

# D-MATH

Exam Quantitative Risk Management

401-3629-00L

Last Name



First Name



Legi-Nr.

XX-000-000 Exam-No. 000

# Please do not turn the page yet!

Please take note of the information on the answer-booklet.



(a) [4 Points] Consider a random variable X with distribution function F given by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & ; & x < 0 \\ 0.5 & ; & 0 \le x < 3 \\ 1 - \frac{1}{x^2}; & x \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Compute  $VaR_{\alpha}(X)$ ,  $AVaR_{\alpha}(X)$  and  $ES_{\alpha}(X)$  at level  $\alpha = 0.8$ .

(b) For a random variable L with distribution function  $F_L$ , we can define

$$VaR_1(L) := \sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F_L(x) < 1\} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

That means  $VaR_1(L)$  corresponds to the right endpoint of  $F_L$ .

In this exercise, we are going to show that  $VaR_1$  is a coherent risk measure on the space  $\mathcal{L}$  of essentially bounded random variables, that is,  $\mathcal{L} = \{L \mid VaR_1(L) < \infty\}$ .

(i) [2 Points] First show that for any random variable  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  it holds that

$$VaR_1(L) = \sup_{\alpha \in (0,1)} AVaR_{\alpha}(L). \tag{1}$$

*Hint:* You may use without proof that for any  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ , the quantile function  $(0,1] \ni \alpha \to VaR_{\alpha}(L)$  is left-continuous and increasing.

- (ii) [4 Points] Use the representation (247) to prove that  $VaR_1$  is a coherent risk measure on  $\mathcal{L}$ . Hint: You may use any properties of  $AVaR_{\alpha}$  established in the lecture.
- (iii) [1 Point] Briefly discuss the adequacy of  $VaR_1$  as a risk measure in quantitative risk management and regulation.



- (a) (i) [1 Point] Provide the definition of an ARCH(p) process.
  - (ii) [2 Points] Is an ARCH(p) process a white noise process? Justify your answer.
  - (iii) [1 Point] Is an ARCH(p) process a *strict* white noise process? Justify your answer.
  - (iv) [1 Point] Discuss the adequacy of a strict white noise process to model financial log-returns, referring to the relevant stylized facts of financial log-returns.
- (b) Suppose you have a portfolio with d assets  $X_1, \ldots, X_d$ , which all have variance 1.
  - (i) [1 Point] What does it mean that the vector  $(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  has an exchangeable distribution?
  - (ii) [1 Point] Show that exchangeability implies that all pairs  $(X_i, X_j)$ ,  $1 \le i < j \le d$ , have the same Pearson correlation  $\rho \in [-1, 1]$ .
  - (iii) [2 Points] Show that necessarily  $\rho \ge -1/(d-1)$ .
  - (iv) [3 Points] Show that for  $\rho = -1/(d-1)$ , the distribution of  $(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  cannot have a joint density.

*Hint:* Start by calculating the variance  $Var(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_d)$ .

Exam-No.: 000 XX-XX-XX-000-000 Page 3 of 6



Patrick Cheridito & Tobias Fissler August 7, 2024

# Question 3

(a) [3 Points] Let  $X_1, X_2, ...$  be iid random variables with distribution F. Let  $M_n = \max\{X_1, ..., X_n\}$  and suppose that  $x_F = \sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F(x) < 1\} < \infty$ .

Show that  $M_n$  converges in distribution to  $x_F$ .

- (b) Let F be the distribution function of a uniform distribution on [0,1].
  - (i) [2 Points] Does F belong to the maximum domain of attraction,  $MDA(H_{\xi})$ , of a standard GEV distribution  $H_{\xi}$ ? If yes, determine the parameter  $\xi$ .
  - (ii) [1 Point] Calculate the excess distribution function  $F_u(x) = \mathbb{P}[X u \leq x \mid X > u],$   $0 \leq u < x_F, x \in [0, x_F u).$
  - (iii) [2 Points] Does there exist a parameter  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and a function  $\beta \colon \mathbb{R} \to (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\lim_{u \uparrow x_F} \sup_{x > 0} |F_u(x) - G_{\xi,\beta(u)}(x)| = 0,$$

where  $G_{\xi,\beta}$  denotes the cumulative distribution function of a GPD? If yes, for which  $\xi$  and  $\beta$  does this hold?





