

### *Shelah's Models of the First Type*

The set of atoms of the first type of Shelah's permutation models is built by induction, where every atom encodes certain sets of atoms on a lower level. In this section, we shall give an example of such a model, where the atoms encode finite sequences.

The atoms of the model are constructed as follows:

- ( $\alpha$ )  $A_0$  is an arbitrary infinite set of atoms.
- ( $\beta$ )  $\mathcal{G}_0$  is the group of all permutations of  $A_0$ .
- ( $\gamma$ )  $A_{n+1} := A_n \dot{\cup} \{(n+1, p, \varepsilon) : p \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{n+1} A_n^k \wedge \varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}\}$ .
- ( $\delta$ )  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  is the subgroup of the permutation group of  $A_{n+1}$  containing all permutations  $\sigma$  for which there are  $\pi_\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_n$  and  $\varepsilon_\sigma \in \{0, 1\}$  such that

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} \pi_\sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in A_n, \\ (n+1, \pi_\sigma(p), \varepsilon_\sigma +_2 \varepsilon) & \text{if } x = (n+1, p, \varepsilon), \end{cases}$$

where for  $p = \langle p_0, \dots, p_{l-1} \rangle \in \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq n+1} A_n^k$ ,  $\pi_\sigma(p) := \langle \pi_\sigma(p_0), \dots, \pi_\sigma(p_l) \rangle$  and  $+_2$  denotes addition modulo 2.

Let  $A := \bigcup \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  be the set of atoms and let  $\text{Aut}(A)$  be the group of all permutations of  $A$ . Then

$$\mathcal{G} := \{H \in \text{Aut}(A) : \forall n \in \omega (H|_{A_n} \in \mathcal{G}_n)\}$$

is a group of permutations of  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the filter on  $\mathcal{G}$  generated by  $\{\text{fix}_{\mathcal{G}}(E) : E \in \text{fin}(A)\}$  (which happens to be normal) and let  $\mathcal{V}_p$  ( $p$  for pairs) be the class of all hereditarily symmetric objects.

The proof of the following fact is left as an exercise to the reader.

**FACT 7.18.** *For each  $n \in \omega$ , the set  $A_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . In particular, the function*

$$\begin{aligned} f : \omega &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A) \\ n &\mapsto A_n \end{aligned}$$

*is an injective function which belongs to  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . Moreover, for each atom  $a \in A$  there exists a least number  $n \in \omega$  such that  $a \in A_n$ . In particular, there exists a surjection  $f : A \twoheadrightarrow \omega$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{V}_p$ .*

Now, we are ready to prove the following

**PROPOSITION 7.19.** *Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  denote the cardinality of the set of atoms  $A$  of  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_p \models \text{seq}(\mathfrak{m}) < [\mathfrak{m}]^2$ .*

*Proof.* First we show that  $\mathcal{V}_p \models \text{seq}(\mathfrak{m}) \leq [\mathfrak{m}]^2$ . For this it is sufficient to find a one-to-one function  $f \in \mathcal{V}_p$  from  $\text{seq}(A)$  into  $[A]^2$ . We define such a function as follows. For a finite sequence  $s = \langle a_0, \dots, a_{l-1} \rangle \in \text{seq}(A)$  let

$$f(s) := \{(n_0 + \dots + n_{l-1} + 1, s, 0), (n_0 + \dots + n_{l-1} + 1, s, 1)\},$$

where for each  $i \in l$ ,  $n_i$  is the smallest number such that  $a_i \in A_{n_i}$ . For any  $\pi \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $s = \langle a_0, \dots, a_{l-1} \rangle \in \text{seq}(A)$  we have  $\pi f(s) = f(\pi(s))$  and therefore, the function  $f$  is as desired and belongs to  $\mathcal{V}_p$ .

Now, let  $g \in \mathcal{V}_p$  be a function from  $[A]^2$  to  $\text{seq}(A)$  and let  $E_g$  be a finite support of  $g$ . We show that  $g$  is not one-to-one. Since  $E_g$  is finite, there is a number  $n_g$  such that  $E_g \subseteq A_{n_g}$ . By extending  $E_g$  if necessary, we may assume that if  $(n+1, \langle a_0, \dots, a_{l-1} \rangle, \varepsilon) \in E_g$ , then also  $a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}$  belong to  $E_g$  as well as the atom  $(n+1, \langle a_0, \dots, a_{l-1} \rangle, 1-\varepsilon)$  (this assumption will be needed later).

