

# On the Stability of the Unitary Group

by Maria Saliani

## 1. Introduction

Let  $U_{2n}$  be the group of isometries of the hyperbolic space  $H^{2n}$  over a unitary ring  $A^\# = (A, A, \varepsilon)$  and let  $EU_{2n}$  be the elementary unitary subgroup. The first stability results for  $U_{2n}$  are due to Bak [1969] and Vaserstein [1970]. They say that

$$(*) \quad U_{2n} = U_{2(n-1)} \cdot EU_{2n}$$

if  $A$  is a finite algebra over a commutative ring  $R$  with  $\dim \text{Max}(R) = d$  and  $n > d + 1$ . A comprehensive proof is in Bass [1973]. In his paper, Vaserstein also claims that

$$(**) \quad U_{2(n-1)} \cap EU_{2n} = EU_{2(n-1)}$$

for  $n > d + 2$ . However its proof is not correct: the property  $(2.2)_n^0$  in Theorem 2.2 holds for all  $n \geq 2$ , not, as claimed, for all  $n \geq 1$  (this was noticed by B. Keller). Furthermore there is an error in the proof that Theorem 2.7 implies property  $(2.6)_n$  (p. 313 of the english translation). The condition (3), given there, cannot be fulfilled in general. The proof of  $(**)$  for  $n > d + 2$  given in a subsequent paper (Vaserstein [1974]) also has gaps: the proof of Lemma 3.1 contains a mistake. It is not true that  $Lx + L(1-x)(C^{-1}u', w)_r = L$  (see p. 293 of the english translation). Furthermore we were not able to understand the proofs of Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.2. A proof of  $(**)$  for unitary rings satisfying a so-called *unitary stable range condition* is in Kolster [1975<sup>a</sup>] (see Kolster [1975<sup>b</sup>] for a sketch). However these two papers were never published. In 1976 Suslin and Tulenbaev published an ingenious simple proof of the formula  $GL_{n-1} \cap E_n = E_{n-1}$  for the linear group under a (linear) stable range condition. In 1980 Mustafa-Zade gave, in a short communication without proofs, indications for a unitary version of Suslin-Tulenbaev's proof. The main aim of this report is to fill in the details of such a proof. But we use in the proof a unitary stable range condition which is different from the condition of Kolster or Mustafa-Zade. If  $A$  is a finite algebra over a commutative ring  $R$  with  $\dim \text{Max}(R) = d$ , our unitary stable range condition is satisfied if  $n > d + 2$  and, if  $A$  is semilocal, the condition is satisfied if  $n > 2$ . In contrast, the condition required by Kolster or Mustafa-Zade needs  $n > d + 3$ , resp.  $n > 3$ . Thus  $(**)$  holds, as claimed by Vaserstein, for all  $n > d + 2$  or, if  $A$  is semilocal, for all  $n > 2$ . The result for semilocal rings is applied in Ojanguren [1984].

We thank M. Ojanguren and M.-A. Knus for their comments and advice. Without their constant encouragement, these notes would never have reached fruition. Mustafa-Zade's paper was pointed out to us by M. Ojanguren. We are also grateful to M. Kolster for very helpful remarks.

## 2. Some Notations

Let  $A$  be an associative ring with an involution  $\sigma : a \mapsto \bar{a}$ . The set of  $(n \times k)$ -matrices with elements in  $A$  is denoted by  $M_{n,k}(A)$  and we put  $M_{n,n}(A) = M_n(A)$ . The subset of  $M_{n,k}(A)$  consisting of left-invertible matrices is denoted by  $Um_{n,k}(A)$ . Elements of  $Um_{n,1}(A)$  are called *unimodular columns* and elements of  $Um_{1,n}(A)$  *unimodular rows*. We write  $\alpha^t$  for the transpose of  $\alpha \in M_{n,k}(A)$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}$  for the matrix  $(\bar{a}_{ij})$  if  $\alpha = (a_{ij})$  and  $\alpha^*$  for  $\bar{\alpha}^t$ . The row matrix having its  $i^{th}$ -element equal to 1 and all other elements equal to zero is denoted by  $e_i$ . The canonical basis of  $M_{n,k}(A)$  is denoted by  $\{E_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, k\}$  and the identity matrix of  $M_n(A)$  by  $1_n$ . Let

$$e_{ij}(r) = 1_n + E_{ij}r, \quad r \in A, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad i \neq j,$$

then  $e_{ij}(r) \in GL_n(A)$  and the subgroup generated by all  $e_{ij}(r)$  is the *elementary subgroup*  $E_n(A)$  of  $GL_n(A)$ . We have  $e_{ij}(r)e_{ij}(s) = e_{ij}(r+s)$  and the commutator  $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$  of two elementary matrices can be computed as follows

$$[e_{ij}(r), e_{kl}(s)] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j \neq k, i \neq l \\ e_{il}(rs) & \text{if } j = k, i \neq l \\ e_{kj}(-sr) & \text{if } j \neq k, i = l \end{cases}$$

## 3. The Hyperbolic Space

Let  $\varepsilon$  be an element of the center of  $A$  such that  $\varepsilon\bar{\varepsilon} = 1$ . A *form parameter* is an additive subgroup  $\Lambda$  of  $A$  such that

