

Metric geometry and geometrically bounded Lagrangian submanifolds

(work in progress by)

Jean-Philippe Chassé

ETH Zürich

March 22nd, 2024

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Main results
- 2 Preliminaries
 - Definitions
 - Prior results
- 3 Proofs
 - Proofs
 - Further exploration

Plan

- 1 Introduction
 - Main results
- 2 Preliminaries
 - Definitions
 - Prior results
- 3 Proofs
 - Proofs
 - Further exploration

Objective: Study the space of all (say exact) Lagrangians \mathcal{L} of some (say Liouville) symplectic manifold M under some natural metric d .

Objective: Study the space of all (say exact) Lagrangians \mathcal{L} of some (say Liouville) symplectic manifold M under some natural metric d .

Problem: The metric space (\mathcal{L}, d) is huge and not so well behaved metrically.

Objective: Study the space of all (say exact) Lagrangians \mathcal{L} of some (say Liouville) symplectic manifold M under some natural metric d .

Problem: The metric space (\mathcal{L}, d) is huge and not so well behaved metrically.

Idea: Restrict ourselves to a smaller subspace \mathcal{L}_k of Lagrangians with “geometry bounded by k ”, where $k > 0$.

Plan

- 1 Introduction
 - Main results
- 2 Preliminaries
 - Definitions
 - Prior results
- 3 Proofs
 - Proofs
 - Further exploration

A metric theorem

Theorem (A)

The space (\mathcal{L}_k, d) is totally bounded, i.e. for each $\varepsilon > 0$, \mathcal{L}_k can be covered by finitely many ε -balls.

A corollary from Theorem A

Corollary

The full space (\mathcal{L}, d) is separable, i.e. it has a countable dense subset.

Indeed, totally bounded spaces are separable, and $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}_k$.

A corollary from Theorem A

Corollary

The full space (\mathcal{L}, d) is separable, i.e. it has a countable dense subset.

Indeed, totally bounded spaces are separable, and $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}_k$.

Remarks (Humilière, Shelukhin)

When all Lagrangians in \mathcal{L} are Hamiltonian isotopic and $d \leq d_H$, then this is some folkloric result.

A symplectic theorem

Theorem (B)

The space (\mathcal{L}_k, d) contains only finitely many Hamiltonian isotopy classes. Furthermore, there is some $A = A(k) > 0$ such that

$$d(L, L') \geq A$$

whenever L and L' are *not* Hamiltonian isotopic.

Some corollaries from Theorem B

Corollary

The full space (\mathcal{L}, d) has at most countably many Hamiltonian isotopy classes.

Some corollaries from Theorem B

Corollary

The full space (\mathcal{L}, d) has at most countably many Hamiltonian isotopy classes.

Corollary

Let $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}$. If there exist a d -continuous path $t \mapsto L_t$ and a $k > 0$ such that

- (i) $L_0 = L$ and $L_1 = L'$;
- (ii) $L_t \in \mathcal{L}_k$ for (almost) all $t \in [0, 1]$,

Some corollaries from Theorem B

Corollary

The full space (\mathcal{L}, d) has at most countably many Hamiltonian isotopy classes.

Corollary

Let $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}$. If there exist a d -continuous path $t \mapsto L_t$ and a $k > 0$ such that

- (i) $L_0 = L$ and $L_1 = L'$;
 - (ii) $L_t \in \mathcal{L}_k$ for (almost) all $t \in [0, 1]$,
- then L and L' are Hamiltonian isotopic.

Some corollaries from Theorem B

Corollary

Let ψ be an exact symplectomorphism, i.e. $\psi^*\lambda = \lambda + dF$ for some $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let $L \in \mathcal{L}_k$ be such that $\psi^i(L) \in \mathcal{L}_k$ for all i .

Some corollaries from Theorem B

Corollary

Let ψ be an exact symplectomorphism, i.e. $\psi^*\lambda = \lambda + dF$ for some $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let $L \in \mathcal{L}_k$ be such that $\psi^i(L) \in \mathcal{L}_k$ for all i . Then, there is some i such that $\psi^i(L)$ is Hamiltonian isotopic to L .

Some corollaries from Theorem B

Corollary

Let ψ be an exact symplectomorphism, i.e. $\psi^*\lambda = \lambda + dF$ for some $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and let $L \in \mathcal{L}_k$ be such that $\psi^i(L) \in \mathcal{L}_k$ for all i . Then, there is some i such that $\psi^i(L)$ is Hamiltonian isotopic to L .

In particular, if L_1, \dots, L_N (split-)generates the (closed exact) Fukaya category of M and $\psi^i(L_j) \in \mathcal{L}_k$ for all i, j , then

$$h_{\text{cat}}(\psi) = 0.$$

Some last remarks

Remarks

There are Liouville manifolds with countably many Hamiltonian isotopy classes of exact Lagrangians.

