of  $N$  with disjoint images and defining as above*

$$\#_{\psi} W := (W \setminus i(N)) \cup_{\varphi} (W \setminus j(N)) \quad (2.6)$$

*Then the construction of  $M_1 \#_{\psi} M_2$  becomes the special case of  $\#_{\psi} W$  where  $W = M_1 \sqcup M_2$ . [5]*

## 2.3 Implications for Symplectic Geometry

We claim that any area form  $\omega$  on a 2-manifold  $M$  is automatically a symplectic form. Indeed, we have that it is obviously a 2-form and closed for dimensional reasons, and non-degeneracy follows immediately from the fact that  $\omega$  is non-vanishing as a volume form. Hence we obtain with the orientation on the "discarded" 2-sphere automatically a symplectic form on  $S^2$ . Now we consider the case where  $n > 1$ .

**Lemma 2.17** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$ . Then  $\omega^n$  is a volume form.*

**Proof**  $\omega^n$  is obviously of top degree, thus we only have to show that it is non-vanishing for all  $p \in M$ . Choose a coordinate chart  $(U, x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$  such that we can write  $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i$  on  $U$ . Then we have

$$\omega^n = n!(dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n \wedge dy_n)$$

This is evidently nondegenerate and we obtain the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.18** *Let  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold. If  $M$  is closed, then the de Rham cohomology class  $[\omega] \in H_{dR}^2(M)$  is nontrivial.*

**Proof** From the previous lemma we know that  $\omega^n$  is a volume form on  $M$ . Assume  $[\omega^n] = 0$ , i.e.,  $\omega^n = d\xi$  for some  $\xi \in \Omega^{2n-1}(M)$ . As  $M$  is compact, we can integrate  $\omega^n$  over  $M$ :

$$0 \neq \int_M \omega^n = \int_M d\xi = \int_{\partial M} \xi = 0$$

where the third equality is due to Stokes' Theorem and the fourth due to the fact that  $\partial M = \emptyset$ . This contradiction shows that  $[\omega^n] \neq 0$ .

Assume now  $\omega$  is exact,  $\omega = d\nu$ . Then  $\omega^n = (d\nu)^n = d(\nu \wedge (d\nu)^{n-1})$ . This contradicts the fact that  $[\omega^n] \neq 0$ . Hence  $\omega$  is not exact.  $\square$

From the last lemma it follows immediately that we cannot have a symplectic form on spheres  $S^{2n}$  with  $n > 1$ , because these spheres have vanishing cohomology groups in dimension 2. This makes it obvious that we cannot apply the connected sum operation in the symplectic category and expect it to be well-defined. To avoid this problem, the fiber connected sum glues along the fibers of the normal bundles instead of collars. For the same reason as argued above, this restricts the summation to codimension 2 submanifolds.



---

## An Explicit Example

---

### 3.1 Blowing Up and Down

#### 3.1.1 The Complex Blow Up

We are going to investigate the connection between the fiber connected sum and the symplectic blow up. More explicitly, we will show that, in dimension 4, the fiber connected sum with  $(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{C}P^1)$  is the inverse operation of the symplectic blow up at a point.

Before we describe the symplectic blow up, we will consider the complex blow up at a point, following the chronological order these constructions were discovered.

The complex blow up (at a point and along a submanifold) is used in algebraic geometry to create divisors and resolve singularities.

We first describe the complex blow up of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  at the origin and then consider a complex manifold.

**Definition 3.1** *The complex blow up  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  at the origin is defined by*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n := \{([z], w) \in \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n : z_i w_j = w_i z_j, i, j = 0, \dots, n-1\} \quad (3.1)$$

We have two maps induced by the projections onto the respective factor, namely

$$\pi' : \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} : ([z], w) \mapsto [z] \quad (3.2)$$

$$\pi'' : \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : ([z], w) \mapsto w \quad (3.3)$$

Then  $\pi'$  exhibits  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  as the tautological line bundle  $L$  over  $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ , whose fiber over each point  $[z] \in \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  is the line  $[z] \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  itself. This shows that  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  is a smooth manifold. We define the exceptional divisor  $Z$  to be  $Z := \pi''^{-1}(0)$ .

**Definition 3.2** *The complex blow up of  $M$  at  $p_0$  is given by*

$$\tilde{M} := (M \setminus p_0) \cup Z \quad (3.4)$$

where  $Z := \{\ell = [v] \subset T_{p_0}M : \ell \text{ is a 1-dimensional complex subspace, } v \in \ell\}$  and we have a map  $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  being the identity on  $\tilde{M} \setminus Z = M \setminus \{p_0\}$  and with  $\pi(Z) = \{p_0\}$ .

Then  $Z$  is called the exceptional divisor.

**Claim**  $\tilde{M}$  is a complex manifold of complex dimension  $n$ .

**Proof** Let  $\{U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha\}$  be an atlas of  $M$  and by shrinking some  $U_\alpha$  if necessary, assume  $p$  lies in a single chart  $U := U_{\alpha_0}$ . Set  $\varphi := \varphi_{\alpha_0}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\varphi(p_0) = 0$ . We will use the complex smooth structure of  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$ . Explicitly, define for  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ ,  $V'_k := \{([z], w) \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n : z_k \neq 0\}$  and  $\psi'_k : V'_k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  by

$$\psi'_k([z], w) = \left( \frac{z_0}{z_k}, \dots, w_k, \dots, \frac{z_{n-1}}{z_k} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Define  $\vartheta : \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^n$  by

$$\vartheta(p) := \begin{cases} ([\varphi(\pi(p))], \varphi(\pi(p))), & p \in \pi^{-1}(U) \setminus Z \\ ([d\varphi(p_0)v], 0), & p = [v] \in Z \end{cases}$$

One checks easily that  $\vartheta$  is an embedding. Now set  $U'_k := U \cap \varphi^{-1}(\psi'_k(V'_k))$  and define charts on  $\tilde{M}$  by  $V_\alpha := \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$  and  $V_k = \pi^{-1}(U'_k)$ , together with the maps  $\psi_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha \circ \pi$  on  $V_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ , and  $\psi_k = \psi'_k \circ \vartheta$  on  $V_k$ . All maps are diffeomorphisms onto their images and hence  $\mathcal{A} = \{(V_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \neq \alpha_0} \cup \{(V_k, \psi_k)\}$  is an atlas for  $\tilde{M}$ .  $\square$

The manifold need not be complex, it suffices to have an almost complex structure. Moreover, one can even show that the construction extends to oriented (even dimensional) manifolds when one defines a complex structure compatible with the orientation near the blow up locus. Another proposition asserts that  $\tilde{M}$  is diffeomorphic to the connected sum of  $M$  with the complex projective plane given the opposite orientation,  $M \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^n}$ . We will prove it in dimension 4, with the help of the next two lemmas.

**Lemma 3.3** *The normal bundle of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  is isomorphic to  $L^*$ .*

**Proof** Let  $h$  be the Poincaré dual of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . We claim that  $h$  is the Euler class (or first Chern class) of the line bundle  $H^1 = \{[z_0 : z_1 : z_2; \zeta] \in \mathbb{C}P^2 \times \mathbb{C} : [z_0 : z_1 : z_2; \zeta] = [\lambda z_0 : \lambda z_1 : \lambda z_2; \lambda \zeta]\}$ . To see that, consider the

section  $s([z_0 : z_1 : z_2]) := [z_0 : z_1 : z_2; z_2]$ . It is transverse to the zero section of  $H^1$  and its zero locus is  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  (if we embed  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  as usual). Hence the normal bundle  $\nu(\mathbb{C}P^1)$  is isomorphic to  $H^1$ . Moreover,  $H^1$  is isomorphic as a vector bundle to the canonical line bundle  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(L, \mathbb{C}) = L^*$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4** *Define  $\psi'(z_0, z_1) := [z_0 : z_1 : 1] \in \mathbb{C}P^2$  for  $(z_0, z_1) \in B_1$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \psi'(B_1)$  is diffeomorphic to a tubular neighbourhood of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ .*

**Proof** We have that  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \psi'(B_1) = \mathbb{C}P^1 \cup \{[z_0 : z_1 : 1] \in \mathbb{C}P^2 : |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 > 1\}$ . Define  $\tau : L^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$  by

$$\tau([z_0 : z_1], \lambda) = [z_0 : z_1 : \lambda(z_0 : z_1)] \quad (3.6)$$

This is well-defined, because if  $[z_0 : z_1] = [z'_0 : z'_1]$ , then  $[z_0 : z_1 : \lambda(z_0 : z_1)] = [z'_0 : z'_1 : \lambda(z'_0 : z'_1)]$ . Now choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so small that for  $|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 = 1$   $|\lambda(z_0, z_1)| < \varepsilon$ , then

$$|\lambda(z_0, z_1)| = 1 \Rightarrow |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 > 1$$

Then  $\tau : L^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus \psi'(B_1)$  is a diffeomorphism. Because  $L^*$  is isomorphic to the normal bundle of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  and by the uniqueness of tubular neighbourhoods, the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.1.1** *Let  $M$  be a complex manifold of complex dimension 2 and let  $p \in M$ . Then the complex blow up  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$  at  $p$  is diffeomorphic to  $M \# \mathbb{C}P^2$  and the diffeomorphism is orientation-preserving.*

**Proof** Above we saw that  $\tilde{M}$  is a complex manifold and hence if  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is a chart on  $M$  with  $p \in U$ , we have a chart  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{U} := \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow L$  with

$$\tilde{\varphi}(q) = \begin{cases} ([\varphi \circ \pi(q)], \varphi \circ \pi(q)) , & \text{if } q \in \tilde{U} \setminus Z \\ ([d\varphi(p)v], 0) , & \text{if } q = [v] \in \tilde{U} \cap Z \end{cases}$$

Thus  $Z$  is biholomorphic and in particular diffeomorphic to the zero section in  $L$ , that is, we can identify a tubular neighbourhood of  $Z$  in  $\tilde{M}$  with  $L_\varepsilon := \{([z], z) \in L : |z| < \varepsilon\}$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Let  $\Psi : L_\varepsilon \rightarrow V \subset \tilde{M}$  be that diffeomorphism. Then  $\Psi$  is orientation preserving.

The obvious evaluation gives us a nondegenerate pairing  $L^*_{[z]} \otimes L_{[z]} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  from which we see that  $L^* \otimes L$  is the trivial line bundle and hence  $c_1(L) = -c_1(L^*)$ . Thus we have an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism  $\Phi : L^* \rightarrow L$ . But now we are working with  $\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ , hence if we let  $\nu_1$  be the normal bundle of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}P^1}$  in

$\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ , we have an orientation preserving diffeomorphism  $\Phi' : \nu_1 \rightarrow L$ .

Define  $\psi_2 : B_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$  by  $\psi_2(z_0, z_1) = [z_0 : z_1 : 1]$ . Note that  $\psi_2$  is orientation reversing. By the lemma above, we have that  $\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \setminus \psi_2(B_1)$  is a tubular neighbourhood of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}P^1}$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ . Denote the diffeomorphism by  $j : \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \setminus \psi_2(B_1) \rightarrow (\nu_1)_\delta$  and shrink  $\varepsilon$  or  $\delta$  if necessary such that  $\varepsilon = \delta$ .