- 1)  $\Lambda_{\min} = \{r = a - \varepsilon\bar{a}, a \in A\} \subset \Lambda \subset \Lambda_{\max} = \{r \mid r + \varepsilon\bar{r} = 0\}$
- 2) For all  $a \in \Lambda$ ,  $\bar{a}\lambda a \subset \Lambda$

A triple  $A^\# = (A, \Lambda, \varepsilon)$  is called a *unitary ring* (or a *form ring*). This notion is due to Bak [1969] (see also Bak [1981]). Let  $A^{2n} = M_{2n,1}(A)$ . We write the elements of  $A^{2n}$  as pairs  $x = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$  with  $u, v \in M_{n,1}(A)$ . Let  $x = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$  and  $y = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix}$ . We define an  $\varepsilon$ -hermitian form on  $A^{2n}$  by putting

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix} y = u^*z + \varepsilon v^*w$$

and we define the *length*  $[q](x)$  of  $x = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$  as the class  $[u^*v] = [\varepsilon v^*u]$  of  $u^*v$  in the additive group  $A/\Lambda$ . Elements of length 0 are called *isotropic*. A pair  $\{x, y\}$  of unimodular isotropic elements of  $A^{2n}$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$  is called *hyperbolic*. The pairs  $\{e_i^t, e_{i+n}^t\}$  of  $A^{2n}$  are hyperbolic. The triple  $(A^{2n}, \langle, \rangle, [q])$  is called the *hyperbolic space (of rank  $2n$ )* over  $A^\#$  and is denoted by  $H^{2n} = H^{2n}(A^\#)$ . An *isometry* of  $H^{2n}$  is an element  $\alpha \in GL_{2n}(A)$  such that  $\langle \alpha(x), \alpha(y) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  and  $[q](\alpha(x)) = [q](x)$  for all  $x, y \in A^{2n}$ . The *unitary group*  $U_{2n} = U_{2n}(A^\#)$  is the group of all isometries of  $H^{2n}$ . There is a canonical involution on the group sending  $\alpha$  to  $\alpha^*$ . Let

$$\Lambda_n = \{a = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(A) \mid a = -\varepsilon a^* \text{ and } a_{ii} \in \Lambda, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_n^* = \{a \in M_n(A) \mid a^* \in \Lambda_n\}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_{2n} &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_{2n}(A) \mid ad^* + \varepsilon bc^* = 1_n, ab^* \in \Lambda_n, cd^* \in \Lambda_n \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_{2n}(A) \mid d^*a + \bar{\varepsilon}b^*c = 1_n, a^*c \in \Lambda_n, b^*d \in \Lambda_n \right\} \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. The Elementary Unitary Group

The *elementary unitary group*  $EU_{2n} = EU_{2n}(A^\#)$  is the subgroup of  $U_{2n}$  generated by all elements  $x^+(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & b \\ 0 & 1_n \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $b \in \Lambda_n^*$ ,  $x^-(c) = \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & 0 \\ c & 1_n \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $c \in \Lambda_n$  and  $H(d) = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d^*-1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $d \in E_n(A)$ . Since  $x^+(b)^* = x^-(b^*)$  and  $H(d)^* = H(d^*)$ , the canonical involution of  $U_{2n}$  maps  $EU_{2n}$  to itself. We put

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij}^+(a) &= x^+(E_{ij}a - \bar{\varepsilon}E_{ji}\bar{a}) \\ x_{ij}^-(a) &= x^-(E_{ij}a - \varepsilon E_{ji}\bar{a}) \\ x_{ii}^+(r) &= x^+(E_{ii}r) \\ x_{ii}^-(s) &= x^-(E_{ii}s) \\ h_{ij}(b) &= H(e_{ij}(b)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $a, b \in A$  and  $s, \bar{r} \in \Lambda$ . These elements generate  $EU_{2n}$ . A list of identities satisfied by these elements is in Klein-Mikhalev [1971].

We embed  $U_{2n}$  into  $U_{2(n+1)}$  through the map

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \alpha \perp 1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Through the same map we can embed  $EU_{2n}$  into  $EU_{2(n+1)}$ .

For further computations it is useful to introduce special elements of  $EU_{2n}$ . We first need a definition: we say that a row  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{2n}) \in M_{1,2n}(A)$  satisfies *condition*  $R(i)$  if

$$R(i) \quad r_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{r}_{n+i} + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n r_{n+j} \bar{r}_j \in \Lambda$$

and that a column  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_{2n})^t$  satisfies *condition*  $C(i)$  if

$$C(i) \quad s_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s_{n+i} + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{s}_{n+j} s_j \in \Lambda$$

Observe that  $R(i)$  is a necessary condition for  $(r_1, \dots, 1, \dots, r_{2n})^t$ , 1 in  $i^{\text{th}}$ -position, to be a row of a unitary matrix. Similarly,  $C(i)$  is a necessary condition

for  $(s_1, \dots, 1, \dots, s_{2n})$  to be a column of a unitary matrix. For a row  $r$  satisfying  $R(i)$ , we put

$$x_i(r) = \prod_{j=1}^n h_{ij}(r_j) \prod_{j=1}^n y_{ij}^+(r_{n+j}) y_{ii}^+(r_{n+i} + \bar{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \bar{r}_{n+j})$$

and for a column  $s$  satisfying  $C(i)$ , we put

$$x^i(s) = y_{ii}^-(s_{n+i} + \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{s}_{n+j} s_j) \prod_{j=1}^n y_{ji}^-(s_{n+j}) \prod_{j=1}^n h_{ji}(s_j)$$

