

# Exercises in Convex Optimization

## Lecture 11, supplementary exercise

Michel Baes, Patrick Cheridito

December 13, 2018

**Universal approximation in Hilbert spaces** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $G \subseteq H$  be a bounded set in  $H$ , say  $G \subseteq B[0, R]$ . Let  $x$  be a point in the closure of  $\text{conv}(G)$  and let  $\delta > R^2 - \|x\|^2$ . Prove that for every positive integer  $n$ , there exists  $n$  point  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in G$  and nonnegative numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  summing up to 1 for which:

$$\left\| x - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i \right\|^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{n}.$$

We suggest the following plan for your proof. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Take  $x_\epsilon \in \text{conv}(G)$  so that  $\|x - x_\epsilon\| < \epsilon$  and define  $x_1, \dots, x_M \in G$  so that  $x_\epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^M \gamma_i x_i$ , where the  $\gamma_i$ 's are positive and sum up to 1.

1. Suppose that we pick randomly a point from  $S_M := \{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$ , so that  $x_i$  is taken with probability  $\gamma_i$ . What is the expectation of this random variable?
2. Suppose that we pick randomly  $n$  points  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  from  $S_M$ , independently (we can therefore pick the same point more than once), with the same distribution as above. What is the expectation of their average  $\bar{p} := \frac{p_1 + \dots + p_n}{n}$ ?
3. Verify that for every  $i \neq j$  we have  $\mathbb{E}[\langle x_\epsilon - p_i, x_\epsilon - p_j \rangle] = 0$ .
4. Prove that  $\mathbb{E}[\|x_\epsilon - \bar{p}\|^2] \leq (R^2 - \|x_\epsilon\|^2)/n$ .
5. Since  $\delta > R^2 - \|x\|^2$ , there is some  $0 < \gamma < 1$  for which  $\gamma\delta \geq R^2 - \|x\|^2$ . Prove that if  $\epsilon \leq \min \left\{ \frac{2R}{n}, \frac{\delta(1-\gamma)}{4R} \right\}$ , we have  $\mathbb{E}[\|x - \bar{p}\|^2] \leq \frac{\delta}{n}$ .
6. Deduce that there exists  $y \in H$  that is a convex combination of at most  $n$  points of  $G$ , and for which  $\|x - y\|^2 \leq \frac{\delta}{n}$ .

Note: this result is used in a critical way to relate, in a shallow neural network with sigmoid activation functions, the number of neurons and the optimal approximation accuracy of this network.

The formal statement is as follows.

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function (the function to be approximated by a neural network) that has a Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that the functions  $\omega \mapsto \exp(i\omega^T x) \hat{f}(\omega)$  are integrable for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and that these integrals are uniformly bounded by a constant  $C$ . Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a measurable function for which  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = 1$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \phi(t) = 0$  (this  $\phi$  is the activation function of the neurons). Let  $f_n(x; A, b, c) := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \phi(\langle a_i, x \rangle + b_i) + c_0$  for a real matrix  $A = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n]$  and vectors  $b$ , and  $c$  of appropriate size (this  $f_n(\cdot; A, b, c)$  is the function implemented by a shallow neural network with  $n$  nodes and parameters  $A, b, c$ ). Finally, let  $\mu_r$  be an arbitrary probability measure on the ball  $B(0, r)$  for some fixed radius  $r > 0$ .

Then for every  $n \geq 1$  there exist  $A, b, c$  such that

$$\int_{B(0,r)} \|f_n(x; A, b, c) - f(x)\|^2 d\mu_r(x) \leq \frac{4r^2 C^2}{n}.$$

Here is quite a rough proof sketch.

We can assume that  $f(0) = 0$  as it suffices to adjust  $c_0$  appropriately.

Let  $G_F$  be the set of functions of the form  $cF(\langle a, x \rangle + b)$  for  $|c| \leq 2C$ . By the result proved in the above exercise, it suffices to verify that  $f$  is in the closure of  $\text{conv}(G_\phi)$  (and to prove that we can take  $\delta$  as small as  $4r^2 C^2$ , but this is actually pretty elementary).

That statement is first proved for  $G_{\cos}$  by using the inverse Fourier transform of  $\hat{f}$ . Denoting by “step” the function  $\mathbf{1}_{\{t \geq 0\}}$ , we can show that  $G_{\cos}$  is in the closure of  $\text{conv}(G_{\text{step}})$  by representing the function “cos” as a limit (in the  $L^2(\mu_r)$  sense) of functions in  $\text{conv}(G_{\text{step}})$ . Finally, we can show that the function “step” can be written as the limit (also in the  $L^2(\mu_r)$  sense) of a sequence of functions in  $\text{conv}(G_\phi)$ .

Lecture\_12/HilbertConvexApproximation