# Shape derivative of geometric constraints without integration along rays

Florian Feppon

Grégoire Allaire, Charles Dapogny Julien Cortial, Felipe Bordeu

ENGOPT - September 18th, 2018



#### Thickness control in structural optimization

Some recent advances in level-set based shape optimization: geometric constraints.<sup>[1][2]</sup>:



Figure 3.73: Full optimized shapes (a): without thickness constraint; for (b): d<sub>min</sub> = 0.05; (c): d<sub>min</sub> = 0.06; (d): d<sub>min</sub> = 0.07; (e): d<sub>min</sub> = 0.08, for the displacement inverter mechanism.

#### Figure: Michailidis (2014)

- [1] Michailidis2014Manufacturing.
- [2] Allaire2016Thickness.

- 1. Shape derivatives of geometric constraints based on the signed distance function
- 2. A variational method for avoiding integration along rays
- 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

### 1. Shape derivatives of geometric constraints based on the signed distance function

- 2. A variational method for avoiding integration along rays
- 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

The signed distance function  $d_{\Omega}$  to the domain  $\Omega \subset D$  is defined by:

$$\forall x \in D, \ d_{\Omega}(x) = \begin{cases} -\min_{y \in \partial \Omega} ||y - x|| & \text{if } x \in \Omega, \\ \min_{y \in \partial \Omega} ||y - x|| & \text{if } x \in D \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$



The signed distance function allows to formulate geometric constraints.



Maximum thickness constraint :

$$\forall x \in \Omega, |d_{\Omega}(x)| \leq d_{\max}/2$$

Minimum thickness constraint:

 $\forall y \in \partial \Omega, |\zeta_{-}(y)| \geq d_{\min}/2.$ 

For shape optimization, one formulates geometric constraints using penalty functionals  $P(\Omega)$  as follows:

$$\min_{\Omega} J(\Omega), \text{ s.t. } P(\Omega) \leq 0, \text{ where } P(\Omega) := \int_{D} j(d_{\Omega}(x)) dx.$$

We rely on the method of Hadamard (figure from<sup>[3]</sup>):



For shape optimization, one formulates geometric constraints using penalty functionals  $P(\Omega)$  as follows:

$$\min_{\Omega} J(\Omega), \text{ s.t. } P(\Omega) \leq 0, \text{ where } P(\Omega) := \int_{D} j(d_{\Omega}(x)) dx.$$

The shape derivative of  $P(\Omega)$  reads

$$P'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(x) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial\Omega} u\,\boldsymbol{\theta}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathrm{d}y$$

with

$$\forall y \in \partial \Omega, \ u(y) = -\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + \kappa_i(y)d_{\Omega}(x)) \mathrm{d}x.$$

$$\forall y \in \partial \Omega, \ u(y) = -\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + \kappa_i(y)d_{\Omega}(x)) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Computing *u* requires:

$$\forall y \in \partial \Omega, \ u(y) = -\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + \kappa_i(y)d_{\Omega}(x)) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Computing *u* requires:

1. Integrating along rays on the discretization mesh:





$$\forall y \in \partial \Omega, \ u(y) = -\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + \kappa_i(y) d_{\Omega}(x)) dx.$$

Computing *u* requires:

1. Integrating along rays on the discretization mesh:





2. Estimating the principal curvatures  $\kappa_i(y)$ .

- 1. Shape derivatives of geometric constraints based on the signed distance function
- 2. A variational method for avoiding integration along rays
- 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

More precisely, the shape derivative of  $P(\Omega)$  reads

$$P'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(x) \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial\Omega} u \,\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \mathrm{d}y$$

with  $d'_{\Omega}( heta)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \nabla d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \nabla d_{\Omega} = 0 \text{ in } D \setminus \overline{\Sigma} \\ d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$



More precisely, the shape derivative of  $P(\Omega)$  reads

$$P'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(x)\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial\Omega} u\,\boldsymbol{\theta}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathrm{d}y$$

with  $d'_{\Omega}( heta)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \nabla d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \nabla d_{\Omega} = 0 \text{ in } D \setminus \overline{\Sigma} \\ d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

