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## Summary

In this thesis we consider the analogue of the André-Oort conjecture for Drinfeld modular varieties. This analogue was formulated by Breuer and says that every irreducible component of the Zariski closure of a set of special points in a Drinfeld modular variety is a special subvariety. Breuer proved it in the case where the given special points all lie in a curve and in the case where all special points have a certain behaviour at a fixed set of primes.

We extend the results of Breuer by proving the analogue for arbitrary sets of special points with separable reflex field over the base field. In particular, our result shows the correctness of the full analogue for Drinfeld modular varieties of rank coprime to the characteristic of the base field.

The proof of our result is an adaptation of the methods of Klingler and Yafaev in the classical case and consists of several steps of arithmetic and geometric nature:

- We show that, in any infinite family of Drinfeld modular subvarieties $X$ of a Drinfeld modular variety, the degree of $X$ is unbounded, where the degree of subvarieties is defined via the Satake compactification of a Drinfeld modular variety. We prove this using an explicit classification of Drinfeld modular subvarieties.
- We prove a geometric criterion for a Hodge-generic subvariety $Z$ of a Drinfeld modular variety $S$ to be equal to $S$. It says that $Z$ is equal to $S$ if $Z$ is contained in a suitable Hecke translate of itself.
- We show the existence of primes satisfying certain technical conditions which are needed to construct a Hecke correspondence satisfying the assumptions in the above geometric criterion. This step uses an effective version of Čebotarev's theorem over function fields which relies on the correctness of the generalized Riemann conjecture over function fields.
- We finish the proof by induction using the above results.


## Zusammenfassung

In der vorliegenden Arbeit betrachten wir das Analogon der André-Oort Vermutung für Drinfeld-Modulvarietäten. Dieses Analogon wurde von Breuer formuliert und besagt, dass jede irreduzible Komponente des Zariski-Abschlusses einer Menge von speziellen Punkten in einer Drinfeld-Modulvarietät eine spezielle Untervarietät ist. Breuer bewies es, falls die gegebenen speziellen Punkte alle in einer Kurve liegen, und im Fall, dass alle speziellen Punkte ein bestimmtes Verhalten an einer festen Menge von Stellen haben.

Wir erweitern die Resultate von Breuer, indem wir das Analogon für beliebige Mengen von speziellen Punkten mit separablem Reflexkörper über dem Grundkörper beweisen. Insbesondere zeigt unser Resultat die Richtigkeit des vollen Analogons für Drinfeld-Modulvarietäten, deren Rang teilerfremd zur Charakteristik des Grundkörpers ist.

Der Beweis unseres Resultats ist eine Anpassung der Methoden von Klingler und Yafaev im klassischen Fall und besteht aus mehreren Schritten von arithmetischer und geometrischer Natur:

- Wir zeigen, dass in jeder unendlichen Familie von Drinfeld-Moduluntervarietäten $X$ einer Drinfeld-Modulvarietät der Grad von $X$ unbeschränkt ist, wobei der Grad von Untervarietäten mit Hilfe der Satake-Kompaktifizierung einer Drinfeld-Modulvarietät definiert ist. Wir beweisen dies mit einer expiziten Klassifikation von Drinfeld-Untermodulvarietäten.
- Für eine Hodge-generische Untervarietät $Z$ einer Drinfeld-Modulvarietät $S$ beweisen wir ein geometrisches Kriterium, wann $Z$ gleich $S$ ist. Es besagt, dass $Z$ gleich $S$ ist, wenn $Z$ in einem geeigneten Hecke-Translat von sich selber enthalten ist.
- Wir zeigen die Existenz von Stellen, die gewisse technische Bedingungen erfüllen. Diese verwenden wir um Hecke-Korrespondenzen zu konstruieren, die die Voraussetzungen des obigen geometrischen Kriteriums erfüllen. Dieser Schritt benutzt eine effektive Version des Satzes von Čebotarev über Funktionenkörpern, die auf der Richtigkeit der verallgemeinerten Riemann-Vermutung über Funktionenkörpern beruht.
- Wir beenden den Beweis mit Induktion mit Hilfe obiger Resultate.


## Introduction

## The André-Oort conjecture

The André-Oort conjecture asserts that every irreducible component of the Zariski closure of a set of special points in a Shimura variety is a special subvariety. This remarkable statement arose from research of André and Oort about the distribution of CM points in moduli spaces of abelian varieties in the late 1980's and the 1990's.

In the late 1990's, Edixhoven proved the conjecture for Hilbert modular surfaces and products of modular curves assuming the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH) in [11] and [12]. Both proofs exploit the Galois action on special points and use geometric properties of Hecke correspondences. In the special case of a product of two modular curves, André [2] gave a proof without assuming GRH.

These methods were extended in [13] by Edixhoven and Yafaev to prove the conjecture for curves in general Shimura varieties containing infinitely many special points all lying in the same Hecke orbit. Subsequently, Yafaev [37] also proved the conjecture for general curves assuming GRH.

Recently, Klingler, Ullmo and Yafaev have announced a proof of the full André-Oort conjecture assuming GRH, see [24] and [36]. Their methods use a combination of the methods of Edixhoven and Yafaev and equidistribution results of Clozel and Ullmo [7] established by ergodic theoretic methods.

For a more detailed exposition of results concerning the André-Oort conjecture for Shimura varieties, we refer to the survey article of Noot [29].

## Drinfeld modular varieties

Drinfeld modular varieties are a natural analogue of Shimura varieties in the function field case. They are moduli spaces for Drinfeld $A$-modules over a global function field $F$ of a given rank $r$ with some level structure, where $A$ is the ring
of elements of $F$ that are regular outside of a fixed place $\infty$.
As for Shimura varieties, there is an analytic description of a Drinfeld modular variety $S$ as a double quotient. Let $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ be the completion of an algebraic closure of the completion $F_{\infty}$ of $F$ and let $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$ be the adeles of $F$ outside of $\infty$. There is a natural rigid-analytic isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right) \tag{0.0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ is an open compact subgroup, called level, and $\Omega_{F}^{r}$ denotes Drinfeld's upper half-space obtained by removing all $F_{\infty}$-rational hyperplanes from $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. In this situation, the Drinfeld modular variety $S$ is of dimension $r-1$ and $S$ is denoted by $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.

Also along the same lines as for Shimura varieties, one can define Hecke correspondences on Drinfeld modular varieties. These are finite algebraic correspondences defined over the base field $F$.

## Special subvarieties and Drinfeld modular subvarieties

One can define special subvarieties of a Drinfeld modular variety $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ parametrising Drinfeld $A$-modules of rank $r$ in analogy to the case of Shimura varieties. For each finite extension $F^{\prime}$ of $F$ of degree $r / r^{\prime}$ with only one place above $\infty$ and integral closure $A^{\prime}$ of $A$ in $F^{\prime}$, the restriction of Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-modules to $A$ gives a morphism from the moduli space of Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-modules of rank $r^{\prime}$ (with a certain level structure) to $S$ defined over $F^{\prime}$. These morphisms are analogues of morphisms induced by a Shimura subdatum. A special subvariety $V$ is defined to be a geometric irreducible component of a Hecke translate of the image of such a morphism. A special point is a special subvariety of dimension 0 .

In fact, we can interpret each special subvariety as a geometric irreducible component of a Drinfeld modular subvariety. A Drinfeld modular subvariety $X$ is the image of the composition of an above morphism defined by the restriction of Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-modules to $A$ with a morphism given by a Hecke correspondence. Such a composition, called inclusion morphism, is associated to an extension $F^{\prime} / F$ of the above type and an $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $b:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\cong}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ encoding the involved Hecke correspondence. We say that $F^{\prime}$ is the reflex field of $X$ and its geometric irreducible components.

A Drinfeld modular subvariety $X$ with reflex field $F^{\prime}$ is irreducible over $F^{\prime}$. So if a special subvariety $V$ is a geometric irreducible component of $X$, the union of the Galois conjugates of $V$ over $F^{\prime}$ is equal to $X$.

## André-Oort Conjecture for Drinfeld modular varieties

The following analogue of the André-Oort conjecture was formulated by Breuer in [5]:

Conjecture 1. Let $S=S_{F \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular variety and $\Sigma$ a set of special points in $S$. Then each irreducible component over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of the Zariski closure of $\Sigma$ is a special subvariety of $S$.

