

## Elementary Number Theory - Exercise 2b

ETH Zürich - Dr. Markus Schwagenscheidt - Spring Term 2023

**Problem 1.** Determine  $\pi(100)$ .

**Solution 1.** Writing out all primes  $\leq 100$  (e.g. using the Sieve of Eratosthenes), we find 25 primes, so  $\pi(100) = 25$ .

**Problem 2.** Show that  $(3, 5, 7)$  is the only triple of primes of the form  $(n, n + 2, n + 4)$ .

**Solution 2.** Let  $(n, n + 2, n + 4)$  be a sequence of three consecutive odd numbers, with  $n > 3$ . We show that one of them is divisible by 3, so they cannot all be prime. Note that  $n$  must be of the form  $3k, 3k + 1$ , or  $3k + 2$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $n = 3k$ , then  $n$  is divisible by 3, if  $n = 3k + 1$ , then  $n + 2 = 3k + 3$  is divisible by 3, and if  $n = 3k + 2$ , then  $n + 4 = 3k + 6$  is divisible by 3.

**Problem 3.** Show that, if  $p > 3$  and  $q = p + 2$  are twin primes, then  $p + q$  is divisible by 12.

**Solution 3.** We show that  $p + q$  is divisible by 4 and by 3. Let us write  $p = 2m + 1$  and  $q = 2m + 3$ . First, using  $q = p + 2$  we have

$$p + q = 2p + 2 = 2(2m + 1) + 2 = 4m + 4,$$

which is divisible by 4. We can also write this as

$$p + q = 3m + 3 + m + 1,$$

so in order to show that  $p + q$  is divisible by 3, we need to show that  $m + 1$  is divisible by 3. This is equivalent to saying that  $m$  leaves remainder 2 when divided by 3. Indeed, the only other possible remainders are 0 and 1, in which cases  $q = 2m + 3$  or  $p = 2m + 1$  would be divisible by 3, respectively. This shows that  $p + q$  is divisible by 3.

**Problem 4.** Show that there are infinitely many primes whose leading digit is 1.

*Hint:* How do intervals containing only numbers with leading digit 1 look like?

**Solution 4.** We are looking for primes in intervals of the form  $(10^\ell, 2 \cdot 10^\ell)$ . By Bertrand's Postulate, for any  $n$  there exists a prime with  $n < p < 2n$ . We apply this to  $n = 10^\ell$  for  $\ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , so for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  we obtain a prime  $p$  with

$$10^\ell < p < 2 \cdot 10^\ell.$$

Each such prime has leading digit 1.

**Problem 5.** Let  $\mathbb{P} = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$  be the set of primes. Show that every natural number  $n > 6$  can be written as a sum of distinct elements from  $\mathbb{P} \cup \{1\}$ .

*Hint:* Use Bertrand's Postulate.

**Solution 5.** Let  $m = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . By Bertrand's Postulate, there is a prime  $p$  between  $m$  and  $2m$ , and  $n - p$  is less or equal to  $m$ . If  $n - p = 1$  then we are done, otherwise we continue like this.

**Problem 6** (Homework).

1. Using the Prime Number Theorem, show the following strengthening of Bertrand's Postulate: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$  there exists a prime  $p$  with  $n < p \leq (1 + \varepsilon)n$ .
2. Use the above to show that, for any given number  $m$ , there exist infinitely many primes starting with the digits of  $m$ . For example, there exist infinitely many primes starting with 313, e.g. 313, 3137, 31357, 313471, ...

**Solution 6.** 1. Note that for  $x > 0$  the number of primes in the interval  $(x, (1 + \varepsilon)x]$  is given by

$$\pi((1 + \varepsilon)x) - \pi(x),$$

so we want to show that this difference eventually becomes bigger than 1 for large  $x$ . By the Prime Number Theorem we have

$$\pi((1 + \varepsilon)x) \sim \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)x}{\log((1 + \varepsilon)x)}$$

and

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log(x)}$$

for large  $x$ . Since

$$\log(x) \leq \log((1 + \varepsilon)x) = \log(1 + \varepsilon) + \log(x) \leq (1 + \varepsilon/2)\log(x)$$

for large enough  $x$ , we have

$$\pi((1 + \varepsilon)x) - \pi(x) \sim \left( \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon/2} - 1 \right) \frac{x}{\log(x)}.$$

Since the factor in front of  $x/\log(x)$  is bigger than 0, and  $x/\log(x)$  goes to  $\infty$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $\pi((1 + \varepsilon)x) - \pi(x) \geq 1$  for large enough  $x$ .

