

A review of Lie Group Actions and the Notion of Moment Map

Full Version

Daniel Rutschmann

17.11.2021

Abstract

In this talk we will consider symplectic and hamiltonian actions of Lie groups, which are in some sense a generalization of symplectic and hamiltonian vector fields.

1 A refresher on Lie Groups

This section will briefly recall some basic definitions and properties of Lie groups. For a more in-depth explanation with proofs, see the lecture note by Merry [Mer21].

Definition 1. A Lie group G is a (smooth) manifold with a group structure such that multiplication and inversion are smooth maps.

A (real) Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a vector space with a bilinear pairing $[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, called the *Lie bracket* such that $[v, w] = -[w, v]$ and

$$[u, [v, w]] + [v, [w, u]] + [w, [u, v]] = 0$$

For example, $\Gamma(TG)$, i.e. the vector fields on G , form a Lie algebra with

$$[X, Y] := \mathcal{L}_X Y$$

given by the Lie derivative. For $g \in G$, consider the left multiplication map

$$l_g : G \rightarrow G \\ h \mapsto gh$$

We say that a vector field X is left-invariant if $(l_g)_* X = X$.

Lemma 2. *If X, Y are left-invariant, then so is $[X, Y]$.*

Based on this lemma, will associate to every Lie group G the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} consisting of left-invariant vector fields on G . We may identify \mathfrak{g} with the tangent space at the identity element:

Lemma 3. *Let $e \in G$ be the identity element. For every $v \in T_e G$, there is a unique left-invariant vector field $X \in \Gamma(TG)$ with $X_e = v$. In particular, we may identify \mathfrak{g} with $T_e G$.*

Let G act on itself via conjugation, i.e. for $g \in G$, we consider

$$\psi_g : h \mapsto g \cdot h \cdot g^{-1}$$

We have $\psi_g(e) = e$, so we may differentiate there to get a linear map

$$\text{Ad}_g : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

where we use the identification $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$. This gives us the *adjoint representation*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad} : G &\rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ g &\mapsto \text{Ad}_g \end{aligned}$$

Dualizing this, we get the *coadjoint representation*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}^* : G &\rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \\ g &\mapsto \text{Ad}_g^* \end{aligned}$$

which for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined via

$$\text{Ad}_g^*(\xi)(X) = \xi(\text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}(X)) \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}$$

(We use g^{-1} to ensure that $\text{Ad}_{gh}^* = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \text{Ad}_h^*$, so we indeed get an action.)

Remark. If G is abelian, then Ad and Ad^* are both the trivial action. In most of our applications, this will be the case.

2 Hamiltonian Actions

Definition 4 (Action). A (*smooth left*) *action* of a Lie group G on a manifold M is a group morphism

$$\psi : G \mapsto \text{Diff}(M)$$

such that the evaluation map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_\psi : G \times M &\rightarrow M \\ (g, x) &\mapsto g \cdot x := \psi_g(x) \end{aligned}$$

is smooth.

Example. Actions of \mathbb{R} on M are given by the flows of complete vector fields

- Let X be a complete vector field, then the flow ρ_t defines a morphism $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M)$ as $\rho_{s+t} = \rho_s \circ \rho_t$.
- Let ψ be an action of \mathbb{R} on M , then

$$X_p = \left. \frac{d\psi_t(p)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$$

defines a complete vector field.

Any action of a Lie group G on M induces a Lie algebra morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &\rightarrow \Gamma(TM) \\ X &\mapsto X^\# \end{aligned}$$

as follows: By restricting the action to the one-parameter subgroup of G defined by the flow $\{\rho_t(e) | t \in \mathbb{R}\}$, we get an action of \mathbb{R} on M . By the previous example, this action corresponds to a complete vector field $X^\#$. This is often called the “infinitesimal action”.

Definition 5. An action ψ is *symplectic* if ψ_g is a symplectomorphism for every $g \in G$.

In my first talk, I have shown that the flow of a complete symplectic vector field is a one-parameter family of symplectomorphisms. Hence, similar to the previous example, we have

$$\{\text{symplectic } \mathbb{R}\text{-actions on } M\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{symplectic vector fields on } M\}$$

Hamiltonian actions How should we define hamiltonian actions? Based on the previous examples, we would want that

$$\{\text{hamiltonian } \mathbb{R}\text{-actions on } M\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{hamiltonian vector fields on } M\}$$

Recall that hamiltonian vector fields X satisfies

$$\iota_X \omega = -dH$$

for some hamiltonian function $H \in C^\infty(M)$. This $G = \mathbb{R}$ case is a useful example to keep in mind when looking at the general definition.

