

Lecture 24.04.2020

Application of Beppo Levi's

Theorem

$$g_n : \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty] \text{ } \mu\text{-meas}$$
$$f(x) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i(x)$$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g_i \, d\mu$$

Proof

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x)$$

$$f_n \uparrow, f_n \geq 0, \mu\text{-meas}$$

$$\lim_n \int_{\Omega} f_n \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \lim_n f_n \, d\mu$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x) \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} g_i(x) \, d\mu$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g_i \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_i \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \quad \square$$

3

Theorem 3.3.5 (Dominated

Convergence Theorem /
Lebesgue Theorem)

Let $g: \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$

μ -summable

$(f_k)_{k \geq 1}, f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

μ -measurable and

satisfy:

4

a) $|f_k(n)| \leq g(n) \quad \mu\text{-e.e.}$
 $\forall k \geq 1$

b) $f_k \rightarrow f \quad \mu\text{-e.e.}$

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_k d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu$$

Proof Observe that

a) & b) \Rightarrow

$$|f| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_k| \leq g \quad \mu \text{ a.e.} \quad 5$$

$$\Rightarrow |f_k - f| \leq |f_k| + |f| \leq 2g \quad \mu \text{ a.e.}$$

$$\Rightarrow |f_k - f| \text{ is } \mu\text{-summ.}$$

(g is μ summ. by assumption)

$$2g - |f_k - f| = h_k$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k \, d\mu \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} h_k \, d\mu \quad 6$$

NOTE

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_k = \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (2g - |f_k - f|)$$

$$= 2g \quad \text{because } |f_k - f| \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ μ -a.e.

$$\int_{\Omega} 2g \, d\mu \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} 2g - |f_k - f| \, d\mu$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} 2g \, d\mu + \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} -|f_k - f| \, d\mu$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} 2g \, d\mu - \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| \, d\mu$$

$$\left(\liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} f(-\psi_k) = - \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \psi_k \right)$$

$$= \left| \int f_k \, d\mu - \int f \, d\mu \right|$$

$$= \left| \int_{\Omega} (f_k - f) \, d\mu \right| \leq \int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| \, d\mu \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| \, d\mu \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f_k \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \quad \square$$

$$\Rightarrow \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| \, d\mu = 0$$

COROLLARY 3.1.14

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| \, d\mu = 0$$

$(f_k)_k, f$ μ -integrable

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_n - f| d\mu = 0 \quad (*)$$

\uparrow
 $\exists f_{k_j} \rightarrow f \quad \mu\text{-e.e.}$

Alternative Proof

Select $\alpha (f_{k_n})$ such

that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_{k_n} - f| d\mu < +\infty$$

$$\forall n \geq 1 \quad \exists k_n : k \geq k_n$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_{k_n} - f| d\mu < \frac{1}{2^n}$$

$$k = k_n$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_{k_n} - f| d\mu}_{< \infty} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} < +\infty$$

BL Theorem $\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_{k_n} - f| d\mu < +\infty$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |f| d\mu < +\infty$$

$$\Rightarrow |f| < +\infty \quad \mu - e.e$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} |f_{k_n} - f| < +\infty \quad \mu - e.e$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_{k_n} - f| = 0 \quad \mu - e.e$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{k_n}(x) = f(x) \quad \mu - e.e$$

□

10

3.4 Differentiation under integral sign.

Theorem 3.4.1

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

i) $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}: y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is

L^1 -summable in $[0, 1]$

ii) $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)$ exists and

it is bounded in $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$

Then $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ¹¹

• $y \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)$ is L^1 -summable in $[0, 1]$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_{[0,1]} f(x, y) dy = \int_{[0,1]} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) dy$$

Proof Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $h > 0$

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_{[0,1]} f(x, y) dy =$$

¹²

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[\int_{[0,1]} f(x+h, y) dy - \int_{[0,1]} f(x, y) dy \right]$$

①

$$\text{①} = \int_{[0,1]} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x, y)}{h} dy$$

Take $h_k \downarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$g_k(x, y) = \frac{f(x+h_k, y) - f(x, y)}{h_k}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} g_k(x, y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)$$

By Mean Value Theorem

13

$$|g_k(x, y)| \equiv \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_k, y) \right| \leq$$

is L^1 -summ.