We use  $\psi_2$  as our orientation reversing embedding and identify  $[z] \in \psi_2(B_1 \setminus \{0\})$  with  $\Psi \circ \Phi' \circ j([z])$ .

Define  $\varphi : M \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$  to be the identity on  $M \setminus p$  and for  $[z] \in \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \setminus \psi_2(B_\varepsilon)$  set

$$\varphi([z]) := \Psi \circ \Phi' \circ j([z])$$

By the construction of the connected sum, the two definitions of  $\varphi$  agrees on the overlap and hence constitutes an orientation preserving diffeomorphism.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5** *As the notions of connected sum and orientation are also valid on topological manifolds, this proposition allows one to extend the definition of blowing up to the category of (even-dimensional) topological manifolds. Explicitly, we define the blow up  $\tilde{M}$  of a topological  $2n$ -manifold  $M$  to be*

$$\tilde{M} := M \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^n}$$

### 3.1.2 The Symplectic Blow Up

We now describe the symplectic blow up.<sup>1</sup>

Let  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$  be a closed symplectic manifold and let  $p \in M$  be a point. Identify an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p$  symplectically with an open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$  where  $\mathbb{C}^n$  carries the standard symplectic structure  $\omega_0$ . This is equivalent to choosing a symplectic embedding  $i'_r : B_r := B^{2n}(r) \rightarrow M$ . Using the symplectic ball extension theorem, extend this embedding to a symplectic embedding  $i_r : B_{r+\delta} \rightarrow M$ .

Recall the tautological line bundle  $L := \{([z], w) \in \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n : z_i w_j = z_j w_i\}$  with the projections  $\pi' : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  and  $\pi'' : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ . We obtain a family of symplectic forms  $\omega_\lambda := \pi''^* \omega_0 + \lambda^2 \pi'^* \omega_{FS}$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ . Denote by  $\tilde{B}_r := (\pi'')^{-1}(B_r)$  and by  $\tilde{B}_\delta := (\pi'')^{-1}(B_\delta)$ . Note that  $(\pi'')|_{L \setminus 0} : L \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0$  is a diffeomorphism.

**Lemma 3.6**  *$(\tilde{B}_\delta \setminus Z, \omega_r)$  is symplectomorphic to  $(B_{\delta+r} \setminus B_r, \omega_0)$ .*

---

<sup>1</sup>Much of this description was inspired by Jonny Evan's lecture on the symplectic blow up [4] and by chapter 7 in *Introduction to Symplectic Topology* [15].



**Proof** Let  $pr : \mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  be the usual projection. Consider  $\rho := \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(|z|^2)$ . We will show that  $pr^* \omega_{FS} = \rho$ . The Fubini-Study form on the usual coordinate chart  $U_l = \{[z] \in \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} : z_l \neq 0\}$  is given by  $\rho_l := \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log\left(\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |z_k|^2}{|z_l|^2}\right)$ . Above equality holds if and only if it holds on every coordinate chart, hence we can assume we are working on  $U_l$  for some  $0 \leq l \leq n-1$ . Computing this, we obtain

$$\omega_{FS} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j}{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |z_j|^2} - \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{z}_j dz_j \wedge z_j d\bar{z}_j}{(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |z_j|^2)^2} \right)$$

The dependence on  $z_l$  vanishes (as it better do for  $\omega_{FS}$  to be well-defined on all of  $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ ) and this term is exactly equal to  $\rho$  apart from the domain which is rectified by the pullback  $pr^*$ .

Define  $F' : B_\delta \setminus 0 \rightarrow B_{\delta+r} \setminus B_r$  by  $F'(z) = z \sqrt{1 + \frac{r^2}{z^2}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F'^* \omega_0 &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F'^* dz_k \wedge F'^* d\bar{z}_k = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{|z|^2}\right) dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k - \frac{r^2}{|z|^4} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k - \frac{r^2}{|z|^4} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_l + \frac{r^2}{|z|^2} dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k \right] = \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (|z|^2 + r^2 \log(|z|^2)) = \omega_0 + r^2 pr^* \omega_{FS} \end{aligned}$$

Now we can pull back by  $(\pi''|_{\tilde{B}_\delta \setminus Z})^{-1}$  to obtain the claim. For later reference set  $F := F' \circ \pi''|_{\tilde{B}_\delta \setminus Z}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.7** Define the symplectic blow up  $\tilde{M}$  of weight  $r$  of  $M$  at  $p$  to be

$$\tilde{M} := (M \setminus i_r(B_r)) \cup_{i_r \circ F} \tilde{B}_\delta \quad (3.7)$$

where  $F$  is the symplectomorphism from the lemma above. Then the symplectic forms agree on the overlap and we have a well-defined symplectic form  $\tilde{\omega}$  on the blow up.

Define a map  $\pi_M : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  by letting it be the identity on  $M \setminus i(B_r)$ , for  $p' \in \tilde{B}_\delta \setminus Z$ , set  $\pi_M(p') = i_r(F(p'))$  and, for all  $p' \in Z$ , set  $\pi_M(p') = i_r(0) = p$ . Then  $\pi_M$  is smooth and  $\pi_M^* \omega$  is a closed 2-form on  $\tilde{M}$ . This allows us a nicer description of the cohomology class of  $\tilde{\omega}$  in terms of  $\pi_M^*[\omega]$  and  $Z$ .

From now on we assume our manifold to have real dimension 4.

**Lemma 3.8** The cohomology class of the symplectic form  $\tilde{\omega}$  is given by  $[\tilde{\omega}] = \pi_M^*[\omega] - r^2 \pi[\tau_Z]$  where  $[\tau_Z]$  denotes the Poincaré dual of  $[Z]$  in  $\tilde{M}$ .

**Proof** We use the Mayer-Vietoris sequence to show that  $H^2(\tilde{M}) \cong H^2(M) \oplus H^2(Z)$ . Write  $\tilde{M} = M \setminus i(B_r) \cup \tilde{B}_\delta$  and set  $N := M \setminus i(B_r)$ . We then have an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{k-1}(N \cap \tilde{B}_\delta) \rightarrow H^k(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow H^k(N) \oplus H^k(\tilde{B}_\delta) \rightarrow H^k(N \cap \tilde{B}_\delta) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now  $N \cap \tilde{B}_\delta = i(B_{r+\delta}) \setminus i(B_r)$  which is homotopy equivalent to  $S^3$ ,  $\tilde{B}_\delta$  deformation retracts onto  $Z$  and hence for  $k = 2$ , we have

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{M}) \rightarrow H^2(N) \oplus H^2(Z) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.8)$$

Now another simple Mayer-Vietoris argument shows that  $H^k(N) = H^k(M)$  for  $k \neq 4$ .

Because  $\tilde{\omega} = \pi_M^* \omega$  on  $M \setminus i(B_r)$  and because  $[\tau_Z]$  generates  $H^2(Z)$ , we have that  $[\tilde{\omega}] = \pi_M^*[\omega] + a[\tau_Z]$ , where  $a = \int_Z \tilde{\omega}$ . But the explicit description of  $\tilde{\omega}$  on  $\tilde{B}_\delta$  gives us

$$a = \int_Z \tilde{\omega} = r^2 \int_Z \pi'^* \omega_{FS} = r^2 \pi Z \cdot Z \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \omega_{FS} = -r^2 \pi \quad (3.9)$$

where the last equation will be proved in the next lemma and we assume that the Fubini Study metric  $\omega_{FS}$  is so scaled that  $\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} \omega_{FS} = \pi$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9**  *$Z$  has self-intersection number -1 in  $\tilde{M}$ .*

**Proof** We only have to show that  $Z$  has self-intersection number -1 in  $\tilde{B}_\delta$ , respectively  $L$ . But  $Z$  is the zero section of  $L$ , hence  $Z \cdot Z$  is simply the Euler number of  $L$ . Consider the smooth section  $s : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow L$  given by  $s([z_0 : z_1]) := ([z_0 : z_1], (\frac{|z_0|^2}{|z|^2}, \frac{\bar{z}_0 z_1}{|z|^2}))$ . Then  $s^{-1}(0) = \{[0 : 1]\}$  and

$$Ds([0 : 1]) = (d\bar{z}_0)_{[0:1]}$$

which is orientation reversing. Thus the index of  $s$  at  $[0 : 1]$  is -1 and the assertion follows.  $\square$

We will sum along the exceptional divisor  $Z$  with  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . In the next section we will check the requirements explicitly.

Before we start, we have to consider the issue of volume. As  $M$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  are closed manifolds, the second power of their respective symplectic forms constitutes a volume form, as does the second power of the symplectic form on the blow up. For the manifold after the fiber connected sum to be symplectomorphic to  $M$ , we need them to have the same volume (with respect to their respective symplectic structure). This can be achieved by scaling the symplectic form on  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  accordingly, to determine by what constant we need to determine the volume lost during the blow up.

**Lemma 3.10** *The volume of the blow-up  $\tilde{M}$  is given by the formula[12, p.4]*

$$\int_{\tilde{M}} \tilde{\omega}^2 = \int_M \omega^2 - r^4 \pi^2 \quad (3.10)$$

**Proof** Inded, we have that

$$\int_{\tilde{M}} \pi_M^* \omega \wedge \tau_Z = \int_Z \pi_M^* \omega = 0$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{M}} \tilde{\omega}^2 &= \int_{\tilde{M}} (\pi_M^* \omega - r^2 \pi \tau_Z)^2 = \int_{\tilde{M}} \pi_M^* (\omega \wedge \omega) + r^4 \pi^2 \int_Z \tau_Z \\ &= \int_M \omega^2 + r^4 \pi^2 Z \cdot Z = \int_M \omega^2 - r^4 \pi^2 \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## 3.2 Fiber Connected Sum of the Blow Up with $(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{C}P^1)$

The aim of this subsection is to establish that the blow down of  $\tilde{M}$  along the exceptional divisor is symplectomorphic to the symplectic sum of  $\tilde{M}$  with  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  along  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ .

### 3.2.1 Outline of the General Construction

We will briefly outline the construction. A more detailed description can be found in the appendix.

Let  $(M^n, \omega_M)$  and  $(N^{n-2}, \omega_N)$  be two closed symplectic manifolds. Assume we have two symplectic embeddings  $j_1 : N \hookrightarrow M$  and  $j_2 : N \hookrightarrow M$ . We require them to have disjoint image and that the normal bundles  $\nu_1$  of  $j_1(N)$  and  $\nu_2$  of  $j_2(N)$  have opposite Euler classes, i.e.,  $e(\nu_1) = -e(\nu_2)$ . This is of course satisfied if they have trivial normal bundles. This condition guarantees the existence of an orientation-reversing bundle isomorphism  $\psi : \nu_1 \rightarrow \nu_2$ . With the help of Čech cohomology one can find an  $SO(2)$ -vector bundle  $E \rightarrow N$  such that  $e(E) = e(\nu_1)$ . We build a 2-sphere  $S$  from a subbundle of  $E$  together with the same subbundle but opposite orientation by clutching and then identify tubular neighbourhoods of  $j_1(N)$  and  $j_2(N)$  symplectically with the north and south pole of  $S$  respectively (after we perturb  $\omega_M$  slightly near  $j_2(N)$ ). This sphere is then used to symplectically identify a small neighbourhood of  $j_1(N)$  with one of  $j_2(N)$ . One can show that the perturbed symplectic form induces a family of isotopic symplectic forms on the manifold  $\#_\psi M$  obtained

from  $M$  by gluing the neighbourhoods via  $S$ .