Definition 6. An action ψ is *hamiltonian* if there is a map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$$

with the following properties:

- For every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^X : M &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ p &\mapsto \mu(p)(X) \end{aligned}$$

Then $X^\#$ is a hamiltonian vector field with hamiltonian function μ^X . In other words,

$$\iota_{X^\#} \omega = -d\mu^X$$

- Let Ad^* be the coadjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g}^* . Then

$$\mu \circ \psi_g = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \mu \quad \forall g \in G$$

(If G is abelian, then Ad^* is trivial, so this condition is just $\mu \circ \psi_g = \mu$. This will be the case once we consider tori.)

(M, ω, G, μ) is then called a *hamiltonian G -space* and μ is called a *moment map*.

Example. Let $G = \mathbb{R}$ or $G = S^1$, then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a moment map. Let $X = 1 \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $\mu^X = \mu$. As X generates \mathfrak{g} , the first condition is just

$$\iota_{X^\#} \omega = -d\mu$$

i.e. the action has to be the flow of a hamiltonian vector field. The second condition is

$$\mu = \underbrace{\mu \circ \psi_t}_{=\psi_t^* \mu} \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

The first condition implies the second one, as the flow of a hamiltonian vector field preserves the hamiltonian function (see my first talk).

Here is a special case of this:

Example. Let $G = S^1$ with coordinate σ and $M = S^2$ with coordinates (θ, h) . Consider the action by rotation, i.e. $\sigma \cdot (\theta, h) = (\theta + \sigma, h)$. This action preserves the surface area, so it is symplectic. This action moreover preserves $\mu = -h$, so it is hamiltonian.

Here is a more involved example where we get to see the coadjoint action.

Example. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with coordinates (q_i, p_i) standard symplectic form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dq_i \wedge dp_i = d\lambda$$

where

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i dq_i$$

or $\lambda = (dq)^T \cdot p$ if we define the vectors

$$dq = \begin{pmatrix} dq_1 \\ \vdots \\ dq_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad p = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $G = \text{SO}_n(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ B \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid B + B^T = 0 \right\}$$

Let G act on M via

$$\psi_A(q, p) = (A \cdot q, A \cdot p)$$

then for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the infinitesimal action is

$$X_{(q,p)}^\# = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \left((I_n + tX) \cdot p, (I_n + tX) \cdot q \right) = \left(X \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + X \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right)$$

where we have column vectors

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \\ \vdots \\ p_n \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial q} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} \\ \vdots \\ q_n \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial q_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

The action ψ is symplectic:

$$\psi_A^* \lambda = (d(q \circ A))^T \cdot (Ap) = (A \cdot dq)^T \cdot (A \cdot p) = dq^T \cdot \underbrace{A^T \cdot A}_{=I_n} \cdot p$$

hence also

$$\psi_A^* \omega = \psi_A^* d\lambda = d(\psi_A^* \lambda) = d\lambda = \omega$$

(see also the section in my first talk on exact symplectic manifolds).

Moreover, the action is hamiltonian with moment function

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : M &\rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ (q, p) &\mapsto [B \mapsto p^T \cdot B \cdot q] \end{aligned}$$

(where $q^T \cdot B \cdot p = p^T \cdot B^T \cdot q = -p^T \cdot B \cdot q$ by the description of \mathfrak{g}). This is indeed a moment map:

- We first need to check that $\iota_{X^\#} \omega = -d\mu^X$. Fix $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, then

$$\mu^X(p, q) = p^T X q$$

hence

$$d\mu^X \Big|_{p,q} = p^T X \cdot dq + q^T X^T \cdot dp = p^T X \cdot dq - q^T X \cdot dp$$

as $X^T = -X$. On the other hand, we have

$$\iota_{X^\#} \omega = q^T X \cdot dp - p^T X \cdot dq$$

- Next, let's check equivariance. We have

$$\text{Ad}_A B = ABA^{-1} \quad \forall A \in \text{SO}_n(\mathbb{R}), B + B^T = 0$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu \circ \psi_A)(p, q)(B) &= \mu(Ap, Aq)(B) = p^T \underbrace{A^T}_{A^{-1}} BAq \\ &= \mu(p, q)(A^{-1}BA) = \mu(p, q)(\text{Ad}_{A^{-1}}(B)) \end{aligned}$$

so we indeed get the coadjoint action.

Example. If we want to do the same example with $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, then we need to define the action as

$$\psi_A(p, q) = (A \cdot p, (A^{-1})^T \cdot q)$$

to get a symplectic (and also hamiltonian) action.

