LECIURE 4

-Remove 0-haudies Zeurma - (Cor of Caucellation) Jf W is connected, then any haudie decomp. of (W, 2,W, 2,W) can be modified to one in which either there are no 0-haudies (if 2,W $\neq \phi$) or there is precisely one 0-haudie (if 2,W= ϕ).

proof. If 2.W≠Ø, then fir any 0-handle h° of W there must be a 1-handle h¹ that attaches both to h° and 2.W; otherwise, W would be disconnected (as handles of index >2 have connected att. regimes). But then h° and h¹ are in curcelling position: Ah A Bho = Apt? so we can remove both. If 2.W=Ø, first attach one 0-handle and then apply the care 2.W≠Ø. I

- Kennove n-hauddes Zeunna -JA W is connected, then any haudde decomp. of (W, 2, W, 2, W)can be modified to one in which either there are no n-hauddes $(if 2 W \neq p)$ or there is precively one n-haudde (if 2 W = p). proof. Turn the haudde decomposition upside down and apply -Remore o-hauddes Zeunn-. I Now recall: hey Thm [Smale 1961] - h-colordinan Theorem -Any simply connected h-colordinan (W, 2, W) with dm W > 6 is trivial. Means $\partial_i W \rightarrow W$ are homotopy eguidateres. In the cave $\pi_i W \cong \pi_i(2;W)$ is not trivial, we need to additionally answer $\partial_i W \rightarrow W$ are imple homotopy eg. This is meanwes by an invariant called the Whitehead torsion that will be explained later. $W_i(W;W) \in Wk(\pi;W)$

S S-COBORDISM THEOREM hey Thm [Smale 1961] - s-cobordian Theorem -If (W. Z.W. Z.W) is an s-colording with dmW >6, then it is smoothly trivial, i.e. there is a diffeomorphism $(W, \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W) \cong (\partial_0 W \times [0, 1], \partial_0 W \times [0^1, \partial_0 W \times [0^1])$. metch of proof. Pice a house decomposition of (W. 2.W. 2.W). Thunks to Remove o- and n-handles Semme, we can assume NO o- and n-handles Jtep 1. - Normal Form Jeunma-For every h-cobordian of dimension $n \ge 6$ and any $2 \le l \le n-3$ there is a handle decomposition of the form $\partial_0 \mathbb{W} \times [0,1] \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} h_j^c \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} h_j^c$ using: Haudle Trading Zemma Step 2. Fut hauster into algebraically cancelling position: $H_{*}(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{\partial_{v}}W; \mathbb{Z})$ is computed by the Morse chain complex $H_{*}(W, W; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ since $\partial_0 W \longleftrightarrow W$ is a homotopy equivalence since $\partial_0 W \longrightarrow W$ is a simple h.e. $Wh(W, \partial_0 W) = 0$ & Houdle Slides dim W > 6 crivial Step 3. Caucel Uhit unit in Uhitney Trice Jennes ~~> improves algebraically carrielling into geometrically caucelling. Ω.

NOTATION: Given a handle decomposition of
$$(W, \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$$
 let $W \stackrel{\ell K}{=} \partial_0 W \cup of m dex \leq \kappa$.
Then $W \stackrel{\ell K}{=} is a cobordiant from $\partial_0 W \stackrel{\ell K}{=} \partial_0 W = \partial_1 W \stackrel{\ell K}{=} d_0 W$.$

def. - Morrie chain complex -
Given a haudle decomposition
$$\{h_i^k\}_{\substack{1 \le i \le r_k \ i \le r_k}}^{\infty}$$
 of a cobordiant $(W, 2W, 2, W)$ we define
a chain complex $(C_{\star}^{\mathcal{U}}, \delta_{\star}^{\mathcal{U}})$ over \mathbb{Z} as follows:
for $D \le k \le n$ let $C_{\star}^{\mathcal{U}} := \mathbb{Z}$ $\{H_{r_k}^k, \dots, H_{r_k}^k\}$ (the free as jp on r_k generatives)
and $\delta_{\kappa}^{\mathcal{U}} : C_{\kappa}^{\mathcal{U}} \longrightarrow C_{\kappa-1}^{\mathcal{U}}$ by $\delta_{\kappa}^{\mathcal{U}}(H_i^k) := \sum_{1 \le j \le r_{\kappa-1}} \mathbb{I}(A_i^k \cap B_j^{\kappa+1}) \cdot H_j^{\kappa+1}$
where $A_{i_i}^k: \mathbb{S}_{-}^{\kappa-1} \ge \partial_i W^{\le \kappa-1}$ is att. sphere of $h_i^{\kappa-1}$ (Note: $\kappa + 1 + n - \kappa = n - 4 = d_{nn} \ge W^{(k-1)}$).
 $\mathbb{I}(A_i^k \cap B_j^{\kappa-1}) := \sum_{p \in A \cap B} \le p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the intersection number, where:
 $\varepsilon_q := \begin{cases} +1, \quad if \quad dA_i^k (TS^{\kappa-1})_{\alpha} \oplus dB_j^{\kappa+1}(TS^{\kappa-k})_k \cong \mathbb{T}(\partial_i W^{(\kappa-1)})_p \text{ at } p = A(\alpha) = B(6) \\ -1, \quad otherwise. \end{cases}$