**Our method**: *u* solves the following variational problem (with  $\omega > 0$  rather arbitrary):

Find 
$$u \in V_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall v \in V_{\omega}$ ,  

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} uv \mathrm{d}s + \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} \omega(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u) (\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla v) \mathrm{d}x = -\int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))v(x) \mathrm{d}x,$$

More precisely, the shape derivative of  $P(\Omega)$  reads

$$P'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(x)\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial\Omega} u\,\boldsymbol{\theta}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathrm{d}y$$

with  $d'_{\Omega}(\theta)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \nabla d_{\Omega}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \nabla d_{\Omega} = 0 \text{ in } D \setminus \overline{\Sigma} \\ d_{\Omega}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Find 
$$u \in V_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall \mathbf{v} \in V_{\omega}$ ,  
$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u\mathbf{v} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{s} + \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} \omega(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = -\int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}))\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x},$$

More precisely, the shape derivative of  $P(\Omega)$  reads

$$P'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(x)\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial\Omega} u\,\boldsymbol{\theta}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathrm{d}y$$

with  $d'_{\Omega}( heta)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \nabla d_{\Omega}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \nabla d_{\Omega} = 0 \text{ in } D \setminus \overline{\Sigma} \\ d_{\Omega}'(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Find 
$$u \in V_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall \mathbf{v} \in V_{\omega}$ ,  

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u\mathbf{v} ds + \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} \omega(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u) (\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) dx = -\int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \mathbf{v}(x) dx,$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u d'_{\Omega}(\theta) ds + \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} \omega(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u) (\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla d'_{\Omega}(\theta)) dx = -\int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) d'_{\Omega}(\theta)(x) dx,$$

More precisely, the shape derivative of  $P(\Omega)$  reads

$$P'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(x)\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial\Omega} u\,\boldsymbol{\theta}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathrm{d}y$$

with  $d'_{\Omega}( heta)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \nabla d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \nabla d_{\Omega} = 0 \text{ in } D \setminus \overline{\Sigma} \\ d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Find 
$$u \in V_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall v \in V_{\omega}$ ,  

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} uv ds + \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} \omega(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla v) dx = -\int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))v(x) dx,$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} ud'_{\Omega}(\theta) ds + \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} \omega(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla d'_{\Omega}(\theta)) dx = -\int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))d'_{\Omega}(\theta)(x) dx,$$

More precisely, the shape derivative of  $P(\Omega)$  reads

$$P'(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(x)\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\partial\Omega} u\,\boldsymbol{\theta}\cdot\mathbf{n}\mathrm{d}y$$

with  $d'_{\Omega}( heta)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \nabla d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \nabla d_{\Omega} = 0 \text{ in } D \setminus \overline{\Sigma} \\ d'_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Find 
$$u \in V_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall v \in V_{\omega}$ ,  

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} uv ds + \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} \omega(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u) (\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla v) dx = -\int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x))v(x) dx,$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} ud'_{\Omega}(\theta) ds + \int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} \omega(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u) (\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla d'_{\Omega}(\theta)) dx = -\int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) d'_{\Omega}(\theta)(x) dx,$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u(-\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) ds + 0 = -\int_{D\setminus\overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) d'_{\Omega}(\theta)(x) dx.$$

Our theoretical results for the variational problem:

Find 
$$\boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{V}_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V}_{\omega}$ ,  

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{s} + \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} \omega (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = - \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} j'(\boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{x})) \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \quad (1)$$

Our theoretical results for the variational problem:

Find 
$$\boldsymbol{u} \in V_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V_{\omega}$ ,  

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{s} + \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} \omega (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} = - \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} j'(\boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{x})) \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \quad (1)$$

1. Under rather unrestrictive assumptions, the trace of the solution u is independent on the weight  $\omega$  and is given by

$$\forall y \in \partial \Omega, \ u(y) = -\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \prod_{1 \le i \le n-1} (1 + \kappa_i(y) d_{\Omega}(x)) \mathrm{d}x.$$
 (2)

