

On the Conjecture of Meinardus on Rational Approximation of e^x , II

DIETRICH BRAESS

*Institut für Mathematik,
Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 4630 Bochum 1, West Germany*

Communicated by P. L. Butzer

Received March 18, 1983

INTRODUCTION

Recently D. J. Newman [4] and the author [1] have established upper and lower bounds for the degree of approximation when e^x is approximated by rational functions on the interval $[-1, +1]$. The bounds differ from the value conjectured by Meinardus [2, p. 168] only by constants. Here we will show that Meinardus' conjecture is true as it stands.

1

This paper is concerned with Meinardus' conjecture on uniform approximation of e^x on the interval $[-1, +1]$ by (m, n) -degree rationals, i.e., by rational functions whose numerator and denominator have degrees m and n , respectively. Meinardus, in his monograph [2, p. 168], conjectured that $E_{m,n}$, i.e., the distance of e^x to the rationals, measured by the sup-norm in $C[-1, +1]$, has the following asymptotic behavior:

$$E_{m,n} = \frac{n!m!}{2^{n+m}(n+m)!(n+m+1)!} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } n+m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1)$$

The crucial point here and in the previous estimates is an observation made by Newman: Let p/q be an (m, n) -degree rational. Given $x \in [-1, +1]$, put $z = (x + iy)/2$ with y real, $x^2 + y^2 = 1$. Then $R(x) = p(z)p(\bar{z})/[q(z)q(\bar{z})]$ is also an (m, n) -degree rational function in the variable x . Since $e^x = e^z e^{\bar{z}}$, we have

$$e^x - R(x) = 2 \operatorname{Re} e^{\bar{z}} \left(e^z - \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \right) - \left| e^z - \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \right|^2. \quad (2)$$

In the sequel only cases are considered where the second term is small when compared with the first one. Moreover assume that $p - e^z q$ has $n + m + 1$ zeros in the domain $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ but there q has none; this happens, e.g., when p/q is the Padé approximant. Then by a winding number argument one concludes that $e^z - R(x)$ alternates $(n + m + 1)$ -times [1]; one gets a lower bound from the theorem of de la Vallée-Poussin, which together with the obvious upper bound reads

$$2 \min_{|z|=\frac{1}{2}} \left| e^z \left(e^z - \frac{p}{q} \right) \right| \leq E_{m,n}(1 + o(1)) \leq 2 \max_{|z|=\frac{1}{2}} \left| e^z \left(e^z - \frac{p}{q} \right) \right|. \quad (3)$$

Therefore we are interested in constructing rationals p/q such that $|e^z(e^z - p/q)|$ varies only little on the circle $|z| = \frac{1}{2}$.

2

We will start with the (m, n) -Padé approximant which is given by

$$p(z) = \int_0^\infty t^n (t+z)^m e^{-t} dt, \quad q(z) = \int_0^\infty (t-z)^n t^m e^{-t} dt. \quad (4)$$

First we derive an asymptotic property of the remainder term

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) - e^z q(z) &= \int_0^\infty t^n (t+z)^m e^{-t} dt - \int_0^\infty (t-z)^n t^m e^{-t+z} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty t^n (t+z)^m e^{-t} dt \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} z^{n+m+1} \int_0^1 u^n (1-u)^m e^{uz} du. \end{aligned}$$

After inserting the Taylor's series for the exponential function the integral above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 u^n (1-u)^m \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} u^k z^k du \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{m!(n+k)!}{(n+m+k+1)!} \frac{z^k}{k!} \\ &= \frac{m!n!}{(n+m+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(n+1)_k (n+m)_k}{n^k (n+m+2)_k} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{z}{n+m} \right)^k. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Here the convention $(a)_k := a(a + 1) \cdots (a + k - 1)$ known from hypergeometric functions is used. Now we recall a simple observation on sequences of power series. Let

$$\psi_v(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{kv} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad v = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with $|a_{kv}| \leq 1$ and $\lim a_{kv} = 0$ for each k . Then $\sup\{|\psi_v(z)|, |z| \leq 1\}$ tends to zero. From (5) we conclude

$$e^z q(z) - p(z) = \frac{m!n!}{(m+n+1)!} (-1)^{n+1} z^{n+m+1} e^{(n/(n+m))z} (1 + \psi_1(z)), \quad (6)$$

where $\psi_1(z)$, $|z| < 1$, becomes arbitrarily small as $n + m \rightarrow \infty$. To be more specific, from (5) first a small additive correction to the exponential function is obtained which is changed into a multiplicative term.