Breuer [5] proved this analogue in two cases. Firstly, when the Zariski closure of $\Sigma$ is a curve, and secondly when all special points in $\Sigma$ have a certain behaviour at a fixed set of primes. Before, he proved an analogue of the André-Oort conjecture for products of modular curves in odd characteristic in [4]. These proofs use an adaptation of the methods of Edixhoven and Yafaev in [13], [12] and [37]. The results are unconditional because GRH holds over function fields.

In this thesis, we extend the arguments of Breuer using an adaptation of the methods of Klingler and Yafaev in [24]. Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Conjecture 1 is true if the reflex fields of all special points in $\Sigma$ are separable over $F$.

Since the reflex field of a special point in a Drinfeld modular variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is of degree $r$ over $F$, special points with inseparable reflex field over $F$ can only occur if $r$ is divisible by $p=\operatorname{char}(F)$. So Theorem 2 implies

Theorem 3. Conjecture 1 is true if $r$ is not a multiple of $p=\operatorname{char}(F)$.

## Compactification of Drinfeld modular varieties and degree of subvarieties

In [30], Pink constructs the Satake compactification $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ of a Drinfeld modular variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. It is a normal projective variety over $F$ which contains $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ as an open subvariety.

If $\mathcal{K}$ is sufficiently small in a certain sense, there is a natural ample invertible sheaf on $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. We assume this condition on $\mathcal{K}$ in the following because the proof of Theorem 2 can be easily reduced to this case. So we can define the degree of a subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ as the degree of its Zariski closure in $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with respect to this ample invertible sheaf. The degree of a subvariety can be seen as a measure for the "complexity" of the subvariety.

The construction of the natural ample invertible sheaf on the compactification is compatible with inclusion morphisms and the morphisms appearing in Hecke correspondences. Therefore we can control the degree of Drinfeld modular subvarieties and Hecke translates of subvarieties.

## Reductions in the proof of Theorem 2

We need to show that a geometrically irreducible subvariety $Z$ of $S$ containing a Zariski dense subset of special points with separable reflex field over $F$ is a special subvariety. By the separability assumption, $Z$ is defined over a finite separable extension of $F$ and the union of its Galois conjugates over $F$ is defined and irreducible over $F$. Since the union of the Galois conjugates over $F$ of a special point is a finite union of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of dimension 0, we are reduced to showing the following statement for $d=0$ :

Theorem 4. Let $\Sigma$ be a set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ of dimension $d$ with separable reflex field over $F$ whose union is Zariski dense in a subvariety $Z \subset S$ which is defined and irreducible over $F$. Then $Z$ is a finite union of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$.

By descending induction on $d$, this follows from the following crucial statement:

Theorem 5. Let $\Sigma$ and $Z$ be as in Theorem 4 with $d<\operatorname{dim} Z$. Then, for almost all $X \in \Sigma$, there is a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}$ of $S$ with $X \subsetneq X^{\prime} \subset Z$.

In [24], Klingler and Yafaev perform the same induction, however they work with special subvarieties instead of Drinfeld modular subvarieties.

## Sketch of the proof of Theorem 5

For the proof of Theorem 5 we assume that $Z$ is Hodge-generic. This means that no geometric irreducible component of $Z$ is contained in a proper Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$. We can make this assumption because otherwise we can replace $S$ by a smaller Drinfeld modular variety.

## Degree of Drinfeld modular subvarieties

For a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X$ which is the image of $S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ under an inclusion morphism, we show that the product $D(X)$ (called the predegree of $X$ )
of the index of $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ in a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ with the class number of $F^{\prime}$ is up to a constant a lower bound for the degree of $X$.

We give a classification of the Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ and then use it to show that the predegree $D(X)$ is unbounded as $X$ ranges through an infinite set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties. This gives the following result:

Theorem 6. If $\Sigma$ is an infinite set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$, then $\operatorname{deg} X$ is unbounded as $X$ varies over $\Sigma$.

Note that, for a special subvariety $V$ which is a geometric irreducible component of a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X$, the union of the Galois conjugates of $V$ over its reflex field is equal to $X$. Therefore, $\operatorname{deg} X$ measures both the degree of $V$ and the number of Galois conjugates of $V$. So our unboundedness statement tells us that it is not possible that in an infinite family of special subvarieties $V$, the degrees and the number of Galois conjugates of $V$ are both bounded. Since we can exclude this case, we only need an adaptation of the Galois-theoretic and geometric methods in [24] and do not need equidistribution results as in [7].

## Geometric criterion

Recall that we assume that $Z$ is Hodge-generic and irreducible over $F$. These two assumptions allow us to deduce a geometric criterion for $Z$ being equal to $S$. It is a key ingredient of our proof of Theorem 5 and says that $Z$ is equal to the whole of $S$ provided that $Z$ is contained in a suitable Hecke translate of itself. A similar geometric criterion appears in the proof of Klingler and Yafaev in the classical case.

Theorem 7. Suppose that $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ with $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ and assume that $Z \subset T_{g_{\mathfrak{p}}} Z$ for some $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. If, for all $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $k_{1} \cdot g_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot k_{2}$ is unbounded, then $Z=S$.

The proof of this theorem is based on two results:
(i) Zariski density
 smallest subset of $S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ containing $x$ which is invariant under $T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $T_{h_{\rho}^{-1}}$. Using the rigid-analytic structure of $S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ given by (0.0.1), we show that the $T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}}+T_{h_{p}^{-1}}$-orbit of an arbitrary point $x \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ is Zariski dense in the geometric irreducible component of $S$ containing $x$ provided that $h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is chosen such that the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $h_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is unbounded.
(ii) A result of Pink [31, Theorem 0.1] on the Galois representations associated to Drinfeld modules implies that the image of the arithmetic étale fundamental group of a geometric irreducible component of $Z$ is open in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, see Theorem 4 in [6]. Here we need our assumption that $Z$ is Hodge-generic.

We deduce from (ii) and the assumption $Z \subset T_{g_{\mathrm{p}}} Z$ that, in some finite cover of $S$, some $T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}}+T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \text {-orbit is contained in an irreducible component of the }}$ preimage of $Z$, where $h_{\mathfrak{p}}=\left(k_{1} g_{\mathfrak{p}} k_{2}\right)^{n}$ for suitable $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $n \geq 1$. With the unboundedness assumption in the theorem and (i) we then conclude that $Z$ is equal to the whole of $S$.

## Induction

Our final step of the proof of Theorem 5 consists of an induction which uses a Hecke correspondence with specific properties. Precisely, we prove the following statement by induction:

Theorem 8. Let $X$ be a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$ associated to $F^{\prime} / F$ and $b:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xlongequal{\cong}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ and assume that $X$ is contained in a Hodge-generic subvariety $Z \subset S$ which is irreducible over $F$.

Suppose that $T_{g_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is a Hecke correspondence localized at a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ with the following properties:
(i) $g_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is defined by some $g_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ is a prime of $F^{\prime}$ lying over $\mathfrak{p}$, i.e., $g_{\mathfrak{p}}=b^{-1} \circ g_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \circ b$.
(ii) $g_{\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfies the unboundedness condition in Theorem 7, i.e., $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times$ $\mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ with $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ and, for all $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $k_{1} \cdot g_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot k_{2}$ is unbounded,
(iii) If $\iota: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ is an inclusion morphism with $X \subset \iota\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, then the Hecke correspondence $T^{\prime}$ on $S^{\prime}$ defined by $g_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ satisfies (ii) and $\operatorname{deg} T^{\prime}=\operatorname{deg} T_{g_{\mathfrak{p}}}$,
(iv) $\quad \operatorname{deg} X>\operatorname{deg}\left(T_{g_{\mathrm{p}}}\right)^{2^{s}-1} \cdot(\operatorname{deg} Z)^{2^{s}}$ for $s:=\operatorname{dim} Z-\operatorname{dim} X$.

Then there is a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}$ of $S$ with $X \subsetneq X^{\prime} \subset Z$.