We have (only the non-zero entries are marked):

$$x_i(r) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\bar{\varepsilon} \bar{r}_{n+1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ r_1 & \dots & 1 & \dots & r_n & r_{n+1} & \dots & r_{n+i} & \dots & r_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & -\bar{\varepsilon} \bar{r}_{2n} & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & & 1 & \dots & -\bar{r}_1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 & \dots & -\bar{r}_n & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$x^i(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & s_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_n & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & s_{n+1} & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -\varepsilon \bar{s}_{n+1} & \dots & s_{n+i} & \dots & -\varepsilon \bar{s}_{2n} & -\bar{s}_1 & \dots & 1 & \dots & -\bar{s}_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & s_{2n} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

## 5. The Stable Range Condition

We say that a ring  $A$  satisfies the *linear stable range condition*  $SR_m$  if for any  $n \geq m$  and all unimodular columns  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)^t \in Um_{n,1}(A)$  there exist  $(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1})^t \in M_{n-1,1}(A)$  such that  $(a_1 + r_1 a_n, \dots, a_{n-1} + r_{n-1} a_n)^t$  is

unimodular. Obviously  $SR_m$  implies  $SR_n$  for every  $n \geq m$ . This definition coincides with that of Bass [1968]. By Bass [1968], a semilocal ring satisfies  $SR_2$  (Proposition (3.4), p. 238) and a finite algebra over a commutative ring  $R$  with  $\dim \text{Max}(R) = d$  satisfies  $SR_{d+2}$  (Theorem (3.5), p. 239).

*Remark.* If  $n \geq m + 1$ , we can choose  $(r_1, \dots, r_{n-1})$  with  $r_i = 0$  for  $i \geq m$ . We first take  $(r'_1, \dots, r'_{n-1})$  such that  $(a_1 + r'_1 a_n, \dots, a_{n-1} + r'_{n-1} a_n)$  is unimodular and then  $(r''_1, \dots, r''_{n-2})$  such that  $(a_i + r'_i a_n + (a_{n-1} + r'_{n-1} a_n) r''_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ , is unimodular. Then  $(a_i + r_i a_n)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  with  $r_i = r'_i + r''_i r'_{n-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-2$  and  $r_{n-1} = 0$  is unimodular.

We say that a unitary ring  $A^\# = (A, \Lambda, \varepsilon)$  satisfies the *unitary stable range condition*  $USR_m$  if  $A$  satisfies  $SR_m$  and, for all  $n \geq m$  and all unimodular columns  $x = (p_1^t, p_0, q_1^t, q_0)^t$  in  $H^{2n}$  with  $p_0, q_0 \in A$  and  $p_1, q_1 \in M_{n-1,1}(A)$ , there exist  $u_1 \in M_{1,n-1}(A)$  and  $u_2 \in A$  such that

- i)  $r = (0, 0, u_1, u_2)$  satisfies  $R(n)$  and
- ii)  $x_n(r)x = (p_1^t, p'_0, q_1^t, q'_0)^t$  is such that  $(p_1^t, p'_0)^t$  is unimodular.

The following result is a consequence of a result of Bak (see Bass [1973], p. 241), more precisely of the proof of Theorem (3.3) in Bass [1973]:

**(5.1) Theorem.** *Let  $A^\# = (A, \Lambda, \varepsilon)$  be a unitary ring.*

- 1) *If  $A$  is semilocal,  $A^\#$  satisfies  $USR_2$ .*
- 2) *If  $A$  is a finite algebra over a commutative ring  $R$  with  $\dim \text{Max}(R) = d$ ,  $A^\#$  satisfies  $USR_{d+2}$ .*

## 6. The Stability Theorem

We now come to the main result of this note:

**(6.1) Theorem.** *Assume that  $A^\# = (A, \Lambda, \varepsilon)$  satisfies the unitary stable range condition  $USR_m$ . Then, for all  $n \geq m$ ,*

- 1)  *$EU_{2n}$  acts transitively on the set of unimodular elements of a given length and on the set of hyperbolic pairs of  $H^{2n}$ .*
- 2)  *$EU_{2n}$  is a normal subgroup of  $U_{2n}$ .*
- 3)  *$U_{2n} = U_{2(n-1)}EU_{2n} = U_{2(m-1)}EU_{2n}$ .*

