Null Space Gradient Flows for Shape Optimization of Multiphysics Systems

Florian Feppon

Grégoire Allaire, Charles Dapogny Julien Cortial, Felipe Bordeu

New trends in PDE constrained optimization RICAM (Linz) – October 15th, 2019





1. Shape derivatives for a weakly coupled multiphysics system

- 2. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization
- 3. Numerical illustrations on 2-d and 3-d test cases

- 1. Shape derivatives for a weakly coupled multiphysics system
- 2. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization
- 3. Numerical illustrations on 2-d and 3-d test cases

- 1. Shape derivatives for a weakly coupled multiphysics system
- 2. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization
- 3. Numerical illustrations on 2-d and 3-d test cases

1. Shape derivatives for a weakly coupled multiphysics system

- 2. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization
- 3. Numerical illustrations on 2-d and 3-d test cases

We are interested in multiphysics systems featuring

▶ fluids: velocity–pressure (**v**, p)

We are interested in multiphysics systems featuring

- ► fluids: velocity–pressure (**v**, *p*)
- thermal exchanges: temperature field T, convected by v

We are interested in multiphysics systems featuring

- ► fluids: velocity–pressure (**v**, *p*)
- \blacktriangleright thermal exchanges: temperature field T, convected by v
- mechanical structures: displacement u, subjected to fluid-structure interaction with v and thermoelasticity with T.

1. Shape derivatives for a multiphysics system Proposed system



• Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations for (\mathbf{v}, p) in Ω_f

$$-\mathrm{div}(\sigma_f(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{p})) + \rho \nabla \boldsymbol{v} \, \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{f}_f \text{ in } \Omega_f$$

1. Shape derivatives for a multiphysics system Proposed system



• Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations for (\mathbf{v}, p) in Ω_f

$$-\mathrm{div}(\sigma_f(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{p})) + \rho \nabla \boldsymbol{v} \, \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{f}_f \text{ in } \Omega_f$$

Steady-state convection-diffusion for T_f and T_s in Ω_f and Ω_s : $-\operatorname{div}(k_f \nabla T_f) + \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla T_f = Q_f$ in Ω_f

$$-\operatorname{div}(k_s \nabla T_s) = Q_s \quad \text{ in } \Omega_s$$

1. Shape derivatives for a multiphysics system Proposed system



Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations for (v, p) in Ω_f

$$-\mathrm{div}(\sigma_f(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{p})) + \rho \nabla \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{f}_f \text{ in } \Omega_f$$

Steady-state convection-diffusion for T_f and T_s in Ω_f and Ω_s : $-\operatorname{div}(k_f \nabla T_f) + \rho \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla T_f = Q_f$ in Ω_f $-\operatorname{div}(k_s \nabla T_s) = Q_s$ in Ω_s

Linearized thermoelasticity with fluid-structure interaction for u in Ω_s:

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(\sigma_s(\boldsymbol{u},T_s)) &= \boldsymbol{f}_s & \text{in } \Omega_s \\ \sigma_s(\boldsymbol{u},T_s) \cdot \boldsymbol{n} &= \sigma_f(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{p}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n} & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

1. Shape derivatives for a multiphysics system $_{\mbox{ The method of Hadamard}}$



1. Shape derivatives for a multiphysics system The method of Hadamard



 $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\theta}) \Gamma, \text{ where } \boldsymbol{\theta} \in W^{1,\infty}_0(D,\mathbb{R}^d), \ ||\boldsymbol{\theta}||_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)} < 1.$

1. Shape derivatives for a multiphysics system The method of Hadamard



 $\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\theta}) \Gamma, \text{ where } \boldsymbol{\theta} \in W^{1,\infty}_0(D,\mathbb{R}^d), \ ||\boldsymbol{\theta}||_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^d)} < 1.$

$$J(\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) = J(\Gamma) + \frac{\mathrm{d}J}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + o(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad \text{ where } \frac{|o(\boldsymbol{\theta})|}{||\boldsymbol{\theta}||_{W^{1,\infty}(D,\mathbb{R}^d)}} \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\theta} \to 0} 0.$$