We perform an induction over $s:=\operatorname{dim} Z-\operatorname{dim} X$. Property (i) implies $X \subset T_{g_{\mathrm{p}}} X$, in particular we therefore have

$$
X \subset Z \cap T_{g_{\mathrm{p}}} Z .
$$

The lower bound (iv) for deg $X$ now says that $X$ cannot be a union of geometric irreducible components of $Z \cap T_{g_{\mathrm{p}}} Z$. Therefore we find an irreducible component $Z^{\prime}$ over $F$ of $Z \cap T_{g_{\mathrm{p}}} Z$ with $X \subset Z^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{dim} Z^{\prime}>\operatorname{dim} X$. There are two cases:

If $Z^{\prime}=Z$, we have $Z \subset T_{g_{\mathrm{p}}} Z$ and conclude by Theorem 7 that $Z=S$, so the conclusion of Theorem 5 is true with $X^{\prime}=S$.

If $Z^{\prime} \subsetneq Z$, then $\operatorname{dim} Z^{\prime}<\operatorname{dim} Z$ because $Z$ is irreducible over $F$. We replace $Z$ by $Z^{\prime}$ and apply the induction hypothesis. In this step, it is possible that $Z^{\prime}$ is not Hodge-generic any more. In this case, we replace $S$ by a smaller Drinfeld modular variety $S^{\prime}$ and show that (i)-(iv) are still valid in $S^{\prime}$ using our property (iii).

## Choice of a suitable Hecke correspondence

To finish the proof of Theorem 5, by Theorem 8 we need to show that, for almost all $X \in \Sigma$, there is a Hecke correspondence $T_{g_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ localized at a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ with the properties (i)-(iv). To construct such a $T_{g_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ for a $X \in \Sigma$, we need the prime $\mathfrak{p}$ to satisfy specific conditions under which we call the prime good for $X$ :

Definition 9. Let $X$ be a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ associated to $F^{\prime} / F$ and $b:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xlongequal{\cong}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$. A prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$ is called good for $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ if there is an $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ such that the following holds for the $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-lattice $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}:=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$ :
(a) $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ where $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p}) s_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$ for the principal congruence subgroup $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$,
(b) $b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is an $A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-submodule of $\left(A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$,
(c) there exists a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with local degree 1 over $F$.

Theorem 10. If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a good prime for a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$, then there is a Hecke correspondence $T_{g_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ localized at $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying (i)-(iii) from Theorem 8 with

$$
\operatorname{deg} T_{g_{\mathfrak{p}}}=|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r-1}
$$

where $k(\mathfrak{p})$ denotes the residue field of $\mathfrak{p}$.

We show this theorem by defining

$$
g_{\mathfrak{p}}:=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{diag}\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) s_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}
$$

for a uniformizer $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at $\mathfrak{p}$. In the proof, it is crucial that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is not a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, which is guaranteed by condition (a) in the definition of good prime. Otherwise we are not able to satisfy the unboundedness condition (ii).

However, (a) is a very strict condition on the prime $\mathfrak{p}$ : For a fixed level $\mathcal{K}$ it can only be satisfied at most at a finite set of primes because $\mathcal{K}$ is maximal compact at almost all primes. Since (b) and (c) are both satisfied only for an infinite set of primes of density smaller than one, for a fixed level $\mathcal{K}$, in general we cannot find a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying (a)-(c). We get rid of this problem by starting with a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ for which there is an $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ such that
( $\left.a{ }^{\prime}\right) \quad \mathcal{K}=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) s_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$
and also (b) and (c) are satisfied. We can find such a prime because ( $\mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ) is satisfied for some $s_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all but finitely many primes. With an effective version of Čebotarev's theorem which relies on the correctness of GRH for function fields we can even show that such a prime satisfying an upper bound for its degree exists provided that $\operatorname{deg} X$ is large enough:

Theorem 11. For all $N>0$, there is $a d_{N}>0$ such that, for all Drinfeld modular subvarieties $X$ of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with $\operatorname{deg} X \geq d_{N}$, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$ and a $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ such that the following holds where $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}:=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$ and $X$ is associated to $F^{\prime} / F$ and $b:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xlongequal{\cong}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ :
(a) $\quad \mathcal{K}=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) s_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$,
(b) $b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is an $A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-submodule of $\left(A^{\prime} \otimes_{A} A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$,
(c) there exists a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with local degree 1 over $F$,
(d) $|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{N}<\operatorname{deg} X$.

We conclude the proof of Theorem 5 as follows. We choose a certain $N>0$ and, for all $X \in \Sigma$ with $\operatorname{deg} X \geq d_{N}$, we choose a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying the properties in Theorem 11. Since $\operatorname{deg} X$ is unbounded as $X$ ranges over $\Sigma$ by Theorem 6, this works for almost all $X \in \Sigma$. We then make $\mathcal{K}_{p}$ smaller by passing to a finite cover of $S$. More precisely, we consider the Drinfeld modular variety $\tilde{S}:=S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p}) s_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ which is a finite cover of $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. The conditions (a)-(c) from Definition 9 are now satisfied for some Drinfeld modular subvariety $\tilde{X}$ of $\tilde{S}$ lying over $X$, i.e., $\mathfrak{p}$ is a good prime for $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{S}$.

By Theorem 10, we then find a Hecke correspondence $T_{g_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ on $\tilde{S}$ localized at $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying (i)-(iii) from Theorem 8 for $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{S}$. Furthermore, with property (d) of $\mathfrak{p}$ we can show that (iv) is also satisfied for $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{S}$ and some irreducible
component $\tilde{Z}$ over $F$ of the preimage of $Z$ in $\tilde{S}$ provided that $\operatorname{deg} X$ is large enough and we have chosen $N>0$ suitably before.

Since $\operatorname{deg} X$ is unbounded as $X$ ranges over $\Sigma$ by Theorem 6, with Theorem 8 we therefore get a Drinfeld modular subvariety $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ of $\tilde{S}$ with $\tilde{X} \subsetneq \tilde{X}^{\prime} \subsetneq \tilde{Z}$ for almost all $X \in \Sigma$. The image $X^{\prime} \subset S$ of $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ under the covering map $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ then satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 5.

## Difficulties in the inseparable case

Unfortunately, the above methods do not work in the inseparable case, i.e., if $\Sigma$ in Theorem 4 contains Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ with inseparable reflex field. This is caused by the fact that every prime ramifies in an inseparable field extension. Therefore, for a Drinfeld modular subvariety with inseparable reflex field, there is no prime for which condition (c) in Definition 9 is satisfied. So we cannot apply Theorem 10 to find a Hecke correspondence satisfying (i)-(iii) from Theorem 8.

Also other approaches to find such Hecke correspondences fail. For example, if $X$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of dimension 0 with purely inseparable reflex field $F^{\prime} / F$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ any prime of $F$, then a Hecke correspondence $T_{g_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ localized at $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying (i) of Theorem 8 does not satisfy the unboundedness condition (ii) in Theorem 8: Indeed, in this case there is exactly one prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with ramification index $r$ and, if $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}} \in F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is a uniformizer, then $1, \pi_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}, \ldots, \pi_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{r-1}$ is an $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}$-basis of $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Therefore, if $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is defined by $g_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\pi_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{k} \in \mathrm{GL}_{1}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ as in (i) of Theorem 8, then $g_{\mathrm{p}}$ is a conjugate of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & & \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & 1 &
\end{array}\right)^{k} \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

for $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}:=\pi_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{r}$. Its $r$-th power is a scalar matrix, hence the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $g_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is bounded and we cannot apply our geometric criterion (Theorem 7) for the Hecke correspondence $T_{g_{\mathrm{p}}}$.

## Outline of the thesis

In Chapter 0, we introduce some notation and conventions and discuss a few algebro-geometric preliminaries.

In Chapter 1, we define Drinfeld modular varieties for arbitrary level $\mathcal{K} \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ as quotients of fine moduli schemes of Drinfeld modules.

In Chapter 2, we first define projection morphisms and Hecke correspondences on Drinfeld modular varieties. Then we define inclusion morphisms of Drinfeld modular varieties which allow us to define Drinfeld modular subvarieties and special subvarieties of a Drinfeld modular variety $S$. Subsequently, we discuss a criterion under which two Drinfeld modular subvarieties are contained in each other and give a classification of the Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$. Finally, we show that the absolute Galois group naturally acts on the set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ and describe the Galois action on the irreducible components of $S$.