$$y \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)$$

$$x_k \in (x, x+h_k)$$

$$\leq \sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R} \\ y \in [0, 1]}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y) \right| = C < +\infty$$

$$|g_k| \leq C$$

Due our case

$$g(x, y) \equiv C < +\infty$$

• g_k are L^1 -summ

$$g_k(x, y) \rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)$$

$\forall x: y \mapsto C$ is L^1 -summ

$$\text{in } [0, 1]: \int_{[0, 1]} C dy = C \cdot \mathcal{L}([0, 1]) = C$$

By Lebesgue Theorem: ¹⁵

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{[0,1]} g_k(x,y) dy =$$

$$= \int_{[0,1]} \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} g_k(x,y) dy$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d}{dx} \int_{[0,1]} f(x,y) dy =$$

$$= \int_{[0,1]} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y) dy$$

□

¹⁶
3.5 Absolute Continuity
of Integrals

$$f: \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}} \quad \mu\text{-summ.}$$

For $A \subseteq \Omega$ μ -measurable
we set

$$\nu(A) = \int_A f \circ \mu$$

Cor 3.1.17 \Rightarrow

$$\mu(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(A) = 0 \quad (1)$$

EXERCISE

- ν is σ -add. (Prop 3.1.18)
- $f \geq 0$ ν is a RADON MEASURE

MEASURE

$$\nu = \mu \llcorner f$$

Recall $(\mu \llcorner A)(B) = \mu(A \cap B)$

$$\mu \llcorner A = \mu \llcorner \chi_A$$

$\nu, \mu, \Sigma_\nu, \Sigma_\mu$ the
algebra of ν - and μ -
meas. sets

Def 3.5.2

two measure
Let ν, μ be such that

$$\Sigma_\nu \subseteq \Sigma_\mu \text{ and}$$

satisfy (1) - ν is called
"absolutely continuous"

with respect to μ and

we write $\nu \ll \mu$

Theorem 3.5.3

Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ μ -summ

then $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ such

that.

$\forall A \subseteq \Omega$ μ -meas.

$\mu(A) < \delta \Rightarrow$

$$\int_A |f| d\mu < \varepsilon$$

($A \mapsto \int_A |f| d\mu$ is
"continuous")

Proof We argue by

contradiction:

$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \exists$ sequence $(A_k) \subseteq \Omega$

μ -meas

$$\mu(A_k) < \frac{1}{2^k}$$

such that 21

$$\int_{A_k} |f| d\mu \geq \varepsilon \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

For $l \geq 1$, we set

$$B_l = \bigcup_{k=l}^{\infty} A_k$$

- $B_{l+1} \subseteq B_l$

- $\mu(B_l) \leq \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \mu(A_k)$
 $\leq \sum_{k=l}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = 2^{1-l}$

- $\mu(B_1) \leq 1$

\Rightarrow

22

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_l) = \mu\left(\bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} B_l\right) = 0$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{=: A}$

because $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_l) \leq$
 $\leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{l-1}} = 0$

Now $g_l = |f| \chi_{B_l}$

• $|g_l| \leq |f|$ (it is μ -summ) 23 2) $\int_{\Omega} \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} g_l \, d\mu = \int_A |f| \, d\mu$ 24

• $g_l \rightarrow |f| \chi_A$

\Rightarrow Lebesgue's Theorem

$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} g_l \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} g_l \, d\mu$

> ε = 0

observe that

1) $\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} g_l \, d\mu = \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f| \chi_{B_{\varepsilon}} \, d\mu$

$= \lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |f| \, d\mu$

But • $\int_A |f| \, d\mu = 0$

because $\mu(A) = 0$

and $|f|$ is μ -summ

• $\int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |f| \, d\mu \geq \int_{A_k} |f| \, d\mu > \varepsilon$

\downarrow

$k \geq l$

$\lim_{l \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} |f| \, d\mu \geq \varepsilon$ □

3.6: VITALI'S THEOREM ²⁵

$(f_k)_k, f: \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ μ -summ

Def 3.6.1

$(f_k)_k$ is UNIFORMLY

μ -SUMMABLE if

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0: \forall k \geq 0$

$\forall A \subseteq \Omega$ μ -meas

$\mu(A) < \delta \Rightarrow \int_A |f_k| d\mu < \varepsilon$

Theorem 3.6.2 (VITALI'S ²⁶

Theorem)

$(f_k), f: \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ μ -summ.