We can take $M = T^*N$ and $d = \gamma$ to get some result towards the nearby Lagrangian conjecture.

Some last remarks

Remarks

There are Liouville manifolds with countably many Hamiltonian isotopy classes of exact Lagrangians.

We can take $M = T^*N$ and $d = \gamma$ to get some result towards the nearby Lagrangian conjecture.

Remarks

All the above results also applies to monotone Lagrangians in closed manifolds with some extra topological conditions, e.g. $H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) = 0$ or $H^1(L; \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

Plan

- 1 Introduction
 - Main results
- 2 Preliminaries
 - Definitions
 - Prior results
- 3 Proofs
 - Proofs
 - Further exploration

Plan

① Introduction

- Main results

② Preliminaries

- Definitions
- Prior results

③ Proofs

- Proofs
- Further exploration

The Lagrangian Hofer metric

Definition (Hofer, '90; Chekanov, '00)

The **Lagrangian Hofer metric** is given by

$$d_H(L, L') := \inf_{\substack{H \in C_c^\infty([0,1] \times M) \\ L' = \varphi_1^H(L)}} \int_0^1 \left(\max_{x \in M} H(t, x) - \min_{x \in M} H(t, x) \right) dt.$$

Here, $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$.

The Lagrangian Hofer metric

Definition (Hofer, '90; Chekanov, '00)

The **Lagrangian Hofer metric** is given by

$$d_H(L, L') := \inf_{\substack{H \in C_c^\infty([0,1] \times M) \\ L' = \varphi_1^H(L)}} \int_0^1 \left(\max_{x \in M} H(t, x) - \min_{x \in M} H(t, x) \right) dt.$$

Here, $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$.

Idea: Given two Hamiltonian isotopic Lagrangians L and L' , $d_H(L, L')$ is the least amount of “energy” needed to send L to L' .

Chekanov-type metrics between Lagrangians

More generally, we will be working with a **Chekanov-type** metric d , i.e. essentially one of the following

- d_H : Lagrangian Hofer metric;
- γ : spectral metric;
- $\hat{d}_S^{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'}$: shadow metric associated to nice families \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' ;
- ... and many variations on these themes.

Chekanov-type metrics between Lagrangians

More generally, we will be working with a **Chekanov-type** metric d , i.e. essentially one of the following

- d_H : Lagrangian Hofer metric;
- γ : spectral metric;
- $\hat{d}_S^{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'}$: shadow metric associated to nice families \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' ;
- ... and many variations on these themes.

The key property is that, for any $x \in L \cup L'$, there exists a J -holomorphic polygon $u : S_r \rightarrow M$ with boundary along Lagrangians in $\{L, L'\} \cup \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}'$ passing through x such that

$$\omega(u) \leq d(L, L').$$

The second fundamental form

Definition

The **second fundamental form** B_L of a submanifold L of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is given fiberwise by

$$(B_L)_x : T_x L \otimes T_x L \otimes (T_x L)^\perp \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$(X, Y, N) \longmapsto g(\nabla_X Y, N).$$

Its **norm** is then defined to be

$$\|B_L\| := \sup_{x \in L} |(B_L)_x|.$$

The tameness condition

Definition (Sikorav, '94; Groman–Solomon, '14)

Let L be a submanifold of (M, g) , and let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. We say that L is **strongly ε -tame** if

$$\frac{d(x, y)}{\min\{1, d^L(x, y)\}} > \varepsilon \quad \forall x \neq y \in L,$$

where d is the distance function on M induced by g , and d^L is the distance function on L induced by $g|_L$.

The Hausdorff metric

Definition

Let A and B be closed subsets of (M, g) . Consider the quantity

$$s(A, B) := \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B) = \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y).$$

The **Hausdorff metric** between closed subsets is defined as

$$\delta_H(A, B) := \max \{s(A, B), s(B, A)\}.$$

The Hausdorff metric

Definition

Let A and B be closed subsets of (M, g) . Consider the quantity

$$s(A, B) := \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B) = \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y).$$

The **Hausdorff metric** between closed subsets is defined as

$$\delta_H(A, B) := \max \{s(A, B), s(B, A)\}.$$

The inequality $\delta_H(A, B) < \varepsilon$ means that A is in a ε -neighborhood of B and vice-versa.

Some useful notation

- $(M, d\lambda, J)$ is a Liouville manifold with a compatible a.c.s. which is convex at infinity.

Some useful notation

- $(M, d\lambda, J)$ is a Liouville manifold with a compatible a.c.s. which is convex at infinity.
- $W_1 \subsetneq W_2 \subsetneq \dots$ is an exhaustion of M by Liouville domains.