Shape derivative of arbitrary functionals

Proposition

Let $J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}, T, \boldsymbol{v}, p)$ an arbitrary functional with continuous partial derivatives and $\boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma)$ the above state variables. Then, if these are smooth enough, $\Gamma \mapsto J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma))$ is shape differentiable and the derivative reads:

Shape derivative of arbitrary functionals

Proposition

Let $J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}, T, \boldsymbol{v}, p)$ an arbitrary functional with continuous partial derivatives and $\boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma)$ the above state variables. Then, if these are smooth enough, $\Gamma \mapsto J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma))$ is shape differentiable and the derivative reads:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \Big[J(\Gamma_{\theta}, \mathbf{v}(\Gamma_{\theta}), p(\Gamma_{\theta}), T(\Gamma_{\theta}), \mathbf{u}(\Gamma_{\theta})) \Big](\theta) \\ &= \overline{\frac{\partial \mathfrak{F}}{\partial \theta}}(\theta) + \int_{\Gamma} (f_{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) : \nabla \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{w}, q) \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) \nabla \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \left(k_{s} \nabla T_{s} \cdot \nabla S_{s} - k_{f} \nabla T_{f} \cdot \nabla S_{f} + Q_{f} S_{f} - Q_{s} S_{s} - 2k_{s} \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{s}}{\partial n} + 2k_{f} \frac{\partial T_{f}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{f}}{\partial n} \right) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} (\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) : \nabla \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{f}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{n} \cdot Ae(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) \nabla \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

Shape derivative of arbitrary functionals

Proposition

C

Let $J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}, T, \boldsymbol{v}, p)$ an arbitrary functional with continuous partial derivatives and $\boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma)$ the above state variables. Then, if these are smooth enough, $\Gamma \mapsto J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma))$ is shape differentiable and the derivative reads:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \Big[J(\Gamma_{\theta}, \mathbf{v}(\Gamma_{\theta}), p(\Gamma_{\theta}), T(\Gamma_{\theta}), \mathbf{u}(\Gamma_{\theta})) \Big](\theta) \\ &= \overline{\frac{\partial\mathfrak{J}}{\partial\theta}}(\theta) + \int_{\Gamma} (f_{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) : \nabla \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{w}, q) \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) \nabla \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \left(k_{s} \nabla T_{s} \cdot \nabla S_{s} - k_{f} \nabla T_{f} \cdot \nabla S_{f} + Q_{f} S_{f} - Q_{s} S_{s} - 2k_{s} \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{s}}{\partial n} + 2k_{f} \frac{\partial T_{f}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{f}}{\partial n} \right) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} (\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) : \nabla \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{f}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{n} \cdot A \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) \nabla \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

\mathfrak{J} is a "transported" functional:

$$\mathfrak{J}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{T}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{u}}) := J(\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \circ (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}} \circ (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1}, \hat{\boldsymbol{T}} \circ (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1}, \hat{\boldsymbol{u}} \circ (\boldsymbol{I} + \boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1}).$$

Shape derivative of arbitrary functionals

Proposition

Let $J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}, T, \boldsymbol{v}, p)$ an arbitrary functional with continuous partial derivatives and $\boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma)$ the above state variables. Then, if these are smooth enough, $\Gamma \mapsto J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma))$ is shape differentiable and the derivative reads:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \Big[J(\Gamma_{\theta}, \mathbf{v}(\Gamma_{\theta}), p(\Gamma_{\theta}), T(\Gamma_{\theta}), \mathbf{u}(\Gamma_{\theta})) \Big](\theta) \\ &= \overline{\frac{\partial \mathfrak{F}}{\partial \theta}}(\theta) + \int_{\Gamma} (f_{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) : \nabla \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{w}, q) \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) \nabla \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \left(k_{s} \nabla T_{s} \cdot \nabla S_{s} - k_{f} \nabla T_{f} \cdot \nabla S_{f} + Q_{f} S_{f} - Q_{s} S_{s} - 2k_{s} \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{s}}{\partial n} + 2k_{f} \frac{\partial T_{f}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{f}}{\partial n} \right) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} (\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) : \nabla \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{f}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{n} \cdot Ae(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) \nabla \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

Partial derivative for J with respect to the shape.

