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## 1 Meromorphic Functions and Lattices

First of all, let's give a short review about the meromorphic functions, which we have learned in the complex analysis.
Definition 1.1. A function $f$ is called meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$, when there exists a closed and discrete subset $D_{f}$, such that
(i) $f: \mathbb{C} \backslash D_{f} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and
(ii) the points in $D_{f}$ are poles.

A closed subset $D$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is called discrete if for every $c \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $c$, such that $D \cap U$ is finite. In other words, it holds that the set $\{z \in D:|z| \leq \rho\}$ is finite for every $\rho>0$.

We denote the class of all the meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ by $\mathcal{M}$. It's easy to check that for any meromorphic functions $f, g$ and $h, f+g$ and $f \cdot g$ are also meromorphic. Clearly we have $(f(g+h)=f g+f h$ and $f+0=f, f \cdot 1=f$. Regarding the poles, it holds that

$$
D_{\alpha f}=D_{f}(\text { for } \alpha \neq 0), D_{f+g} \subset D_{f} \cup D_{g}, D_{f g} \subset D_{f} \cap D_{g}
$$

. With the identity theorem we know that the zeros of a function $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{M}$ is closed and discrete in $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, $1 / f$ is meromorphic as well. As a result, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. The class of meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ forms a field over $\mathbb{C}$.
Now let's turn our eyes to a special type of meromorphic function which is periodic. For $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ and $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ we write $D+\omega$ of $\mathbb{C}$ as

$$
D+\omega:=\{d+\omega: d \in D\}
$$

Definition 1.3. Let $f$ be a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C} . \omega \in \mathbb{C}$ is called period of $f$, when the following conditions are satisfied:
(P.1) $D_{f}+\omega=D_{f}$ and
(P.2) $f(z+\omega)=f(z)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash D_{f}$.

Obviously 0 is a period of any meromorphic function $f$. We denote the set of all the periods of $f$ by $\operatorname{Perf}$. In case that $f$ is a constant meromorphic function, we have $\operatorname{Per} f=\mathbb{C}$. The following lemma describes the structural property of Perf in the case otherwise:

Lemma 1.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$ be non-constant, then $\operatorname{Perf}$ is a closed, discrete subgroup of the additive group $(\mathbb{C},+)$.

Proof. Assuming that Perf is not discrete or abgeschlossen, then there exits a sequence of distinct $\omega_{n} \in \operatorname{Perf}$ such that $\omega:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{n}$ exists. Because of $D_{f}+\omega=D_{f}$, for any function $f$, which is holomorphic at $c$, we know that $f$ is also holomorphic at $c+\omega$. And it holds that $f(c)=f\left(c+\omega_{n}\right)$ for every $n$. With the identity theorem, we conclude that $f$ is constant which leads to a contradiction.

The following lemma describes what Perf looks like.
Lemma 1.5 (Fundamental-Lemma). Let $f \in \mathcal{M}$ be non-constant, then exactly one of the following cases holds:

1. $\operatorname{Perf}=0$.
2. There is a uniquely determined, up to sign, $\omega_{f} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ such that Perf $=$ $\mathbb{Z} \omega_{f}:=\left\{m \omega_{f}: m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.
3. There exist $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ with the following properties:
(i) $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Per} f=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}:=\left\{m_{1} \omega_{1}+m_{2} \omega_{2}: m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$.
(iii) $\tau:=\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ satisfies $\operatorname{Im} \tau>0,|\operatorname{Re} \tau| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $|\tau| \geq 1$.

Obviously, $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{1\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\omega_{1} / \omega_{2}$ is not real.

Proof. [1] pp. 13-14.
To further investigate the third case above, we introduce the concept of lattices.

Definition 1.6. Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space with $n \geq 1$. A lattice of in $V$ is a subset of the form $\Omega=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}+\ldots+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{n}$ with linearly independent vectors $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}$ of $V$. The tupel $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right)$ is called a basis of $\Omega$.