The perturbation of  $\omega_M$  can be kept as localised as one wishes and the embedding  $j_1(N)$  is not affected by it. It is necessary as the identification of  $j_2(N)$  with the north pole of  $S$  is in general not symplectic.

### 3.2.2 The Summation

We now check explicitly the requirements stated by the main theorem. First of all, the normal bundles of the embeddings of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  need have inverse Euler classes. We have seen in lemma 3.3 that  $\nu(\mathbb{C}P^1)$  is isomorphic to  $L^*$  as an oriented line bundle and by construction  $\nu(Z) = L$ , hence this condition is satisfied.

Let  $(\mathbb{C}P^2, r^2\omega_{FS})$  be the complex projective space with the scaled symplectic form and  $(N, \omega_N) := (\mathbb{C}P^1, r^2\omega_{FS})^2$  and define  $W := \tilde{M} \sqcup_{\vartheta} \mathbb{C}P^2$ . We have the two symplectic embeddings  $j_1 : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^2$  and  $j_2 : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \tilde{B}_\delta \subset \tilde{M}$ , where

$$j_1([z_0 : z_1]) = [z_0 : z_1 : 0]$$

and

$$j_2([z_0 : z_1]) = ([z_0 : z_1], 0).$$

Then  $\nu_1 = H^1$  and  $\nu_2 = L$  (with the opposite orientation), so we can set  $\vartheta([z_0 : z_1; \xi]) := ([z_0 : z_1], (\xi z_0, \xi z_1))$ .

Scaling the fiber metrics on  $H^1$  and  $L$  if necessary, we may assume  $\nu_2^0 = (\nu_2)_{\pi^{-1/2}}$  is diffeomorphic to  $\tilde{B}_\delta$ , so we can set  $V_2 = \tilde{B}_\delta$ . Let  $V_1$  be a tubular neighbourhood of  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  diffeomorphic to  $(\nu_1)_{\pi^{-1/2}}$  (with the induced metric). It is obvious that one can extend the embedding of  $\nu_1^0$  to an embedding of  $\nu_1 = H^1$ . In the case of  $\nu_2$ , one uses a chart on  $\tilde{M}$  containing the blow up locus.

On  $i_r(B_\delta \setminus B_r) \cong \tilde{B}_\delta \setminus Z$ ,  $\omega_M$  agrees with  $\omega_r = \pi'^*\omega_0 + r^2\pi''^*\omega_{FS}$ , which is  $SO(2)$ -invariant on each fiber of  $\nu_1^0$ , and if we denote by  $\varphi_i : U_i\mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the standard chart,  $i = 0, 1$ , we have

$$r^2 \int_{(\nu_2)_{[z_0:1]}} \omega_{FS} = r^2 \int_{(\nu_2)_{[z_0:1]}} \varphi_1^*\omega_0 = r^2 \int_{|z| < (r+\delta)} \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \pi(r+\delta)^2 r^2$$

and

$$r^2 \int_{(\nu_2)_{[1:z_1]}} \omega_{FS} = r^2 \int_{(\nu_2)_{[1:z_1]}} \varphi_0^*\omega_0 = r^2 \int_{|z| < (r+\delta)} \frac{i}{2} dz \wedge d\bar{z} = \pi(r+\delta)^2 r^2$$

---

<sup>2</sup>By a slight abuse of notation we will denote the Fubini Study form on the complex projective line and plane both by  $\omega_{FS}$ .

### 3.2. Fiber Connected Sum of the Blow Up with $(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{C}P^1)$

---

Hence the area  $t_0$  of each fiber with respect to  $\omega_M$  is  $t_0 = \pi(r + \delta)^2 r^2$  and thus independent of the fiber. Therefore we have the second and third part of the theorem. The 2-form  $\zeta$  is the difference between  $\pi_M^* \omega_M$  and  $\tilde{\omega}$ , namely  $r^2(\pi')^* \tau_Z$ . It is closed and is supported in an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of  $\tilde{B}_\delta$  (as we can choose our gluing in  $\tilde{M}$  to be on an arbitrarily small collar). For the  $O(2)$ -bundle isomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}$  we can use  $\psi$ , and we let  $K$  be the empty set. Then  $\varphi([z_0 : z_1; \xi]) = \iota(\bar{\varphi}([z_0 : z_1; \xi])) = \iota([z_0 : z_1], (\xi z_0, \xi z_1)) = ([z_0 : z_1], \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi|\xi|^2} - 1}(\xi z_0, \xi z_1))$  and if  $\varphi_i : U_i \subset \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denotes the standard chart, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#_\vartheta W &= \tilde{M} \setminus Z \cup_\varphi \mathbb{C}P^2 = \\ &= ((M \setminus i_r(B_r)) \cup_{i_r \circ F} (\tilde{B}_\delta \setminus Z)) \cup_\varphi \mathbb{C}P^2 = (M \setminus i_r(B_r)) \cup_{i_r \circ F \circ \varphi} \mathbb{C}P^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega$  be the induced symplectic form of the symplectic sum. We will not check part one of the main theorem. But we will show that  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $\#_\psi W$ .

**Claim**  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $\#_\psi W$

**Proof** We have that

$$\#_\psi W = M \setminus i_r(B_r) \cup_{i_r \circ F \circ \varphi} \mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus V \cong M \setminus i_r(B_r) \cup_{f'} B_1$$

Indeed, by lemma 3.4, we know that  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \setminus V \cong B_1 = \{(z_0, z_1) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 \leq 1\}$ . If we denote by  $\tau$  this diffeomorphism, then we can set  $f' := i_r \circ F \circ \varphi \circ \tau$  to obtain the equation. Thus we replace  $i_r(B_r)$  with  $B_1$  (by appropriate gluing) which does not change the diffeomorphism type of  $M$ .  $\square$

Now Gompf's result asserts that  $\omega$  is isotopic to  $\omega_M$  and hence  $(M, \omega_M)$  is symplectomorphic to  $(\#_\psi W, \omega)$ . This shows that the fiber connected sum of  $(\tilde{M}, Z)$  with  $(\mathbb{C}P^2, \mathbb{C}P^1)$  is the so called blow down of  $\tilde{M}$  along  $Z$ . As the name already suggests, the blow down is the inverse operation to the blow up and roughly speaking consists of cutting out the exceptional divisor and sewing a ball back in. Hence any closed symplectic manifold  $M$  of dimension  $2n$  can be blown down as long as it contains a submanifold symplectomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  whose normal bundle in  $M$  is the tautological line bundle. Because this reduces the second Betti number of  $M$ , one can blow down  $M$  only finitely many times.



## Chapter 4

---

# Fiber Connected Summation with Trivial Normal Bundles

---

We consider here the easier version of Gompf's construction, namely when the normal bundles are trivial. It has already considerable consequences and is moreover a nice application of Weinstein's Symplectic Neighbourhood Theorem.

Let  $(M^m, \omega_M)$  and  $(N^{m-2}, \omega_N)$  be two closed symplectic manifolds and assume we are given a symplectic embedding  $j : N \hookrightarrow M$ . Furthermore, assuming that the normal bundle is trivial, we have a framing  $X = (X_1, X_2)$  of  $N$  in  $M$ , where we identify  $N$  with  $j(N)$ .  $N$  and  $M$  are both oriented by their symplectic forms and we choose the normal vector fields  $X_1, X_2$  such that for each  $p \in N$ ,

$$T_p N \oplus \langle X_1(p) \rangle \oplus \langle X_2(p) \rangle = T_p M$$

as oriented vector spaces.

Denote by  $B_\varepsilon := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| < \varepsilon\}$  the open ball of radius  $\varepsilon$ . We have the standard symplectic structure  $\omega_0 = dx_1 \wedge dx_2$  on  $B_\varepsilon$ . Let  $\pi' : N \times B_\varepsilon \rightarrow N$  and  $\pi'' : N \times B_\varepsilon \rightarrow B_\varepsilon$  denote the projections. Then the symplectic structures on  $N$  and  $B_\varepsilon$  pull back to give the product symplectic structure on  $N \times B_\varepsilon$ , namely  $\omega' = \pi'^* \omega_N + \pi''^* \omega_0$ .

**Lemma 4.1** [6] *If we let  $(N, \omega_N)$  and  $(B_\varepsilon, \omega_0)$  be as above, then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, there exists a symplectic embedding  $\varphi : N \times B_\varepsilon \rightarrow M$ , such that  $\varphi(p, 0) = j(p)$ , for all  $p \in N$ , and  $\varphi$  maps the product normal framing of  $N \times B_\varepsilon$  onto  $X$  (up to isotopy).*

**Proof** We will first construct an embedding that maps the product framing onto  $X$  but is not symplectic, and afterwards invoke Weinstein's Symplectic

Neighbourhood theorem. Choose a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  compatible with  $\omega_M$ <sup>1</sup> and let  $\exp$  denote the exponential map with respect to  $g$ . Let  $\nu$  denote the normal bundle of  $j(N)$  in  $M$  and let  $\delta > 0$  be sufficiently small so that  $\exp|_{\nu_\delta}$  is an embedding into  $M$ . Define  $\varphi' : N \times B_\delta \rightarrow M$  by

$$\varphi'(p, x) = \exp(j(p), x_1 X_1(p) + x_2 X_2(p))$$

for  $(p, x) \in N \times B_\delta$ . Then it is an embedding by the hypotheses and equal to  $j$  on the zero section of  $\nu(N)_\delta$ . Moreover, if  $p \in N$ , then  $\partial_{x_i} \varphi'(p, 0) = X_i(p)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , hence we have our desired (not yet symplectic) embedding. Now consider  $\varphi'|_{N \times \{0\}}^* \omega_M$ . Because  $\varphi'$  agrees with  $j$  on  $N \times \{0\}$ , this equals  $\pi'^* \omega_N + \alpha \pi''^* \omega_0$  on  $N \times \{0\}$ . Now  $\alpha > 0$  because  $\omega_0$  is the area form of  $T_x B_\delta$  and the product orientation is mapped onto the orientation given by the normal framing. Rescaling the fibers  $B_\delta$ , we may assume  $\alpha = 1$ . Because  $N$  is a symplectic submanifold of  $N \times B_\delta$ , there is  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$  and a diffeomorphism  $\psi : N \times B_\varepsilon \rightarrow N \times B_\delta$  (shrinking  $\delta$  if necessary) isotopic to the identity such that  $\pi'^* \omega_N + \pi''^* \omega_0 = \psi^* \varphi'^* \omega_M$  and  $\psi$  is equal to the identity on  $N \times \{0\}$ . Then  $\varphi := \varphi' \circ \psi$  is our desired symplectic embedding.  $\square$

As in the case of the ordinary connected sum at a point we have to interchange boundaries before we glue in order for the orientations to match up. Here dimension 2 is special because in this case it can be done symplectically. For the next lemma it will be convenient to use polar coordinates  $(r, \theta)$  on  $B_\varepsilon$ . In these coordinates,  $\omega_0 = r dr \wedge d\theta$ .