In Chapter 3, we define the degree of subvarieties of a Drinfeld modular variety using the Satake compactification constructed in [30] and give estimates for the degree of Hecke translates of subvarieties. We then show that, in any infinite family of Drinfeld modular subvarieties $X$ of $S$, the degree of $X$ is unbounded (Theorem 6). Here we need our classification of Drinfeld modular subvarieties from the previous chapter.

The next two chapters are devoted to the proof of our geometric criterion for being a Drinfeld modular subvariety (Theorem 7). Chapter 4 deals with Zariski density of $T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}-\text { orbits }}$ and in Chapter 5 we give the proof of the actual criterion.

In Chapter 6, we first define good primes for Drinfeld modular subvarieties. We then explain, for a fixed Drinfeld modular subvariety, how we can find a suitable Hecke correspondence at a good prime as in Theorem 10. The last section of Chapter 6 is devoted to find a good prime for a given Drinfeld modular subvariety of large enough degree in some finite cover of $S$ (Theorem 11).

In Chapter 7, we finally conclude the proof of Theorem 5 by proving Theorem 8 and applying the results of the previous chapters. Here we also explain why Theorem 5 implies our main result (Theorem 2).

## Chapter 0

## Preliminaries

### 0.1 Notation and conventions

The following notation and conventions will be used throughout this thesis:
$|M|$ denotes the cardinality of a set $M$.
$\mathbb{F}_{q}$ denotes a fixed finite field with $q$ elements.
For an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-algebra $R$, we denote by $R\{\tau\}$ the ring of non-commutative polynomials in the variable $\tau$ with coefficients in $R$ and the commutator rule $\tau \lambda=\lambda^{q} \tau$ for $\lambda \in R$.
$F$ always denotes a global function field of characteristic $p$ with field of constants $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $\infty$ a fixed place of $F$.

For a pair $(F, \infty)$, we use the following notation:

```
\(A \quad\) ring of elements of \(F\) regular outside \(\infty\)
\(F_{\infty} \quad\) completion of \(F\) at \(\infty\)
\(\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \quad\) completion of an algebraic closure of \(F_{\infty}\)
\(F^{\text {sep }} \quad\) separable closure of \(F\) inside \(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\)
\(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f} \quad\) ring of finite adeles of \(F\) (i.e., adeles outside \(\infty\) )
\(\hat{A} \quad\) profinite completion of \(A\)
\(\mathrm{Cl}(F) \quad\) class group of \(A\)
\(g(F) \quad\) genus of \(F\)
\(\Omega_{F}^{r} \quad\) Drinfeld's upper half-space of dimension \(r-1\) over \(F\)
```

A place $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ of $F$ is said to be a prime of $F$. We identify a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$ with a prime ideal of $A$ and denote its residue field by $k(\mathfrak{p})$. The completion of $F$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ is denoted by $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$, its discrete valuation ring by $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and the ring of finite
adeles of $F$ outside $\mathfrak{p}$ by $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}$.
We often consider a finite extension $F^{\prime}$ of $F$ with exactly one place $\infty^{\prime}$ over $\infty$. We use the analogous notations for such extensions, e.g., $A^{\prime}, F_{\infty^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Note that the above used algebraic closure of $F_{\infty}$ is also an algebraic closure of $F_{\infty^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Therefore, we can and do assume $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}=\mathbb{C}_{\infty^{\prime}}$.

For a place $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$, we set $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}:=F^{\prime} \otimes F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}:=A^{\prime} \otimes A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since $F$ is a global field, there are canonical isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \sim \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{p}} F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \\
& A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{p}} A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

of $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{-}}$resp. $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-algebras. We identify $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ with $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{p}} F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ with $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{p}} A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ via these isomorphisms. Furthermore, for a place $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ over $\mathfrak{p}$, we denote by $\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)^{\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)}$ resp. $\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)^{\left(p^{\prime}\right)}$ the product of all $F_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}$ resp. $A_{\mathfrak{q}}^{\prime}$ with $\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$.

For $r, r^{\prime} \geq 1$ with $r=r^{\prime} \cdot\left[F^{\prime} / F\right]$, we often consider an isomorphism of $F$ vector spaces $\varphi: F^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\prime r^{\prime}}$. In this situation, extending scalars to $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for a place $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$ and to $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$, we get isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}} \\
\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} & \xrightarrow{\varphi}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which we also denote by $\varphi$ (by a slight abuse of notation).
For a second finite extension $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $F$ with exactly one place $\infty^{\prime \prime}$ above $\infty$, we use the analogous conventions and notations.

For the formulation of algebro-geometric results, we use the following conventions:

- For a scheme $X$ over a field $K$ and a field extension $L$ of $K$, we write $X_{L}$ for its base extension $X \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(K)} \operatorname{Spec}(L)$.
- Unless otherwise stated, variety means a reduced separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ and subvariety means a reduced closed subscheme of a variety.
- Since $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is algebraically closed, we can and do identify the set $X\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points of a variety $X$ with the set of its closed points.
- For a subfield $K \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, a variety $X$ together with a scheme $X_{0}$ of finite type over $K$ and an isomorphism of schemes $\alpha_{X}: X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ is called
a variety over $K$. We often write $X$ in place of $\left(X, X_{0}, \alpha_{X}\right)$ and identify $X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ with $X$ via $\alpha_{X}$ if this leads to no confusion. Note that in this case $X$ is also a variety over $K^{\prime}$ for any intermediate field $K^{\prime}$ of $K \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ because of $\left(X_{0, K^{\prime}}\right)_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}} \cong X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$.
- Let $X^{\prime}$ and $X$ be two varieties over $K$. A morphism $X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ of varieties over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ which is the base extension to $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of a morphism $X_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{0}$ of schemes over $K$ is called a morphism of varieties over $K$.
- For a variety $X=X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ over $K$, a subvariety $X^{\prime}$ together with a closed subscheme $X_{0}^{\prime}$ of $X_{0}$ and an isomorphism $X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} X^{\prime}$ such that $X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $X^{\prime} \hookrightarrow X=X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ is the base extension to $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of $X_{0}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow X_{0}$ is said to be defined over $K$. Again, we often only write $X^{\prime}$ and identify $X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime}$ via the given isomorphism.
- For a variety $X=X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ over $K$ and a subfield $K^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ containing $K$, we denote by $X\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ the set of $K^{\prime}$-valued points of $X_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
X\left(K^{\prime}\right):=X_{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Mor}_{K}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\left(K^{\prime}\right), X_{0}\right) .
$$

Note that $X\left(K^{\prime}\right)$ is naturally a subset of the set of closed points of $X$ via the natural inclusions and identifications

$$
X\left(K^{\prime}\right):=X_{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \subset X_{0}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)=X\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)=\{\text { closed points of } X\}
$$

in fact it is equal to the set of closed points of $X$ defined over $K^{\prime}$, see, e.g., p. 26 of [3].

- A variety $X=X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ over $K$ is called $K$-irreducible if $X_{0}$ is an irreducible scheme over $K$.
- The degree of a finite surjective morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ of irreducible varieties (over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ ) is defined to be the degree of the extension of the function fields $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}(X) / \mathbb{C}_{\infty}(Y)$. We say that a finite surjective morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of (not necessarily irreducible) varieties is of degree $d$ if for each irreducible component $Z$ of $Y$

$$
\sum_{\text {irr. components } X_{i} \text { of } f^{-1}(Z)} \operatorname{deg}\left(f \mid X_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow Z\right)=d
$$

For a surjective finite morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of varieties of degree $d$, this definition implies the equality

$$
f_{*}([X])=d \cdot[Y]
$$

of cycles on $Y$ (see, e.g., Section 1.4 of [15] for the definition of the pushforward of cycles).
If $f$ is in addition flat, then $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module by Proposition III.9.2 (e) in [22]. By localization at the generic points of the irreducible components of $Y$, we see that $f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is locally free of rank $d=\operatorname{deg} f$.