This example generalizes to arbitrary cotangent bundles:

Example. Let ψ be any action of a Lie group G on any manifold N . This induces an action $\bar{\psi}$ on the cotangent bundle $(T^*N, -d\lambda)$ given by

$$\bar{\psi}_g(x, p) := (\psi_g(x), (\psi_{g^{-1}})^*p)$$

This action is the lift of a diffeomorphism and hence symplectic (see notes of my first talk). It is moreover hamiltonian with moment map

$$\mu(X) = \iota_{X^\sharp}\lambda = \lambda(X^\sharp)$$

This lifting of actions to hamiltonian actions in some sense generalizes the lifting of vector fields to hamiltonian vector fields.

3 Products

Hamiltonian actions behave well with respect to products. For proofs of these lemmas, see Section 4 of [Wie21].

Lemma 7. *Suppose we are given hamiltonian actions of a Lie group G on two symplectic manifolds (M_1, ω_1) and (M_2, ω_2) with moment maps $\mu_1 : M_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mu_2 : M_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. Equip $M_1 \times M_2$ with the product symplectic structure $\pi_1^*\omega_1 + \pi_2^*\omega_2$. Then the diagonal action of G on $M_1 \times M_2$ is hamiltonian with $\mu = \mu_1 \circ \pi_1 + \mu_2 \circ \pi_2$.*

Lemma 8. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two Lie groups, each with a hamiltonian action ψ_1 and ψ_2 on the same manifold M , with moment maps $\mu_1 : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1^*$ and $\mu_2 : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2^*$. Suppose in addition that μ_1 is ψ_2 -invariant and vice-versa. Then the product action defined by*

$$\psi_{(g_1, g_2)}(x) = (\psi_1)_{g_1}((\psi_2)_{g_2}(p))$$

is hamiltonian with moment map $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2$.

For us, the most important case of this is $G = \mathbb{T}^n = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$.

4 Differential of Moment Maps

Let (M, ω, G, μ) be a hamiltonian G -space. Fix a point $p \in M$. We will use the following notation:

\mathcal{O} orbit of p .

G_p stabilizer of p .

\mathfrak{g}_p Lie algebra of G_p .

For a vector subspace $W \subseteq V$, recall the annihilator

$$W^0 = \left\{ \xi \in V^* \mid \xi|_W \equiv 0 \right\} \subseteq V^*$$

For a subspace $W \subseteq V$ of a symplectic space V , recall the symplectic complement

$$W^\omega = \left\{ v \in V \mid \omega(v, w) = 0 \ \forall w \in W \right\}$$

Lemma 9. *Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be a moment map and fix $p \in M$. Then the differential*

$$d\mu_p : T_p M \rightarrow T_{\mu(p)} \mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}^*$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(d\mu_p) &= (T_p \mathcal{O})^\omega \\ \text{im}(d\mu_p) &= (\mathfrak{g}_p)^0 = \left\{ \xi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mid \xi(\mathfrak{g}_p) = \{0\} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. **Kernel** By definition of moment map, we have

$$-(d\mu_p(v))(X) = \omega_p(X_p^\sharp, v) \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in T_p M$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu_p(v) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow (d\mu_p(v))(X) = 0 && \forall X \in \mathfrak{g} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \omega_p(X_p^\sharp, v) = 0 && \forall X \in \mathfrak{g} \\ &\Leftrightarrow v \in \text{Span} \left\{ X_p^\sharp \mid X \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}^\omega \\ &\Leftrightarrow v \in (T_p \mathcal{O})^\omega \end{aligned}$$

Dimension In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\ker d\mu_p) &= \dim M - \dim G + \dim G_p \\ \dim(\text{im } d\mu_p) &= \dim G - \dim G_p = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_p)^0 \end{aligned}$$

by rank-nullity. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\text{im}(d\mu_p) \subseteq (\mathfrak{g}_p)^0$$

Image We will now show that

$$(d\mu_p(v))(X) = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}_p, v \in T_p M$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}_p$, then the action of $\{\exp tX, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ stabilizes p , thus $X_p^\sharp = 0$. Thus, for any $v \in T_pM$, we have

$$(\mathrm{d}\mu_p(v))(X) = -\omega_p(\underbrace{X_p^\sharp}_{=0}, v) = 0$$

□

Corollary 10. *In the above setting,*

- $\mathrm{d}\mu_p$ is injective if and only if the orbit \mathcal{O}_p is open.
- $\mathrm{d}\mu_p$ is surjective if and only if the stabilizer of p is discrete.

References

- [Mer21] Will J. Merry. Lecture notes for a two-semester course on Differential Geometry. <https://www.merry.io/courses/differential-geometry/>, 2021. [Online; accessed 30-September-2021].
- [Wie21] Manuel Wiedmer. Moment Maps From Lie Groups, Semester Paper. https://people.math.ethz.ch/~acannas/Student_Papers/Semester_Papers/2021_manuel_wiedmer_sp_moment_maps_from_lie_groups.pdf, 2021. [Online; accessed 15-November-2021].