

Flat Morphisms Revisited

Sebastian Schlegel Mejia

October 25, 2018

We mostly follow the treatment of flatness in the Stacks Project: [1, Tag 00HD] and [1, Tag 00MD]

Notation. For any local ring A we denote its maximal ideal by \mathfrak{m}_A .

Let A be a ring.

Definition 1. An A -module M is *flat (over A)* if the functor $M \otimes_A (\cdot): (\text{Mod}_A) \rightarrow (\text{Mod}_A)$ is exact. An A -module M is *faithfully flat (over A)* if every complex of A -modules $N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N''$ is exact if and only if $M \otimes_A N' \rightarrow M \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N''$ is exact

A ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is *flat* if it makes B into a flat A -module. Similarly, the ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is *faithfully flat* if it makes B into a faithfully flat A -module.

The following proposition was copied from Ole's handout for his talk on flatness in the seminar on moduli spaces.

Proposition 2. (i) *Every free A -module is flat.*

(ii) *The tensor product of flat A -modules is a flat A -module.*

(iii) *If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of A -modules with M'' flat, then the sequence stays exact after tensoring with any A -module.*

(iv) *Let $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ be short exact sequence of A -modules where M'' is flat. If one of the modules M' or M is flat, then all three are flat.*

(v) *For any ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$ and any flat A -module M the module $M \otimes_A B$ is flat over B .*

(vi) *Suppose $A \rightarrow B$ is a flat ring morphism. Then every flat B -module is a flat A -module.*

Proposition 3. *Suppose M is a flat A -module. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *M is a faithfully flat A -module,*

(ii) *for all A -modules N if $M \otimes_A N = 0$, then $N = 0$,*

(iii) *for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$ the module $M \otimes_B \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$ is nonzero,*

(iv) *for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m} \subset B$ the module $M \otimes_B \kappa(\mathfrak{m}) = M/\mathfrak{m}M$ is nonzero.*

Proof. The implications (i) \implies (ii) \implies (iii) \implies (iv) are immediate.

To see the implication (iv) \implies (i) consider a complex $N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N''$. Denote by H the homology of this complex. Since M is assumed to be flat, the homology \tilde{H} of the tensored complex $M \otimes N' \rightarrow M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N''$ is equal to $H \otimes M$. Assume the tensored complex is exact, i.e., $H \otimes M = 0$. Suppose by contradiction that $x \in H \setminus 0$. Consider the annihilator $\text{Ann}(x) \subset A$. The inclusion $A/\text{Ann}(x) \subset H$ yields the inclusion $M/\text{Ann}(x)M \subset H \otimes M$ because M is flat. However, the ideal $\text{Ann}(x)$ is contained in some maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ and $0 = M/\text{Ann}(x)M$ surjects onto $M/\mathfrak{m}M \neq 0$. Contradiction. \square

Corollary 4. (i) *A flat ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is faithfully flat if and only if the associated morphism $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ is surjective.*

(ii) *A flat ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is faithfully flat if and only if every closed point of $\text{Spec}(A)$ is in the image of $\text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$.*

(iii) *Every flat morphism of local rings is faithfully flat.*

Proof. The fiber over $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ is nonempty precisely when $B \otimes_A \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. \square

Definition 5. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. A quasicoherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is *flat at the point $x \in X$ over Y* or *f -flat at the point $x \in X$* if \mathcal{F}_x is flat as an $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}$ -module. We say that \mathcal{F} is *f -flat* if it is f -flat at x for every $x \in X$. The morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is *flat (at x)* if \mathcal{O}_X is f -flat (at x) (i.e., the local ring morphism $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is flat).

A morphism of schemes is *faithfully flat* if it is flat and surjective.

The following proposition was also copied from Ole's handout and is a translation of Proposition 2 into the setting of schemes.

Proposition 6. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes.*

(i) *Every locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module is flat over X .*

(ii) *The tensor product of f -flat \mathcal{O}_X -modules is f -flat.*

(iii) *If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'' \rightarrow 0$ is a short exact sequence of quasicoherent \mathcal{O}_Y -modules and \mathcal{G}'' is flat over Y , then the sequence stays exact after pulling back along f .*

(iv) *Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of quasicoherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules where \mathcal{F}'' is f -flat. If one of \mathcal{F} or \mathcal{F}' is f -flat, then all three \mathcal{F}' , \mathcal{F} , and \mathcal{F}'' are f -flat.*

(v) *For every cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{g'} & X \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ Y' & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

and f -flat quasicoherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X the sheaf $(g')^\mathcal{F}$ is f' -flat.*

(vi) *Suppose $Y \rightarrow Z$ is a flat morphism. Then every quasicoherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X which is flat over Y is also flat over Z .*

Theorem 7. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism locally of finite type and Y a locally noetherian scheme. If f is flat, then it is an open map.*

Lemma 8 (Going down for flat morphisms). *Suppose $A \rightarrow B$ is a flat ring morphism. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ be a prime ideal and $\mathfrak{q} \subset B$ a prime ideal lying over \mathfrak{p} . Then for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathfrak{p}$ of A there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}' \subset \mathfrak{q}$ of B that lies over \mathfrak{p}' .*

Proof of Theorem 7. Since openness is a local property, we reduce the affine case; we show that for a noetherian ring A and a finite type ring morphism $A \rightarrow B$, the map $f: \text{Spec}(B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ is open.

