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## Thickness control in structural optimization

Some recent advances in level-set based shape optimization: geometric constraints. ${ }^{[1][2]}$ :


Figure 3.73: Full optimized shapes (a): without thickness constraint; for (b): $d_{\min }=0.05$; (c): $d_{\text {min }}=$ $0.06 ;(\mathrm{d}): d_{\text {min }}=0.07 ;(\mathrm{e}): d_{\text {min }}=0.08$, for the displacement inverter mechanism.

Figure: Michailidis (2014)
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## 1. Shape derivatives of geometric constraints

The signed distance function $d_{\Omega}$ to the domain $\Omega \subset D$ is defined by:

$$
\forall x \in D, d_{\Omega}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\min _{y \in \partial \Omega}\|y-x\| & \text { if } x \in \Omega \\
\min _{y \in \partial \Omega}\|y-x\| & \text { if } x \in D \backslash \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$



## 1. Shape derivatives of geometric constraints

The signed distance function allows to formulate geometric constraints.


- Maximum thickness constraint :

$$
\forall x \in \Omega,\left|d_{\Omega}(x)\right| \leq d_{\max } / 2
$$

- Minimum thickness constraint:

$$
\forall y \in \partial \Omega,\left|\zeta_{-}(y)\right| \geq d_{\min } / 2
$$

## 1. Shape derivatives of geometric constraints

For shape optimization, one formulates geometric constraints using penalty functionals $P(\Omega)$ as follows:

$$
\min _{\Omega} J(\Omega), \text { s.t. } P(\Omega) \leq 0, \text { where } P(\Omega):=\int_{D} j\left(d_{\Omega}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x
$$

We rely on the method of Hadamard (figure from ${ }^{[3]}$ ):

[3] dapogny2017geometrical.
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The shape derivative of $P(\Omega)$ reads

$$
P^{\prime}(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\int_{D \backslash \bar{\Sigma}} j^{\prime}\left(d_{\Omega}(x)\right) d_{\Omega}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\partial \Omega} u \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

with

$$
\forall y \in \partial \Omega, u(y)=-\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j^{\prime}\left(d_{\Omega}(x)\right) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}\left(1+\kappa_{i}(y) d_{\Omega}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x .
$$
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## 1. Shape derivatives of geometric constraints

$$
\forall y \in \partial \Omega, u(y)=-\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j^{\prime}\left(d_{\Omega}(x)\right) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}\left(1+\kappa_{i}(y) d_{\Omega}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x .
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Computing $u$ requires:

1. Integrating along rays on the discretization mesh:

2. Estimating the principal curvatures $\kappa_{i}(y)$.
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## 2. A variational method for avoiding integration along rays

More precisely, the shape derivative of $P(\Omega)$ reads

$$
P^{\prime}(\Omega)(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\int_{D \backslash \bar{\Sigma}} j^{\prime}\left(d_{\Omega}(x)\right) d_{\Omega}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\theta})(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\partial \Omega} u \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

with $d_{\Omega}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\nabla d_{\Omega}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \cdot \nabla d_{\Omega} & =0 \text { in } D \backslash \bar{\Sigma} \\
d_{\Omega}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & =-\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$
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(1) can be solved with FEM while (2) requires computing rays and curvatures!
2. It is possible to show the well-posedeness of (??) for a large class of weights $\omega$ in a suitable space $V_{\omega}$.
3. The framework extends to more general $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ vector field $\beta$ (without assuming $\left.\operatorname{div}(\beta) \in L^{\infty}(D)\right)$ than $\beta=\nabla d_{\Omega}$.

## 2. A variational method for avoiding integration along rays

Examples of more general settings:
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## 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

Does it really work?

$$
u(y)=-\int_{x \in \operatorname{ray}(y)} j^{\prime}\left(d_{\Omega}(x)\right) \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}\left(1+\kappa_{i}(y) d_{\Omega}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x, \forall y \in \partial \Omega,
$$

versus

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} u v \mathrm{~d} s+\int_{D \backslash \bar{\Sigma}} \omega\left(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla u\right)\left(\nabla d_{\Omega} \cdot \nabla v\right) \mathrm{d} x=-\int_{D \backslash \bar{\Sigma}} j^{\prime}\left(d_{\Omega}(x)\right) v(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

## 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

## An analytic example...



Figure: A prescribed $-j^{\prime}\left(d_{\Omega}(x)\right)$

## 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

It works with weights $\omega$ vanishing near the skeleton.

(a) Mesh $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}, \omega=1$

(c) Mesh $\mathcal{T}, \omega=2 /\left(1+\left|\Delta d_{\Omega}\right|^{3.5}\right)$

(b) Mesh $\mathcal{T}, \omega=1$

(d) Fine mesh $\mathcal{T}, \omega=2 /\left(1+\left|\Delta d_{\Omega}\right|^{3.5}\right)$

## 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

It works with weights vanishing near the skeleton.

(a) Mesh $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ (skeleton manually truncated),
(b) Mesh $\mathcal{T}, \omega=1$.
(c) Mesh $\mathcal{T}$,
$\omega=2 /\left(1+\left|\Delta d_{\Omega}\right|^{3.5}\right)$
$\omega=1$
Figure: P1 elements with $\omega=1$ do not allow discontinuities of test functions near the skeleton...

## 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

We were able to implement conveniently geometric constraints in level set based shape optimization.

## 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

We were able to implement conveniently geometric constraints in level set based shape optimization.

(a) No maximum thickness constraint

(b) $d_{\max }=0.07$.

Figure: Maximum thickness constraint for 2D arch.

## 3. Numerical comparisons and applications to shape and topology optimization

We were able to implement conveniently geometric constraints in level set based shape optimization.

(a) No minimum thickness constraint.

(c) $d_{\text {min }}=0.2$

Figure: Minimum thickness.constraint for 2D cantilever.

## Preprint to appear

Thank you for your attention.
Much more details in the following preprint to appear.
固 Feppon, F., Allaire, and Dapogny, C. A variational formulation for computing shape derivatives of geometric constraints along rays.
(2018).
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