Some useful notation

- $(M, d\lambda, J)$ is a Liouville manifold with a compatible a.c.s. which is convex at infinity.
- $W_1 \subsetneq W_2 \subsetneq \dots$ is an exhaustion of M by Liouville domains.
- $\mathcal{L} := \{\text{closed connected } \lambda\text{-exact Lagrangians in } M\}$

Some useful notation

- $(M, d\lambda, J)$ is a Liouville manifold with a compatible a.c.s. which is convex at infinity.
- $W_1 \subsetneq W_2 \subsetneq \dots$ is an exhaustion of M by Liouville domains.
- $\mathcal{L} := \{\text{closed connected } \lambda\text{-exact Lagrangians in } M\}$
- $\mathcal{L}_k := \{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid L \subseteq \overset{\circ}{W}_k, \|B_L\| < k, L \text{ str. } (k+1)^{-1}\text{-tame}\}$

Some useful notation

- $(M, d\lambda, J)$ is a Liouville manifold with a compatible a.c.s. which is convex at infinity.
- $W_1 \subsetneq W_2 \subsetneq \dots$ is an exhaustion of M by Liouville domains.
- $\mathcal{L} := \{\text{closed connected } \lambda\text{-exact Lagrangians in } M\}$
- $\mathcal{L}_k := \{L \in \mathcal{L} \mid L \subseteq \overset{\circ}{W}_k, \|B_L\| < k, L \text{ str. } (k+1)^{-1}\text{-tame}\}$
- d is a Chekanov-type metric on \mathcal{L} which is bounded from above by d_H .

Plan

① Introduction

- Main results

② Preliminaries

- Definitions
- Prior results

③ Proofs

- Proofs
- Further exploration

From d to δ_H

Theorem (C., 2023)

There exist constants $C_1, R_1 > 0$ with the following property. For all $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}_k$ such that $d(L, L') < R_1$, we have that

$$\delta_H(L, L') \leq C_1 \sqrt{d(L, L')}.$$

From δ_H to d

Theorem (C., 2024)

For all L in \mathcal{L}_k , there exist constants $C_2, R_2 > 0$ with the following property. Whenever $L' \in \mathcal{L}_k$ is such that $\delta_H(L, L') < R_2$, there exists a C^2 -small function $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L' = \text{graph } df$ in a Weinstein neighborhood of L , and

$$d(L, L') \leq C_2 \delta_H(L, L').$$

From δ_H to d

Theorem (C., 2024)

For all L in \mathcal{L}_k , there exist constants $C_2, R_2 > 0$ with the following property. Whenever $L' \in \mathcal{L}_k$ is such that $\delta_H(L, L') < R_2$, there exists a C^2 -small function $f : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $L' = \text{graph } df$ in a Weinstein neighborhood of L , and

$$d(L, L') \leq C_2 \delta_H(L, L').$$

Moreover, if a sequence $\{L_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_k$ has Hausdorff limit N , then N is an embedded C^1 -Lagrangian submanifold, and there exist diffeomorphisms $f_i : N \xrightarrow{\sim} L_i$ for i large such that $f_i \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ in the C^1 -topology.

Plan

- 1 Introduction
 - Main results
- 2 Preliminaries
 - Definitions
 - Prior results
- 3 Proofs
 - Proofs
 - Further exploration

Plan

- 1 Introduction
 - Main results
- 2 Preliminaries
 - Definitions
 - Prior results
- 3 Proofs
 - Proofs
 - Further exploration

Main technical result

The main technical idea is to strengthen the inequality in the second theorem so that it holds on the completion. More precisely, we prove the following.

Main technical result

The main technical idea is to strengthen the inequality in the second theorem so that it holds on the completion. More precisely, we prove the following.

Proposition

On \mathcal{L}_k , d and δ_H have the same Cauchy sequences. Furthermore, two Cauchy sequences are equivalent in d if and only if they are in δ_H .

Proof of Theorem A

- (1) By the proposition, (\mathcal{L}_k, d) and $(\mathcal{L}_k, \delta_H)$ have homeomorphic completions.

Proof of Theorem A

- (1) By the proposition, (\mathcal{L}_k, d) and $(\mathcal{L}_k, \delta_H)$ have homeomorphic completions.
- (2) The metric completion of $(\mathcal{L}_k, \delta_H)$ is compact, as it is a closed subspace of the space of all closed subsets of the compact W_k .

Proof of Theorem A

- (1) By the proposition, (\mathcal{L}_k, d) and $(\mathcal{L}_k, \delta_H)$ have homeomorphic completions.
- (2) The metric completion of $(\mathcal{L}_k, \delta_H)$ is compact, as it is a closed subspace of the space of all closed subsets of the compact W_k .
- (3) Thus, (\mathcal{L}_k, d) is precompact in its completion, which is equivalent to being totally bounded in complete metric spaces.