When $\operatorname{Perf}$ satisfies the case 3. in the fundamental-lemma, then $\operatorname{Perf}$ is a lattice in the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 1.7. Any lattice $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is closed and discrete in $\mathbb{C}$.
Proof. Let $\rho$ be an arbitrary real number and $M:=\{\omega \in \Omega:|\omega| \leq \rho\}$. With a normalization, we assume that $\Omega=\mathbb{Z} \tau+\mathbb{Z}, \operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0$ without loss of generality([3],pp. 262 and [1], pp. 14). Write $\tau=x+i y$ and consider $\omega=m \tau+n \in M$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have

$$
\tau^{2} \geq|m \tau+n|^{2}=(m x+n)^{2}+m^{2} y^{2} \geq m^{2} y^{2}
$$

which leads to $|m| \leq \rho / y$. On the other side,

$$
\rho \geq|m x+n| \geq|n|-|m x|
$$

which leads to $|n| \leq \rho(1+|x| / y)$. Therefore $M$ is finite.

Now let's take a closer look at the basis of a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$.
Lemma 1.8. Let $\Omega$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ a basis of $\Omega$. For any $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}$ it holds that:

1. $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime} \in \Omega$ if and only if there exists $U \in \operatorname{Mat}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$
\binom{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}=U\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}}
$$

2. $\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a basis of $\Omega$, if and only if $U \in G L(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 1.9. Let $\Omega$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ and $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ a basis of $\Omega$. For $u \in \mathbb{C}$ the period parallelogram with respect to $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ with basis point $u$ is defined as

$$
\diamond\left(u ; \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right):=\left\{u+\alpha_{1} \omega_{1}+\alpha_{2} \omega_{2}: 0 \leq \alpha_{1}<1,0 \leq \alpha_{2}<1\right\} .
$$

In the case that $u=0$ we write

$$
\diamond\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right):=\diamond\left(u ; \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{1} \omega_{1}+\alpha_{2} \omega_{2}: 0 \leq \alpha_{1}<1,0 \leq \alpha_{2}<1\right\}
$$

and $\diamond\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ is called the fundamental mesh of the lattice.
There are many period parallelograms in every lattice, and every period parallelogram $P:=\diamond\left(u ; \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ is a fundamental region of $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to $\Omega$ in the sense of following proposition:

Proposition 1.10. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists exactly an $\omega \in \Omega$ with $z+\omega \in P$. If $z, z+\omega \in P$, then it holds that $\omega=0$.

Proof. Let $u$ be the basis point of the period parallelogram $P$. Then for some $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, it hold that $z=u+\xi_{1} \omega_{1}+\xi_{2} \omega_{2}$. We write $\xi_{1}=\left\lfloor\xi_{1}\right\rfloor+\alpha_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}=\left\lfloor\xi_{2}\right\rfloor+\alpha_{2}$ where $\left\lfloor\xi_{1}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\xi_{2}\right\rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}<1$.

## 2 Elliptic Functions

Let $\Omega=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$ be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$.
Definition 2.1. A meromorphic function $f$ on $\mathbb{C}$ is called elliptic or doubly periodic with respect to $\Omega$, if it holds that $\Omega \subset \operatorname{Perf}$. In other words, the following conditions hold:

1. $D_{f}+\omega=D_{f}$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$,
2. $f(z+\omega)=f(z)$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash D_{f}$.

We denote the set of all the elliptic functions with respect to the lattice $\Omega$ by $\mathcal{K}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 2.2. The elliptic functions $\mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ with respect to $\Omega$ forms a subfield of the field $\mathcal{M}$ of all the meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$, which includes the constant functions. Any $f \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ has only finitely many poles in every period parallelogram.

We ignore the proof of this proposition. Instead, we make two remarks regarding the properties of elliptic functions.

Remark 2.3. Let $\Omega$ be a given lattice. Any two complex numbers $z$ and $\omega$ are congruent modulo $\Omega$ if $z-w \in \Omega$. From Proposition 1.10 we conclude that every point in $\mathbb{C}$ is congruent to a unique point in a given period parallelogram. Hence, $f$ is uniquely determined by its behavior on any period parallelogram.
Remark 2.4. From complex analysis we know that, a non-zero function $f \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, a neighborhood $U$ of $c$ and $a$ holomorphic function $g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
f(z)=(z-c)^{n} \cdot g(z) \quad \text { for any } z \in U \backslash\{c\} \text { and } g(c) \neq 0
$$