*Further, if  $n \geq m + 1$ ,*

- 4)  *$EU_{2(n-1)} = EU_{2n} \cap U_{2(n-1)}$ .*

*Proof.* 1) Let  $x = (a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in H^{2n}$  be a unimodular element. Since  $n \geq m$  and  $USR_m$  holds, we can assume that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)^t$  is unimodular and, since  $SR_m$  holds, there exist  $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} \in A$  such that the column  $(a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1})^t$ ,  $a'_i = a_i + s_i a_n$ , is unimodular (see the remark in section 5). For  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}, 0, \dots, 0)$  we get

$$x^n(s) x = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b'_n)^t$$

with  $b'_n = b_n - \sum \bar{s}_i b_i$ . Thus we can assume that  $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})^t$  is unimodular. Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})^t$  and let  $c_1$  be such that  $c_1^t a = 1$ . Putting  $c = (1 - a_n)c_1$ , we have  $c^t a = 1 - a_n$  and

$$x_n(c^t, 0, 0, 0)(a^t, a_n, b^t, b_n)^t = (a'^t, 1, b'^t, b'_n)^t$$

Therefore we can now assume that  $a_n = 1$ . We reduce  $(a^t, 1, b^t, b_n)^t$  to the form  $(0, 1, b, b_n)^t$  by applying  $x^n(-a^t, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $(0, 1, b, b_n)$  to the form  $(0, 1, 0, b_n)$  by applying  $x^n(0, 0, -b, 0)$ . By construction we have  $b_n \equiv u^*v$  modulo  $\Lambda$ . Let now  $u^*v = b_n + \lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then  $x^n(0, 0, 0, 0, \lambda)(0, 1, 0, b_n) = (0, 1, 0, u^*v)$ . This gives the first claim of 1). For the last claim of 1), we show that any hyperbolic pair  $\{x, y\}$  can be mapped onto  $\{e_n^t, e_{2n}^t\}$ . By the first part of 1), we can assume that  $y = e_{2n}^t$ . Then  $x$  has the form  $(a^t, 1, b^t, b_n)$  and the elementary isometry  $x^n(-a, 0, -b, \bar{a}b - b_n)$ , which leaves  $y$  fixed, maps  $x$  to  $(0, 1, 0, 0)$ . The claim 2) is a consequence of 1) and Theorem 3.4, p. 234, in Bass [1973]. For 3) it suffices to prove the first equality. Let  $\alpha \in U_{2n}$ . By 1) there is  $\beta \in EU_{2n}$  such that  $\alpha\beta$  fixes the hyperbolic pair  $\{e_n^t, e_{2n}^t\}$ . Since  $U_{2(n-1)}$  is the subgroup of  $U_{2n}$  which fixes  $\{e_n^t, e_{2n}^t\}$ ,  $\alpha = \alpha\beta\beta^{-1}$  is the required factorization. For the proof of 4) we need more properties of  $EU_{2n}$ .

Let  $M_i$  be the subgroup of  $EU_{2n}$  generated by the elements  $h_{k\ell}(a)$ ,  $x_{k\ell}^-(b)$ ,  $x_{k\ell}^+(c)$ ,  $x_{kk}^-(r)$  and  $x_{kk}^+(s)$  for all  $k, \ell \neq i, k \neq \ell, a, b, c \in A$  and  $r, \bar{s} \in \Lambda$ . Let  $N_i$  (resp.  $N^i$ ) be the subgroup of  $EU_{2n}$  generated by all elements  $h_{m\ell}(a)$ ,  $x_{k\ell}^-(b)$ ,  $x_{mp}^+(c)$ ,  $x_{kk}^-(r)$ ,  $x_{mm}^+(s)$  for all  $k, \ell \neq i, k \neq \ell$ , all  $m, p, m \neq p$  and  $a, b, c \in A$ ,  $r, \bar{s} \in \Lambda$  (resp.  $h_{km}(a)$ ,  $x_{k\ell}^+(b)$ ,  $x_{mp}^-(c)$ ,  $x_{kk}^+(s)$ ,  $x_{mm}^-(r)$  for all  $k, \ell \neq i, k \neq \ell$ , all  $m, p, m \neq p$ ). An element of  $N_i$  has  $\begin{pmatrix} e_i^t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  as  $i^{\text{th}}$ -column and  $(0, e_i)$  as  $(n+i)^{\text{th}}$ -row. Accordingly, the  $i^{\text{th}}$ -row of an element of  $N^i$  is  $(e_i, 0)$  and the  $(n+i)^{\text{th}}$ -column is  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ e_i^t \end{pmatrix}$  (the shape of matrices in  $N_n$  and  $N^1$  is shown after Lemma (6.7)). For  $x \in M_{2n}(A)$ , let  $x(\hat{k}) \in M_{2(n-1)}(A)$  be the matrix obtained from  $x$  by cancelling the  $k^{\text{th}}$ - and  $(n+k)^{\text{th}}$ -rows and columns. In the next lemma we summarize some results needed for further computations.

### (6.2) Lemma.

- 1) For any  $x \in N_i$  (or  $x \in N^i$ ) and any  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $x(\hat{k})$  lies in  $EU_{2(n-1)}$ .
- 2)  $N_n$  and all  $h_{1n}(v)$ ,  $v \in A$ , generate  $EU_{2n}$ .
- 3) Let  $y \in N^i$  and  $z \in N_i$ . If  $r$  satisfies  $R(i)$ , then  $zx_i(r)z^{-1} = x_i(rz^{-1})$  and if  $s$  satisfies  $C(i)$ , then  $yx^i(s)y^{-1} = x^i(ys)$ .
- 4) If  $y \in N^i$ ,  $z \in N_i$ , then  $s = ye_i^t - e_i^t$  satisfies  $C(i)$ ,  $r = e_i z - e_i$  satisfies  $R(i)$  and  $y = x^i(s)y_1$ ,  $z = z_1 x_i(r)$  with  $y_1, z_1 \in M_i$ .