Choose two distinct elements  $x, y \in A_0 \setminus E_g$  such that  $g(\{x, y\}) \neq \langle \rangle$ . If there are no such elements, then  $g$  is not one-to-one and we are done. So, we may assume that for some positive integer  $l \in \omega$ :

$$g(\{x, y\}) = \langle a_0, \dots, a_{l-1} \rangle$$

Now, we are in at least one of the following cases:

- (1)  $\forall i \in l (a_i \in E_g)$
- (2)  $\exists i \in l (a_i \in \{x, y\})$
- (3)  $\exists i \in l (a_i \in A_0 \setminus (E_g \cup \{x, y\}))$
- (4)  $\exists i \in l (a_i \in A \setminus (E_g \cup A_0))$

If we are in case (1), then let  $\pi \in \text{fix}(E_g)$  be such that  $\pi x \notin \{x, y\}$ . To see that such a  $\pi \in \text{fix}(E_g)$  exists, recall that by our assumption,  $E_g$  has the property that whenever  $(n+1, \langle a_0, \dots, a_{l-1} \rangle, \varepsilon) \in E_g$ , also  $a_0, \dots, a_{l-1} \in E_g$ . Now,  $\pi g(\{x, y\}) = g(\{x, y\})$  (since  $\pi \in \text{fix}(E_g)$ ), but  $\pi\{x, y\} \neq \{x, y\}$ . Hence,  $g$  is not a one-to-one function.

If we are in case (2), then let  $\pi \in \text{fix}(E_g)$  be such that  $\pi x = y$  and  $\pi y = x$ . Notice that since  $\{x, y\} \cap E_g = \emptyset$ , such a permutation  $\pi$  exists. Now, by the choice of  $\pi$ , on the one hand we have  $\pi\{x, y\} = \{x, y\}$ , i.e.,  $g(\{x, y\}) = g(\pi\{x, y\})$ , but on the other hand, for some  $i \in l$  we have  $a_i \in \{x, y\}$ , which implies  $a_i \neq \pi a_i$ . To see this, notice that for example  $a_i = x$  implies  $\pi a_i = y$ . Therefore,  $E_g$  is not a support of  $g$  which contradicts the choice of  $E_g$ .

If we are in case (3), then there is an  $i \in l$  such that

$$a_i \in A_0 \setminus (E_g \cup \{x, y\}).$$

Now, take an arbitrary  $b_i \in A_0 \setminus (E_g \cup \{x, y\})$  which is distinct from  $a_i$  and let  $\pi \in \text{fix}(E_g \cup \{x, y\})$  be such that  $\pi a_i = b_i$  and  $\pi b_i = a_i$  (notice that such a permutation  $\pi$  exists). By the choice of  $\pi$ , on the one hand we have  $\pi\{x, y\} =$

$\{x, y\}$ , i.e.,  $g(\{x, y\}) = g(\pi\{x, y\})$ , but on the other hand,  $\pi a_i = b_i$  and  $b_i \neq a_i$ , i.e.,  $g(\{x, y\}) \neq \pi g(\{x, y\})$ . Therefore,  $E_g$  is not a support of  $g$  which contradicts the choice of  $E_g$ .

If we are in case (4), then there is an  $i \in l$  such that

$$a_i \in A \setminus (E_g \cup A_0).$$

In particular,  $a_i = (n+1, p, \varepsilon)$  for some  $n \in \omega$ ,  $p \in \text{seq}(A)$ , and  $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ . Furthermore, let  $\pi \in \text{fix}(E_g \cup \{x, y\})$  be such that

$$\pi(n+1, p, \varepsilon) = (n+1, p, 1-\varepsilon).$$

To see that such a  $\pi$  exists, recall that by our assumption,  $E_g$  has the property that whenever  $(n+1, s, \varepsilon) \in E_g$  for some  $s \in \text{seq}(A)$ , also  $(n+1, s, 1-\varepsilon) \in E_g$ . Now we have  $\pi\{x, y\} = \{x, y\}$  but  $\pi g(\{x, y\}) \neq g(\{x, y\})$ . Therefore,  $E_g$  is not a support of  $g$ .

So, in all four cases, either  $g$  is not one-to-one or  $E_g$  is not a support of  $g$ , which completes the proof.  $\dashv$