

In our second approach to the Dirichlet problem, we saw the utility of thinking of $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} u(x) = f(x)$ (on $\Omega = (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}$) weakly.

Even simpler: $\frac{du}{dx} = f \Rightarrow \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \int_a^b u \frac{d\varphi}{dx} dx = - \int_a^b f \varphi dx.$

Definition (D.12) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open, $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega).$

(i) The **distributional (partial) derivative** $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$ is the **linear map**

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} : C_c^\infty(\Omega) \ni \varphi \mapsto - \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} dx.$$

(i') We say that u has a **weak derivative** $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \in \mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{F} \subset L^1_{loc}$ (typically $\mathcal{F} = L^p_{loc}, L^p, \dots$) if $\exists g_j \in \mathcal{F}$ so that

$$- \int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} dx = \int_{\Omega} g_j \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

(ii) For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, write $\partial^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_n}}{\partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$. Then $\partial^\alpha u$ is the linear map

$$C_c^\infty(\Omega) \ni \varphi \mapsto (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} u \partial^\alpha \varphi dx.$$

(ii') u has a weak derivative $\partial^\alpha u \in \mathcal{F}$ if $\exists g_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t.

$$(-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} u \partial^\alpha \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} g_\alpha \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

Remark (R.13) (i) Weak derivatives, when they exist, are unique by Theorem (T.2).

(ii) If $u \in C^1(\Omega)$, then the weak derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$ is the ordinary derivative (so in $C^0(\Omega)$). Similarly for $\partial^\alpha u$ when $u \in C^{|\alpha|}(\Omega)$.

Example (E.17) (i) Let $u(x) = |x|$ on \mathbb{R} . Then $u'(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & x < 0 \\ 1, & x > 0 \end{cases}$
 (as an L^1_{loc} -function), since for $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{\mathbb{R}} u \varphi' dx &= \int_{-\infty}^0 x \varphi'(x) dx - \int_0^{\infty} x \varphi'(x) dx \\ &= \underbrace{x\varphi \Big|_{-\infty}^0 - x\varphi \Big|_0^{\infty}}_{=0} - \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi(x) dx + \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

So $u' \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ even, though $u \notin C^1(\mathbb{R})$.

(ii) Not all L^1_{loc} -functions have weak derivatives. E.g. the Heaviside function $u(x) = H(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ 1, & x > 0 \end{cases} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ has distributional derivative

$$C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \ni \varphi \mapsto -\int_{\mathbb{R}} u \varphi' dx = -\int_0^{\infty} \varphi'(x) dx = \varphi(0).$$

(The Dirac distribution, a.k.a. " δ -function".)

But for no $g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ is $\int_{\mathbb{R}} g \varphi dx = \varphi(0) \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

(Exercise.)

(iii) If $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ is a.e. differentiable with derivative $u'(x) = f(x)$ in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, one cannot conclude that f is the weak derivative of u .

Example: Let $\mu =$ Cantor measure. Then $u(x) := \mu([0, x])$ defines a continuous function $u \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ whose distributional derivative is " $d\mu$ ": $\varphi \mapsto \int \varphi d\mu$. (Exercise.)

But $u' = 0 \forall x \notin$ Cantor set!

(iv) Let $v \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\exists C$ s.t.

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} v \Delta \varphi dx \right| \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall \varphi \in C^2(\Omega), \varphi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

(i.e. $v \in \mathcal{D}(A^*)$ in the notation of Approach 1 earlier)
 By Riesz, $\exists f \in L^2(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$\int_{\Omega} v \Delta \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

This means: Δv (sum of distributional derivatives) is represented by the L^2 -function f . Write: $\Delta v = f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Definition (D.13) (Sobolev spaces.) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

(i) $W^{k,p}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \partial^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega) \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\alpha| \leq k \}$,

with norm $\|u\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$.

(ii) $p < \infty$: $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega) = \text{closure of } C_c^\infty(\Omega) \text{ in } (W^{k,p}(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{W^{k,p}(\Omega)})$.

(iii) For $p=2$, we write $W^{k,2}(\Omega) = H^k(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{k,2}(\Omega) = H_0^k(\Omega)$;

and we use the (equivalent) norm

$$\|u\|_{H^k(\Omega)} := \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

(which comes from the inner product $(u,v)_{H^k(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (\partial^\alpha u, \partial^\alpha v)_{L^2(\Omega)}$).

Of course, $W^{0,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{0,p}(\Omega) = L^p(\Omega)$.

Remark (R.14) By Lemma (L.8), if $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $C < \infty$

s.t. $\frac{1}{C} \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$.

The same is true for $W_0^{1,p}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, i.e.

$$\frac{1}{C} \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

Indeed, if $\Omega \subset [0, L] \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p &= \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right| dx_1 \right|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right|^p dx_1 \right) \left(\int_0^L 1 dx_1 \right)^{p-1} dx \\ &\leq L^p \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right|^p dx \\ &\leq L^p \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (T.17) Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is...

- (i) complete for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,
- (ii) separable for $1 \leq p < \infty$,
- (iii) reflexive for $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof These statements hold for $L^p(\Omega)$, i.e. $k=0$. We only consider the case $k=1$. Define the isometric map

$$\begin{aligned} i: W^{1,p}(\Omega) &\rightarrow (L^p(\Omega))^{n+1}, \\ u &\mapsto \left(u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- (i) If $\{u_k\} \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a Cauchy sequence, then so is $i(u_k)$, and therefore \exists

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k \in L^p(\Omega), \\ v_j &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} \in L^p(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Claim: $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = v_j$.

Well, if $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\Omega} u \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} dx &= -\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} u_k \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} dx \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} \varphi dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} v_j \varphi dx.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $L^p(\Omega)$ is separable for $1 \leq p < \infty$, therefore so is $(L^p(\Omega))^{n+1}$ and thus also its subspace $i(W^{1,p}(\Omega))$. Since i is an isometry, this implies that $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is separable.

(iii) By (i), $i(W^{1,p}(\Omega)) \subset (L^p(\Omega))^{n+1}$ is closed. For $1 < p < \infty$, $L^p(\Omega)$ is reflexive, and thus so is $(L^p(\Omega))^{n+1} \Rightarrow i(W^{1,p}(\Omega))$ is reflexive. Since i is an isometry, $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is reflexive. \square

Corollary (C.3) $H^k(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(u, v)_{H^k(\Omega)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} (\partial^\alpha u, \partial^\alpha v)_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Definition (D.14) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open. We write $W_{loc}^{k,p}(\Omega)$ for the space of all $u \in L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$ s.t. $\partial^\alpha u \in L_{loc}^p(\Omega) \forall |\alpha| \leq k$.