Remark: We could also formulate our results in the language of classical algebraic geometry. However, it turns out to be more convenient to use the language of schemes instead.

For a subfield $K \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ we denote by $K^{\text {sep }}$ the separable and by $\bar{K}$ the algebraic closure of $K$ in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. Since the field extension $\bar{K} / K^{\text {sep }}$ is purely inseparable, each $K$-automorphism of $K^{\text {sep }}$ has a unique continuation to a $K$ automorphism of $\bar{K}$. Therefore, we can and do identify the absolute Galois $\operatorname{group} G_{K}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K^{\text {sep }} / K\right)$ with the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}_{K}(\bar{K})$.

### 0.2 Galois action on subvarieties

Proposition 0.2.1. Let $X=X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ be a variety over $K \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. Then there is a natural action of the absolute Galois group $G_{K}$ on the set of subvarieties of $X$ which are defined over $\bar{K}$. Such a subvariety is already defined over $K$ if and only if it is defined over $K^{\text {sep }}$ and $G_{K}$-stable.

Proof. First note that $G_{K}$ acts on $X_{0, \bar{K}}=X_{0} \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(K)} \operatorname{Spec}(\bar{K})$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
G_{K} & \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{\text {Schemes }}\left(X_{0, \bar{K}}\right) \\
\sigma & \mapsto & \operatorname{id} \times \operatorname{Spec}\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)
\end{array}
$$

By our conventions in Section 0.1, a subvariety of $X$ defined over $\bar{K}$ is of the form $X^{\prime}=X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}^{\prime}$ for a closed subscheme $X_{0}^{\prime}$ of $X_{0, \bar{K}}$. Using the above action, we set

$$
\sigma\left(X^{\prime}\right):=\sigma\left(X_{0}^{\prime}\right)_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}}
$$

This is a subvariety of $X$ defined over $\bar{K}$ because it is the base extension to $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of the closed subscheme $\sigma\left(X_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ of $X_{0, \bar{K}}$. Hence, we have a natural action of $G_{K}$ on the set of subvarieties of $X$ which are defined over $\bar{K}$.

The last statement follows from Theorem AG. 14.4 in [3].
Proposition 0.2.2. Let $X=X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ be a variety over $K \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. Then the irreducible components of $X$ are defined over $K^{\text {sep }}$ and the action of $G_{K}$ from

Proposition 0.2.1 restricts to a $G_{K}$-action on the set of irreducible components of $X$. The latter action is transitive if and only if $X$ is $K$-irreducible.

Proof. Let $X_{0, K^{\text {sep }}}=Z_{1} \cup \cdots \cup Z_{n}$ be the decomposition of $X_{0, K^{\text {sep }}}$ into irreducible components. Then, $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}$ stay irreducible after base extension to $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ (Exercise II.3.15 in [22]). Hence, $Z_{1, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}, \ldots, Z_{n, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ are the irreducible components of $X_{0, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}}=X$ and these are defined over $K^{\text {sep }}$ because $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}$ are closed subschemes of $X_{0, K^{\text {sep }}}$.

Since the irreducible components of $X$ are therefore exactly the maximal irreducible subvarieties of $X$ defined over $K^{\text {sep }}$, the action of $G_{K}$ from Proposition 0.2.1 restricts to an action of $G_{K}$ on the set of irreducible components of $X$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

Note that, by Proposition 0.2.1, the union of all the irreducible components of $X$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ lying in one orbit of the latter action is defined over $K$ because it is $G_{K}$-stable. Hence, if this action is not transitive, $X$ can be written as a finite union of at least two proper subvarieties defined over $K$. In particular, the action is transitive if $X$ is $K$-irreducible.

Conversely, assume by contradiction that this action is transitive and that $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$ where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are proper subvarieties of $X$ defined over $K$. Then there are irreducible components $X_{1}^{\prime}$ of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}^{\prime}$ of $X_{2}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ such that $X_{1}^{\prime} \subsetneq X_{2}$ and $X_{2}^{\prime} \subsetneq X_{1}$. By the transitivity assumption, there is a $\sigma \in G_{K}$ with $\sigma\left(X_{1}^{\prime}\right)=X_{2}^{\prime}$. This gives the contradiction

$$
X_{2}^{\prime}=\sigma\left(X_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset \sigma\left(X_{1}\right)=X_{1},
$$

hence $X$ is $K$-irreducible if the considered action is transitive.

### 0.3 Quotient varieties

Proposition 0.3.1. Let $X$ be an affine variety (over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ ) and $G$ a finite subgroup of the group of automorphisms of $X$. Then the topological quotient $X / G$ has the structure of an affine variety such that the canonical projection $\pi: X \rightarrow$ $X / G$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties. Together with $\pi$ it satisfies the following universal property: For each $G$-invariant morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of affine varieties, there is a unique morphism $h: X / G \rightarrow Y$ with $f=h \circ \pi$.

Note that $(X / G, \pi)$ is determined up to unique isomorphism by the universal property. We call $X / G$ the quotient variety of $X$ under the action of $G$.

Proof. We refer to the construction in Section III. 12 in [34]. If $X=\operatorname{Spec}(B)$, then we have $X / G=\operatorname{Spec}\left(B^{G}\right)$, where $B^{G}$ denotes the subring of the elements of $B$ fixed by all automorphisms in $G$, and $\pi$ is defined by the inclusion map $B^{G} \hookrightarrow B$.

Proposition 0.3.2. The quotient variety $X / G$ and the canonical projection $\pi: X \rightarrow X / G$ have the following properties:
(i) The morphism $\pi$ is finite of degree $|G|$.
(ii) If $X$ and all the automorphisms in $G$ are defined over a subfield $K \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, then $X / G$ and $\pi$ are also defined over $K$.
(iii) If $X$ is a normal variety, then $X / G$ is also normal.
(iv) If $G$ acts freely on the closed points of $X$, then $\pi$ is an étale morphism.

Proof. For (i), assume that $X=\operatorname{Spec}(B)$ and $X / G=\operatorname{Spec}\left(B^{G}\right)$. For $b \in B$, the monic polynomial

$$
f_{b}(X)=\prod_{g \in G}(X-g(b))
$$

with root $b$ has coefficients in $B^{G}$. Therefore, $B$ is integral over $B^{G}$. Since $B$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-algebra, it is also a finitely generated $B^{G}$-algebra and by integrality over $B^{G}$ therefore a finitely generated $B^{G}$-module. Hence, $\pi$ is a finite morphism.

If $X$ is irreducible, the ring $B$, and therefore also $B^{G}$, is an integral domain. The function field $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}(X)$ of $X$ is the quotient field of $B$ and the function field $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}(X / G)$ of $X / G$ the quotient field of $B^{G}$. The latter is equal to the subfield of invariants of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}(X)$ under the unique extension of the action of $G$ on $B$ to $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}(X)$. Therefore, $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}(X) / \mathbb{C}_{\infty}(X / G)$ is a Galois extension of degree $|G|$ and $\pi$ of degree $|G|$.

If $X$ is reducible and $Z$ is an irreducible component of $X / G$, the action of $G$ on the irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(Z)$ is transitive. This follows because $X / G$ is the topological quotient of $X$ under the action of $G$. Assume that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ are the irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(Z)$. The stabilizer $G_{i}$ of such an irreducible component $X_{i}$ is a index $k$ subgroup of $G$. For each $i$, one can check that $Z$ together with $\left.\pi\right|_{X_{i}}$ satisfies the universal property of the quotient variety of $X_{i}$ under $G_{i}$. Therefore, there is an isomorphism $X_{i} / G_{i} \cong Z$ such that the diagram

commutes. Since $X_{i}$ is irreducible, it follows by the above discussion that $\left.\pi\right|_{X_{i}}$ : $X_{i} \rightarrow Z$ has degree $\left|G_{i}\right|=|G| / k$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{deg}\left(\left.\pi\right|_{X_{i}}: X_{i} \rightarrow Z\right)=|G|
$$

Therefore, by our definition, $\pi$ is of degree $|G|$.
Statements (ii) and (iii) follow from Remark 2) resp. Corollary c) in Section III. 12 in [34], and (iv) follows from Section II. 7 of [27].

