

Cocycle rigidity and bounded cohomology of groupoids

Filippo Sarti (Università di Bologna)

GRAZP - Groups and rigidity around the Zimmer program

Ventotene

11–16 September 2023

Cocycles rigidity

Let \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H} be lcsc groups and $\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright (X, \mu_X)$ be a p.m.p action. A measurable map $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is a **measurable cocycle** if

$$\sigma(g_1 g_2, x) = \sigma(g_1, g_2 x) \sigma(g_2, x).$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{G}, x \in X$

A cocycle is **rigid** if (up to *equivalence*) it does not depend on the parameter.

HIGHER RANK

RANK 1

?

Cocycles rigidity

Let \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H} be lcsc groups and $\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright (X, \mu_X)$ be a p.m.p action. A measurable map $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is a **measurable cocycle** if

$$\sigma(g_1 g_2, x) = \sigma(g_1, g_2 x) \sigma(g_2, x).$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{G}, x \in X$

A cocycle is **rigid** if (up to *equivalence*) it does not depend on the parameter.

HIGHER RANK

RANK 1

Zimmer, '80. Orbit equivalent p.m.p actions of higher rank groups comes from isomorphisms of the groups.
(Consequence of cocycle superrigidity)

?

Cocycles rigidity

Let \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H} be lcsc groups and $\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright (X, \mu_X)$ be a p.m.p action. A measurable map $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is a **measurable cocycle** if

$$\sigma(g_1 g_2, x) = \sigma(g_1, g_2 x) \sigma(g_2, x).$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{G}, x \in X$

A cocycle is **rigid** if (up to *equivalence*) it does not depend on the parameter.

HIGHER RANK

Zimmer, '80. Orbit equivalent p.m.p actions of higher rank groups comes from isomorphisms of the groups.
(Consequence of **cocycle superrigidity**)

RANK 1

?

Cocycles rigidity

Let \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H} be lcsc groups and $\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright (X, \mu_X)$ be a p.m.p action. A measurable map $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is a **measurable cocycle** if

$$\sigma(g_1 g_2, x) = \sigma(g_1, g_2 x) \sigma(g_2, x).$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{G}, x \in X$

A cocycle is **rigid** if (up to *equivalence*) it does not depend on the parameter.

HIGHER RANK

Zimmer, '80. Orbit equivalent p.m.p actions of higher rank groups comes from isomorphisms of the groups.
(Consequence of **cocycle superrigidity**)

RANK 1

?

Cocycles rigidity

Let \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H} be lcsc groups and $\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright (X, \mu_X)$ be a p.m.p action. A measurable map $\sigma : \mathbf{G} \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ is a **measurable cocycle** if

$$\sigma(g_1 g_2, x) = \sigma(g_1, g_2 x) \sigma(g_2, x).$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{G}, x \in X$

A cocycle is **rigid** if (up to *equivalence*) it does not depend on the parameter.

HIGHER RANK

Zimmer, '80. Orbit equivalent p.m.p actions of higher rank groups comes from isomorphisms of the groups.
(Consequence of **cocycle superrigidity**)

RANK 1

?

Cocycles and bounded cohomology

Following Burger-Iozzi, **continuous bounded cohomology** can be used to introduce **numerical invariants** of

- **representations** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices inside Hermitian groups ([Burger-Iozzi], [Burger-Iozzi-Wienhard],[Pozzetti]).
- **cocycles** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices in Hermitian groups ([Savini-Moraschini], [S.-Savini]).

Numerical invariants are real numbers attached to a **representation/measurable cocycle** invariant up to conjugation/cohomology. They are obtained through the **pullback** of preferred cohomology classes along the **representation/measurable cocycle**.

$$\rho : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \rho^* : H_b^*(\mathbf{H}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^*(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Problem: How we pull back cohomology classes along a cocycle?

Cocycles and bounded cohomology

Following Burger-Iozzi, **continuous bounded cohomology** can be used to introduce **numerical invariants** of

- **representations** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices inside Hermitian groups ([Burger-Iozzi], [Burger-Iozzi-Wienhard],[Pozzetti]).
- **cocycles** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices in Hermitian groups ([Savini-Moraschini], [S.-Savini]).

Numerical invariants are real numbers attached to a **representation/measurable cocycle** invariant up to conjugation/cohomology. They are obtained through the **pullback** of preferred cohomology classes along the **representation/measurable cocycle**.

$$\rho : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \rho^* : H_b^*(\mathbf{H}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^*(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Problem: How we pull back cohomology classes along a cocycle?

Cocycles and bounded cohomology

Following Burger-Iozzi, **continuous bounded cohomology** can be used to introduce **numerical invariants** of

- **representations** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices inside Hermitian groups ([Burger-Iozzi], [Burger-Iozzi-Wienhard],[Pozzetti]).
- **cocycles** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices in Hermitian groups ([Savini-Moraschini], [S.-Savini]).

Numerical invariants are real numbers attached to a **representation/measurable cocycle** invariant up to conjugation/cohomology. They are obtained through the **pullback** of preferred cohomology classes along the **representation/measurable cocycle**.

$$\rho : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \rho^* : H_b^*(\mathbf{H}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^*(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Problem: How we pull back cohomology classes along a cocycle?

Cocycles and bounded cohomology

Following Burger-Iozzi, **continuous bounded cohomology** can be used to introduce **numerical invariants** of

- **representations** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices inside Hermitian groups ([Burger-Iozzi], [Burger-Iozzi-Wienhard], [Pozzetti]).
- **cocycles** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices in Hermitian groups ([Savini-Moraschini], [S.-Savini]).

