

# A RESULT IN DUAL RAMSEY THEORY

Lorenz Halbeisen\* and Pierre Matet

## ABSTRACT

We present a result which is obtained by combining a result of Carlson with the Finitary Dual Ramsey Theorem of Graham-Rothschild.

We start by introducing some notation.

We conform to the usual practice of identifying the least infinite ordinal  $\omega$  with the set of non-negative integers.

Given  $\alpha, \beta \leq \omega$ , a **partition of  $\alpha$  into  $\beta$  blocks** is an onto function  $X : \alpha \rightarrow \beta$  such that  $\min(X^{-1}(\{n\})) < \min(X^{-1}(\{m\}))$  whenever  $n < m < \beta$ . Thus, the blocks of  $X$  are ordered as their **leaders** (i.e., their least elements).

The **leader function**  $\ell : (\alpha)^\beta \times \beta \rightarrow \alpha$  is defined by  $\ell(X, m) := \min(X^{-1}(\{m\}))$ . Hence, the function  $m \mapsto \ell(X, m)$  enumerates the leaders of  $X$  in increasing order.

Given  $X \in (\alpha)^\beta$  and  $Y \in (\alpha)^\gamma$ , where  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq \omega$ , we let  $Y \leq X$  if  $Y$  is **coarser** than  $X$ , i.e., each block of  $Y$  is a union of blocks of  $X$ .

Given  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq \omega$  and  $X \in (\alpha)^\beta$ ,  $(X)^\gamma := \{Y \in (\alpha)^\gamma : Y \leq X\}$ .

Given  $\alpha, \beta \leq \omega$  and  $k < \omega$ ,  $(\alpha)_k^\beta$  denotes the set of all  $X \in (\alpha)^\beta$  such that

- (a)  $X^{-1}(\{n\})$  is finite if  $k \leq n < \beta$ , and
- (b)  $\max(X^{-1}(\{n\})) < \ell(X, n+1)$  if  $k \leq n$  and  $n+1 < \beta$ .

Given  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq \omega$ ,  $X \in (\alpha)^\beta$  and  $k, m < \omega$  such that  $k \leq \gamma$  and  $m \leq \beta$ ,  $(k, m, X)^\gamma$  is the set of all  $Y \in (X)^\gamma$  such that

$$\{\ell(Y, i) : i < k\} \subseteq \{\ell(X, j) : j < m\}.$$

Note that  $(0, m, X)^\gamma = (1, m, X)^\gamma = (X)^\gamma$  for all  $m \leq \beta$ .

---

The authors thank the referee for helpful comments.

\*The author wishes to thank the *Swiss National Science Foundation* for supporting him.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 03E05 05D10

*Key-words and phrases:* partition, Dual Ellentuck Theorem, Finitary Dual Ramsey Theorem

The **amalgamation function**  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as follows: Given  $X \in (\omega)^\omega$  and  $t \in (p)^m$ , where  $0 < m \leq p < \omega$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(t, X)$  is the partition of  $\omega$  whose blocks are

$$\bigcup_{i \in t^{-1}(\{0\})} X^{-1}(\{i\}), \dots, \bigcup_{i \in t^{-1}(\{m-1\})} X^{-1}(\{i\}), X^{-1}(\{p\}), X^{-1}(\{p+1\}), \dots$$

For  $t \in (p)^m$ , where  $m \leq p < \omega$ , let  $O_t := \{X \in (\omega)^\omega : X \upharpoonright p = t\}$ .

We topologize  $(\omega)^\omega$  by taking as basic open sets  $\emptyset$  and  $O_t$  for  $t \in \bigcup_{m \leq p < \omega} (p)^m$ .

A function  $F : (\omega)^\omega \rightarrow r$ , where  $1 \leq r < \omega$ , is **clopen** if  $F^{-1}(\{i\})$  is a clopen subset of  $(\omega)^\omega$  for each  $i < r$ .

Our starting point is the following immediate consequence of the Dual Ellentuck Theorem (Theorem 4.1 in [1]) of Carlson-Simpson.

**PROPOSITION 1.** Given  $X \in (\omega)^\omega$  and a clopen  $F : (\omega)^\omega \rightarrow r$ , where  $1 \leq r < \omega$ , there is  $Y \in (X)^\omega$  such that  $F$  is constant on  $(Y)^\omega$ .

Even if every block of  $X$  is finite, there may not be any homogeneous  $Y$  having infinitely many finite blocks.