We call $n$ the order of $f$ at $c$, denoted by ord ${ }_{c} f$. We write the Laurent series expansion at $c$ as

$$
f(z)=\sum_{i \geq n} a_{i}(z-c)^{i}, a^{n} \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Here $n$ is the order of $f$ at $c$ and the residue of $f$ at $c$ is defined as $r e s_{c} f:=a_{-1}$. Furthermore, for $f \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega), \omega$ in the lattice $\Omega$ and $z$ in a proper neighborhood of $c+\omega$ we have

$$
f(z)=f(z-\omega)=\sum_{i \geq n} a_{i}(z-\omega-c)^{i}=\sum_{i \geq n} a_{i}(z-(c+\omega))^{i}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\text { ord }_{c}+\omega f=\text { ord } d_{c} f \quad \text { and } \quad r e s_{c}+\omega f=r e s_{c} f
$$

In particular, for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and $c$ is a pole of $f$, then $c+\omega$ is also a pole of $f$.
In 1847, J. Liouville found several important results regarding the elliptic functions. Here we present 4 important theorems by Liouville.

Theorem 2.5. If $f \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ is holomorphic, then $f$ is constant.
Proof. Let $P$ be a periodic parallelogram, then the closure of $P$ is compact. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ be arbitrary and holomorphic. Since any holomorphic function on a compact domain is bounded, we have, for some positive real number $C$, $|f(z)| \leq C$ for any $z \in P$. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary. From Proposition 1.10 we know, that there exists $\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$ such that $\omega+\omega^{\prime} \in P$. Therefore we have

$$
|f(\omega)|=\left|f\left(\omega+\omega^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C
$$

which means that $f$ is bounded on $\mathbb{C}$. Liouville's theorem in complex analysis states that every bounded entire function is constant. Hence, $f$ is constant.

Theorem 2.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and $P$ be a periodic parallelogram, then it holds that

$$
\sum_{c \in P} r e s_{c} f=0 .
$$

Proof. Since the set of poles of $f D_{f}$ is discrete, there are only finitely many poles in the periodic parallelogram $P$. Assuming that $f$ has no poles on $\partial P$, with residue formula ([3], pp 77) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \pi i \sum_{c \in P} \operatorname{res}_{c} f & =\int_{u}^{u+\omega_{1}} f(z) d z+\int_{u+\omega_{1}}^{u+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}} f(z) d z \\
& +\int_{u+\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}}^{u+\omega_{2}} f(z) d z+\int_{u+\omega_{2}}^{u} f(z) d z \\
& =\int_{u}^{u+\omega_{1}}\left(f(z)-f\left(z+\omega_{2}\right)\right) d z+\int_{u+\omega_{2}}^{u}\left(f(z)-f\left(z+\omega_{1}\right)\right) d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the periodicity of $f$, the right side of the above equation is zero. Therefore $\sum_{c \in P}$ res $_{c} f=0$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ be non-constant and $P$ be a period parallelogram, then for any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$

$$
\sum_{c \in P} \operatorname{ord}_{c}(f-\omega)=0 .
$$

Proof. We first show that any non-constant elliptic functions have as many zeros as they have poles, counted with their multiplicities. For any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
f(z+\omega)=f(z) \Rightarrow f^{\prime}(z+\omega)=f^{\prime}(z)
$$

. Hence it holds that $f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{K}(\otimes)$. Thus also $\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$ an elliptic function w.r.t. $\Omega$. As argued before, without loss of generality, assume that $f$ has no zeros or poles on $\partial P$. The argument principle in complex analysis states that

$$
\int_{\partial P} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z=2 \pi i(Z-P)
$$

where $Z$ and $P$ denote the number of zeros and pole of $f$ in $P$, counted with their multiplicities. From Theorem 2.6 we know that $\int_{\partial P} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z=0$. Therefore $Z-P=0$.
For any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}, f-\omega$ is elliptic and has as many poles as $f$. Thus, the equation $f(z)=\omega$ has as many solutions as the poles of $f$.