Numerical invariants are real numbers attached to a **representation/measurable cocycle** invariant up to conjugation/cohomology. They are obtained through the **pullback** of preferred cohomology classes along the **representation/measurable cocycle**.

$$\rho : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \rho^* : H_b^*(\mathbf{H}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^*(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Problem: How we pull back cohomology classes along a cocycle?

Cocycles and bounded cohomology

Following Burger-Iozzi, **continuous bounded cohomology** can be used to introduce **numerical invariants** of

- **representations** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices inside Hermitian groups ([Burger-Iozzi], [Burger-Iozzi-Wienhard], [Pozzetti]).
- **cocycles** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices in Hermitian groups ([Savini-Moraschini], [S.-Savini]).

Numerical invariants are real numbers attached to a **representation/measurable cocycle** invariant up to conjugation/cohomology. They are obtained through the **pullback** of preferred cohomology classes along the **representation/measurable cocycle**.

$$\rho : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \rho^* : H_b^\bullet(\mathbf{H}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Problem: How we pull back cohomology classes along a cocycle?

Cocycles and bounded cohomology

Following Burger-Iozzi, **continuous bounded cohomology** can be used to introduce **numerical invariants** of

- **representations** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices inside Hermitian groups ([Burger-Iozzi], [Burger-Iozzi-Wienhard], [Pozzetti]).
- **cocycles** of real/complex hyperbolic lattices in Hermitian groups ([Savini-Moraschini], [S.-Savini]).

Numerical invariants are real numbers attached to a **representation/measurable cocycle** invariant up to conjugation/cohomology. They are obtained through the **pullback** of preferred cohomology classes along the **representation/measurable cocycle**.

$$\rho : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{H} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \rho^* : H_b^\bullet(\mathbf{H}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_b^\bullet(\mathbf{G}, \mathbb{R}).$$

Problem: How we pull back cohomology classes along a cocycle?

A better perspective

A cocycle is a **groupoid homomorphism** of a p.m.p action $G \curvearrowright X$ to a group H .

Question: Can bounded cohomology be defined for (measured) groupoids?

YES! [S. -Savini, 23]

A few words:

- The construction is based on Burger-Monod theory of continuous bounded cohomology and bounded cohomology of groupoids extends the one of groups.
 - Bounded cohomology of groupoids is invariant under orbit equivalence.
 - For a group action $G \curvearrowright X$ we obtain
- $$H_b^*(G, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_b^*(G \curvearrowright X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_b^*(G \curvearrowright X, \mathbb{R})$$
- For amenable groupoids (such as amenable actions) bounded cohomology vanishes.

A better perspective

A cocycle is a **groupoid homomorphism** of a p.m.p action $G \curvearrowright X$ to a group H .

Question: Can bounded cohomology be defined for (measured) groupoids?

YES! [S. -Savini, 23]

A few words:

- The construction is based on Burger-Monod theory of continuous bounded cohomology and bounded cohomology of groupoids extends the one of groups.
- Bounded cohomology of groupoids is invariant under orbit equivalence.
- For a group action $G \curvearrowright X$ we obtain
- The groupoid bounded cohomology of $G \curvearrowright X$ is isomorphic to the bounded cohomology of G .

A better perspective

A cocycle is a **groupoid homomorphism** of a p.m.p action $G \curvearrowright X$ to a group H .

Question: Can bounded cohomology be defined for (measured) groupoids?

YES! [S. -Savini, 23]

A few words:

- The construction is based on **Burger-Monod** theory of continuous bounded cohomology and bounded cohomology of groupoids extends the one of groups.
- Bounded cohomology of groupoids is invariant under **orbit equivalence**.
- For a group action $G \curvearrowright X$ we obtain

$$H_b^*(G \curvearrowright X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_b^*(G, L^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})).$$

- For **amenable groupoids** (such as amenable actions) bounded cohomology vanishes.

A better perspective

A cocycle is a **groupoid homomorphism** of a p.m.p action $G \curvearrowright X$ to a group H .

Question: Can bounded cohomology be defined for (measured) groupoids?

YES! [S. -Savini, 23]

A few words:

- The construction is based on **Burger-Monod** theory of continuous bounded cohomology and bounded cohomology of groupoids extends the one of groups.
- Bounded cohomology of groupoids is invariant under **orbit equivalence**.
- For a group action $G \curvearrowright X$ we obtain

$$H_b^*(G \curvearrowright X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_b^*(G, L^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})).$$

- For **amenable groupoids** (such as amenable actions) bounded cohomology vanishes.

A better perspective

A cocycle is a **groupoid homomorphism** of a p.m.p action $G \curvearrowright X$ to a group H .

Question: Can bounded cohomology be defined for (measured) groupoids?

YES! [S. -Savini, 23]

A few words:

- The construction is based on **Burger-Monod** theory of continuous bounded cohomology and bounded cohomology of groupoids extends the one of groups.
- Bounded cohomology of groupoids is invariant under **orbit equivalence**.
- For a group action $\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright X$ we obtain

$$H_b^\bullet(\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_b^\bullet(\mathbf{G}, L^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})).$$

- For **amenable groupoids** (such as amenable actions) bounded cohomology vanishes.

A better perspective

A cocycle is a **groupoid homomorphism** of a p.m.p action $G \curvearrowright X$ to a group H .

Question: Can bounded cohomology be defined for (measured) groupoids?

YES! [S. -Savini, 23]

A few words:

- The construction is based on **Burger-Monod** theory of continuous bounded cohomology and bounded cohomology of groupoids extends the one of groups.
- Bounded cohomology of groupoids is invariant under **orbit equivalence**.
- For a group action $\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright X$ we obtain

$$H_b^\bullet(\mathbf{G} \curvearrowright X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H_b^\bullet(\mathbf{G}, L^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})).$$

- For **amenable groupoids** (such as amenable actions) bounded cohomology vanishes.

Thanks for your attention!