**Lemma 4.2** *The smooth map  $\psi : B_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow B_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$  given by  $\psi(r, \theta) = (\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - r^2}, -\theta)$  is a symplectomorphism.*

**Proof**

$$\psi^* \omega_0 = (r \circ \psi) d(r \circ \psi) \wedge d(\theta \circ \psi) = (-1)^2 \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - r^2} \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - r^2}} dr \right) \wedge d\theta = r dr \wedge d\theta \quad \square$$

**Definition 4.3** *We define the fiber connected sum of  $(M, \omega_1)$  and  $(M_2, \omega_2)$  along  $N$  to be*

$$M_1 \#_\psi M_2 := (M_1 \setminus j_1(N)) \cup_\varphi (M_2 \setminus j_2(N)) \quad (4.1)$$

where we glue via  $\varphi := \varphi_2 \circ (id_N \times \psi) \circ (\varphi_1|_{\varphi_1(N \times B_\varepsilon \setminus 0)})^{-1} : \varphi_1(N \times (B_\varepsilon \setminus 0)) \rightarrow \varphi_2(N \times (B_\varepsilon \setminus 0))$ . Then the symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $M_1 \#_\psi M_2$  is simply  $\omega_1$  on  $M_1 \setminus j_1(N)$  and  $\omega_2$  on  $M_2 \setminus j_2(N)$ .

<sup>1</sup>It exists because there exists an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M$  compatible with  $\omega_M$ , see [15, p.153]



---

Again, the volume of the fiber connected sum depends on the choice of  $\varepsilon$ , where the volume is taken with respect to the respective symplectic form. However we can require the symplectic sum to be additive regarding volume (in a more natural way than for the connected sum) by choosing the tubular neighbourhoods (that is,  $\varphi_i$ ) appropriately. Gompf showed that, if we require the volumes to add, any two symplectic forms on  $M_1 \#_\psi M_2$  are isotopic.[5, p.26]



## Appendix A

---

# Appendix

---

### A.1 Differential Topology

We present some fundamental theorems from differential topology which are still an important part of the background needed for the topic. Some of the shorter proofs are included as they illustrate some methods of proof in basic differential topology but longer winded ones are omitted for reasons of space.

**Theorem A.1 (Homogeneity Lemma)** [14, p.22] *Let  $q_0, q_1$  be two points of a connected manifold  $M$ . Then there exists an isotopy  $h : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $h(q_0) = q_1$ .*

The notion of the normal bundle surfaces again and again in differential and symplectic topology. It gives us an explicit geometric meaning of the Poincaré dual of a submanifold and in some cases a neat description of a neighbourhood of the submanifold.

**Definition A.2** *Let  $M^m$  be a smooth manifold,  $N^n$  a smooth submanifold of  $M$ . We define the normal bundle  $\nu(N) \xrightarrow{p} N$ , as follows. For  $p \in N$  let the fiber, the so called normal space at  $p$ , be the quotient space  $\nu(N)_p := T_p M / T_p N$ . We can embed  $N$  in  $\nu(N)$  via the zero section  $i_0(p) = (p, 0)$ . A tubular neighbourhood of  $N$  is a neighbourhood of  $N$  in  $M$  which is diffeomorphic to a neighbourhood of  $N$  in  $\nu(N)$  (where we identify  $N$  with  $i_0(N)$ ) via a diffeomorphism  $\psi$  which restricts to the identity on  $N$ , i.e., such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & N & \\ & \swarrow & \searrow \\ U_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & U \end{array}$$

where the unlabeled arrows denote the inclusions  $i : N \hookrightarrow U$  and  $i_0 : N \hookrightarrow U_0$ .

**Remark A.3** *If  $N \subset M$  is a symplectic submanifold, then we can, for  $p \in N$ , identify  $\nu(N)_p$  with  $T_p N^{\omega_p} = \{v \in T_p M : \forall u \in T_p N \ \omega_p(u, v) = 0\}$ .*

Now we have the versatile tubular neighbourhood theorem, which is probably the most mentioned statement in this thesis and on which Gompf's construction fundamentally relies.

**Theorem A.4 (Tubular Neighbourhood Theorem)** [19] *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold,  $N \subset M$  a compact smooth submanifold. Then there exists a tubular neighbourhood of  $N$  in  $M$ . Explicitly, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  the map  $\psi_\varepsilon : \nu(N)_\varepsilon := \{(p, v) \in \nu(N) : |v| < \varepsilon\} \rightarrow U_\varepsilon := \{q \in M : \inf_{p \in N} d(p, q) < \varepsilon\}$  given by*

$$\psi_\varepsilon(p, v) := \exp_p(v)$$

*is a diffeomorphism.*[19]

**Proof** Let  $g$  be a Riemannian metric on  $M$  and let  $\psi : \nu(N) \rightarrow M$  be the exponential map with respect to  $g$ . Denote by  $\psi_\varepsilon$  its restriction to  $\{(p, v) \in \nu(N) : |v| < \varepsilon\}$ .

1. Claim:  $\psi_\varepsilon$  is a local diffeomorphism for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.

We have that  $\psi(p, 0) = p$  and  $d\psi(p, 0)(w, u) = w + u$  which is injective because  $w \in T_p N$  and  $u \in \nu(N)_p$  and therefore bijective. Hence there exists by the Inverse Function Theorem an open neighbourhood  $U_p$  of  $(p, 0)$  such that  $\psi|_{U_p}$  is a local diffeomorphism. Let  $\varepsilon_p > 0$  be such that  $\forall (q, v) \in U_p : |v| < \varepsilon_p$  and choose a finite subcover  $U_{p_1}, \dots, U_{p_k}$  of the cover  $\{U_p\}_{p \in N}$  of the zero section. Now set  $\varepsilon := \min\{\varepsilon_{p_1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{p_k}\}$  to obtain the claim.

2. Claim:  $\psi_\varepsilon$  is injective for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.

Assume not. Then there exist  $(p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset N$ ,  $(p'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset N$ , and  $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $(v'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $v_i \in \nu(N)_{p_i}$ ,  $v'_i \in \nu(N)_{p'_i}$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_i = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v'_i = 0$  such that  $(p_i, v_i) \neq (p'_i, v'_i)$  but  $\psi(p_i, v_i) = \psi(p'_i, v'_i)$ .  $N$  is compact, hence  $(p_i)$  has a convergent subsequence  $(p_{i_k})$ , as does  $(p'_i)$ . Write  $p^* := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} p_i$  and  $p'^* := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p'_{i_k}$ . As  $\exp_{p_{i_k}}(v_{i_k}) = \exp_{p'_{i_k}}(v'_{i_k})$ , we have that

$$d(p_{i_k}, p'_{i_k}) \leq |v_{i_k}| + |v'_{i_k}|$$

hence  $d(p^*, p'^*) = 0$  which contradicts the fact that  $\psi_\varepsilon$  is local diffeomorphism for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough by the first claim.

3. Claim:  $\psi$  is surjective for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.

Let  $p \in U_\varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is so small that  $\psi_\varepsilon$  is injective and a local

diffeomorphism. Because  $N$  is compact, there is  $q \in N$  such that  $d(p, q) = \inf_{q' \in N} d(p, q')$ . Then there is a unique  $v \in T_q M$  such that  $p = \exp_q(v)$ . Assume first that  $|v| < \text{inj}(p; M)$ . Let  $w \in T_q N$  with  $|w| < \text{inj}(p; M)$  and let  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map such that  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = w$ . Assume  $d(\gamma(t), p) < \text{inj}(p, M)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then exists a unique path  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_p M$  such that  $\gamma(t) = \exp_p(u(t))$  and  $|u(t)| = d(\gamma(t), p)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Because  $d(\gamma(t), p) > d(\gamma(0), p)$  for all  $t > 0$ , there exists a unique function  $\lambda : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1]$  such that for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$   $d(q, p) = d(p, \exp_p(\lambda(t)u(t)))$ . Define  $\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  by  $\alpha(s) = \exp_p(su(0)) = \exp_q((1-s)w)$  and  $\beta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  by  $\beta(t) = \exp_p(\lambda(t)u(t))$ . Then  $\alpha(1) = \beta(0)$  and by the Gauss Lemma[20],  $\dot{\alpha}(1)$  is orthogonal to  $\dot{\beta}(0)$ . But  $\dot{\alpha}(1) = -w$  and  $\dot{\beta}(0) = v$  because  $\lambda$  attains its maximum at 0. Hence  $w \in \nu(N)_q$ . If  $|w| \geq \text{inj}(p; M)$  repeat the argument with  $p_\varepsilon = \exp_q(\varepsilon w)$ .  $\square$

**Definition A.5** *Let  $M$  be an  $m$ -dimensional manifold. A cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is called a good cover if each intersection  $\text{bigcap}_{k=0}^n U_{i_k}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i_k \in I$ , is either empty or diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ .*

**Theorem A.6** [19] *Let  $M^m$  be a smooth manifold. Then  $M$  admits a good cover.*

The last three theorems concern de Rham cohomology.

**Theorem A.7 (Poincaré Lemma)** [19] *Let  $M$  be a contractible manifold. Then there exists a collection of linear maps  $P : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M)$ , one for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that*

$$id_M^* = d \circ P + P \circ d \tag{A.1}$$

*In particular,  $M$  has the deRham cohomology of a point, that is,  $H^0(M) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $H^k(M) = 0$  for  $k \neq 0$ .*

**Proof** Evidently, a contractible manifold is nonempty and connected. Let  $F : [0, 1] \times M \rightarrow M$  be the homotopy between the constant map  $c : M \rightarrow \{p\}$  where  $p \in M$  and  $id_M$ . Define  $f_t(p) := F(t, p)$  for  $p \in M$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Let  $P_0 : \Omega^0(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{-1}(M)$  simply be the zero map. As  $\Omega^{-1}(M) = \{0\}$ , this map is clearly the only choice. Let  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$  and define  $P_1$  via

$$(P_1 \alpha)(p) = \int_0^1 \alpha_p(\partial_t f_t(p)) dt \tag{A.2}$$

In general, define  $P_k$  via

$$(P_k \eta)_p(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}) = \int_0^1 \eta_p(\partial_t f_t(p), df_t(p)v_1, \dots, df_t(p)v_{k-1}) dt \tag{A.3}$$

for  $\eta \in \Omega^k(M)$ ,  $p \in M$ ,  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \in T_p M$ . Define  $h_t \eta := i_{\partial_t f_t(p)} f_t^* \eta$ . Then  $P_k \eta = \int_0^1 h_t \eta dt$ . We claim that  $P_k$  satisfies the requirements. Indeed, by Cartan (see below)

$$f_1^* \alpha - f_0^* \alpha = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} f_t^* \alpha dt = \int_0^1 (dh_t \alpha + h_t d\alpha) dt = dP\alpha + Pd\alpha \quad (\text{A.4})$$

But  $f_1 = id_M$  and  $f_0 = c$ . Moreover, as  $c$  is constant, obviously  $dc = 0$ , hence  $\forall \alpha \in \Omega^*(M) : c^* \alpha = 0$ .

The second statement follows directly from the definition of the deRham cohomology.

**Theorem A.8 (Cartan)** [19]: Let  $\{f_t\} \subset C^\infty(M)$  depend smoothly on  $t$ . If we define  $h_t : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M)$  by  $h_t \omega = i_{\partial_t f_t} f_t^* \omega$ , then we have that  $\frac{d}{dt} f_t^* = d \circ h_t + h_t \circ d$ .

**Theorem A.9** [19] Let  $M^m$  be a connected oriented manifold. Assume  $\omega \in \Omega_c^m(M)$  is a compactly supported closed  $m$ -form. Then the following equivalence holds.