Shape derivative of arbitrary functionals

Proposition

Let $J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}, T, \boldsymbol{v}, p)$ an arbitrary functional with continuous partial derivatives and $\boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma)$ the above state variables. Then, if these are smooth enough, $\Gamma \mapsto J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma))$ is shape differentiable and the derivative reads:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \Big[J(\Gamma_{\theta}, \mathbf{v}(\Gamma_{\theta}), p(\Gamma_{\theta}), T(\Gamma_{\theta}), \mathbf{u}(\Gamma_{\theta})) \Big](\theta) \\ &= \overline{\frac{\partial \mathfrak{F}}{\partial \theta}}(\theta) + \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{f}_{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) : \nabla \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{w}, q) \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) \nabla \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \left(k_{s} \nabla T_{s} \cdot \nabla S_{s} - k_{f} \nabla T_{f} \cdot \nabla S_{f} + Q_{f} S_{f} - Q_{s} S_{s} - 2k_{s} \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{s}}{\partial n} + 2k_{f} \frac{\partial T_{f}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{f}}{\partial n} \right) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} (\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) : \nabla \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{f}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{n} \cdot Ae(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) \nabla \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

Three "adjoint" terms for each of the three physics.

Shape derivative of arbitrary functionals

Proposition

Let $J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}, T, \boldsymbol{v}, p)$ an arbitrary functional with continuous partial derivatives and $\boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma)$ the above state variables. Then, if these are smooth enough, $\Gamma \mapsto J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma))$ is shape differentiable and the derivative reads:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \Big[J(\Gamma_{\theta}, \mathbf{v}(\Gamma_{\theta}), p(\Gamma_{\theta}), T(\Gamma_{\theta}), \mathbf{u}(\Gamma_{\theta})) \Big](\theta) \\ &= \overline{\frac{\partial \mathfrak{F}}{\partial \theta}}(\theta) + \int_{\Gamma} (f_{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) : \nabla \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{w}, q) \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) \nabla \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \left(k_{s} \nabla T_{s} \cdot \nabla S_{s} - k_{f} \nabla T_{f} \cdot \nabla S_{f} + Q_{f} S_{f} - Q_{s} S_{s} - 2k_{s} \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{s}}{\partial n} + 2k_{f} \frac{\partial T_{f}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{f}}{\partial n} \right) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} (\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) : \nabla \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{f}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{n} \cdot Ae(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) \nabla \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

Three "adjoint" terms for each of the three physics.

Shape derivative of arbitrary functionals

Proposition

Let $J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}, T, \boldsymbol{v}, p)$ an arbitrary functional with continuous partial derivatives and $\boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma)$ the above state variables. Then, if these are smooth enough, $\Gamma \mapsto J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma))$ is shape differentiable and the derivative reads:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \Big[J(\Gamma_{\theta}, \mathbf{v}(\Gamma_{\theta}), p(\Gamma_{\theta}), T(\Gamma_{\theta}), \mathbf{u}(\Gamma_{\theta})) \Big](\theta) \\ &= \overline{\frac{\partial \mathfrak{J}}{\partial \theta}}(\theta) + \int_{\Gamma} (\mathbf{f}_{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) : \nabla \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{w}, q) \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) \nabla \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \left(k_{s} \nabla T_{s} \cdot \nabla S_{s} - k_{f} \nabla T_{f} \cdot \nabla S_{f} + Q_{f} S_{f} - Q_{s} S_{s} - 2k_{s} \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{s}}{\partial n} + 2k_{f} \frac{\partial T_{f}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{f}}{\partial n} \right) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} (\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) : \nabla \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{f}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{n} \cdot Ae(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) \nabla \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

Three "adjoint" terms for each of the three physics.