$\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$

The following two

conditions are equivalent

① $f_k \xrightarrow{\mu} f$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$

and (f_k) is UNIF.

μ -summable. 27

$$\text{(ii) } \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| d\mu = 0$$

Remark

$\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ is

necessary

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}^m, \mu = \mathcal{L}^m,$$

$$f_k = \frac{1}{k^m} \chi_{B(0,k)}$$

28
 $f_k \xrightarrow{\mu} 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ ($f_k \rightarrow 0$
and $\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$)
 (f_k) is UNIF. μ -summ.

$$\int_A f_k d\mu = \int_A \frac{1}{k^m} \chi_{B(0,k)} d\mathcal{L}^m$$

$$= \frac{1}{k^m} \mathcal{L}^1(B(0,k) \cap A)$$

$$\leq 1 \cdot \mathcal{L}^1(A)$$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow$ choose $\delta = \varepsilon$

If $\mathcal{L}^1(A) < \delta = \varepsilon$, then

$$\int_A f_k d\mathcal{L}^m < \varepsilon \quad 29$$

$$\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_k d\mu = W_m = \mathcal{L}^m(B(0,1))$$

$$\rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_k d\mu = W_m \neq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f d\mu = 0 \quad \square$$

Proof ii) \Rightarrow i)

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow f_k \xrightarrow{\mu} f \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

• (f_k) is u. μ -summable.

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \bar{k} = \bar{k}(\varepsilon); k \geq \bar{k}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| d\mu < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be such that

if $A \subseteq \Omega$ μ -meas

$$\mu(A) < \delta \Rightarrow \int_A |f| d\mu < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{and } \int_A |f_k| d\mu < \varepsilon \quad \forall 1 \leq k \leq \bar{k}$$

CLAIM 1

$$\int_A |f_k| d\mu < 2\varepsilon$$

$$\boxed{k > \bar{k}}$$

Proof of CLAIM 1

$$\int_A |f_k| d\mu \leq \int_A |f_k - f| d\mu$$

$$+ \int_A |f| d\mu$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} |f_k - f| d\mu + \int_A |f| d\mu$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_A |f_k| d\mu < 2\varepsilon \quad \forall k \geq \bar{k}$$

i) \Rightarrow ii)

$f_k \xrightarrow{\mu} f$ (f_k) is v. μ -summ.

$$\exists f_{k_n} \rightarrow f \quad \mu\text{-e.e.} \quad 33$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0 : A \subset \Omega$$

μ -meas and $\mu(A) < \delta$

$$\int_A |f| d\mu < \varepsilon \quad \int_A |f_{k_n}| d\mu < \varepsilon$$

By Egoroff theorem

$\exists K_\delta$ compact such

that $\mu(\Omega - K_\delta) < \delta$ 34

and

$$\sup_{K_\delta} |f_{k_n} - f| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty$$

$$\exists \bar{n} : n \geq \bar{n}$$

$$\sup_{K_\delta} |f_{k_n}^{(\cdot)} - f^{(\cdot)}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(\Omega)}$$

For $n \geq \bar{n}$:

$$\int_\Omega |f_{k_n} - f| d\mu =$$

$$= \int_{K_\delta} |f_{k_m} - f| d\mu + \int_{\Omega - K_\delta} |f_{k_m} - f| d\mu$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\mu(\Omega)} \cdot \mu(K_\delta) + \int_{\Omega - K_\delta} |f_{k_m}| d\mu$$

$\mu(K_\delta) \leq 1$

$$+ \int_{\Omega - K_\delta} |f| d\mu$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 3\varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_{k_m} - f| d\mu = 0$$

36

CLAIM 2 :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int |f_k - f| d\mu = 0$$

Proof of CLAIM 2

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int |f_k - f| d\mu > 0$$

Let $f_{k'_m}$ be a subseq. of f_k :

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int |f_{k'_m} - f| d\mu =$$

$$= \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \int |f_k - f| d\mu > 0$$

Apply the previous

arguments \rightarrow find $(f_{k_m}^{\prime\prime})$

subsequence of $(f_{k_m}^{\prime})$:

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_{k_m}^{\prime\prime} - f| \, d\mu = 0$$

\Downarrow
 \square