Theorem 2.8. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ be non-zero and $P$ be a period parallelogram, then it holds that

$$
\sum_{c \in P}\left(\text { ord }_{c} f\right) \cdot c \in \Omega
$$

Proof. From complex analysis, we know that, for $f \in \mathcal{M}$, the function $f^{\prime} / f$ has simple poles at the zeros and poles of $f$, and the residue is the order of the zero of $f$ or the negative of the order of the pole of $f([3], \mathrm{pp} .90)$. Therefore we have

$$
\sum_{c \in P}\left(o r d_{c} f\right) \cdot c=\sum_{c \in P} r e s_{c} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \cdot c
$$

With residue formula([3], pp.77), it holds

$$
2 \pi i \sum_{c \in P} r e s_{c} \frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \cdot c=\int_{\partial P} z \cdot \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z
$$

We calculate the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial P} z \cdot \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z & =\int_{u}^{u+\omega_{1}} z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\left(z+\omega_{2}\right) \frac{f^{\prime}\left(z+\omega_{2}\right.}{f\left(z+\omega_{2}\right.} d z \\
& +\int_{u+\omega_{2}}^{u} z \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\left(z+\omega_{1}\right) \frac{f^{\prime}\left(z+\omega_{1}\right.}{f\left(z+\omega_{1}\right.} d z \\
& =\left(\omega_{1} \int_{u}^{u+\omega_{2}} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}-\omega_{2} \int_{u}^{u+\omega_{1}} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right) d z .
\end{aligned}
$$

The periodicity of $f$ with period $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ implies that

$$
\int_{u}^{u+\omega_{j}} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} d z \in 2 \pi i \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { for } \quad j=1,2 .
$$

Combining all the equations we get the result.

## 3 The Construction of the Weierstrass $\wp$-Function

From now on, we fix a lattice $\Omega=\mathbb{Z} \omega_{1}+\mathbb{Z} \omega_{2}$. Let $P=\diamond\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ its fundamental mesh. We now want to find a non-constant elliptic function with respect to $\Omega$. By Theorem 2.5, we must have at least one pole in $P$. On the other hand, there does not exist an elliptic function having a unique simple pole in $P .{ }^{1}$

For the sake of constructing the Weierstrass $\wp$ function, we want a function with exactly one double pole on each lattice point. How does a function like this look like? The first terms of the Laurent expansion at each lattice point $\omega \in \Omega$ are given by

$$
\frac{A}{(z-\omega)^{2}}+\frac{B}{(z-\omega)}
$$

To simplify, we assume $A=1, B=0$. To obtain such an expansion for all $\omega$, the idea is to take a function of the form

$$
\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}
$$

But we have a problem:
Lemma 3.1 (Apostol, Lemma 1.1). For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the sum

$$
\sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \Omega} \frac{1}{|\omega|^{\alpha}}
$$

converges if and only if $\alpha>2$.
Lemma 3.2 (Apostol, Lemma 1.2). If $R>0, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, then the series

$$
\sum_{|\omega|>R} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{\alpha}}
$$

converges absolutely and uniformly in the disk $|z| \leq R$ if and only if $\alpha>2$.

[^0]Therefore, we start with a degree 3 map, which turns out to be the derivative of the Weierstrass $\wp$-function up to a constant.

Theorem 3.3 (Apostol, Thm 1.9). Let $f: \mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$
f(z)=\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{3}}
$$

Then the sum converges absolutely and uniformly in each compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega$ and $f$ is an elliptic function with a pole of order 3 at each lattice point $\omega$.

Proof. Fix any $R>0$. Then the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{|\omega|>R} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{3}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges uniformly in the disk $|z| \leq R$ by Lemma 3.2 , therefore this part of $f$ is holomorphic on $|z|<R$ (as uniform limit of holomorphic functions). The remaining part is meromorphic only having poles of order 3 in the lattice points in the disk. Therefore, $f$ is meromorphic on the open disk $|z|<R$. Since R was arbitrary, this shows that $f$ is meromorphic with poles of order 3 at the lattice points.

The convergence on compact sets $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega$ follows similarly by taking R big enough such that K is contained in $|z| \leq R$ and using the uniform convergence for Eq. (3.1).

It remains to proof double periodicity: By absolute convergence, the order of summation does not matter. So since $\omega_{1}+\Omega=\Omega$, we obtain

$$
f\left(z+\omega_{1}\right)=\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\left(z+\omega_{1}-\omega\right)^{3}}=\sum_{\omega \in \omega_{1}+\Omega} \frac{1}{\left(z+\omega_{1}-\omega\right)^{3}}=f(z)
$$

and similarly for $\omega_{2}$.
To obtain an elliptic function with a pole of order 2 , we remove the term $z^{-3}$ corresponding to $\omega=0$ and integrate out from 0 to $z$, where we can exchange integral and sum by absolute convergence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{z} \sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \Omega} \frac{1}{(t-\omega)^{3}} d t=\sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \Omega}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now an easy consequence that Eq. (3.2) must again converge absolutely and uniformly on compact sets! ${ }^{2}$ To get back to periodicity, we simply add the term $\frac{1}{z^{2}}$ after removing the constant and we have found the Weierstrass $\wp$-function.