If a group $G$ acts on an affine variety $X$ via

$$
\rho: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(X)
$$

such that $\rho(G) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is finite, we denote by $X / G$ the quotient variety $X / \rho(G)$. By Proposition 0.3.2, the canonical projection $X \rightarrow X / G$ is finite of degree $|\rho(G)|$.

## Chapter 1

## Drinfeld modular varieties

### 1.1 Analytic description and modular interpretation

We consider the following datum:

- A global function field $F$ together with a fixed place $\infty$,
- a positive integer $r$, called $r a n k$, and
- a compact open subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$, called level.

We define Drinfeld's upper half-space over $F$ of dimension $r-1$ by

$$
\Omega_{F}^{r}:=\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \backslash\left\{F_{\infty} \text {-rational hyperplanes }\right\} .
$$

Proposition 1.1.1. The points of Drinfeld's upper half-space $\Omega_{F}^{r}$ are in bijective correspondence with the set of injective $F_{\infty}$-linear maps $F_{\infty}^{r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ up to multiplication by a constant in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}^{*}$ via the assignment

$$
\left[\omega_{1}: \cdots: \omega_{r}\right] \longmapsto\left[\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \mapsto a_{1} \omega_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} \omega_{r}\right] .
$$

Proof. We have the canonical bijection

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{C}_{\infty}^{r} & \longrightarrow\left\{F_{\infty} \text {-linear maps } F_{\infty}^{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right\} \\
\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{r}\right) & \longmapsto\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \mapsto a_{1} \omega_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} \omega_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $F_{\infty}$-linear map $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \mapsto a_{1} \omega_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} \omega_{r}$ is injective if and only if $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{r}$ are $F_{\infty}$-linearly independent, i.e., if and only if $\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{r}\right)$ does not
lie in a $F_{\infty}$-rational hyperplane through 0 . Hence, factoring out the action of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}^{*}$ on both sides, we get the desired bijection of Drinfeld's upper half-space with the set of injective $F_{\infty}$-linear maps $F_{\infty}^{r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ up to multiplication by a constant in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}^{*}$.

In the following, we use the identification given by Proposition 1.1.1 and denote the element of $\Omega_{F}^{r}$ associated to an injective $F_{\infty}$-linear map $\omega: F_{\infty}^{r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ by $\bar{\omega}$.

Using this notation, one sees that $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ acts on $\Omega_{F}^{r}$ from the left by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \cdot \bar{\omega}:=\overline{\omega \circ T^{-1}} \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ considered as automorphism of $F_{\infty}^{r}$.
Remark: This action can also be described regarding $\Omega_{F}^{r}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. A short calculation shows that, for $\omega=\left[\omega_{1}: \cdots: \omega_{r}\right] \in \Omega_{F}^{r} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ and $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ with $T^{-1}=\left(s_{i j}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \cdot \omega=\left[s_{11} \omega_{1}+\cdots+s_{r 1} \omega_{r}: \cdots: s_{1 r} \omega_{1}+\cdots+s_{r r} \omega_{r}\right] . \tag{1.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the action of a $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ on $\Omega_{F}^{r} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ is the restriction to $\Omega_{F}^{r}$ of the natural action of $\left(T^{-1}\right)^{T} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$.

Theorem 1.1.2. There is a normal affine variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ of dimension $r-1$ defined over $F$ together with an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right) \tag{1.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of rigid-analytic spaces, where $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$ is viewed as a discrete set.
In the proof, we define a variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ over $F$ together with a rigid-analytic isomorphism of the form (1.1.3) up to isomorphism over $F$. This variety is called the Drinfeld modular variety associated to the datum ( $F, r, \mathcal{K}$ ). We will identify its $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points with double cosets in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right)$ via the rigid-analytic isomorphism given in the proof.

Proof. The proof consists of several steps:
(i) We use Drinfeld's construction of Drinfeld moduli schemes in [10] to define $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and a rigid-analytic isomorphism of the form (1.1.3) for $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(I) \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ a principal congruence subgroup modulo a proper ideal $I$ of $A$.
(ii) For $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) \cap \operatorname{Mat}_{r}(\hat{A})$ and proper ideals $I, J$ of $A$ with $J \hat{A}^{r} \subset g I \hat{A}^{r}$, we define morphisms

$$
\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \longrightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r},
$$

which are defined over $F$ and satisfy the compatibility relation

$$
\pi_{g} \circ \pi_{g^{\prime}}=\pi_{g g^{\prime}}
$$

In particular, these morphisms define an action of $\operatorname{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$.
(iii) We use this action to extend the definition in (i) to all compact open subgroups $\mathcal{K} \subset \operatorname{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$.
(iv) We extend the definition in (ii) to get morphisms

$$
\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \longrightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}
$$

for arbitrary $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ with $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$.
(v) We define $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and a rigid-analytic isomorphism $\beta$ of the form (1.1.3) for arbitrary levels $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$. We use the morphisms $\pi_{g}$ from (iv) to show the well-definedness of $\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}, \beta\right)$ up to isomorphism over $F$.

Step (i): Recall that a Drinfeld $A$-module of rank $r$ over an $F$-scheme $S$ is a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $S$ together with a ring homomorphism $\varphi$ from $A$ to the ring $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(\mathcal{L})$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear endomorphisms of $\mathcal{L}$ (as a group scheme over $S$ ) such that, over any trivializing affine open subset $\operatorname{Spec}(B) \subset S$, the homomorphism $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\varphi: \begin{aligned}
A & \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\mathbb{G}_{a, \operatorname{Spec}(B)}\right)=B\{\tau\} \\
a & \longmapsto \varphi_{a}=\sum_{i=0}^{m(a)} b_{i}(a) \tau^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau$ denotes the $q$-power Frobenius and, for all $a \in A$,
(a) $q^{m(a)}=|A /(a)|^{r}$,
(b) $\quad b_{m(a)}(a) \in B^{*}$,
(c) $\quad b_{0}(a)=\gamma(a)$ where $\gamma$ is the ring homomorphism $F \rightarrow B$ corresponding to the morphism of affine schemes $\operatorname{Spec}(B) \hookrightarrow S \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(F)$.

For a proper ideal $I$ of $A$, an $I$-level structure on a Drinfeld module $\mathcal{L} / S$ of rank $r$ is an $A$-linear isomorphism of group schemes over $S$

$$
\alpha: \underline{\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{I}:=\bigcap_{a \in I} \operatorname{ker}(\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{a} \mathcal{L}),
$$

where $\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r}$ denotes the constant group scheme over $S$ with fibers $\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r}$.
Remark: In general, one can also define Drinfeld $A$-modules together with level structures over $A$-schemes instead of $F$-schemes. In this case, one uses a different definition of $I$-level structure to deal smoothly with the fibers over $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ dividing $I$, see, e.g., Section I. 6 in [9].

By Section 5 of [10], the functor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{F, I}^{r}: \quad F \text {-schemes } & \longrightarrow
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { Sets } \\
& S
\end{aligned} \quad \longmapsto \begin{aligned}
& \text { \{Isomorphism classes of Drinfeld } A \text {-modules } \\
& \\
& \text { of rank } r \text { over } S \text { with } I \text {-level structure }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is representable by a nonsingular affine scheme of finite type over $F$ of dimension $r-1$. Note that, in [10], it is actually shown that the corresponding functor from the category of schemes over Spec $A$ to the category of sets is representable if $I$ is contained in two distinct maximal ideals of $A$. The argument in the proof shows that it is enough that $I$ is a proper ideal of $A$ if we work with schemes over Spec $F$.