1.  $\int_M \omega = 0$
2. There exists  $\tau \in \Omega_c^{m-1}(M)$  such that  $\omega = d\tau$ .

## A.2 Gompf's Construction

While we already considered the construction in the case of trivial bundles, our example requires the theorem in full generality (although we could have used that dimension 4 is slightly easier, see p.16 in [5]). Hence we state the main theorem as well as two preliminary lemmas here. The lemmas are proved but the proof of the main theorem is only sketched. Furthermore, we prove a well known result from algebraic geometry, the isomorphism between isomorphism classes of line bundles over a manifold and its integral cohomology classes of degree two.

**Lemma A.10** [5, p.18] *Let  $(V^n, \omega_V)$  and  $(M^n, \omega_M)$  be symplectic manifolds (not necessarily compact) and let  $N \subset V$  be a compact, codimension-2 symplectic submanifold. Suppose  $f : V \rightarrow M$  is a smooth orientation preserving embedding such that  $f|_N$  is symplectic. Then there exists an isotopy rel  $N$  with compact support<sup>1</sup> from  $f$  to an embedding  $f' : V \rightarrow M$  that is symplectic in a neighbourhood of  $N$ . If  $f$  is already symplectic in a neighbourhood of a compact subset  $K \subset N$ , then we may assume that the isotopy has support in a preassigned neighbourhood of  $\overline{N \setminus K}$  in  $V$ .*

*We can assume that  $f'$  varies smoothly with  $\omega_V$  and  $\omega_M$  and given a smooth family  $f_r : (V, \omega_{V,r}) \rightarrow (M, \omega_{M,r})$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , satisfying the hypotheses of the lemma for each  $r$  (assuming  $N, K$ , and a neighbourhood of  $K$  to be fixed), suppose that  $f'_0$  and  $f'_1$  are constructed as above, allowing different choices in the construction. Then we can make choices for each  $0 < r < 1$  so that the family  $f'_r$  depends smoothly on  $r$ , and, on some (fixed) neighbourhood of  $N$ , each  $f'_r$  is symplectic.<sup>2</sup>*

**Proof** Let  $f : (V, \omega_V) \rightarrow (M, \omega_M)$  be as in the claim. Let  $j : N \hookrightarrow V$  be the obvious inclusion and define  $\eta := f^* \omega_M - \omega_V$ . Then  $\eta$  is a closed 2-form on  $V$  and by the assumption on  $f$ ,  $j^* \eta = 0$ . For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  define  $\omega_t = \omega_V - t\eta$ . We can make three observations

1.  $j^* \omega_t = j^* \omega_0 = j^* \omega_V$
2. By the above observation, the forms  $\omega_t$  agree on  $TN$ .
3. As  $f$  is orientation preserving and a symplectic form is also an area form, each  $\omega_t$  is an area form on the normal spaces of  $N$ .

These facts imply that the  $\omega_t$  are nondegenerate on  $TV|_N$  and because nondegeneracy is an open condition, they are also nondegenerate on an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $N$  in  $V$  (respectively on  $TV|_U$ ). Now we can use Moser's isotopy to obtain an isotopy  $\{\tilde{\rho}_t : U \rightarrow U\}_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$  such that  $\tilde{\rho}_t^* \omega_t = \omega_0$  for all

---

<sup>1</sup>In the case of an isotopy  $\{\rho_t\}$  we define  $\text{supp}(\{\rho_t\}) := \overline{\bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \{p \in V : \rho_t(p) \neq p\}}$

<sup>2</sup>Robert E. Gompf, A New Construction of Symplectic Manifolds

$0 \leq t \leq 1$ . But we want an isotopy  $\rho_t : V \rightarrow V$ . To obtain it, we reconsider the construction of  $\{\tilde{\rho}_t\}$  in the homotopy formula: We define a smooth family of vector fields  $X_t$ . Now instead of simply defining them on  $U$ , we choose a smaller open neighbourhood  $U'$  of  $N$  such that  $\bar{U}' \subset U$  and a smooth bump function  $\beta : V \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\beta|_{\bar{U}'} \equiv 1$  and  $\beta$  vanishes outside of  $U$ . Then for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $p \in U$  define

$$Y_t(p) := \beta(p)X_t(p) \tag{A.5}$$

and  $Y_t \equiv 0$  on  $M \setminus U$ . As  $Y_t$  has compact support, we can integrate it on  $V$ , even though  $V$  is not compact. Let  $\rho_t : V \rightarrow V$  be its flow,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $\{\rho_t\}$  has the same properties as  $\{\rho_t\}$ , simply on a slightly smaller neighbourhood of  $U'$  of  $Q$ . Now set  $f' := f \circ \rho_1 : V \rightarrow M$  to get the desired symplectic embedding of a neighbourhood ( $U'$ ) of  $Q$ .

Now assume we have a compact subset  $K \subset N$  such that  $f$  is already symplectic on a neighbourhood  $\tilde{K}$  of  $K$ . Then  $\omega_t|_{\tilde{K}} = \omega_V|_{\tilde{K}}$ . Then  $Y_t$  (defined as above) vanishes on  $\tilde{K}$  and hence  $\rho_t|_{\tilde{K}} = id_{\tilde{K}}$ . This gives us the second statement.

Now assume we have a smooth family of symplectic forms on  $V$  and  $M$ , indexed by  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{\omega_{V,s}\}$  and  $\{\omega_{M,s}\}$ . Fix  $N$ ,  $K$ , and  $\tilde{K}$ . For each  $s$  we have a closed 2-form  $\eta_s$  and thus a family  $\{Y_t^s\}_{s,t} \in \text{Vect}(M)$  and the corresponding flow  $\rho_t^s$ . As the symplectic forms depend smoothly on  $s$ , so does  $\eta_s$  and hence also  $Y_t^s$  and  $\rho_t^s$ . In particular,  $\rho_1^s$  depends smoothly on  $s$  and hence so does  $f'_s := f \circ \rho_1^s$ .

Given a smoothly family  $\{f_r\}_{0 \leq r \leq 1}$  where each  $f_r$  satisfies the hypotheses of the lemma, fix  $N$ ,  $K$ , and  $\tilde{K}$ , suppose we have constructed  $f'_0$  and  $f'_1$  as above, possibly with the isotopies having different support and  $f'_0$  and  $f'_1$  being symplectic in different neighbourhoods of  $Q$ . If we define, for each  $r$ ,  $\eta_r := f_r^* \omega_M - \omega_V$ , then  $\eta_r$  depends smoothly on  $r$ . This smoothness pulls through, that is, the  $\omega_{r,t}$  depend smoothly on  $r$  as do the  $Y_{r,t}$  and  $\rho_{r,t}$ . For the neighbourhood simply denote by  $U_r$  the neighbourhood on which  $f'_r$  is symplectic and set  $r_0 := \{r \in [0, 1] : U_r \subseteq U_{r'} \text{ for all } r' \in [0, 1]\}$ . Then  $U_{r_0}$  satisfies the requirement.  $\square$

Assume we have a closed, symplectic manifold  $(N, \omega_N)$ . Before we state the second lemma, we construct via the clutching construction a sphere bundle over  $N$ , which will later serve as a model for the tubular neighbourhoods of the images of the embeddings of  $N$  in  $M$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an arbitrary class in  $H^2(N; \mathbb{Z})$ . We will show that there is an  $SO(2)$ -vector bundle  $E \rightarrow M$  such that its Euler class is  $\alpha$ . While there is a more elegant proofs using sheaf theory (f.e., in Griffiths' and Harris' Principles of Algebraic Geometry[7]), we will prove it directly here and only use Čech cohomology. We will identify an  $SO(2)$ -vector bundle with a complex line bundle, using the identifications  $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$  and  $SO(2) \cong U(1)$ , where  $U(1)$  is the unitary group. Then the Euler class  $e(E)$  of the  $SO(2)$ -vector bundle is by definition the first Chern class



$c_1(L)$  of the complex line bundle corresponding to  $E$ .

**Claim** [11, p.91] *There exists a  $SO(2)$ -vector bundle  $p : E \rightarrow N$  over  $N$  with Euler class  $e(E) = \alpha$ .*

**Proof**<sup>3</sup> Define  $\mathcal{L}$  to be the set of all equivalence classes of complex line bundles  $L \rightarrow N$  where  $L_1 \sim L_2$  if there exists a bundle isomorphism between them. We can identify  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $H^2(N; \mathbb{Z})$  via Čech cohomology. Thus we first digress slightly to build up the necessary machinery.

We use here a slightly weaker notion of good cover, namely we require arbitrary intersections of sets in the cover to be either empty or contractible. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a good cover of  $N$ ; we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{U}$  is countable. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $\mathcal{S}^k := \{(i_0, \dots, i_k) \in I^{k+1} : U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_k} \neq \emptyset\}$ . We now construct a cohomology theory on this intersection data. Choose an abelian group  $A$  and define a  $k$ -chain to be a function  $c : \mathcal{S}^k \rightarrow A : (i_0, \dots, i_k) \mapsto c_{i_0, \dots, i_k}$ . These  $k$ -chains form an abelian group under addition, denoted by  $C^k(\mathcal{U}; A)$ . Define a boundary operator  $d : C^k(\mathcal{U}; A) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}; A)$  by

$$dc_{i_0, \dots, i_{k+1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} (-1)^j c_{i_0, \dots, \hat{i}_j, \dots, i_{k+1}} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Then  $d^2 = 0$  and we obtain a cochain complex

$$\dots \rightarrow C^{k-1}(\mathcal{U}; A) \xrightarrow{d} C^k(\mathcal{U}; A) \xrightarrow{d} C^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}; A) \rightarrow \dots$$

We define the cohomology groups  $H^k(\mathcal{U}; A)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , as usual. Using that for any refinement  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  we have restrictions  $H^k(\mathcal{U}; A) \rightarrow H^k(\mathcal{V}; A)$ <sup>4</sup>, we can take the inductive limit over all covers of  $N$  and define this to be  $\check{H}^k(N; A)$ .<sup>5</sup> Moreover, because  $\mathcal{U}$  is a good cover,  $H^k(\mathcal{U}; A) \cong \check{H}^k(N; A)$  by the Leray Theorem[7, p.40] and it is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology group of  $N$ . [19, p.165]

We are interested in cohomology classes in  $H^2(\mathcal{U}; A)$ , so we consider briefly what elements lie in that group. First, for  $a \in C^2(\mathcal{U}; A)$  to be a cocycle, it has to satisfy

$$a_{i_1 i_2 i_3} - a_{i_0 i_2 i_3} + a_{i_0 i_1 i_3} - a_{i_0 i_1 i_2} = 0 \quad (\text{A.7})$$

for all elements in  $\mathcal{S}^2$  and if it is a boundary, the following equation holds

$$a_{i_0 i_1 i_2} = b_{i_1 i_2} - b_{i_0 i_2} + b_{i_0 i_1} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

---

<sup>3</sup>We proceed by a method presented by Kostand and use background material from Salamon [19].

<sup>4</sup>Denote by  $\mathcal{S}^k(\mathcal{V})$  the same set as  $\mathcal{S}^k$  for  $\mathcal{U}$  above. Then  $\mathcal{S}^k(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{S}^k$ , hence we can restrict our  $c$  simply to  $\mathcal{S}^k(\mathcal{V})$ .