Example.
$$\begin{array}{c} \Xi(A^2 \wedge B_{4}^{\prime}) = 1 - 1 = 0 \\ \Xi(A^2 \wedge B_{4}^{\prime}) = 1 \end{array}$$

Note: We fixed an onemation on \mathbb{R}^{k} for all k=0, so also on \mathbb{D}^{k} and $\mathbb{S}^{k+1} = \partial \mathbb{D}^{k}$ and tuns on the core, alt and belt spheres of the k-handle $h^{k} \cong \mathbb{D}^{k} \times \mathbb{D}^{n-k}$. Note: For ve \mathbb{C}^{M}_{k} we can write $S^{M}_{k}(v) = \mathbb{T}^{M}_{k} \cdot v$ for $r_{k} \times r_{k+1}$ -matrix $\mathbb{T}^{M}_{k} := (\partial^{M}_{k}(\mathbb{H}^{k}))_{\text{restric}}$

Thm. This defines a chain complex whone humology is
$$H_*(C^{\mathcal{U}}_*, \delta^{\mathcal{U}}_*) \cong H_*(W, \partial_*W; \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Thm} - \underbrace{\text{Esuisariaut More clean complex}_{\text{Thm}} - \underbrace{\text{Esuisariaut More clean complex}_{\text{The Z[tT]-chain complex}}(C_{*}^{\widetilde{W}}, \delta_{*}^{\widetilde{W}}) \text{ defined } Cy: \ C_{k}^{\widetilde{W}} := \mathbb{Z}_{1}^{1} gH_{1}^{k}: \ gett, 1 \leq i \leq r_{k} \\ & S_{k}^{\widetilde{W}}(gH_{i}^{k}) := \sum_{\substack{g \in \pi, 1 \leq j \leq r_{k-1} \\ g \in \pi, 1 \leq j \leq r_{k-1} \\ \end{array}} \mathbb{I}(g\widetilde{A}_{i}^{k} \wedge g'\widetilde{B}_{j}^{k-1})g'H_{j}^{k-1} \\ & computes \ H_{*}(\widetilde{W}, \delta_{0}^{\widetilde{W}}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{*}(W, \delta_{0}^{W}; \mathbb{Z}[t_{T}]). \end{split}$$

< Exercise.

-Haudle Slides Zemma –
The change of basis
$$\widetilde{H}_{j}^{k+1} \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_{j}^{k+1} = \widetilde{H}_{j'}^{k+1}$$
 (or $\widetilde{H}_{j}^{k+1} = \widetilde{g}\widetilde{H}_{j'}^{k+1}$) in $C_{*}^{u\widetilde{u}}$ for some $1 \le j.j' \le r_{k+1}$, gett.
Can be realised geometrically on the handle decomposition. More previsely, the att. sphere
of h_{j}^{k+1} can be isotuped so that the resulting $(k+1)$ -bandle corresponds to $\widetilde{H}_{j}^{k+1} = \widetilde{g}\widetilde{H}_{j'}^{k+1}$ in $C_{*}^{u\widetilde{u}}$.

bloof

We can attach handles of the same index in any order, no consider $(W \stackrel{e_K}{\cup} h_j^{k+1}) \cup h_j^{k+1}$ In $\partial_1(W \stackrel{e_K}{\cup} h_j^{k+1})$ we have a purh-off of A_j , which bounds a dime $a = purh off of the core of <math>h_j^{k+1}$. Then we can firm an ambicut connected num

 $A_{j} #_{s'}A_{j'} = (A_{j} \cdot \gamma(pt)) \cup \gamma(w_{j'} \cdot s' \cdot w_{j'}) \cup (A_{j'} \cdot \gamma(pt)) \text{ where } [s'] = g \in \pi$ $w_{j} = pata from A_{j'}$ $t_{0} + t_{0} \text{ form } A_{j}$ $A_{j} #_{s'} A_{j'}$

Since $A_{L}^{k} \vee (pt)$ is isotopic rel boundary to $\vee (pt)$, via \triangle , we have isotopics $A_{j} \# A_{j} \simeq A_{L}^{k} \cup \vee (w_{j}^{-1} \cdot w \cdot w_{j}) \cup \vee (pt) \simeq A_{L}^{k}$.

Ai #Ak

On the other hand, we clearly have that a handle attached to $A_j #_s A_j$, corresponds to $H_j^{k+1} \neq gH_{j'}^{k+1}$ (to set $-gH_j^{k+1}$ use oppositely oncuted $A_{j'}$). \Box