[^1]Theorem 3.4 (Construction Theorem for the $\wp$-function). The series defining the Weierstrass $\wp$-function

$$
\wp(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \Omega}\left(\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\right)
$$

converges in each compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega$ absolutely and uniformly. We have the following properties:
(i) $\wp$ is an elliptic function (with respect to $\Omega$ ), holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega$, with Laurent expansion

$$
\frac{1}{z^{2}}+a_{2} z^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{4}\right)
$$

where $a_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$.
(ii) $\wp$ is even, having a pole of order 2 at each lattice point
(iii) $\wp^{\prime}$ is odd, having a pole of order 3 at each lattice point and is given by

$$
\wp^{\prime}(z)=(-2) \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{3}}
$$

Proof. We already established convergence and the formula of $\wp^{\prime}$. Since $\Omega=$ $-\Omega$, it is immediate by reordering of the summands that $\wp$ is even and $\wp^{\prime}$ is odd. The Laurent expansion will be given in the next section.

To show that $\wp$ is elliptic, we still need to prove the double periodicity. Since $\wp^{\prime}$ is elliptic, for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega, \omega \in \Omega$ :

$$
\wp^{\prime}(z+\omega)=\wp^{\prime}(z) \text {. }
$$

Therefore, the function

$$
\wp(z+\omega)-\wp(z)
$$

is constant. Inserting $z=-\frac{\omega}{2}$ gives that the constant is

$$
\wp\left(\frac{\omega}{2}\right)-\wp\left(-\frac{\omega}{2}\right)=0
$$

since $\wp$ is even.

## 4 The Laurent Expansion

Definition 4.1 (Eisenstein series). For all $k \geq 3$, define the Eisenstein series of the lattice $\Omega$ to be

$$
G_{k}=\sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\omega^{k}}
$$

Remark 4.2. Since $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ is lattice point if and only if $-\omega$ is, we see immediately that $G_{k}=(-1)^{k} G_{k}$, meaning that $G_{k}=0$ for odd $k$.

Theorem 4.3. We have the Laurent expansion at 0 given by

$$
\wp(z)=z^{-2}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(2 n-1) G_{2 n} z^{2 n-2}
$$

Proof. The trick we use is to write, for $|t|<1$,

$$
\frac{1}{(1-t)^{2}}=\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{1}{1-t}\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m t^{m-1}
$$

Let $\gamma:=\min \{|\omega| \mid 0 \neq \omega \in \Omega\}$. Now we can write, if $\omega \neq 0$ and $|z|<\gamma$ :

$$
\frac{1}{(z-\omega)^{2}}-\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}=\frac{1}{\omega^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\left(1-\frac{z}{w}\right)^{2}}-1\right)=\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m \frac{z^{m-1}}{\omega^{m+1}} .
$$

Inserting this in the expression of the $\wp$ function gives for $|z|<\gamma$ :

$$
\wp(z)=z^{-2}+\sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \Omega}\left(\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} m \frac{z^{m-1}}{\omega^{m+1}}\right) .
$$

Since $\left|m \frac{z^{m-1}}{\omega^{m+1}}\right| \leq \gamma m\left(\frac{|z|}{\gamma}\right)^{m-1}|\omega|^{-3}$, we have absolute convergence when summing over $\omega$ and $m$, so we can exchange the summation signs and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\wp(z) & =z^{-2}+\sum_{m \geq 2} m z^{m-1} \sum_{0 \neq \omega \in \Omega} \frac{1}{\omega^{m+1}} \\
& =z^{-2}+\sum_{m \geq 2} m z^{m-1} G_{m+1} \\
& =z^{-2}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(2 n-1) G_{2 n} z^{2 n-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last equality we used that $G_{m}=0$ for odd $m$.

## 5 The Differential Equation

Theorem 5.1. The Weierstrass $\wp$-function satisfies the differential equation

$$
\wp^{\prime 2}=4 \wp^{3}-g_{2} \wp-g_{3},
$$

where $g_{2}=60 G_{4}$ and $g_{3}=140 G_{6}$ are constants only depending on $\Omega$, called the Weierstrass-invariants of the lattice.