*Proof.* 1) The claim is obvious for the generators of  $N_i$  (resp.  $N^i$ ).

2) It suffices to check that for all  $i \neq n$  the elements  $h_{in}(a)$ ,  $x_{in}^-(b)$ ,  $x_{ni}^-(c)$  and  $x_{nn}(s)$ ,  $a, b, c \in A$ ,  $s \in \Lambda$  lie in the subgroup generated by  $N_n$  and the  $h_{1n}(v)$ . This follows from the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[h_{i1}(a), h_{1n}(a)] &= h_{in}(ab), i \neq 1 \\
[x_{i1}^-(a), h_{1n}(b)] &= x_{in}^-(ab), i \neq 1, i \neq n \\
[x_{12}^-(a), h_{2n}(b)] &= x_{1n}^-(ab) \\
[x_{1i}^-(a), h_{1n}(b)] &= x_{ni}^-(ba), i \neq 1, i \neq n \\
[x_{21}^-(a), h_{2n}(b)] &= x_{n1}^-(b\bar{a}) \\
[x_{11}^+(r), x_{n1}^-(b)] &= h_{1n}(\bar{a}\bar{b})x_{nn}^-(b\bar{r}\bar{b})
\end{aligned}$$

3) Let  $e_i z = (r_1, \dots, 1, \dots, r_{2n})$  (1 is in  $i^{\text{th}}$ - position), so that  $r = e_i z - e_i = (r_1, \dots, 0, \dots, r_{2n})$ . Since  $z \in N_n \subset U_{2n}$ , we have

$$r_i = 0 \text{ and } \bar{r}_{n+i} + \sum_j r_j \bar{r}_{n+j} \in \Lambda$$

or

$$\bar{r}_{n+i} + \varepsilon \sum_j r_{n+j} \bar{r}_j + \sum_j r_j \bar{r}_{n+j} - \varepsilon \sum_j r_{n+j} \bar{r}_j \in \Lambda$$

Thus  $r = e_i z - e_i$  satisfies  $R(i)$ . Putting  $z_1 = z x_i(r)^{-1}$ , we get a matrix  $z_1 \in N_i$  with  $(e_i, 0)$  as  $i^{\text{th}}$ - row. The fact that the  $(n+i)^{\text{th}}$ - column is  $(0, e_i^t)$  (i.e.  $z_1 \in M_i$ ) follows from the relations satisfied by the elements of  $U_{2n}$  (see section 3). A similar argument applies to  $s = y e_i^t - e_i^t$ .  $\square$

Let now  $V$  be the subset of  $EU_{2n}$  consisting of the elements  $x$  which can be expressed as  $x = y h_{1n}(\alpha) z$ ,  $y \in N^1$ ,  $z \in N_n$  and  $\alpha \in A$ . We shall show that  $EU_{2n} = V$ . Toward proving this, we first check that

**(6.3) Lemma.**  $V h_{1n}(\nu) \subset V$  for all  $\nu \in A$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to check that  $h_{1n}(\alpha) z h_{1n}(\nu) \in V$  for all  $\alpha, \nu \in A$  and  $z \in N_n$ . We first assume that  $z$  is such that  $e_n z e_1^t = 0$ .

**(6.4) Lemma.** Let  $z \in N_n$  be such that  $e_n z e_1^t = 0$ . Then  $h_{1n}(\alpha) z h_{1n}(\nu) \in V$  for all  $\alpha, \nu \in A$ .

*Proof.* By (6.2), 2), we can write  $z = z_1 x_n(u)$  with  $z_1 \in M_n$  and  $u = e_n z - e_n$ , so that  $u e_1^t = 0$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1n}(\alpha) z h_{1n}(\nu) &= h_{1n}(\alpha) z_1 x_n(u) h_{1n}(\nu) \\
&= h_{1n}(\alpha) z_1 h_{1n}(\nu) z_1^{-1} z_1 h_{1n}(-\nu) x_n(u) h_{1n}(\nu)
\end{aligned}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$h_{1n}(-\nu) x_n(u) h_{1n}(\nu) \in N_n$$

Further we have  $h_{1n}(\nu) = x^n(e_1^t \nu)$  and by (7.2), 3)

$$z_1 h_{1n}(\nu) z_1^{-1} = x^n(z_1 e_1^t \nu)$$

Thus we are reduced to show that

$$h_{1n}(\alpha)x^n(z_1 e_1^t \nu) \in V$$

Let  $z_1 e_1^t = \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mu \in A$  and  $v \in M_{2(n-1)}(A)$ . We have  $x^n(z_1 e_1^t \nu) = x^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} h_{1n}(\mu \nu)$ . Since  $x^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in N^1$  the claim follows from

$$h_{1n}(\alpha)x^n(z_1 e_1^t \nu) = x^n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} h_{1n}(\mu \nu + \alpha)$$