Shape derivative of arbitrary functionals

Proposition

Let $J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}, T, \boldsymbol{v}, p)$ an arbitrary functional with continuous partial derivatives and $\boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma)$ the above state variables. Then, if these are smooth enough, $\Gamma \mapsto J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), p(\Gamma))$ is shape differentiable and the derivative reads:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \Big[J(\Gamma_{\theta}, \mathbf{v}(\Gamma_{\theta}), p(\Gamma_{\theta}), T(\Gamma_{\theta}), \mathbf{u}(\Gamma_{\theta})) \Big](\theta) \\ &= \overline{\frac{\partial\mathfrak{F}}{\partial\theta}}(\theta) + \int_{\Gamma} (f_{f} \cdot \mathbf{w} - \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) : \nabla \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{w}, q) \nabla \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{f}(\mathbf{v}, p) \nabla \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} \left(k_{s} \nabla T_{s} \cdot \nabla S_{s} - k_{f} \nabla T_{f} \cdot \nabla S_{f} + Q_{f} S_{f} - Q_{s} S_{s} - 2k_{s} \frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{s}}{\partial n} + 2k_{f} \frac{\partial T_{f}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial S_{f}}{\partial n} \right) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{\Gamma} (\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) : \nabla \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{f}_{s} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{n} \cdot A \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma_{s}(\mathbf{u}, T_{s}) \nabla \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\theta \cdot \mathbf{n}) \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

Adjoint variables $\boldsymbol{w}, q, S_f, S_s, \boldsymbol{r}$ are solved in a reversed cascade.

- 1. Shape derivatives for a weakly coupled multiphysics system
- 2. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization
- 3. Numerical illustrations on 2-d and 3-d test cases

Our goal: solve constrained shape optimization problems

$$\min_{\Gamma} \quad J(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{\nu}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{p}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{T}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{u}(\Gamma))$$

s.t.
$$g_i(\Gamma, \mathbf{v}(\Gamma), \rho(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \mathbf{u}(\Gamma)) = 0, 1 \le i \le p \cdot$$

 $h_i(\Gamma, \mathbf{v}(\Gamma), \rho(\Gamma), T(\Gamma), \mathbf{u}(\Gamma)) \le 0, 1 \le i \le q$

with *arbitrary* functionals J, g_i, h_i ;

- if possible, no fine tunings of optimization algorithm parameters;
- must deal with unfeasible initializations.

For the exposure of our method, let us consider

$$\min_{x \in V} J(x)$$

s.t.
$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{g}(x) = 0\\ \boldsymbol{h}(x) \le 0, \end{cases}$$

with

▶ $J : V \to \mathbb{R}, g : V \to \mathbb{R}^p$ and $h : V \to \mathbb{R}^q$ Fréchet differentiable

For the exposure of our method, let us consider

$$\min_{x \in V} \quad J(x)$$

s.t.
$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{g}(x) = 0 \\ \boldsymbol{h}(x) \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

with

▶
$$J : V \to \mathbb{R}, g : V \to \mathbb{R}^p$$
 and $h : V \to \mathbb{R}^q$ Fréchet differentiable

▶ V a Hilbert space equipped with a scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$.





We extend classical dynamical systems approaches to constrained optimization:

We extend classical dynamical systems approaches to constrained optimization:

▶ For unconstrained optimization, the celebrated gradient flow:

$$\dot{x} = -\nabla J(x)$$

We extend classical dynamical systems approaches to constrained optimization:

▶ For unconstrained optimization, the celebrated gradient flow:

$$\dot{x} = -\nabla J(x)$$

 For equality constrained optimization, projected gradient flow (Tanabe (1980)):

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}^{\mathsf{T}})^{-1} \mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}) \nabla J(\mathbf{x})$

(gradient flow on $V = \{x \in V | \boldsymbol{g}(x) = 0\}$)

We extend classical dynamical systems approaches to constrained optimization:

▶ For unconstrained optimization, the celebrated gradient flow:

$$\dot{x} = -\nabla J(x)$$

 For equality constrained optimization, projected gradient flow (Tanabe (1980)):

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -(I - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}^{T}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}^{T})^{-1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{g})\nabla J(\mathbf{x})$