Proof of Theorem B

- (1) Suppose there exist infinitely many Hamiltonian isotopy classes in \mathcal{L}_k , and let $\{L_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_k$ be such that L_i and L_j are not Hamiltonian isotopic in $i \neq j$.

Proof of Theorem B

- (1) Suppose there exist infinitely many Hamiltonian isotopy classes in \mathcal{L}_k , and let $\{L_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_k$ be such that L_i and L_j are not Hamiltonian isotopic in $i \neq j$.
- (2) Since (\mathcal{L}_k, d_H) is precompact, there is a converging subsequence, still denoted $\{L_i\}$.

Proof of Theorem B

- (1) Suppose there exist infinitely many Hamiltonian isotopy classes in \mathcal{L}_k , and let $\{L_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_k$ be such that L_i and L_j are not Hamiltonian isotopic in $i \neq j$.
- (2) Since (\mathcal{L}_k, d_H) is precompact, there is a converging subsequence, still denoted $\{L_i\}$.
- (3) But then L_i and L_{i+1} must be Hamiltonian isotopic for i large. Hence, we have a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem B

- (1) Suppose there exist infinitely many Hamiltonian isotopy classes in \mathcal{L}_k , and let $\{L_i\} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_k$ be such that L_i and L_j are not Hamiltonian isotopic in $i \neq j$.
- (2) Since (\mathcal{L}_k, d_H) is precompact, there is a converging subsequence, still denoted $\{L_i\}$.
- (3) But then L_i and L_{i+1} must be Hamiltonian isotopic for i large. Hence, we have a contradiction.

The proof that $d(L, L') \geq A$ whenever L and L' are not Hamiltonian isotopic follows a similar logic. \square

Proof of technical proposition

One side is evident, since we always have $\delta_H \leq C\sqrt{d}$. We give the idea on how to prove the other side. Let $\{L_i\}$ thus be δ_H -Cauchy.

Proof of technical proposition

One side is evident, since we always have $\delta_H \leq C\sqrt{d}$. We give the idea on how to prove the other side. Let $\{L_i\}$ thus be δ_H -Cauchy.

(1) Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We take Hamiltonian perturbations L'_i of L_i such that

(i) $d_H(L_i, L'_i) \leq \varepsilon$;

(ii) $L'_i \xrightarrow{\delta_H} L'$ is smooth.

Proof of technical proposition

One side is evident, since we always have $\delta_H \leq C\sqrt{d}$. We give the idea on how to prove the other side. Let $\{L_i\}$ thus be δ_H -Cauchy.

(1) Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We take Hamiltonian perturbations L'_i of L_i such that

(i) $d_H(L_i, L'_i) \leq \varepsilon$;

(ii) $L'_i \xrightarrow{\delta_H} L'$ is smooth.

(2) Since $d(L'_i, L') \leq C_2(L')\delta_H(L'_i, L')$, $\{L'_i\}$ is d -Cauchy. In particular,

$$d(L'_i, L'_j) \leq \varepsilon$$

for i, j large.

Proof of technical proposition

(3) We thus have

$$d(L_i, L_j) \leq d_H(L_i, L'_i) + d(L'_i, L'_j) + d_H(L'_j, L_j) \leq 3\varepsilon$$

for i, j large, and $\{L_i\}$ is d -Cauchy.

Proof of technical proposition

(3) We thus have

$$d(L_i, L_j) \leq d_H(L_i, L'_i) + d(L'_i, L'_j) + d_H(L'_j, L_j) \leq 3\varepsilon$$

for i, j large, and $\{L_i\}$ is d -Cauchy.

The statement on equivalences follows essentially from the same proof. \square

Plan

- 1 Introduction
 - Main results
- 2 Preliminaries
 - Definitions
 - Prior results
- 3 Proofs
 - Proofs
 - Further exploration

Other results

One can prove some further results on \mathcal{L}_k , which might be of independent interest.

Other results

One can prove some further results on \mathcal{L}_k , which might be of independent interest.

- (1) If the metric is nice near L or if $\dim M = 2$, we can show that each $L \in \mathcal{L}_k$ possesses a system of contractible neighborhoods in \mathcal{L}_k . I suspect that \mathcal{L}_k is in general locally homeomorphic around L to $(C^\infty(L), d_{C^{1,1}})$.

Other results

One can prove some further results on \mathcal{L}_k , which might be of independent interest.

- (1) If the metric is nice near L or if $\dim M = 2$, we can show that each $L \in \mathcal{L}_k$ possesses a system of contractible neighborhoods in \mathcal{L}_k . I suspect that \mathcal{L}_k is in general locally homeomorphic around L to $(C^\infty(L), d_{C^{1,1}})$.
- (2) Everything above also holds if \mathcal{L} is the space of graphs of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of some monotone symplectic manifold M . From this, we can exclude something similar to Ostrover's example in the corresponding \mathcal{L}_k .

Thank you for your attention!

I will be happy to answer your questions.