<sup>5</sup>This is well-defined as we can define a partial ordering  $<$  on covers of  $N$  by defining  $\mathcal{V} < \mathcal{U} :\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{U}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

for a  $b \in C^1(\mathcal{U}; A)$ .

From now on, we assume that  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ . Take a vector bundle  $L \rightarrow N$  with local trivialisations  $\psi_i : p^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{C}$  and transition functions  $\psi_{ji} : (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{C}$ . By definition we have  $\psi_{ji}(x)\psi_{ik}(x) = \psi_{jk}(x)$  if  $U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$  and  $x \in U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$ . (\*)

Because we have a good cover, such a nonempty intersection is contractible and hence in particular contractible. Thus the logarithm is defined[18, p.127]. Hence define  $f_{ji} := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\psi_{ji})$ . Now (\*) results in the following equation for the  $f_{ij}$ :

$$\exp(2\pi i[f_{i_0 i_1} - f_{i_0 i_2} + f_{i_1 i_2}]) = 1 \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{i_0 i_1} - f_{i_0 i_2} + f_{i_1 i_2} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

As the  $f_{ij}$  are smooth and in particular continuous and  $\mathbb{Z}$  is discrete, this implies that  $f_{i_0 i_1} - f_{i_0 i_2} + f_{i_1 i_2}$  is constant on  $U_{i_0} \cap U_{i_1} \cap U_{i_2}$ . Hence this expression defines an element  $h \in C^2(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{Z})$ , i.e.,  $h_{i_0 i_1 i_2} = f_{i_0 i_1} - f_{i_0 i_2} + f_{i_1 i_2}$ . We check that  $h$  is a cocycle and determines an element  $[h] \in H^2(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \check{H}^2(N; \mathbb{Z})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (dh)_{i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3} &= h_{i_1 i_2 i_3} - h_{i_0 i_2 i_3} + h_{i_0 i_1 i_3} - h_{i_0 i_1 i_2} = \\ &= [f_{i_1 i_2} - f_{i_1 i_3} + f_{i_2 i_3}] - [f_{i_0 i_2} - f_{i_0 i_3} + f_{i_2 i_3}] + \\ &= [f_{i_0 i_1} - f_{i_0 i_3} + f_{i_1 i_3}] - [f_{i_0 i_1} - f_{i_0 i_2} + f_{i_1 i_2}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we define our bijection  $\kappa : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{Z})$  by sending  $[L]$  to the class  $[h]$  constructed as above from the system of local trivialisations.

**Claim**  $\kappa([L])$  does not depend on the choice of representative  $L$ .

**Proof** Assume  $L \sim L'$  with local trivialisations  $\{(U_i, \psi_i)\}$  and  $\{(V_j, \varphi_j)\}$  respectively where  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{V_j\}$  are good covers. Taking a refinement if necessary, we may assume  $U_i = V_i$ . Then, by the definition of being equivalent, there exists a diffeomorphism  $\Phi : L \rightarrow L'$  which restricts to a linear isomorphism on each fiber. Hence there exists linear functions  $\lambda_i : U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  such that

$$\psi_{ij} = \lambda_i \varphi_{ij} (\lambda_j)^{-1}$$

if  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ .<sup>6</sup>

From this it follows that if  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$f_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\psi_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log(\lambda_i) + \log(\varphi_{ij}) - \log(\lambda_j)] \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f_{ji} - f_{jk} + f_{ik} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log(\varphi_{ji}) - \log(\varphi_{jk}) + \log(\varphi_{ik})] = f'_{ji} - f'_{jk} + f'_{ik} \\ & \quad (\text{A.12}) \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>6</sup>Id est,  $\varphi_{ij}(x) = \lambda_i(x)\psi_{ij}(x)(\lambda_j(x))^{-1}$  for  $x \in U_i \cap U_j$ .

Thus  $h$  is independent of the choice of representative (and thus also of the trivialisations) and  $\kappa$  is well-defined.  $\square$

**Claim**  $\kappa$  is injective.

**Proof** Assume  $\kappa([L]) = \kappa([L'])$ . Then  $h = h' + db$  for some  $b \in C^1(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{Z})$ . Explicitly,

$$h_{i_0 i_1 i_2} = h'_{i_0 i_1 i_2} + (b_{i_1 i_2} - b_{i_0 i_2} + b_{i_0 i_1}) = f'_{i_0 i_1} - f'_{i_0 i_2} + f'_{i_1 i_2} + b_{i_1 i_2} - b_{i_0 i_2} + b_{i_0 i_1}$$

Hence  $f_{i_0 i_1} = f'_{i_0 i_1} + b_{i_0 i_1}$  for  $(i_0, i_1) \in \mathcal{I}^1$ . This is equivalent to

$$\psi_{ij} = \exp(2\pi i b_{ij}) \varphi_{ij} \tag{A.13}$$

But this shows that the transition functions differ by a nonzero holomorphic function and hence the corresponding line bundles are isomorphic.  $\square$

**Claim**  $\kappa$  is surjective.

**Proof** Let  $[h] \in H^2(\mathcal{U}; \mathbb{Z})$ . Choose a partition of unity  $\{\rho_i : N \rightarrow [0, 1]\}$  subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$  and define  $f_{ij} := \sum_{k \in I} h_{ijk} \rho_k$  on  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $f_{ij}$  is smooth and we can define  $\psi_{ij} := \exp(2\pi i f_{ij})$ . Because  $dh = 0$ , we have that  $\psi_{ij} \psi_{jk} = \psi_{ik}$  and  $\psi_{ij} = (\psi_{ji})^{-1}$ . Hence the  $\{\psi_{ij}\}$  satisfy the conditions for the local transition maps of a complex line bundle. But from this data we can build a complex line bundle  $L$ .<sup>7</sup> such that  $\kappa([L]) = [h]$ .  $\square$

**Claim**  $\kappa([L]) = c_1(L)$ .

**Proof** This follows directly from the definition of the Euler class and the fact that  $c_1(L) = e(L_{\mathbb{R}})$  where  $L_{\mathbb{R}}$  is the underlying real vector bundle of  $L$ . [1, p.72]  $\square$

So fix a closed symplectic manifold  $(N, \omega_N)$ , a class  $e \in H^2(N; \mathbb{Z})$  and choose a  $\text{SO}(2)$ -vector bundle  $p_E : E \rightarrow N$  such that  $e(E) = e$ . Define a norm on  $E$  via local trivialisations, that is, if  $\varphi : p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^2$  is a local trivialisation and  $\pi' : U \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  the projection on the second factor, then for  $v \in p^{-1}(U)$  define

$$|v| := \sqrt{\langle \pi'(\varphi(v)), \pi'(\varphi(v)) \rangle} \tag{A.14}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the standard inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This is well-defined because the transition functions take values in  $\text{SO}(2)$ . With respect to this metric define the disk bundle  $E^0 := \{v \in E : |v| < \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}$ . Note that  $E$  and hence  $E^0$  are obviously orientable as vector bundles and as manifolds as  $N$  is oriented by its symplectic form. Let  $\overline{E^0}$  be  $E^0$  with the opposite orientation. Let  $i_0 : N \hookrightarrow E^0$  and  $i_\infty : N \hookrightarrow \overline{E^0}$  be the zero sections with images  $N_0$  and

<sup>7</sup>Set  $L := \bigcup U_i \times \mathbb{C} / \sim$  where we identify  $(p, x) \in U_i$  with  $(p, \psi_{ij}(x)) \in U_j$ , if  $p \in U_i \cap U_j$ .

$N_\infty$  respectively and glue the two disk subbundles together as follows. Let  $\iota$  be the map defined at the beginning of the section and note that  $\iota$  maps  $E^0 \setminus N_0$  to  $\overline{E^0} \setminus N_\infty$ . Then define

$$S := E^0 \cup_\iota \overline{E^0} \tag{A.15}$$

to obtain a 2-sphere bundle  $p : S \rightarrow N$ . Then  $E^0 = S \setminus N_\infty$  and the  $SO(2)$ -action on  $E$  induces an  $SO(2)$ -action on  $S$ .

We are now ready to state and prove the second lemma.

**Lemma A.11** [5, p.20] *There is a closed,  $SO(2)$ -invariant 2-form  $\eta$  on  $S$  with  $i_0^* \eta = 0$  and  $\eta$  restricts to a symplectic form of area 1 on each fiber. For any such  $\eta$  the following holds.*

1. *There is a constant  $t_1 > 0$  such that the family  $\{\omega_t := p^* \omega_N + t\eta : 0 < t \leq t_1\}$  consists of  $SO(2)$ -invariant symplectic forms on  $S$ .*
2. *For any neighbourhood  $W$  of  $N_0$  in  $(E^0, \omega_{t_1})$ , there exists  $t_0 \in (0, t_1]$ , such that, for all  $t \in (0, t_0]$ ,  $(E^0, \omega_t)$  embeds symplectically in  $W$  rel  $N_0$ , and the embedding is isotopic rel  $N_0$  to  $id_{E^0}$ . The embedding is determined by the construction, depends smoothly on  $t$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\omega_N$ , and equals  $id_{E^0}$  for  $t = t_0 = t_1$  if  $W = E^0$ .*

Moreover, one can choose  $\eta$  such that  $\eta|_{E^0}$  extends smoothly to a closed form on  $E$  that is symplectic on each fiber of  $E$ . For any such  $\eta$  and  $t_1$  small enough, the resulting extensions of  $\omega_t$  for  $t \in (0, t_1]$  will be symplectic on the closure  $cl(E^0)$  of  $E^0$  in  $E$ . The resulting embeddings in 2. will extend to embeddings of  $cl(E^0)$ .

**Proof** To construct the symplectic form  $\omega_t$  we use a method introduced by Thurston.

1. First, let  $[\beta] := \tau_{N_0} \in H_{dR}^2(S)$ , the class of the Poincaré dual of  $N_0$ , be represented by a closed 2-form  $\beta$ , such that  $\int_F \beta = 1$  for each fiber  $F$ . ( $S$  is oriented and compact and hence we have a volume form integrating to 1 on  $S$ . Now locally  $S \cong N \times F \cong N_0 \times F$ .) Choose local trivialisations  $\varphi_i : p^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{S}^2$  where  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a good cover of  $N$  and a partition of unity  $\{\rho_i\}$  subordinate to that cover. Let  $j : F \hookrightarrow S$  be the canonical inclusion.<sup>8</sup> Then  $\pi_{\mathbb{S}^2} \circ \varphi_i \circ j : F \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$  is a diffeomorphism and

$$\int_F \varphi_i^* \pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} = 1$$

---

<sup>8</sup>As is conventional we will leave out the  $j^*$  in the integral.

Due to the contractibility of  $U_i$  this implies that there is  $\alpha_i \in \Omega_{dR}^1(p^{-1}(U_i))$  such that  $d\alpha_i = \varphi_i^* \pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} - \beta$  on  $p^{-1}(U_i)$ . Define now  $\eta' := \beta + d(\sum_{i \in I} (\rho_i \circ p) \alpha_i)$  and  $\eta'' := \eta' - (i_0 \circ p)^* \eta'$ . Then  $d\eta'' = 0$  and  $i_0^* \eta'' = 0$ . To obtain  $SO(2)$ -invariance we simply integrate

$$\eta := \int_{SO(2)} r(\theta) \eta'' d\theta$$

This  $\eta$  satisfies the requirements of the lemma.