**PROPOSITION 2.** There is a clopen  $F : (\omega)^\omega \rightarrow 2$  with the property that there is no  $Y \in (\omega)^\omega$  such that  $F$  is constant on  $(Y)^\omega$  and  $Y$  has infinitely many finite blocks.

*Proof.* Define  $F : (\omega)^\omega \rightarrow 2$  by stipulating that  $F(X) = 0$  if and only if  $X^{-1}(\{1\}) \cap \ell(X, 3) \subseteq \ell(X, 2)$ . Obviously,  $F$  is clopen. Now suppose that there is  $Y \in (\omega)^\omega$  such that  $Y$  has infinitely many finite blocks and  $F$  is constant on  $(Y)^\omega$ . Pick  $Z \in (\omega)_1^\omega$  with  $Z \leq Y$ . Then  $F$  is constant on  $(Z)^\omega$ , which is clearly impossible.  $\dashv$

Carlson established a “specialized” version (Theorem 6.9 of [1], which follows from Theorem 2 of [2]) of the Dual Ellentuck Theorem that deals with partitions of  $\omega$  having finitely many infinite blocks. Carlson’s result immediately implies the following.

**PROPOSITION 3.** Given  $k < \omega$ ,  $X \in (\omega)_k^\omega$  and a clopen  $F : (\omega)^\omega \rightarrow r$ , where  $1 \leq r < \omega$ , there is  $Y \in (\omega)_k^\omega \cap (k, k, X)^\omega$  such that  $F$  is constant on  $(k, k, Y)^\omega$ .

The purpose of this paper is to present the combinatorial result which is obtained by combining Proposition 3 with the Finitary Dual Ramsey theorem of Graham-Rothschild [3]. This last reads as follows.

**PROPOSITION 4.** Suppose that  $1 \leq k \leq m < \omega$  and  $1 \leq r < \omega$ . Then there is  $p < \omega$  such that  $p \geq m$  and the following holds: Given  $f : (p)^k \rightarrow r$ , there is  $s \in (p)^m$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $(s)^k$ .

We now state our result.

**THEOREM.** Given  $1 < k < m < \omega$ ,  $X \in (\omega)_k^\omega$  and a clopen  $F : (\omega)^\omega \rightarrow r$ , where  $1 \leq r < \omega$ , there is  $Y \in (\omega)_m^\omega \cap (X)^\omega$  such that  $F$  is constant on  $(k, m, Y)^\omega$ .

*Proof.* Using Proposition 4, select  $p \geq m$  so that every  $f : (p)^k \rightarrow r$  is constant on  $(s)^k$  for some  $s \in (p)^m$ . First we define  $g : \bigcup_{i \leq p-k} (k-1+i)^{k-1} \rightarrow r$  and  $Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_{p-k}$

so that

- (0)  $Y_0 \in (\omega)_k^\omega \cap (k, k, X)^\omega$  and  $F$  takes the constant value  $g(u)$  on  $(k, k, \mathcal{A}(u, Y_0))^\omega$ , where  $u$  is the unique element of  $(k-1)^{k-1}$  (hence,  $\mathcal{A}(u, Y_0) = Y_0$ ).
- (1)  $Y_1 \in (\omega)_{k+1}^\omega \cap (k+1, k+1, Y_0)^\omega$  and  $F$  takes the constant value  $g(t)$  on  $(k, k, \mathcal{A}(t, Y_1))^\omega$  for every  $t \in (k)^{k-1}$ .
- (2)  $Y_2 \in (\omega)_{k+2}^\omega \cap (k+2, k+2, Y_1)^\omega$  and  $F$  takes the constant value  $g(t)$  on  $(k, k, \mathcal{A}(t, Y_2))^\omega$  for every  $t \in (k+1)^{k-1}$ .
- $\vdots$
- $(p-k)$   $Y_{p-k} \in (\omega)_p^\omega \cap (p, p, Y_{p-k-1})^\omega$  and  $F$  takes the constant value  $g(t)$  on  $(k, k, \mathcal{A}(t, Y_{p-k}))^\omega$  for every  $t \in (p-1)^{k-1}$ .

