LECIURE 5

-Remove 0-haudies Zeurima - (Cor of Caucellation)  $J_{f}$  W is connected, then any haudie decomp. of (W, 2,W, 2,W) cau be modified to one in which either there are no 0-haudies (if 2,W  $\neq \phi$ ) or there is precisely one 0-haudie (if 2,W= $\phi$ ).

proof. If 2.W≠Ø, then for any 0-handle h° of W there must be a 1-handle h<sup>1</sup> that attaches both to h° and 2.W; otherwise, W would be disconnected (as handles of index >2 have connected att. regimes). But then h° and h<sup>1</sup> are in curcelling position: Ah A Bho = Apt? so we can remove both. If 2.W=Ø, first attach one 0-handle and then apply the care 2.W≠Ø. I

-Remove n-haudus Zeunma -

H W is connected, then any handle decomp. of  $(W, \partial_s W, \partial_r W)$ can be modified to one in which either there are no n-handles (if  $\partial W \neq \emptyset$ ) or there is precisely one n-handle (if  $\partial W = \emptyset$ ).

proof. Turn the haudle decomposition upside down and apply - Remove o-haudles Zenna- I

S S-COBORDISM THEOREM hey [hm [Smale 1961] - s-cobording Theorem -If (W. Z.W. Z.W) is an s-colording with dmW >6, then it is smoothly trivial, i.e. there is a diffeomorphism  $(W, \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W) \cong (\partial_0 W \times [0, 1], \partial_0 W \times [0^1, \partial_0 W \times [1^1))$ . metch of proof. Pice a hourdle decomposition of (W. 2.W. 2.W). Thanks to Remove o- and n-hourdles Zemme, we can assume NO o- and n-handles Step 1. - Normal Form Zeuma-For every h-cobordian of dimension  $n \ge 6$  and any  $2 \le l \le n-3$ there is a handle decomposition of the form  $\partial_0 \mathbb{W} \times [0,1] \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} h_j^c \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} h_j^c$ (that uses Whitney Jrice Lemma) using: Haudle Trading Zeimma Step 2. Fut hauster into algebraically cancelling position: uning: H\* (W, 2,W; Z) is computed by the Morse chain complex H<sub>\*</sub>(₩,2₩;Z)=0 since  $\partial_0 W \longrightarrow W$  is a homotopy escubalence  $Wh(W, \partial_{o}W) = 0$ since  $\partial_0 W \longrightarrow W$  is a simple h.e. × & Haudle Slides dim W > 6 crivial Step 3. Caucel Untine Unitney Trice Jennes: Whitney Trice Jennes ~~> improves algebraically carrielling into geometrically caucelling. Ω.

NOTATION: Given a handle denomponition of 
$$(W, \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$$
 let  $W \stackrel{\ell K}{=} \partial_0 W \cup of m dex \leq \kappa$ .  
Then  $W \stackrel{\ell K}{=} is a cobordiant from  $\partial_0 W \stackrel{\ell K}{=} \partial_0 W = \partial_1 W \stackrel{\ell K}{=} d$ .$ 

Example. 
$$\begin{array}{c} \Xi(A^2 \wedge B_{4}^{\prime}) = 1 - 1 = 0 \\ \Xi(A^2 \wedge B_{4}^{\prime}) = 1 \end{array}$$

Note: We fixed an onemation on  $\mathbb{R}^{k}$  for all k=0, so also on  $\mathbb{D}^{k}$  and  $\mathbb{S}^{k-1} = \partial \mathbb{D}^{k}$ and tuns on the core, alt and belt spheres of the k-handle  $h^{k} \cong \mathbb{D}^{k} \times \mathbb{D}^{n-k}$ . Note: For ve  $\mathbb{C}_{k}^{\mathcal{U}}$  we can write  $\mathcal{S}_{k}^{\mathcal{U}}(v) = \mathbb{T}_{k}^{\mathcal{U}} \vee for r_{k} \times r_{k-1}$ -matrix  $\mathbb{T}_{k}^{\mathcal{U}} := (\partial_{k}^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathsf{H}_{i}^{k}))_{\text{reiser}}$ 

Thm. This defines a chain complex whone humology is 
$$H_*(C^{\mathcal{U}}_*, \delta^{\mathcal{U}}_*) \cong H_*(W, \partial_*W; \mathbb{Z})$$

Thus in deally an isomorphism, for all k, no we just need to chear that differentials agree,  
i.e. 
$$f_{k-1}(\delta_k^{U}(H_k^{K})) = \delta_k^{CW}(f_k(H_k^{K}))$$
  
 $\sum_{i \leq i \leq k-1}^{I} [(A_i^k \cap B_i^{K^i}) \cdot f_{k-1}(H_i^{K^i})] = \delta_k^{CW}(c_k^{K})$   
 $\sum_{i \leq i \leq i \leq k-1}^{I} \sum_{i \leq i \leq k-1}^{I} deg(S^{k-1} \frac{\partial C_i^k}{\partial C_i^k} \times \frac{\partial C_i^{k-1}}{\partial C_i^k}) = S^{k-1}(c_i^{k-1}) + C_i^{k-1} + C_i^{k-1} + C_i^{k-1} + C_i^{k-1}) + C_i^{k-1} + C_i^$ 

~ Exercise