Our theoretical results for the variational problem:

Find 
$$\boldsymbol{u} \in V_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V_{\omega}$ ,  

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{s} + \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} \omega (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} = - \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} j'(\boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{x})) \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \quad (1)$$

1. Under rather unrestrictive assumptions, the trace of the solution u is independent on the weight  $\omega$  and is given by

$$\forall y \in \partial \Omega, \ u(y) = -\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \prod_{1 \le i \le n-1} (1 + \kappa_i(y)d_{\Omega}(x)) \mathrm{d}x.$$
 (2)

(1) can be solved with FEM while (2) requires computing rays and curvatures!

Our theoretical results for the variational problem:

Find 
$$\boldsymbol{u} \in V_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V_{\omega}$ ,  

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{s} + \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} \omega (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} = - \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} j'(\boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{x})) \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \quad (1)$$

1. Under rather unrestrictive assumptions, the trace of the solution u is independent on the weight  $\omega$  and is given by

$$\forall y \in \partial \Omega, \ u(y) = -\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \prod_{1 \le i \le n-1} (1 + \kappa_i(y)d_{\Omega}(x)) \mathrm{d}x.$$
 (2)

(1) can be solved with FEM while (2) requires computing rays and curvatures!

2. It is possible to show the well-posedeness of (??) for a large class of weights  $\omega$  in a suitable space  $V_{\omega}$ .

Our theoretical results for the variational problem:

Find 
$$\boldsymbol{u} \in V_{\omega}$$
 such that  $\forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V_{\omega}$ ,  

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{u} \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{s} + \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} \omega (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}) (\nabla \boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{v}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} = - \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} j'(\boldsymbol{d}_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{x})) \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \quad (1)$$

1. Under rather unrestrictive assumptions, the trace of the solution u is independent on the weight  $\omega$  and is given by

$$\forall y \in \partial \Omega, \ u(y) = -\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \prod_{1 \le i \le n-1} (1 + \kappa_i(y) d_{\Omega}(x)) \mathrm{d}x.$$
 (2)

(1) can be solved with FEM while (2) requires computing rays and curvatures!

- 2. It is possible to show the well-posedeness of (??) for a large class of weights  $\omega$  in a suitable space  $V_{\omega}$ .
- 3. The framework extends to more general  $C^1$  vector field  $\beta$  (without assuming  $\operatorname{div}(\beta) \in L^{\infty}(D)$ ) than  $\beta = \nabla d_{\Omega}$ .

Examples of more general settings:



- 1. Shape derivatives of geometric constraints based on the signed distance function
- 2. A variational method for avoiding integration along rays
- 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

Does it really work?

$$u(y) = -\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + \kappa_i(y)d_{\Omega}(x)) dx, \, \forall y \in \partial\Omega,$$

versus

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u v \mathrm{d}s + \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} \omega (\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u) (\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla v) \mathrm{d}x = - \int_{D \setminus \overline{\Sigma}} j'(d_{\Omega}(x)) v(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

An analytic example...





It works with weights  $\omega$  vanishing near the skeleton.



It works with weights vanishing near the skeleton.



Figure: P1 elements with  $\omega=1$  do not allow discontinuities of test functions near the skeleton...

We were able to implement conveniently geometric constraints in level set based shape optimization.

We were able to implement conveniently geometric constraints in level set based shape optimization.



(a) No maximum thickness constraint

(b) 
$$d_{\rm max} = 0.07$$
.

Figure: Maximum thickness constraint for 2D arch.

We were able to implement conveniently geometric constraints in level set based shape optimization.



XX

(a) No minimum thickness constraint.

(b) 
$$d_{\min} = 0.1$$
.



(c)  $d_{\min} = 0.2$ 

Figure: Minimum thickness constraint for 2D cantilever.

Thank you for your attention.

Much more details in the following preprint to appear.

 FEPPON, F., ALLAIRE, AND DAPOGNY, C. A variational formulation for computing shape derivatives of geometric constraints along rays. (2018).