Proof. Starting from the Laurent expansion at 0

$$
\wp(z)=z^{-2}+3 G_{4} z^{2}+5 G_{6} z^{4}+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{6}\right),
$$

we calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\wp^{2}(z) & =z^{-4}+6 G_{4}+10 G_{6} z^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{3}\right), \\
\wp^{3}(z) & =z^{-6}+9 G_{4} z^{-2}+15 G_{6}+\mathcal{O}(z), \\
\wp^{\prime}(z) & =(-2) z^{-3}+6 G_{4} z+20 G_{6} z^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(z^{5}\right), \\
\wp^{\prime 2}(z) & =4 z^{-6}-24 G_{4} z^{-2}-80 G_{6}+\mathcal{O}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wp^{\prime 2}(z)-4 \wp^{3}(z)+60 G_{4}+140 G_{6}=\mathcal{O}(z) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now Eq. (5.1) belongs to $\mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and only can have poles where $\wp$ and $\wp^{\prime}$ also have poles. But since we are $\mathcal{O}(z)$ at 0 , there is no pole at 0 , meaning that by [Theorem A] Eq. (5.1) is constant. But a constant which is $\mathcal{O}(z)$ must be 0 .

Corollary 5.2 (Uniqueness up to translation). Fix a domain $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Then every meromorphic, non-constant solution $f$ of the differential equation

$$
f^{\prime 2}=4 f^{3}-g_{2} f-g_{3}
$$

is given by $f(z)=\wp\left(z+z_{0}\right)$ for $z \in G$ and some $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, $f$ is an elliptic function with respect to $\Omega$.

Proof. Let f be a meromorphic, non-constant solution defined on G. First, note that first order polynomials do not solve the equation, so $f^{\prime}$ is not constant. Since the number of poles and zeros of $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are finite in each bounded subset, we can choose $u_{0} \in G$, an open disk $U \subseteq G$ around u , such that $f$ is holomorphic and $f^{\prime}$ never vanishes on $U$.

Further, we can assume that U is small enough such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}=\sqrt{4 f^{3}-g_{2} f-g_{3}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some branch of the square root.
Now by Liouville's third theorem there exists $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\wp\left(u_{0}+z_{0}\right)=f\left(u_{0}\right) .
$$

Since both $\wp$ and $f$ satisfy the differential equation, we know that $\wp^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+z_{0}\right)=$ $\pm f^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+z_{0}\right)$. But since $\wp$ is even and $\wp^{\prime}$ is odd we can assume (by otherwise replacing $z_{0}$ by $-z_{0}-2 u_{0}$ ) that

$$
\wp^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+z_{0}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(u_{0}+z_{0}\right) .
$$

Note that $f(z)$ and $g(z):=\wp\left(z+z_{0}\right)$ both satisfy the initial value problem Eq. (5.2). But since $h(z)=\sqrt{4 z^{3}-g_{2} z-g_{3}}$ is holomorphic (and thus locally Lipschitz continuous) on $f(U)$, by the Picard-Lindelöf theorem, we must have a unique solution in a neighbourhood of $u_{0}$ which means that $f \equiv g$ on G by the identity theorem.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the theory of Riemann surfaces, an elliptic function having a unique simple pole in $P$ induces a holomorphic map from the complex torus $C / \Omega$ to the Riemann sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \cong S^{2}$ of degree 1. But all maps of degree 1 between compact Riemann surfaces are biholomorphic, thus homeomorphisms. This is impossible.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Absolute convergence, since $\sum_{0 \neq \omega}\left|\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{(\omega-t)^{3}} d t\right| \leq|z| \sum_{0 \neq \omega} \frac{1}{\left(\omega-t^{*}\right)^{3}}$ for some $t^{*}$, and uniform convergence on $K \subseteq(\mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega) \cup 0$ compact (w.l.o.g. path-connected, $0 \in K$ ), since

    $$
    \sup _{z \in K}\left|\sum_{|\omega|>R} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{(\omega-t)^{3}} d t\right| \leq \text { const } \cdot \sup _{t \in K} \sum_{|\omega|>R} \frac{1}{(\omega-t)^{3}} \rightarrow 0
    $$

    as $R \rightarrow \infty$