2. We claim that the closed 2-forms  $\omega_t = p^* \omega_N + t\eta$  are nondegenerate for  $t$  small enough.

Let  $F$  be a fiber and denote by  $F^t$  the  $\omega_t$ -normal space of  $F$ . First observe that, because  $p^* \omega_t - p^* \omega_s = (t-s)\eta$ ,  $F^t$  does not depend on  $t$ . Moreover,  $p^* \omega_N$  is nondegenerate on  $F^t$ , as on  $F^t$   $p^* \omega_N = -t\eta$  which restricts to a symplectic form on each fiber. Because nondegeneracy is an open condition, for each  $p \in S$  there exists an open neighbourhood  $U_p \subset S$  of  $p$  and  $t_p > 0$  such that, for all  $0 < t \leq t_p$ ,  $\omega_t$  is nondegenerate on  $U_p$ . We can cover  $S$  by these open neighbourhoods and due to compactness find a finite subcover  $\{U_{p_1}, \dots, U_{p_k}\}$ . Now set  $t_1 := \min\{t_{p_1}, \dots, t_{p_k}\}$ .

3. We claim that  $\eta|_{E^0}$  can be extended to all of  $E$ .

First we construct a 1-form on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$  that extends to a 1-form on the 2-sphere without zero and whose differential is defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Define  $\alpha := \frac{1}{2}(r^2 - \frac{1}{\pi})d\theta \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0)$ . Then  $d\alpha = r dr \wedge d\theta$  is the standard area (and symplectic) form on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus 0$ . If  $D$  is the disc of radius  $\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $\alpha$  vanishes on the boundary  $\partial D$ . Although we can extend  $d\alpha$  to all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , this is not possible with  $\alpha$  because of the factor  $\frac{1}{2\pi}d\theta$ . Now consider  $\alpha|_{D \setminus 0}$ . We construct a 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with  $\iota$  as in the discussion before this lemma.<sup>9</sup> Then we can define on  $D \setminus 0$   $\alpha' := \alpha|_{D \setminus 0}$  and because  $\alpha|_{\partial D} = 0$ , we can extend  $\alpha'$  to 0 on  $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus D$ . Because  $d\alpha$  is the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $d\alpha' = \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  (as we can set  $d\alpha' = d\alpha$  at 0).

Consider again  $\beta$  as in the first step of the proof. As  $\beta$  is Poincaré-dual to  $N_0$  we can assume that it vanishes outside of an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of  $N_0$ , hence has support disjoint from  $N_\infty$ . Because we chose our  $U_i$  to be contractible, their first Betti number is zero. Consider the forms  $\alpha_i$ . On  $p^{-1}(U_i)$  we have that  $\varphi_i^* \pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} - \beta$  is exact and for any  $V \subset S \setminus N_\infty$  this implies that on  $V \cap p^{-1}(U_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i^* \pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* d\alpha' - d\alpha_i &= \varphi_i^* \pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2} - d\alpha_i = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \varphi_i^* \pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \alpha' - \alpha_i &= df \end{aligned}$$

for some  $f \in C^\infty(U_i \cap V, \mathbb{R})$ . If we consider  $\alpha_i - df$  instead of  $\alpha_i$  in the construction above, we obtain the same solution; hence we can assume

---

<sup>9</sup>The 2-sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  here is assumed to have radius  $\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , so that it has volume 1.

without loss of generality that  $\varphi_i^* \pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \alpha' = \alpha_i$ . Now set  $\alpha_i := \varphi_i^* \pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \alpha'$  on  $N_\infty$ . This is well-defined and thus  $\alpha_i$  extends smoothly to  $E|_{U_i}$ . Moreover, on  $U_i \setminus \text{supp}(\beta)$   $d\alpha_i = \varphi_i^* \pi_{\mathbb{S}^2}^* \omega_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  and hence  $d\alpha_i$  is symplectic on that set.

Now construct  $\eta$  just as in the first step, simply using the modified  $\beta$  and the  $\alpha_i$ . Then  $\eta|_{E^0}$  extends to all of  $E$  and is still symplectic on each fiber. Step 2 also goes through with this new  $\eta$  and so the first part of the lemma still holds.

4. We construct the vector field whose flow will be the desired symplectic embeddings in the second part of the lemma.

Define  $I : \Omega^k(E^0) \rightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(E^0)$  as in theorem 2.3 and set  $\mu := I(\eta)$ . Let  $Y_t$  be the solution of  $i_{Y_t} \omega_t = -\mu$ , for  $0 < t \leq t_1$ . By construction,  $Y_t$ ,  $0 < t \leq t_1$  is a time-dependent smooth vector field on  $E^0$ . Moreover,  $Y_t$  is  $\text{SO}(2)$ -invariant because  $\eta$  is and the integral and pullback commute with the  $\text{SO}(2)$ -action. Because  $\mu$  vanishes on  $N_0$ , so does  $Y_t$  for each  $t \in (0, t_1]$ . Due to the solution of differential equations we can integrate, for any fixed  $t_0 \in (0, 1]$  and any compact  $\text{SO}(2)$ -invariant subset  $K \subset E^0$ ,  $Y_t$  to a flow  $\psi : J \times K \rightarrow E^0$  such that  $\psi_{t_0} = \text{id}_K$ , where  $J$  is a neighbourhood of  $t_0$ . Because  $K$  is invariant under the  $\text{SO}(2)$ -action,  $\psi$  is  $\text{SO}(2)$ -equivariant: Let  $g \in \text{SO}(2)$  and  $(t', p) \in K \times J$ . Then  $g \cdot \psi(t, p)$  also satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(g \cdot \psi(t, p)) = Y_t(\psi(t, p)). \\ g \cdot \psi(t', p) = g \cdot p \end{cases}$$

just as  $\psi(t, g \cdot p)$  does because  $Y_t$  is invariant under the group action. By the uniqueness of the flow, it follows that  $g \cdot \psi(t, p) = \psi(t, g \cdot p)$ .

Note also that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \psi_t^* \omega_t = \psi_t^* (\mathcal{L}_{Y_t} \omega_t) + \psi_t^* \eta = \psi_t^* (\eta - d\mu) = 0 \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Hence  $\psi_t^* \omega_t$  is independent of  $t$ .

5. We show that  $Y_t$  integrates for each  $t_0 \in (0, t_1]$ , to a global flow  $\psi : [t_0, t_1] \times E^0 \rightarrow E^0$ .

To do so, we need to prove that no flow lines escape out of  $E^0$  to  $N_\infty$ . We will use the  $\text{SO}(2)$ -structure and symmetry of the constructed bundle. Let for  $x \in E^0$   $S(x) := \{g \cdot x : g \in \text{SO}(2)\}$  denote the circle traced by the  $\text{SO}(2)$ -orbit through  $x$ . Define  $D(x)$  to be the closure of the disk in  $E^0$  circumscribed by that circle (if  $x \in N_0$  set  $D(x) := \{x\}$ ) and define  $A : E^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$A(x) := \int_{D(x)} \eta \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Note that for each  $x \in E^0$  :  $0 \leq A(x) < \int_{E_x} \eta = \int_F \eta = 1$ , hence  $A : E^0 \rightarrow [0, 1)$ . Moreover,  $A$  is invariant under the  $\text{SO}(2)$ -action because

both  $D(x)$  and  $\eta$  are and  $A$  increases proportionally to the radius of  $D(x)$ . Thus it is surjective. We will show that the  $\eta$ -area of the flow lines of  $Y_t$  is bounded and hence our global flow is well-defined.

Fix  $x_0 \in E^0$  and  $t_0 \in (0, t_1]$  and let  $\psi : K \times J \rightarrow E^0$  be the flow with  $\psi_{t_0} = id_K$ . As  $D(x_0)$  is compact and  $SO(2)$ -invariant, we can take  $K = D(x_0)$ . Because  $\psi_t$  is  $SO(2)$ -equivariant, we have that  $S(\psi_t(x)) = \psi_t(S(x))$  for each  $x \in K$  and each  $t \in J$ . Thus we have that

$$A(\psi_t(x)) = \int_{D(\psi_t(x))} \eta = \int_{\psi_t(D(x))} \eta = \int_{D(x)} \psi_t^* \eta \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Note that

$$\int_{D(x)} \omega_t = \int_{D(x)} p^* \omega_N + t \int_{D(x)} \eta = \int_{p(x)} \omega_N + t \int_{D(x)} \eta = tA(x)$$

Using that  $\psi_t^* \omega_t = \omega_{t_0}$  we obtain the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} tA(\psi_t(x)) &= \int_{\psi_t(D(x))} \omega_t = \int_{D(x)} \psi_t^* \omega_t = \int_{D(x)} \omega_{t_0} = t_0 A(x) \\ &\Rightarrow A(\psi_t(x)) = \frac{t_0}{t} A(x) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

for any  $x \in D(x_0)$  and  $t \in J$ . Hence  $A(\psi_t(x))$  decreases with time.

We claim that  $A$  is proper. Indeed let  $B := [a, b] \subset [0, 1)$  be compact. Then  $b < 1$  and hence  $A^{-1}(B)$  is closed and  $\partial E^0 \cap A^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$ . Thus  $A^{-1}(B)$  is compact and  $A$  is proper.

Hence, as the sequence  $A(\psi_t(x))$  converges in  $[0, 1)$  (as it decreases monotonically and is bounded from below), so does  $\psi_t(x)$  in  $E^0$ . Hence all flow lines stay in  $E^0$  and we have a global flow  $\psi : [t_0, t_1] \times E^0 \rightarrow E^0$  for any fixed  $t_0 \in (0, t_1]$ .

6. We prove the second part of the lemma.

First note that  $A(\psi_t(x)) = \frac{t_0}{t} A(x)$ , hence choosing  $t_0$  small enough, we can force  $\psi_{t_1-t}(E^0)$  to lie in any chosen neighbourhood  $W$  of  $N_0$  for any  $t < t_0$ . Now  $\psi_{t_1-t} : (E^0, \omega_t) \rightarrow (W, \omega_{t_1})$  is an embedding as it is a flow and it is symplectic because

$$\psi_{t_1}^* \omega_{t_1} = \psi_t^* \omega_t \Leftrightarrow \psi_{t_1-t}^* \omega_{t_1} = \omega_t$$

Furthermore,  $\psi_{t_1-t}$  is obviously isotopic rel  $N_0$  to  $id_{E^0}$  because  $\psi_{t_1-t}(N_0) = N_0$  ( $A(\psi_{t_1-t}(x)) = 0$  for any  $x \in N_0$ ).

Because we can extend  $\eta|_{E^0}$  to all of  $E$  we can extend  $\omega_t$  and  $Y_t$  to all of  $E$  for  $t \in (0, t_1]$  and thus also  $\psi$ .  $\square$

**Remark A.12** *If we define  $\omega_t$  as above for  $t \in (0, t_1]$ , then  $i_0^* \omega_t = \omega_N$  and hence  $i_0$  is symplectic. This does not necessarily hold for  $i_\infty$ ; indeed  $i_\infty^* [\eta] = -e$ .*

**Proof** If we look at the construction of  $\eta$  we see that  $[\eta'] = [\beta] = \tau_{N_0}$  and thus  $[\eta''] = \tau_{N_0} - p^*i_0^*\tau_{N_0}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} i_\infty^*[\eta''] &= i_\infty^*\tau_{N_0} - i_\infty^*p^*i_0^*\tau_{N_0} = \\ i_\infty^*\tau_{N_0} - i_0^*\tau_{N_0} &= -i_0^*\tau_{N_0} = -e. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Because  $g \cdot i_\infty(p) = i_\infty(p)$  for any  $g \in \text{SO}(2)$  and any  $p \in N$ , we obtain the claim.