(gradient flow on $V = \{x \in V | g(x) = 0\}$) Then Yamashita (1980) added a Gauss-Newton direction:

$$\dot{x} = -\alpha_J (I - \mathbf{D} \mathbf{g}^T (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{g}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{g}) \nabla J(x) - \alpha_C \mathbf{D} \mathbf{g}^T (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{g}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{g}(x)$$

We extend classical dynamical systems approaches to constrained optimization:

▶ For unconstrained optimization, the celebrated gradient flow:

$$\dot{x} = -\nabla J(x)$$

 For equality constrained optimization, projected gradient flow (Tanabe (1980)):

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -(I - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}^{T}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{g}^{T})^{-1}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{g})\nabla J(\mathbf{x})$

(gradient flow on $V = \{x \in V | g(x) = 0\}$) Then Yamashita (1980) added a Gauss-Newton direction:

$$\dot{x} = -\alpha_J (I - D \boldsymbol{g}^T (D \boldsymbol{g} D \boldsymbol{g}^T)^{-1} D \boldsymbol{g}) \nabla J(x) - \alpha_C D \boldsymbol{g}^T (D \boldsymbol{g} D \boldsymbol{g}^T)^{-1} \boldsymbol{g}(x)$$
$$\boldsymbol{g}(x(t)) = \boldsymbol{g}(x(0)) e^{-\alpha_C t} \text{ and } J(x(t)) \text{ decreases if }$$
$$\boldsymbol{g}(x(t)) = 0.$$

For *both* equality constraints g(x) = 0 and inequality constraints $h(x) \le 0$, we consider:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\alpha_J \boldsymbol{\xi}_J(\mathbf{x}(t)) - \alpha_C \boldsymbol{\xi}_C(\mathbf{x}(t))$$

with

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{J}(\boldsymbol{x}) &:= (I - \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T})^{-1} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}) (\nabla J(\boldsymbol{x})) \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{C}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T})^{-1} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}(\boldsymbol{x}), \end{split}$$

For *both* equality constraints g(x) = 0 and inequality constraints $h(x) \le 0$, we consider:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\alpha_J \boldsymbol{\xi}_J(\mathbf{x}(t)) - \alpha_C \boldsymbol{\xi}_C(\mathbf{x}(t))$$

with

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{J}(\boldsymbol{x}) &:= (I - \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T})^{-1} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}) (\nabla J(\boldsymbol{x})) \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{C}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T})^{-1} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}(\boldsymbol{x}), \end{split}$$

 $\widetilde{I}(x)$ the set of violated constraints:

$$\widetilde{I}(x) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, q\} \mid h_i(x) \ge 0\},\$$
$$\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{I}(x)} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{g}(x) & | & (h_i(x))_{i \in \widetilde{I}(x)} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

For *both* equality constraints g(x) = 0 and inequality constraints $h(x) \le 0$, we consider:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\alpha_J \boldsymbol{\xi}_J(\mathbf{x}(t)) - \alpha_C \boldsymbol{\xi}_C(\mathbf{x}(t))$$

with

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{J}(\boldsymbol{x}) &:= (I - \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T})^{-1} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}) (\nabla J(\boldsymbol{x})) \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{C}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{T})^{-1} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}(\boldsymbol{x}), \end{split}$$

 $\widehat{I}(x) \subset \widetilde{I}(x)$ is an "optimal" subset of the active or violated constraints which can be computed by mean of a dual subproblem.

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x}) := \{ i \in \widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mid \mu_i^*(\boldsymbol{x}) > 0 \}.$$
$$\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}) & | & (h_i(\boldsymbol{x}))_{i \in \widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Definition

Let $(\lambda^*(x), \mu^*(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{\operatorname{Card} \widetilde{I}(x)}$ the solutions of the following dual minimization problem:

$$(\lambda^*(x),\mu^*(x)):=rg\min_{\substack{oldsymbol{\lambda}\in\mathbb{R}^p\\ \mu\in\mathbb{R}^{\widetilde{q}(x)},\,\mu\geqslant 0}}||
abla J(x)+\mathrm{D}oldsymbol{g}(x)^Toldsymbol{\lambda}+\mathrm{D}oldsymbol{h}_{\widetilde{I}(x)}(x)^T\mu||_V.$$