□

Assume now that  $e_n z e_1^t \neq 0$  and let

$$\zeta = (a_1, p_1^t, b_1, c_1, q_1^t, 0)^t$$

with  $a_1, b_1, c_1 \in A$  and  $p_1, q_1 \in M_{n-2,1}(A)$ , be the first column of  $z$ . It follows from  $z \in N_n$  that  $(a_1, p_1^t, 0, c_1, q_1^t)^t$  is unimodular. Since  $n > m + 1$  and  $A^\#$  satisfies  $USR_m$ , there is  $\tau_1 = x_n(0, 0, 0, u_1, u_2, 0)$  such that

$$\tau_1 \zeta = (a_2, p_2^t, b_1, c_2, q_2^t, 0)^t$$

with  $(a_2, p_2^t)^t$  unimodular. Let  $\tau_2 = x^1(0, u_4, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  be such that

$$\tau_2 \tau_1 \zeta = (a_2, p_3^t, b_1, c_3, q_2^t, 0)^t$$

and  $p_3$  is unimodular. Let  $c \in M_{1, n-1}(A)$  be such that  $cp_3 = 1 - b_1$ . Putting

$$\tau_3 = x_n(0, c, 0, 0, 0, 0) x_1(0, -\alpha c, 0, 0, 0)$$

we get

$$\tau_3 \tau_2 \tau_1 \zeta = (*, *, 0, *, *, 0)^t$$

so that  $e_n(\tau_3 \tau_2 \tau_1 \zeta) e_1^t = 0$ . We now write

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1n}(\alpha) z h_{1n}(\nu) \\ = h_{1n}(\alpha) \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2^{-1} h_{1n}(-\alpha) h_{1n}(\alpha) \tau_3^{-1} h_{1n}(-\alpha) h_{1n}(\alpha) \tau_3 \tau_2 \tau_1 z h_{1n}(\nu) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$h_{1n}(\alpha) \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2^{-1} h_{1n}(-\alpha) = x^n(0, -u_4 \alpha, 0, 0, 0, 0) \tau_1^{-1} \tau_2^{-1}$$

and  $x^n(0, -u_4 \alpha, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in N^1$ , it suffices to check that

u<sub>4</sub>

$$\tau_1^{-1} \tau_2^{-1} h_{1n}(\alpha) \tau_3^{-1} h_{1n}(-\alpha) h_{1n}(\alpha) \tau_3 \tau_2 \tau_1 z h_{1n}(\nu) \in V$$

Let  $\tilde{z} = \tau_3 \tau_2 \tau_1 z$ . Since  $e_n \tilde{z} e_1^t = 0$ , the proof of (7.4) shows that we are reduced to check that

6

$$\tau_1^{-1} \tau_2^{-1} h_{1n}(\alpha) \tau_3^{-1} h_{1n}(-\alpha) h_{1n}(\alpha) x^n(w) \in V$$

where  $w = \tilde{z}_1 e_1^t \nu$  and  $\tilde{z}_1$  is obtained from the decomposition  $\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}_1 x_n(\tilde{u})$  (see (6.2), 4)). We have



$$h_{1n}(\alpha)\tau_3^{-1}h_{1n}(-\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{n-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n-2} & c^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and  $\tau_2\tau_1$  is of the form

$$\tau_2\tau_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\bar{\varepsilon}v_2^* & 0 \\ v_1 & 1_{n-2} & 0 & v_2 & v_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -v_1^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that

$$\tau_1^{-1}\tau_2^{-1}h_{1n}(\alpha)\tau_3^{-1}h_{1n}(-\alpha)\tau_2\tau_1x_1(0,0,0,0,0,-\bar{\varepsilon}v_2^*c^*) \in N^1$$

We have  $x_1(0,0,0,0,0,-\bar{\varepsilon}v_2^*c^*) = x_{n1}^+(cv_2)$ . Therefore we have to check that

$$x_{n1}^+(-cv_2)\tau_1^{-1}\tau_2^{-1}h_{1n}(\alpha)x^n(w) \in V$$

Let  $w = (a_1, p_1^t, 0, b_1, q_1^t, 0)^t$  and  $w_1 = (0, p_1^t, 0, b_1, q_1^t, 0)^t$ . We have

$$h_{1n}(\alpha)x^n(w) = x^n(w_1)h_{1n}(\alpha + a_1)$$

and

$$\tau_1^{-1}\tau_2^{-1}x^n(w_1)\tau_2\tau_1h_{1n}(-\bar{\varepsilon}v_2^*q_1^t) = x^n(w_2)$$

with  $w_2 = (0, p_2^t, 0, b_2, q_2^t, 0)^t$  of the same form as  $w_1$ . Thus (6.3) is a consequence of the following lemma:

**(6.5) Lemma.** *For all  $u \in M_{1,n-2}(A)$ ,  $\alpha, a \in A$  and  $w = (0, p^t, 0, b, q^t, 0)^t$  in  $M_{n,1}(A)$  satisfying  $C(n)$ , we have  $x_{n1}^+(a)x^n(w)h_{1n}(\alpha) \in V$ .*

*Proof.* We write  $x^n(w) = x^n(w_3)x_{n1}^-(-\varepsilon\bar{b})$  with  $w_3 = (0, p^t, 0, 0, q^t, 0)^t$ . We have

$$x_{n1}^+(a)x^n(w_3)x_1(0, -\bar{a}q^*, 0, 0, \bar{\varepsilon}\bar{a}p^*, \bar{\varepsilon}\bar{a}) \in N^1$$