Recall Chevalley's theorem: for every finite type morphism of noetherian schemes the image of any constructible set is constructible. In particular the image of f is constructible. Recall also that a constructible set in a noetherian topological space is open if and only if it is stable under generization. Lemma 8 translates to: the image of f is stable under generization. \square

Flatness Criteria

Let A be a ring and M an A -module. In general the functor $M \otimes_A (\cdot)$ is right exact. We want to measure its failure to be exact, that is, we want to define a derived functor. Since every A -module admits a free resolution, the category (Mod_A) has enough projectives.

Definition 9. Define $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A: (\text{Mod}_A) \rightarrow (\text{Mod}_A)$ to be the left derived δ -functor of $M \otimes_A (\cdot)$.

Proposition 10 (Flatness through Tor). *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) M is a flat A -module,
- (ii) $\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N) = 0$ for all A -modules N and $i > 0$,
- (iii) $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = 0$ for all A -modules N .

Theorem 11 (Ideal-theoretic criterion). *An A -module M is flat if and only if $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ for all ideals $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$.*

Sketch of Proof. Suppose that $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ for all ideals $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$. Let N be a finitely generated A -module. We will show that $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = 0$. Assume first that N is a finitely generated, say by the elements x_1, \dots, x_n , over A . We induct on the number of generators n . The key idea is to consider the annihilator $\text{Ann}(x_n) \subset A$. Next we look at the long exact sequence in $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A(M, \cdot)$ evaluated at the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A/\text{Ann}(x_n) \rightarrow N \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. The module Q is generated by the elements x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} , so by induction hypothesis $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, Q) = 0$. By assumption $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\text{Ann}(x_n)) = 0$. We conclude that $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = 0$, because it is stuck between two zeros in an exact sequence. For general N : write N as the colimit of finitely generated A -submodules and use that homology commutes with colimits. \square

The long exact sequence in $\text{Tor}_\bullet^A(M, \cdot)$ associated to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0$ starts off as

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{a}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus we can restate the ideal-theoretic flatness criterion as: M is a flat A -module if and only if the map $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$ is injective for all ideals $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$.

Corollary 12 (Equational criterion). *An A module M is flat if and only if every relation in M is trivial, i.e., for every relation $\sum_i f_i x_i = 0$ in M there are elements $y_j \in M$ and elements $a_{ij} \in A$ such that $x_i = \sum_j a_{ij} y_j$ for all i and $\sum_i f_i a_{ij} = 0$ for all j .*

Intuitively, a relation in M is trivial if it is secretly a relation in A .

Proof. First assume that every relation in M is trivial. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be an ideal. Let $x = \sum_i f_i \otimes x_i$ be an element in $\ker(\mathfrak{a} \otimes M \rightarrow M)$, that is, $\sum_i f_i x_i = 0$ is a relation in M and so must be trivial. We compute

$$x = \sum_i f_i \otimes x_i = \sum_i f_i \otimes \left(\sum_j a_{ij} y_j \right) = \sum_j \left(\sum_i f_i a_{ij} \right) \otimes y_j = \sum_j 0 \otimes y_j = 0.$$

Hence $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{a}) = \ker(\mathfrak{a} \otimes M \rightarrow M) = 0$. We conclude by Theorem 11 that M is flat.

Now assume that M is flat. Let $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i x_i = 0$ be a relation in M . Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ generated by the f_i . We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow A^{\oplus n} \xrightarrow{e_i \mapsto f_i} \mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow 0.$$

We also have the inclusion $\mathfrak{a} \hookrightarrow A$. Tensoring these two diagrams with M and splicing them together we get the following diagram with exact column(s) and row(s).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K \otimes M & \longrightarrow & (A \otimes M)^{\oplus n} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a} \otimes M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & M & & \end{array}$$

The element $\sum_i f_i x_i = 0 \in M$ is the image of $\sum_i f_i \otimes x_i \in \mathfrak{a} \otimes M$. By injectivity we have $\sum_i f_i \otimes x_i = 0$. Therefore $\sum_i e_i \otimes x_i$ maps to 0, so we can write it as an element $\sum_j k_j \otimes y_j \in K \otimes M$. Since the e_i form a basis of $A^{\oplus n}$ we can write $k_j = \sum_i a_{ij} e_i$ for some $a_{ij} \in A$. We conclude that $\sum_i f_i x_i = 0$ is a trivial relation. \square

For finitely generated modules over a local ring we only need to check Tor_\bullet -acyclicity for the residue field.

Theorem 13 (Local criterion). *Suppose $A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of noetherian local rings and M is a finitely generated B -module. Then M is A -flat if and only if $\text{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{m}_A) = 0$.*

Sketch of Proof. Set $\mathfrak{m} := \mathfrak{m}_A$. Suppose $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$.

Lemma 14. For all A -modules N of finite length we have $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = 0$.