Define $\widehat{I}(x)$ the set obtained by collecting the non zero components of $\mu^*(x)$:

 $\widehat{I}(x) := \{i \in \widetilde{I}(x) \mid \mu_i^*(x) > 0\}.$

2. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization The dual subproblem

The best descent direction $-\boldsymbol{\xi}_J(x)$ must be proportional to

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\xi}^* = & \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\xi}\in V} \quad \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{\xi} \\ & \text{s.t.} \quad \begin{cases} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{0} \\ \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{h}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{I}}(\boldsymbol{x})}(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{\xi} \leq \boldsymbol{0} \\ & ||\boldsymbol{\xi}||_{V} \leq 1. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where $\mathbf{h}_{\widetilde{I}(x)}(x) = (h_i(x))_{i \in \widetilde{I}(x)}$

2. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization The dual subproblem

Proposition

 $\boldsymbol{\xi}^*(x)$ is explicitly given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^*(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{\Pi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}}(\nabla J(\boldsymbol{x}))}{||\Pi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}}(\nabla J(\boldsymbol{x}))||_{\boldsymbol{V}}},$$

with

$$\Pi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(x)}}(\nabla J(x)) = (I - \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(x)}^{T}(\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(x)})^{-1}\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(x)})(\nabla J(x))$$

2. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization The dual subproblem

Proposition

 $\boldsymbol{\xi}^*(x)$ is explicitly given by:

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^*(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\frac{\Pi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}}(\nabla J(\boldsymbol{x}))}{||\Pi_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}}(\nabla J(\boldsymbol{x}))||_{\boldsymbol{V}}},$$

with

$$\Pi_{\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)}}(\nabla J(x)) = (I - \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)}^{T}(\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)})^{-1}\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)})(\nabla J(x))$$

whence our definition

$$\begin{split} \dot{x} &= -\alpha_{J} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{J}(x(t)) - \alpha_{C} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{C}(x(t)) \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{J}(x) &:= (I - \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)}^{T} (\mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)} \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)}^{T})^{-1} \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)}) (\nabla J(x)) \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{C}(x) &= \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)}^{T} (\mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)} \mathbf{D} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)}^{T})^{-1} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{l}(x)}(x), \end{split}$$

We can prove:

1. Constraints are asymptotically satisfied:

$$oldsymbol{g}(x(t)) = e^{-lpha_C t} oldsymbol{g}(x(0)) ext{ and } oldsymbol{h}_{\widetilde{I}(x(t))} \leq e^{-lpha_C t} oldsymbol{h}(x(0))$$

We can prove:

1. Constraints are asymptotically satisfied:

$$\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}(t)) = e^{-\alpha_C t} \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}(0)) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{h}_{\widetilde{I}(\boldsymbol{x}(t))} \leq e^{-\alpha_C t} \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x}(0))$$

2. J decreases as soon as the violation $C_{\tilde{l}(x(t))}$ is sufficiently small

We can prove:

1. Constraints are asymptotically satisfied:

$$\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}(t)) = e^{-\alpha_C t} \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}(0)) \text{ and } \boldsymbol{h}_{\widetilde{l}(\boldsymbol{x}(t))} \leq e^{-\alpha_C t} \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x}(0))$$

- 2. J decreases as soon as the violation $C_{\tilde{l}(x(t))}$ is sufficiently small
- 3. All stationary points x^* of the ODE are KKT points

For shape optimization

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\alpha_J \boldsymbol{\xi}_J(\mathbf{x}(t)) - \alpha_C \boldsymbol{\xi}_C(\mathbf{x}(t))$$

works the same with

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{J}(\boldsymbol{x}) &:= (I - \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{\mathcal{T}} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}) (\nabla J(\boldsymbol{x})) \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{C}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{\mathcal{T}} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}(\boldsymbol{x}), \end{split}$$

where the transpose \mathcal{T} and the gradient ∇ must be computed with respect to \langle , \rangle_V thanks to an identification problem.