2. Let us treat the example  $m = 313$  to make the idea clearer. For general  $m$  it works in the same way. Since we are only interested in primes which start with 313, we should first look for a prime between 3130 and 3139, then for a prime between 31300 and 31399, then between 313000 and 313999, and so on. In general, we are looking for a prime between  $313 \cdot 10^\ell$  and  $313 \cdot 10^\ell + 10^\ell$ . In order to apply the last item, we would like to bring the upper bound into the form  $(1 + \varepsilon) \cdot 313 \cdot 10^\ell$ , so we should choose  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$  to obtain

$$(1 + \varepsilon) \cdot 313 \cdot 10^\ell = \left(1 + \frac{1}{1000}\right) \cdot 313 \cdot 10^\ell = 313 \cdot 10^\ell + \frac{313}{1000} \cdot 10^\ell < 313 \cdot 10^\ell + 10^\ell.$$

Hence, with  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1000}$ , all numbers between  $313 \cdot 10^\ell$  and  $(1 + \varepsilon) \cdot 313 \cdot 10^\ell$  will start with 313. Now the last item tells us that we can find a prime in such an interval for infinitely many large enough  $\ell$ . This gives infinitely many primes starting with 313.

For a general starting sequence  $m$  with  $d$  digits, we choose  $\varepsilon = 10^{-d}$  and see that all numbers between  $m \cdot 10^\ell$  and  $(1 + \varepsilon) \cdot m \cdot 10^\ell$  start with  $m$ , so we obtain infinitely many primes starting with  $m$ .

**Problem 7** (Homework). Let  $p_n$  be the  $n$ -th prime. The  $n$ -th *primorial*  $p_n\#$  is defined as the product of the primes up to  $p_n$ ,

$$p_1\# = 2, \quad p_2\# = 2 \cdot 3 = 6, \quad p_3\# = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = 30, \quad p_4\# = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 210, \quad \dots$$

The  $n$ -th *Fortunate number*<sup>1</sup>  $F_n$  is the gap between  $p_n\#$  and the next prime after  $p_n\# + 1$ . For example, the next prime after  $p_2\# + 1 = 7$  is 11, so  $F_2 = 11 - p_2\# = 11 - 6 = 5$ .

Compute the first 10 Fortunate numbers<sup>2</sup>. Do you notice something special about them<sup>3</sup>?

<sup>1</sup>Named after the social anthropologist Reo Fortune.

<sup>2</sup>You might want to use sageMath or Wolframalpha for this

<sup>3</sup>You may look up *Fortune's Conjecture* to validate your findings

**Solution 7.** The first ten Fortunate numbers are

$$3, 5, 7, 13, 23, 17, 19, 23, 37, 61$$

The primorials get quite big very fast. For example, for  $n = 10$  it is given by

$$p_{10}\# = 29\# = 6469693230.$$

It can be computed in Wolframalpha as `primorial(10)`. The next prime after  $p_{10}\# + 1$  is

$$6469693291$$

which can be computed in Wolframalpha as `next prime after 6469693231`. Hence, the 10-th Fortunate number is

$$6469693291 - 6469693230 = 61.$$

It appears that they are all prime. Fortunate's conjecture states that all Fortunate numbers should be prime, which is still open.

**Problem 8** (sage). 1. Implement the prime counting function  $\pi(x)$ .

2. Let  $\psi(x)$  be the number of primes of the form  $4k + 3$  that are  $\leq x$ . Implement  $\psi(x)$  and make a guess what  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x)/\pi(x)$  could be.
3. Write a program that prints a list of Fortunate numbers (bonus: get rid of duplicates and list the Fortunate numbers in ascending order), and check your conjecture from the last problem.