By our conventions in Section 0.1, the base extension to $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of the above representing scheme is a non-singular variety of dimension $r-1$ defined over $F$. We denote it by $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$, where $\mathcal{K}(I)$ denotes the principal congruence subgroup modulo $I$. By Proposition 6.6 in [10], there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}(I)\right) \tag{1.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

of rigid-analytic spaces. Under this isomorphism, the equivalence class of an element $(\bar{\omega}, h) \in \Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ is mapped to the $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued point of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ corresponding to the Drinfeld module over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ associated to the lattice

$$
\Lambda:=\omega\left(F^{r} \cap h \hat{A}^{r}\right)
$$

with $I$-level structure given by the composition of the isomorphisms

$$
\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{h} I^{-1} \cdot\left(F^{r} \cap h \hat{A}^{r}\right) /\left(F^{r} \cap h \hat{A}^{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\omega} I^{-1} \cdot \Lambda / \Lambda,
$$

where the first isomorphism is given by the multiplication by $h$ on $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r}$ via the natural identifications

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r} & \cong I^{-1} \hat{A}^{r} / \hat{A}^{r} \\
I^{-1} \cdot\left(F^{r} \cap h \hat{A}^{r}\right) /\left(F^{r} \cap h \hat{A}^{r}\right) & \cong I^{-1} \cdot h \hat{A}^{r} / h \hat{A}^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the inclusion maps. For a detailed survey of this modular interpretation, we refer to the explanations in Section II. 5 in [9].

Step (ii): Let $I, J$ be proper ideals of $A$ and $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) \cap \operatorname{Mat}_{r}\left(\hat{A}^{r}\right)$ such that $J \hat{A}^{r} \subset g I \hat{A}^{r}$. For such a datum, we construct a morphism of functors

$$
\mathcal{F}_{F, J}^{r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{F, I}^{r}
$$

The given $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ with matrix entries in $\hat{A}$ induces a surjective endomorphism of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} / \hat{A}^{r}$ with kernel $g^{-1} \hat{A}^{r} / \hat{A}^{r}$. Since there is a natural isomorphism $(F / A)^{r} \cong\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f} / \hat{A}\right)^{r}$ induced by the inclusion maps, we therefore get a surjective homomorphism of $A$-modules

$$
(F / A)^{r} \xrightarrow{g}(F / A)^{r}
$$

The kernel $U:=\operatorname{ker} g$ of this homomorphism is contained in $\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r}$ because we have $g^{-1} \hat{A}^{r} \subset J^{-1} I \hat{A}^{r} \subset J^{-1} \hat{A}^{r}$ by our assumption $J \hat{A}^{r} \subset g I \hat{A}^{r}$.

For any Drinfeld module $\mathcal{L}$ over an $F$-scheme $S$ with $J$-level structure $\alpha: \underline{\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_{J}$, the image of $\underline{U} \subset \underline{\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r}}$ under $\alpha$ is a finite $A$-invariant subgroup scheme of $\mathcal{L}$ over $S$. Hence, the quotient $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{L} / \alpha(\underline{U})$ is also a Drinfeld $A$-module over $S$ and contains the finite subgroup scheme $\mathcal{L}_{J} / \alpha(\underline{U})$. Since $g\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \cong\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r} / U$, there is a unique $A$-linear isomorphism $\alpha^{\prime}$ of group schemes over $S$ such that the diagram

commutes, where $\pi: \mathcal{L}_{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{J} / \alpha(\underline{U})$ is the canonical projection. By the assumption $J \hat{A}^{r} \subset g I \hat{A}^{r}$, we have $\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \subset g\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r}$. Restricting the isomorphism $\alpha^{\prime}$ to the $I$-torsion gives therefore an $I$-level structure

$$
\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_{I}^{\prime}
$$

of $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$.
The assignment $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime},\left.\alpha^{\prime}\right|_{\underline{\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r}}}\right)$ induces a morphism of functors $\mathcal{F}_{F, J}^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{F, I}^{r}$ and therefore a morphism $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ defined over $F$.

We get the following description of $\pi_{g}$ on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points identified with double quotients by the isomorphisms (1.1.4):

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(\omega, h)] \longmapsto\left[\left(\omega, h g^{-1}\right)\right] . \tag{1.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, consider the Drinfeld module $\varphi$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ with $J$-level structure $\alpha$ corresponding to the double coset $[(\bar{\omega}, h)]$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}(J)\right)$. It is associated to the lattice

$$
\Lambda:=\omega\left(F^{r} \cap h \hat{A}^{r}\right)
$$

and $\alpha$ is given by the composition

$$
\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{h} J^{-1} \cdot\left(F^{r} \cap h \hat{A}^{r}\right) /\left(F^{r} \cap h \hat{A}^{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\omega} J^{-1} \cdot \Lambda / \Lambda .
$$

The image of $U:=\operatorname{ker}\left((F / A)^{r} \xrightarrow{g}(F / A)^{r}\right) \cong g^{-1} \hat{A}^{r} / \hat{A}^{r}$ under this level structure is equal to

$$
\omega\left(F^{r} \cap h g^{-1} \hat{A}^{r}\right) / \Lambda
$$

therefore the image of $(\varphi, \alpha)$ under the above morphism of functors is the Drinfeld module associated to the lattice

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}:=\omega\left(F^{r} \cap h g^{-1} \hat{A}^{r}\right)
$$

with level structure given by the composition

$$
\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{h g^{-1}} I^{-1} \cdot\left(F^{r} \cap h g^{-1} \hat{A}^{r}\right) /\left(F^{r} \cap h g^{-1} \hat{A}^{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\omega} I^{-1} \cdot \Lambda^{\prime} / \Lambda^{\prime} .
$$

This shows the above description of $\pi_{g}$ on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points.
This description implies that we have the relation

$$
\pi_{g} \circ \pi_{g^{\prime}}=\pi_{g g^{\prime}}
$$

for two such morphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r} \longrightarrow \\
& \pi_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} \\
& \pi_{g^{\prime}}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)}^{r} \longrightarrow \\
& S_{F, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, we have an action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ by morphisms defined over $F$ and hence also on isomorphism classes of Drinfeld $A$-modules with $I$ level structure.

Step (iii): Using the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ by the morphisms $\pi_{g}$, we define, for a compact open subgroup $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$,

$$
S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}:=S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} / \mathcal{K},
$$

where $\mathcal{K}(I)$ is a principal congruence subgroup contained in $\mathcal{K}$. Since $\mathcal{K}(I)$ acts trivially on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$, this quotient can be viewed as a quotient under the action of the finite group $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(I)$ by morphisms defined over $F$. By Proposition 0.3.1, the quotient is an affine variety defined over $F$ of dimension $r-1=\operatorname{dim} S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$. By Proposition 0.3.1, it is a normal variety because the non-singular variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ is normal. By the description (1.1.5) of the above action on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points, the rigid-analytic isomorphism (1.1.4) induces one of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{I}:\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} / \mathcal{K}\right)\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right) \tag{1.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to show that, up to $F$-isomorphism, $\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} / \mathcal{K}, \beta_{I}\right)$ is independent of the choice of $I$. For this note that, for two ideals $I, J$ with $I \subset J$, the functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & \longmapsto \mathcal{F}_{F, I}^{r}(S) / \mathcal{K}(J), \\
S & \longmapsto \mathcal{F}_{F, J}^{r}(S)
\end{aligned}
$$

are isomorphic, where the quotient is taken with respect to the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ on $\mathcal{F}_{F, I}^{r}(S)$. The isomorphism is given by restricting $I$-level structures to $\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r}$.

Therefore, we have a natural isomorphism

$$
S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} / \mathcal{K}(J) \cong S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r}
$$

defined over $F$, which is compatible with the isomorphisms (1.1.6) and (1.1.4), i.e., the diagram

commutes.
So for two ideals $J, I$ with $\mathcal{K}(I) \subset \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}(J) \subset \mathcal{K}$ we have

$$
S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} / \mathcal{K} \cong S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I \cap J)}^{r} / \mathcal{K} \cong S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} / \mathcal{K},
$$

and these isomorphisms are compatible with the isomorphisms (1.1.6). Therefore, we can well-define $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ up to isomorphism over $F$ by $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ together with the rigid-analytic isomorphism $\beta_{I}$.