Thus putting

$$x_1(0, -\bar{a}q^*, 0, 0, \bar{\varepsilon}\bar{a}p^*, \bar{\varepsilon}\bar{a}) = \tau x_{n1}^+(-a), \quad \tau = x_1(0, -\bar{a}q^*, 0, 0, \bar{\varepsilon}\bar{a}p^*, 0)$$

we are reduced to check that

$$x_{n1}^+(a)\tau^{-1}x_{n1}^-(-\varepsilon\bar{b})h_{1n}(\alpha) \in V$$

Since  $\tau^{-1}$  commutes with  $x_{n1}^-(-\varepsilon\bar{b})$  and  $h_{1n}(\alpha)$  and  $\tau \in N_n$ , the claim follows from

(6.6) Lemma.  $x_{n1}^+(a)x_{n1}^-(b)h_{1n}(\alpha) \in V$  for all  $a, b, \alpha \in A$ .

*Proof.* The following relations will be used:

$$\begin{aligned} [x_{n1}^+(a), x_{n2}^-(b)] &= 1 \\ [x_{n1}^+(a), h_{21}(b)] &= x_{n2}^+(-ab) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x_{n2}^-(a), h_{21}(b) &= \\ x_{n1}^-(ab) & \end{aligned} \right\} \text{a kill}$$

We put  $x' \equiv x$  if  $x$  and  $x'$  are congruent modulo  $N^1$ , that is if  $x' = yx$  with  $y \in N^1$ . A brace under two factors means that the factors will be switched in the next step of the computation. Replacing  $x_{n1}^-(b)$  by  $[x_{n2}^-(b), h_{21}(1)]$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n1}^+(a)x_{n1}^-(b)h_{1n}(\alpha) &= \underbrace{x_{n1}^+(a)x_{n2}^-(b)} h_{21}(1)x_{n2}^+(-b)h_{21}(-1)h_{1n}(\alpha) \\ &= \underbrace{x_{n2}^-(b)x_{n1}^+(a)} h_{21}(1)x_{n2}^+(-b)h_{21}(-1)h_{1n}(\alpha) \\ &\equiv \underbrace{x_{n2}^+(-a)h_{21}(1)x_{n1}^+(a)} x_{n2}^+(-b)h_{21}(-1)h_{1n}(\alpha) \\ &\equiv x_{n2}^+(-b)x_{n1}^+(a)h_{21}(-1)h_{1n}(\alpha) \\ &\equiv x_{n1}^+(a)h_{21}(-1)h_{1n}(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $z = x_{n1}^+(a)h_{21}(-1) \in N_n$  is such that  $e_1 z e_n^t = 0$ , the claim now follows from (6.4).  $\square$

(6.7) Proposition. If  $n \geq m + 1$  and  $A$  satisfies  $USR_m$ , then every element  $x \in EU_{2n}$  can be written as a product  $x = y h_{1n}(\alpha) z$  with  $y \in N^1$ ,  $\alpha \in A$  and  $z \in N_n$ .

*Proof.* Obviously  $VN_n \subset V$  and by Lemma (6.3),  $Vh_{1n}(\nu) \subset V$ . Since  $N_n$  and  $h_{1n}(\nu)$ , for all  $\nu \in A$ , generate  $EU_{2n}$ , we have  $Vx \subset V$  for all  $x \in EU_{2n}$ . Thus we get  $x \in Vx \subset V$  and  $x \in V$  as claimed.  $\square$

We now prove the last claim of Theorem (6.1), i.e.

$$EU_{2n} \cap U_{2(n-1)} = EU_{2(n-1)} \text{ if } n \geq m + 1$$

Let  $\phi \in U_{2(n-1)}$  be such that  $\phi \perp 1 = y h_{1n}(\alpha) z$  for  $z \in N^1$ ,  $\alpha \in A$  and  $y \in N_n$ . We put

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} & \beta_{23} & 0 & \beta_{25} & \beta_{26} \\ \beta_{31} & \beta_{32} & \beta_{33} & 0 & \beta_{35} & \beta_{36} \\ \beta_{41} & \beta_{42} & \beta_{43} & 1 & \beta_{45} & \beta_{46} \\ \beta_{51} & \beta_{52} & \beta_{53} & 0 & \beta_{55} & \beta_{56} \\ \beta_{61} & \beta_{62} & \beta_{63} & 0 & \beta_{65} & \beta_{66} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} & 0 & \gamma_{14} & \gamma_{15} & \gamma_{16} \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & 0 & \gamma_{24} & \gamma_{25} & \gamma_{26} \\ \gamma_{31} & \gamma_{32} & 1 & \gamma_{34} & \gamma_{35} & \gamma_{36} \\ \gamma_{41} & \gamma_{42} & 0 & \gamma_{44} & \gamma_{45} & \gamma_{46} \\ \gamma_{51} & \gamma_{52} & 0 & \gamma_{54} & \gamma_{55} & \gamma_{56} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In the following computations we systematically use the matrix identities satisfied by the elements of  $U_{2n}$  (see section 3). Let