3

In the same spirit from (4) an asymptotic formula for q is derived after the binomial formula is applied to $(t - z)^n$:

$$q(z) = (n + m)! e^{-(n/(n+m))z} (1 + \psi_2(z)). \quad (7)$$

For an estimate of the correction term, $|\psi_2(z)| \leq |z|^2 e^{2|z|}/(2m + 2n)$, the reader is referred to [5, p. 248]. From (6) and (7) it follows that

$$e^z \left(e^z - \frac{p(z)}{q(z)} \right) = \frac{n!m!(-1)^n}{(n+m)!(n+m+1)!} z^{n+m+1} e^{\alpha z} (1 + o(1)) \quad (8)$$

where $\alpha = 1 + 2n/(n + m)$. We note that $1 \leq \alpha \leq 3$.

4

The function (8) [more precisely its modulus] is not constant on the circle $|z| = \frac{1}{2}$ mainly because $e^{\alpha z} z^{n+m+1}$ is not. On the other hand by choosing z_0 appropriately we can achieve that

$$|e^{\alpha z} (z - z_0)^{n+m+1}| \quad (9)$$

deviates very little from a constant on that circle.

It is easily checked by some elementary calculations that

$$e^{-2/(3t)} \leq \left| e^z \left(z - \frac{1}{t} \right)^t \right| \leq e^{+2/(3t)}, \quad |z| = 1, \quad t \geq 3.$$

After taking the $(\alpha/2)$ th power of these inequalities, with z replaced by $2z$ and with $N = \alpha t/2$ we get

$$e^{-\alpha^2/(6N)} \leq 2^N \left| e^{\alpha z} \left(z - \frac{\alpha}{4N} \right)^N \right| \leq e^{+\alpha^2/(6N)}, \quad |z| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Consequently, when putting $N = n + m + 1$, $z_0 = \alpha/[4(n + m + 1)]$ we get a close-to-circularity property for (9).

5

Let z_0 be as above. Then $\tilde{p}(z)/\tilde{q}(z) = e^{z_0} p(z - z_0)/q(z - z_0)$ is the Padé approximant to e^z at z_0 . From

$$e^z \left(e^z - \frac{\tilde{p}(z)}{\tilde{q}(z)} \right) = \frac{n!m!(-1)^n}{(n+m)!(n+m+1)!} (z - z_0)^{n+m+1} e^{\alpha z} (1 + o(1)) \quad (10)$$

where $(1 + o(1)) = e^{(2-\alpha)z_0} (1 + \psi_1(z - z_0))(1 + \psi_2(z - z_0))^{-1}$, it follows that Meinardus' conjecture is true.

The result remains true if the approximation problem with the weight function $w(x) = e^{-x}$ is considered [3]. Only the exponent α has to be replaced by $\alpha - 2$. In each case a rational function is constructed such that the error curve is near to a circular one [6].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am indebted to H. Werner and L. Wuytack as their invitation to the Conference on Padé approximation in Bad Honnef motivated me to look once more at Meinardus' conjecture. Moreover, I want to thank Bill Gragg for several suggestions on the numerical evidence for the conjecture.

Note added in proof. For analogous investigations of rational approximation of \sqrt{x} the reader is referred to [7].

REFERENCES

1. D. BRAESS, On the conjecture of Meinardus on rational approximation of e^x , *J. Approx. Theory* **36** (1982), 317–320.
2. G. MEINARDUS, “Approximation of Functions: Theory and Numerical Methods,” Springer, New York, 1967.
3. G. NEMETH, Relative rational approximation of the function e^x , *Math. Notes* **21** (1977), 325–328.
4. D. J. NEWMAN, Rational approximation to e^x , *J. Approx. Theory* **27** (1979), 234–235.
5. O. PERRON, “Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen, II,” 3 Aufl., Teubner, Stuttgart, 1957.
6. L. N. TREFETHEN, Near circularity of the error curve in complex Chebyshev approximation, *J. Approx. Theory* **31** (1981), 344–367.
7. D. BRAESS, On rational approximation of the exponential and the square root function. In “Rational Approximation and Interpolation” (P. R. Graves-Morris, E. B. Saff, R. S. Varga, eds.). Springer, Heidelberg–New York, 1984.