**Definition A.13** *We will call  $(M_1 \# M_2, \omega)$  the symplectic sum of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  along  $N$  via  $\psi$ . If  $M$  is connected,  $(\#_\psi M, \omega)$  will be referred to as the symplectic self-sum.*

**Theorem A.14** [*Symplectic Sum*][5, p.13] *Let  $(M^m, \omega_M)$  and  $(N^{n-2}, \omega_N)$  be two closed symplectic manifolds and let  $j_1, j_2 : N \hookrightarrow M$  be two symplectic embeddings such that  $j_1(N) \cap j_2(N) = \emptyset$  and such that  $e(\nu_2) = -e(\nu_1)$ , where  $\nu_i$  is the normal bundle of  $j_i(N)$  in  $M$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . For any orientation-reversing bundle isomorphism  $\psi : \nu_1 \rightarrow \nu_2$ ,  $\#_\psi M$  admits a canonical symplectic form  $\omega$  which is induced by  $\omega_M$  after we perturbed it slightly near  $j_2(N)$ .*

*Explicitly, we have a unique isotopy class of symplectic forms on  $\#_\psi M$  that contains forms  $\omega$  with the following properties*

1. *If  $Q$  is the cobordism between  $M$  and  $\#_\psi M$  and  $i' : \#_\psi M \rightarrow Q$  is the obvious inclusion and  $\Omega$  is the class on  $Q$  induced by  $\omega_M$ , then*

$$[\omega] = i'^*\Omega \in H^2(\#_\psi M) \quad (\text{A.20})$$

2. *We can choose fiber metrics on the two normal bundles and identify  $\nu_1^0$  and  $\nu_2^0$  with disjoint tubular neighbourhoods  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of  $j_1(N)$  and  $j_2(N)$  respectively, such that the embedding  $\nu_1^0 \hookrightarrow M$  extends to an embedding  $\nu_1 \hookrightarrow M$ .*
3. *The 2-form  $\omega_M$  is  $\text{SO}(2)$ -invariant on  $\nu_1^0$  and symplectic on the closure of each fiber of  $\nu_1^0$  with area  $t_0$  independent of the fiber. The 2-forms  $(1-s)\omega_M + s(p_1^*\omega_N)$ ,  $s \in [0, 1)$ , are symplectic on  $\text{cl}(\nu_1^0)$  where  $p_1 : \nu_1 \rightarrow N$ .*
4. *There exists a closed 2-form  $\zeta$  on  $M$  with  $\text{supp}(\zeta) \subset V_2$  such that, for all  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , the 2-form  $\omega_M + t\zeta$  is symplectic on both  $M$  and  $j_2(N)$ .*
5. *There is an  $O(2)$ -bundle isomorphism  $\varphi : \nu_1 \rightarrow \nu_2$  that is fiber-isotopic to  $\psi$ , such that outside of a compact subset  $K$  of  $V_1$ , the map  $\varphi : \iota \circ \varphi : V_1 \setminus j_1(N) \rightarrow V_2 \setminus j_2(N)$  is symplectic with respect to the symplectic form  $\tilde{\omega}_M := \omega_M + t_0\zeta$  on  $M$ . Then  $\#_\psi M$  is obtained from  $(M \setminus (K \cup j_2(N)), \tilde{\omega}_M)$  by gluing via  $\varphi$ .*



The form  $\omega$  depends smoothly on  $\omega_M$  and  $\omega_N$  (and hence on  $j_1$  and  $j_2$ ), and it can be constructed with each  $V_i$  lying inside any preassigned neighbourhood of  $j_i(N)$ . It can be assumed that  $[\zeta] \in H^2(M)$  is Poincaré dual to  $(j_2)_*[N] \in H_{n-2}(M; \mathbb{R})$ . In fact, this is necessarily true unless  $e(\nu_1)$  vanishes over  $\mathbb{R}$  on more than one component of  $N$ .

**Proof** Instead of gluing directly one uses the normal bundles of the embeddings to glue fiberwise.

Let  $e = e(\nu_1)$  and construct the disk bundle  $E$ , the forms  $\eta$  and  $\{\omega_t\}$  and the sphere bundle  $S$  over  $N$  as in the second lemma. Because  $e(E) = e(\nu_1)$ , there is an orientation preserving bundle isomorphism  $\Phi : E \rightarrow \nu_1$ . Let it induce a metric on  $\nu_1$  such that  $\nu_1^0 \cong E^0$  and we thus have an embedding  $f : E^0 \rightarrow M$ . Moreover,  $f \circ i_0 = j_1$  where  $i_0 : N \rightarrow S$  is the zero section  $N_0$  of  $E^0$  seen a subset of  $S$  (the "south pole"). With the help of the two lemmas we obtain a smooth family of symplectic embeddings  $f_t : (E^0, \omega_t) \rightarrow (M, \omega_M)$ , all satisfying  $f_t \circ i_0 = j_1$ . Then we can choose one of these for our identification.

The symplectic identification of a neighbourhood of  $j_2(N)$  with  $\overline{E^0}$  is trickier. If we denote by  $i_\infty : N \rightarrow \overline{E^0} \hookrightarrow S$  the other zero section, then  $i_\infty$  is no longer symplectic. Ignoring that at first, we note that we have an embedding  $g : S \setminus N_0 \rightarrow M$  with  $g \circ i_\infty = j_2$  because  $e(\nu_2) = e(\overline{E})$ . We then have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
N & \xrightarrow{i_0} & E^0 & \xrightarrow{\subset} & S & \xleftarrow{\supset} & \overline{E^0} & \xleftarrow{i_\infty} & N \\
& \searrow & \downarrow f_t & & & & \downarrow g & \swarrow & \\
& & M & & & & M & & \\
& & j_1 & & & & j_2 & & 
\end{array}$$

To ameliorate the situation at the north pole, we perturb the symplectic form  $\omega_M$  slightly near  $j_2(N)$ , keeping it so localised that our other symplectic embeddings are still symplectic with respect to this new form  $\tilde{\omega}_M$ . Denote by  $N_\infty$  the image of  $i_\infty$ , then  $g|_{N_\infty} : (N_\infty, p^*\omega_N) \rightarrow (M, \tilde{\omega}_M)$  is symplectic. Again we apply both lemmas to get a family of symplectic embeddings  $g_t : (\overline{E^0}, \omega_t) \rightarrow (M, \tilde{\omega}_M)$ . Choose  $t_0$  so small that  $\tilde{g} := g_{t_0}$  and  $\tilde{f} := f_{t_0}$  are defined and note that both are defined on  $S \setminus (N_0 \cup N_\infty)$ . Let  $U$  be a neighbourhood of  $N_\infty$  in  $S$  and set  $W := \tilde{g}(U \setminus N_\infty)$ . Note that  $\tilde{f}$  is defined on  $U \setminus N_\infty = \tilde{g}^{-1}(W)$  and thus we can set  $K' := (\tilde{f}(\tilde{g}^{-1}(W)))$  and as  $W \subset \tilde{g}(\overline{E^0})$ ,  $\tilde{g}^{-1}|_W$  is defined and smooth. Hence we have a diffeomorphism  $\varphi := \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g} : W \rightarrow K'$ . We then define  $K := f(E^0 \setminus U)$  and

$$\#_\psi M := (M \setminus (K \cup j_2(N))) / \sim \tag{A.21}$$

□

where  $x \sim \varphi(x)$  for  $x \in K'$ .

This is a rough sketch of the construction. Now one has to show that this

## A. APPENDIX

---

is indeed diffeomorphic to the connected sum and that all the claims of the theorem hold. However, there are many subtleties involved and an outline would not do it justice while a full explanation would go beyond the scope of a bachelor thesis.

---

## Acknowledgements

---

I would like to thank Ana Cannas da Silva for suggesting this beautiful topic and supporting me along the way, offering much help and inspiration. Furthermore, I am indebted to Dietmar Salamon for his lecture on differential geometry which provided me with much of the necessary background.<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>10</sup>Moreover, I am grateful that I could use Adrian Nievergelt's template provided by CADMO.



---

## Bibliography

---

- [1] Raoul Bott, Loring W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 3rd printing, 1982
- [2] Ana Cannas da Silva, *Lectures on Symplectic Geometry*, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2nd printing, 2008
- [3] Ana Cannas da Silva, *Handbook of Differential Geometry Volume II*, p.79-188, Elsevier, 2006
- [4] Jonny Evans, *Lecture VIII: Symplectic Blow Up*, <http://www.homepages.ucl.ac.uk/~ucahjje/sympcourse.htm>, (10.8.2018)
- [5] Robert E. Gompf, *A New Construction of Symplectic Manifolds*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 142, No. 3, pp. 527-595 Nov., 1995
- [6] Robert E. Gompf, *Some New Symplectic Manifolds*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 7-11 1994.
- [7] Phillip Griffiths, Joseph Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, John Wiley and Sons Inc., Wiley Classics Library Edition, 1994
- [8] Michael Gromov, *Partial Differential Relations*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Series 3, Vol 9, Springer Berlin, 1986
- [9] Morris W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1st edition (Softcover Reprint), 1976
- [10] Michael A. Kervaire, John W. Milnor, *Groups of Homotopy Spheres: I*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 77, No. 3, pp. 504-537, May, 1963

- [11] Bertrand Kostant, *Quantizations and Unitary Representations* in *Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications III* p.87-208, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1st edition, 1970
- [12] Yael Karshon, Liat Kessler *Torus Actions on Equal Symplectic Blow-Ups of  $CP^2$* , *Mathematical Research Letters* Vol. 14, No.5, January 2005
- [13] Antoni A. Kosinski, *Differential Manifolds*, Academic Press, Inc, San Diego, 1993
- [14] John W. Milnor, *Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint*, The University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville, 1965
- [15] Dusa McDuff, Dietmar Salamon, *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 3rd edition, 2017
- [16] Richard S. Palais, *Natural Operations on Differential Forms*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* Vol 92, p.125-141, 1959
- [17] Andrew Ranicki, *Algebraic and Geometric Surgery*, Oxford Mathematical Monograph (OUP), 2002
- [18] Donald Sarason, *Complex Function Theory*, American Mathematical Society, 2nd edition, 2007
- [19] Dietmar Salamon, Joel W. Robbin, *Lecture Notes on Differential Topology*, <https://people.math.ethz.ch/~salamon/PREPRINTS/difftop.pdf>, 30.8.2018 (22:24)
- [20] Dietmar Salamon, Joel W. Robbin, *Lecture Notes on Differential Geometry*, <https://people.math.ethz.ch/~salamon/PREPRINTS/diffgeo.pdf> 30.8.2018 (22:24)
- [21] William Thurston, *Some Simple Examples of Symplectic Manifolds*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematics Society*, Vol. 55, No. 2, pp. 467-468, Mar., 1976
- [22] C. T. C. Wall, *Differential Topology*, <https://www.maths.ed.ac.uk/~v1ranick/surgery/wall.pdf>, (19.8.2018)