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{22} & \beta_{23} & \beta_{25} & \beta_{26} \\ \beta_{32} & \beta_{33} & \beta_{35} & \beta_{36} \\ \beta_{52} & \beta_{53} & \beta_{55} & \beta_{56} \\ \beta_{62} & \beta_{63} & \beta_{65} & \beta_{66} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} & \gamma_{14} & \gamma_{15} \\ \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & \gamma_{24} & \gamma_{25} \\ \gamma_{41} & \gamma_{42} & \gamma_{44} & \gamma_{45} \\ \gamma_{51} & \gamma_{52} & \gamma_{54} & \gamma_{55} \end{pmatrix}$$

We have obtained  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  from  $y \in N^1$ , resp.  $z \in N_n$  by cancelling certain rows and columns. By Lemma (6.2),  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  must lie in  $EU_{2(n-1)}$ . Multiplying the matrices  $y$ ,  $h_{1n}(\alpha)$  and  $z$  we get that  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\beta_{23} = \beta_{43} = \beta_{53} = \beta_{63} = 0$  and  $\beta_{33} = 1$ . Further the identities satisfied by  $\beta$  as an element of  $U_{2n}$  show that  $\beta_{62} = \beta_{65} = 0$  and  $\beta_{66} = 1$ , thus

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{22} & 0 & \beta_{25} & \beta_{26} \\ \beta_{32} & 1 & \beta_{35} & \beta_{36} \\ \beta_{52} & 0 & \beta_{55} & \beta_{65} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

On the other hand, we have  $\phi = \beta' \gamma$  with

$$\beta' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} & 0 & \beta_{25} \\ \beta_{41} & \beta_{42} & 1 & \beta_{45} \\ \beta_{51} & \beta_{52} & 0 & \beta_{55} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus we are reduced to show that  $\beta' \in EU_{2(n-1)}$ . We have (using the notations  $x_i(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)$  and  $x^i(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  also for blocs of matrices and noting that the corresponding matrices are elementary)

$$\begin{aligned} x^1(0, 0, 0, -\beta_{51}) x^1(0, 0, -\beta_{41} - \beta_{21}^* \beta_{51}, 0) x^1(0, -\beta_{21}, 0, 0) \beta' \\ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{22} & 0 & \beta_{25} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_{52} & 0 & \beta_{55} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta x^2(-\beta_{32}, 0, 0, 0) x^2(0, 0, 0, -\beta_{36} - \beta_{35} \beta_{32}^*) x^2(0, 0, -\beta_{35}, 0) \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{22} & 0 & \beta_{25} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_{52} & 0 & \beta_{55} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and the claim is a consequence of the following

**(6.8) Lemma.** *For any  $\alpha \in U_{2(n-1)}$ ,  $1 \perp \alpha \equiv \alpha \perp 1$  modulo  $EU_{2n}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in GL_{n-1}(A)$  and let

$$h^-(x) = H\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \in U_{2n} \text{ and } h^+(x) = H\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \in U_{2n}$$

Since  $h^-(x)h^-(y) = h^-(x+y)$  and  $h^+(x)h^+(y) = h^+(x+y)$ , we see that all  $h^-(x), h^+(y)$  lie in  $EU_{2n}$ . The claim follows by operating on the left (row operations) and on the right (column operations) with elements  $h^-(x)$  and  $h^+(y)$ .  $\square$

## References

- Bak, A.: On modules with quadratic forms. In: Algebraic K-theory and its geometric applications. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 108. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1969, pp. 55-66.
- Bak, A.: K-theory of forms. Ann. Math. Studies 98. Princeton: Princeton University Press 1981.
- Bass, H.: Algebraic K-Theory. New York: Benjamin 1968.
- Bass, H.: Unitary algebraic K-theory. In: Algebraic K-theory III. Hermitian K-theory and geometric applications. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 343. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1973, pp. 57-265.
- Klein, I.S.; Mikhalev, A.V.: The orthogonal Steinberg group over a ring with involution. Algebra i Logika 9, 145-166 (1970). [English translation: Algebra and Logic 9, 88-103 (1970)].
- Kolster, M.: Stabile K-Theorie und Witt-Gruppen von Ordnungen. 92 p. Saarbrücken 1975.
- Kolster, M.: Surjective stability for unitary  $K_2$ -groups. Preprint, 23 p. 1975.
- Mustafa-Zade, N. M.: On epimorphic stability of a unitary  $K_2$ -functor. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 35, 165-166 (1980) [English translation: Russian Math. Surveys 35, 99-100 (1980)].
- Ojanguren, M.: Hermitian spaces over polynomial rings. In: Riehm, C.; Hambleton, I. (Editors): Quadratic and hermitian forms, Hamilton 1983. Canadian Mathematical Society. Conference Proceedings Vol.4. Providence 1984, pp. 107-120.
- Suslin, A.A.; Tutenbaev, M.S.: A stabilization theorem for Milnor's  $K_2$ -functor. Zap. Nauchn. Semin. Lening. Otd. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI) 64, 131-152 (1976). [English translation: J. Soviet Math. 17, 1804-1818 (1981)].
- Vaserstein, L.N.: Stabilization for the classical groups over rings. Mat. Sbornik. N. Ser. 93, 268-295 (1974). [English translation: Math. USSR Sb.22, 271-303 (1975)].

Maria Salianni  
 Mathematik, HG G 64.2  
 ETH-Zentrum  
 CH-8092-Zürich  
 Switzerland