For example, to define  $Y_3$  and  $g \upharpoonright (k+2)^{k-1}$ , proceed as follows. Let  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_q$  be an enumeration of the elements of  $(k+2)^{k-1}$ . Applying Proposition 3 repeatedly, define  $T_j, Z_j$  and  $c_j$  for  $j \leq q$  so that

- (i)  $T_j \in (\omega)_k^\omega$ .
- (ii) If  $j = 0$ ,  $T_j \in (k, k, \mathcal{A}(t_j, Y_2))^\omega$  and  $Z_j \in (k+3, k+3, Y_2)^\omega$ .
- (iii) If  $j > 0$ ,  $T_j \in (k, k, \mathcal{A}(t_j, Z_{j-1}))^\omega$  and  $Z_j \in (k+3, k+3, Z_{j-1})^\omega$ .
- (iv)  $F$  takes the constant value  $c_j$  on  $(k, k, T_j)^\omega$ .
- (v)  $\mathcal{A}(t_j, Z_j) = T_j$ .

Then set  $Y_3 = Z_q$  and  $g(t_j) = c_j$  for every  $j \leq q$ .

Define  $f : (p)^k \rightarrow r$  by  $f(w) = g(w \upharpoonright \ell(w, k-1))$ . Set  $W = Y_{p-k}$ . Obviously,  $W \in (\omega)_p^\omega \cap (X)^\omega$ . Moreover,  $F$  takes the constant value  $f(w)$  on  $(k, k, \mathcal{A}(w \upharpoonright \ell(w, k-1), W))^\omega$  for every  $w \in (p)^k$ . Let  $s \in (p)^m$  be such that  $f$  is constant on  $(s)^k$ . Then  $Y = \mathcal{A}(s, W)$  is as desired.  $\dashv$

The referee pointed out that the theorem and similar results can be derived from Theorem 10 and Theorem 11 of [2].

The theorem is optimal in the following sense:

PROPOSITION 5. Suppose that  $1 < k < m < \omega$ . Then there is  $F : (\omega)^\omega \rightarrow 2$  such that  $F^{-1}(\{0\})$  is open and there is no  $Y \in (\omega)_m^\omega$  with the property that  $F$  is constant on  $(k, m, Y)^\omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F(Y) = 0$  exactly when  $Y(m) \not\subseteq \ell(Y, m + 1)$ . ⊖

The theorem has the following finitary version, which is proved by arguing as for 3.2 in [1].

PROPOSITION 6. Suppose that  $n \leq q \leq m < \omega$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $n \leq k$  and  $1 \leq r < \omega$ . Then there is  $p < \omega$  such that  $p \geq m$  and the following holds: Given  $f : (p)^k \rightarrow r$ , there is  $s \in (p)_q^m$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $(n, q, s)^k$ .

*Proof.* Assume that for every  $p \geq m$  there is  $f_p : (p)^k \rightarrow r$  such that for every  $s \in (p)_q^m$ ,  $f_p$  is not constant on  $(n, q, s)^k$ . Define  $F : (\omega)^\omega \rightarrow r$  by stipulating that  $F(T) = f_{\ell(T, k)}(T \upharpoonright \ell(T, k))$ . Using the theorem (for  $1 < n < q$ ) or Proposition 3 (otherwise), we find  $Y \in (\omega)_q^\omega$  such that  $F$  is constant on  $(n, q, Y)^\omega$ . Set  $p = \ell(Y, m)$  and  $s = Y \upharpoonright m$ . Then  $p \geq m$  and  $s \in (p)_q^m$ . Moreover,  $f_p$  is constant on  $(n, q, s)^k$ . Contradiction! ⊖

When  $n \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $q \in \{m - 1, m\}$ , Proposition 6 reduces to the Finitary Dual Ramsey Theorem. When  $n = k$  and  $q \in \{m - 1, m\}$ , it reduces to the  $n$ -parameter set theorem of Graham-Rothschild [3], which generalizes the Finitary Dual Ramsey Theorem.

## REFERENCES

- [1] TIMOTHY J. CARLSON AND STEVE G. SIMPSON: *A dual form of Ramsey's Theorem*, **Advances in Mathematics**, vol. 53 (1984), 265–290.
- [2] TIMOTHY J. CARLSON: *Some unifying principles in Ramsey theory*, **Discrete Mathematics**, vol. 68 (1988), 117–169.
- [3] RONALD L. GRAHAM AND BRUCE L. ROTHSCCHILD: *Ramsey's theorem for  $n$ -parameter sets*, **Transactions of the American Mathematical Society**, vol. 159 (1971), 257–292.

Department of Pure Mathematics  
David Bates Building  
Queen's University Belfast  
Belfast BT7 1NN  
Northern Ireland  
halbeis@qub.ac.uk

Université de Caen – CNRS  
Mathématiques  
BP 5186  
14032 Caen Cedex  
France  
matet@math.unicaen.fr