Consider the inclusion of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{m}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}^n \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A \oplus A & \longrightarrow & A \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Tensor the M to obtain the commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, A/(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{m}^n)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{a}) \oplus 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ (\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{m}^n) \otimes_A M & \xrightarrow{\phi_n} & \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \oplus \mathfrak{m}^n \otimes_A M & \longrightarrow & (\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}^n) \otimes_A M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ M & \longrightarrow & M \oplus M & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The zeros in the first row come from the finite colength of the ideals \mathfrak{m}^n and $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}^n$ ($0 = \mathfrak{m}^n/\mathfrak{m}^n \subset \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}/\mathfrak{m}^n \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^n \subset A/\mathfrak{m}^n$ is a composition series for A/\mathfrak{m}^n).

Set $K := \mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{a})$. The diagram shows that K is contained in the image of ϕ_n .

By Artin-Rees, we have the inclusion $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{m}^n \subset \mathfrak{m}^r \mathfrak{a}$ for all $r > 0$ and for all $n \gg 0$. The submodule $\mathfrak{m}^r(\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M) \subset \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M$ is the image of $\mathfrak{m}^r \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M$. In particular, the image $\mathrm{im}(\phi_n)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m}^r(\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M)$ for all $n \gg 0$. Altogether, we obtain the inclusion

$$K \subset \bigcap_{r>0} \mathfrak{m}^r(\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M) = 0;$$

the intersection is zero by Krull's Intersection Theorem.

Therefore $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ and M is flat by Theorem 11. \square

Corollary 15 (Variant of the local criterion). *Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a local ring morphism of noetherian local rings. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be an ideal in A and let M be a finitely generated B -module. Suppose that $M/\mathfrak{a}M$ is flat over A/\mathfrak{a} . Then M is flat over A if and only if $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{a}) = 0$.*

Proof. By the local criterion, Theorem 13, it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$ is injective.

Let $\sum_i f_i \otimes x_i \in \ker(\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes M \rightarrow M)$. Applying the equational criterion (Corollary 12) to the relation $\sum_i f_i x_i = 0$ in the flat A/\mathfrak{a} -module $M/\mathfrak{a}M$, we find elements $a_{ij} \in A$ and $y_j \in M$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \sum_j a_{ij} y_j \pmod{\mathfrak{a}M}, \\ 0 &= \sum_i f_i a_{ij} \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}. \end{aligned}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i f_i \otimes x_i &= \sum_i f_i \otimes x_i + \sum_{i,j} f_i a_{ij} \otimes y_j - \sum_{i,j} f_i a_{ij} \otimes y_j \\ &= \sum_i f_i \otimes (x_i - \sum_j a_{ij} y_j) + \sum_j (\sum_i f_i a_{ij}) \otimes y_j \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_i - \sum_j a_{ij} y_j \in \mathfrak{a}M$ and $\sum_i f_i a_{ij} \in \mathfrak{a}$, it follows that $\sum_i f_i \otimes x_i$ is in the image of the map $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A M$.

In particular, all elements in $\ker(\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A M \rightarrow M)$ are images of elements in $\ker(\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \rightarrow M)$. Note that the map $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$ is injective, because we assume $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(M, A/\mathfrak{a}) = 0$. Hence $\ker(\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A M \rightarrow M) = 0$. \square

Theorem 16 (Fiberwise criterion, local ring version). *Let $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ be morphisms of noetherian local rings. Suppose M is a nonzero finitely generated C -module which is flat over A and such that $M/\mathfrak{m}_A M$ is a flat $B/\mathfrak{m}_A B$ -module. Then $A \rightarrow B$ is a flat ring morphism and M is flat over B .*

Sketch of Proof. *Step 1.* We show that M is faithfully flat. Let $\mathfrak{b} := \mathrm{im}(\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A B \rightarrow B) = \mathfrak{m}_A B$. The map $\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A M \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \otimes_B M$ is surjective and the composition $\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A M \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \otimes_B M \rightarrow M$ is injective. Hence $\mathfrak{b} \otimes_B M \rightarrow M$ is injective (i.e., $\mathrm{Tor}_1^B(M, B/\mathfrak{b}) = 0$), so M is flat by Corollary 15. Then it follows by Nakayama that M is faithfully flat.

Step 2. We tensor the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^A(B, \kappa(A)) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A B \longrightarrow \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow 0.$$

with M . Use the injectivity of $\mathfrak{m}_A \otimes_A M \rightarrow \mathfrak{b} \otimes_B M$ and the faithful flatness of M over B to conclude that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(B, \kappa(A)) = 0$. \square

Theorem 17 (Fiberwise criterion, scheme version). *Let S be a locally noetherian scheme. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of locally noetherian S -schemes and \mathcal{F} a nonzero coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module on X . Let $x \in X$. Let $y := f(x)$ and let $s \in S$ be the image of x in S . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{F} is flat over S at x and \mathcal{F}_s is flat over Y_s at x ,
- (ii) Y is flat over S at y and \mathcal{F} is flat over Y at x .

Remark 18. Most of the noetherian hypotheses can be replaced with locally of finite presentation hypotheses.

References

- [1] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.