For shape optimization

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = -\alpha_J \boldsymbol{\xi}_J(\mathbf{x}(t)) - \alpha_C \boldsymbol{\xi}_C(\mathbf{x}(t))$$

works the same with

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{J}(\boldsymbol{x}) &:= (I - \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{\mathcal{T}} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widehat{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}) (\nabla J(\boldsymbol{x})) \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{C}(\boldsymbol{x}) &= \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{\mathcal{T}} (\mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})} \mathrm{D}\boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}^{\mathcal{T}})^{-1} \boldsymbol{C}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{l}}(\boldsymbol{x})}(\boldsymbol{x}), \end{split}$$

where the transpose \mathcal{T} and the gradient ∇ must be computed with respect to \langle,\rangle_V thanks to an identification problem. This encompasses the celebrated regularization and extension step of the shape derivative in numerical algorithms.

- 1. Shape derivatives for a weakly coupled multiphysics system
- 2. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization
- 3. Numerical illustrations on 2-d and 3-d test cases

Lift-drag minimization:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & -\operatorname{Lift}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{\rho}(\Gamma)) \\ & \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} & \operatorname{Drag}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{\rho}(\Gamma)) \leq \operatorname{DRAG}_0 \\ & \operatorname{Vol}(\Omega_f) = V_0 \end{cases} \\ & \boldsymbol{X}(\Omega_s) := \frac{1}{|\Omega_s|} \int_{\Omega_s} \mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0, \end{cases} \\ & \text{ Lift}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{\rho}(\Gamma)) := -\int_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \sigma_f(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) \boldsymbol{n} \, \mathrm{d}s, \\ & \operatorname{Drag}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{\rho}(\Gamma)) := \int_{\Omega_f} \sigma_f(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{\rho}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{v} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}. \end{cases}$$



Figure: Optimized 2-d lift-drag flow profile.

Lift-drag minimization:

$$\begin{split} \min & -\operatorname{Lift}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{p}(\Gamma)) \\ & \text{Drag}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{p}(\Gamma)) \leq \operatorname{DRAG}_0 \\ & \operatorname{Vol}(\Omega_f) = V_0 \\ \boldsymbol{X}(\Omega_s) &:= \frac{1}{|\Omega_s|} \int_{\Omega_s} \boldsymbol{x} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}_0, \\ & \text{Lift}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{p}(\Gamma)) := -\int_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{y}} \cdot \sigma_f(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{n} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{s}, \\ & \operatorname{Drag}(\Gamma, \boldsymbol{v}(\Gamma), \boldsymbol{p}(\Gamma)) := \int_{\Omega_f} \sigma_f(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{p}) : \nabla \boldsymbol{v} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \end{split}$$



Figure: Optimized 2-d lift-drag flow profile.

Lift-drag minimization in 3-d:



(c) Optimized design (other 3-d views)

Lift-drag minimization in 3-d, convergence histories.



Bi-tube heat exchanger with non penetrating constraint



Bi-tube heat exchanger with non penetrating constraint



(a) Initial temperature field



(b) Final temperature field.



(c) Intermediate iterations

3-d compliance minimization problem with fluid-structure interaction



3-d compliance minimization problem with fluid-structure interaction



3-d compliance minimization problem with fluid-structure interaction





Figure: Linear elastic deformation.

3-d compliance minimization problem with fluid-structure interaction



Figure: Intermediate iterations 0, 40, 100, 125, 175 and 300.

References

- FEPPON, F., ALLAIRE, G., BORDEU, F., CORTIAL, J., AND DAPOGNY, C. Shape optimization of a coupled thermal fluid-structure problem in a level set mesh evolution framework. SeMA Journal (2019).
- FEPPON, F., ALLAIRE, G., AND DAPOGNY, C. Null space gradient flows for constrained optimization with applications to shape optimization. HAL preprint hal-01972915 (2019).
- FEPPON, F., ALLAIRE, G., AND DAPOGNY, C. A variational formulation for computing shape derivatives of geometric constraints along rays. HAL preprint hal-01879571 (2019).

Many thanks for your attention.