- (a) Consider the random vector (Z, |Z|), where Z follows a standard normal distribution.
  - (i) [2 Points] Sketch a scatterplot of a random sample from the distribution of (Z, |Z|) and also a scatterplot of a random sample from the underlying copula of (Z, |Z|).
  - (ii) [2 Points] Compute the Pearson correlation of Z and |Z|. Are Z and |Z| independent? Provide an argument for your claim.
  - (iii) [1 Point] How would the scatterplot of a random sample from the copula change if we considered  $(Z, Z^2)$ ? Provide a brief argument for your claim.
- (b) Suppose you have iid observations  $(X_1, Y_1), \ldots, (X_n, Y_n)$  of a two-dimensional random vector (X,Y).
  - (i) [1 Point] Provide a formula for the sample version of Kendall's tau,  $r_{\tau}(n)$ .
  - (ii) [1 Point] Suppose that n = 4 and your random sample is

$$\{(-1,3),(0,0),(2,2),(3,1)\}.$$
 (2)

Compute  $r_{\tau}(n)$  on this sample.

- (iii) [2 Points] Suppose you have a new data point  $(X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$ . Describe a condition under which this new data point influences the sample version of Kendall's tau maximally. Provide an appropriate example for the concrete sample in (248).
- (iv) [1 Point] What is the maximal influence of a new data point  $(X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$  on the sample version of Kendall's tau? That is, what is an upper bound for the difference

$$|r_{\tau}(n) - r_{\tau}(n+1)|,$$

which holds for any data set  $(X_1, Y_1), ..., (X_n, Y_n), (X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$ ?

Decide whether it is either

2 or 
$$\frac{4}{n+1}$$
 or  $\frac{4}{(n+1)^2}$ .

Write down the solution on your submission sheet (answers on this sheet will not be counted). You do not need to provide an explanation.

(v) [1 Point] Compare and possibly contrast your previous result to Pearson's correlation coefficient.



In this exercise, any scoring function S(x,y),  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}$ , has the interpretation that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is a forecast and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  an observation.

(a) [1 Point] In a comparative backtest you consider VaR<sub>0.95</sub>-forecasts from two different models, M1 and M2. You compute average scores on a validation window for the following scoring functions:

$$S_1(x,y) = |x - y|$$

$$S_2(x,y) = (x - y)^2$$

$$S_3(x,y) = (1_{\{y \le x\}} - 0.95)(x - y)$$

The results are summarized in the following table.

Which model would you prefer and why?

- (b) [1 Point] Why is it important to evaluate forecasts for a distribution-based risk measure using a strictly consistent scoring function for this risk measure?
- (c) [2 Points] Show that for any strictly convex function  $\phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with strictly positive second derivative, the score

$$S(x,y) = -\phi(x) + \phi'(x)(x-y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

is strictly consistent for the mean functional on the class of distributions with a finite mean.

(d) [4 Points] Consider an AR(1) process  $(Y_t)_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$  of the form

$$Y_0 = 0$$
 and  $Y_t = \theta Y_{t-1} + u_t$ ,  $t > 1$ ,

where  $|\theta| < 1$  and  $\mathbb{E}[u_t | Y_{t-1}] = 0$ .

Two competing forecasts are available,  $X_t = 0$  and  $X_t^* = \theta Y_{t-1}$ , where  $t \ge 1$ .

If  $S: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a strictly consistent scoring function for the mean functional, is it possible to establish an inequality between the expected scores  $\mathbb{E}[S(X_t, Y_t)]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[S(X_t^*, Y_t)]$ ? Explain your answer.

*Hint:* Start by computing  $\mathbb{E}[Y_t]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[Y_t | Y_{t-1}]$ .