Step (iv): Let $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) \cap \operatorname{Mat}_{r}(\hat{A})$ and $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ with $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset$ $g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$ be given. Choose proper ideals $I$ and $J$ of $A$ such that $\mathcal{K}(I) \subset \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K}(J) \subset \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ and $J \hat{A}^{r} \subset g I \hat{A}^{r}$. Then, by Step (iii),

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} & :=S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}, \\
S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r} & :=S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} / \mathcal{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by Step (ii), there is a morphism

$$
\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \longrightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}
$$

Since $g \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{-1} \subset \mathcal{K}$, for each $k^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$, there is a $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $g k^{\prime}=k g$ and

$$
\pi_{g} \circ \pi_{k^{\prime}}=\pi_{k} \circ \pi_{g}
$$

as morphisms $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \longrightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$. So the composition of $\pi_{g}$ with the canonical projection $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$-invariant and induces therefore a morphism $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ such that the diagram

commutes, where the vertical maps are the canonical projections. By (1.1.5), using the identifications $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ and $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ with double quotients given by (1.1.6), this morphism $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(\omega, h)] \longmapsto\left[\left(\omega, h g^{-1}\right)\right] \tag{1.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points. Therefore, we have defined $\pi_{g}$ independently of the choice of $I$ and $J$ if all matrix entries of $g$ lie in $\hat{A}$.

If $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ is arbitrary, there is a $\lambda \in A \backslash\{0\}$ such that $\lambda \cdot g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{Mat}_{r}(\hat{A})$. We then define $\pi_{g}:=\pi_{\lambda \cdot g}$. This morphism is independent of the choice of $\lambda$ because we have

$$
\left[\left(\omega, h(\lambda g)^{-1}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\omega, h g^{-1}\right)\right]
$$

in $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ for all $\lambda \in A \backslash\{0\}$ and $[(\omega, h)] \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. In particular, $\pi_{g}$ is still described by (1.1.7) on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points.

The latter implies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{g} \circ \pi_{g^{\prime}}=\pi_{g g^{\prime}} \tag{1.1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for two such morphisms $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and $\pi_{g^{\prime}}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$.
Step (v): For an arbitrary compact open subgroup $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$, we choose a $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ such that $g \mathcal{K} g^{-1} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$. The composition of the rigid-analytic isomorphism (1.1.6)

$$
S_{F, g \mathcal{K} g^{-1}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / g \mathcal{K} g^{-1}\right)
$$

and $[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \mapsto[(\bar{\omega}, h g)]$ gives a rigid-analytic isomorphism

$$
\beta_{g}: S_{F, g \mathcal{K g}^{-1}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \cong \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right) .
$$

For another $g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ with $g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime-1} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$, the diagram

commutes. By the relation (1.1.8), the vertical arrow $\pi_{g^{\prime} g^{-1}}$ is an isomorphism over $F$ with inverse $\pi_{g g^{\prime-1}}$.

Therefore, we can well-define $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ up to $F$-isomorphism as $S_{F, g \mathcal{K}_{g}{ }^{-1}}^{r}$ together with the rigid-analytic isomorphism $\beta_{g}$. Since we have seen in Step (iii) that $S_{F, g K_{g^{-1}}}^{r}$ is a normal affine variety of dimension $r-1$ defined over $F$, the same holds for $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.

Proposition 1.1.3. Let $C$ be a set of representatives in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ for $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$, and set $\Gamma_{g}:=g \mathcal{K} g^{-1} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ for $g \in C$. Then the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\coprod_{g \in C} \Gamma_{g} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right) \\
{[\bar{\omega}]_{g} } & \longmapsto[(\bar{\omega}, g)]
\end{aligned}
$$

is a rigid analytic isomorphism which maps for each $g \in C$ the quotient space $\Gamma_{g} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$ to the $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points of an irreducible component $Y_{g}$ of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

This theorem implies that the irreducible components of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ are disjoint and that $C$ is in bijective correspondence with the set of irreducible components of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ where $g \in C$ corresponds to the irreducible component $Y_{g}$ with $Y_{g}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \cong \Gamma_{g} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$ via the isomorphism given in the theorem.

Proof. The definition of the map is independent of the choice of the representative $\bar{\omega} \in \Omega_{F}^{r}$ because for $\mathrm{gkg}^{-1} \in \Gamma_{g}$ with $k \in \mathcal{K}$ we have

$$
\left[\left(\left(g k g^{-1}\right) \cdot \bar{\omega}, g\right)\right]=\left[\left(\bar{\omega},\left(g k g^{-1}\right)^{-1} g\right)\right]=\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, g k^{-1}\right)\right]=[(\bar{\omega}, g)] .
$$

Let $[(\bar{\omega}, h)]$ be an arbitrary element of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right)$ and $g \in C$ the representative of the double coset of $h$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$. Then there are $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $g=T \cdot h \cdot k$. Hence, the element $[T \cdot \bar{\omega}]_{g}$ of $\Gamma_{g} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$ is mapped to $[(T \cdot \bar{\omega}, T \cdot h \cdot k)]=[(\bar{\omega}, h)]$. This shows the surjectivity.

To show the injectivity consider $\overline{\omega_{1}}, \overline{\omega_{2}} \in \Omega_{F}^{r}$ and $g_{1}, g_{2} \in C$ with $\left[\left(\overline{\omega_{1}}, g_{1}\right)\right]=$ $\left[\left(\overline{\omega_{2}}, g_{2}\right)\right]$. In this case, there are $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $T \cdot \overline{\omega_{1}}=\overline{\omega_{2}}$ and $T \cdot g_{1} \cdot k=g_{2}$. The latter equation implies that $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ lie in the same double coset in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$, hence $g_{1}=g_{2}=: g \in C$. Furthermore we conclude that $T=g \cdot k^{-1} \cdot g^{-1} \in g \mathcal{K} g^{-1} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)=\Gamma_{g}$, which shows that $\left[\overline{\omega_{1}}\right]_{g}=\left[\overline{\omega_{2}}\right]_{g}$ in $\Gamma_{g} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$.

Hence, the considered map is bijective. Since $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$ is viewed as a discrete set, the map is also an isomorphism of rigid analytic spaces. Therefore, it only remains to show that the quotient spaces $\Gamma_{g} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}, g \in C$, are irreducible as rigid-analytic spaces because the irreducible components of the rigid analytification of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ coincide with the rigid analytification of the irreducible components of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ (see, e.g., Theorem 2.3.1 in [8]). Since $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is a normal variety and therefore its rigid analytification a normal rigid analytic space, this is equivalent to the connectedness of the quotient spaces $\Gamma_{g} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$. The latter follows because $\Omega_{F}^{r}$ is a connected rigid-analytic space by Theorem 2.4 in [25].

Definition 1.1.4. For $a \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued point $p=[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ of a Drinfeld modular variety $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ and $\bar{\omega} \in \Omega_{F}^{r}$ associated to $\omega$ : $F_{\infty}^{r} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, the elements of

$$
\operatorname{End}(p):=\left\{u \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}: u \cdot \omega\left(F^{r}\right) \subset \omega\left(F^{r}\right)\right\}
$$

are called endomorphisms of $p$.

Note that $\operatorname{End}(p)$ is well-defined because the homothety class of $\omega\left(F^{r}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ does not depend on the chosen representatives $\omega$ and $h$.

Remark: If $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(I)$ and $p \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ is corresponding to the Drinfeld module $\varphi$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ associated to the lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, then $\omega\left(F^{r}\right)=F \cdot \Lambda$, and therefore

$$
\operatorname{End}(p)=F \cdot \operatorname{End}(\varphi)
$$

for the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}(\varphi) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of $\varphi$.
Lemma 1.1.5. The set $\operatorname{End}(p)$ of endomorphisms of $p$ is a field extension of $F$ contained in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of finite degree dividing $r$.

Proof. Since $V:=\omega\left(F^{r}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is an $F$-subvectorspace of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, the subset $\operatorname{End}(p) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ contains $F$ and is closed under addition and multiplication. Furthermore, multiplicative inverses of elements $0 \neq u \in \operatorname{End}(p)$ also lie in $\operatorname{End}(p)$ because dimension reasons imply that $x \mapsto u \cdot x$ is an automorphism of $V$ with inverse $x \mapsto u^{-1} \cdot x$. Therefore $\operatorname{End}(p)$ is a field extension of $F$ contained in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

Now take an element $0 \neq \xi \in V$. We have $x \cdot \xi \in V$ for all $x \in \operatorname{End}(p)$, hence $F \subset \operatorname{End}(p) \subset \xi^{-1} \cdot V$. This implies

$$
r=\operatorname{dim}_{F}\left(\xi^{-1} \cdot V\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\operatorname{End}(p)}\left(\xi^{-1} \cdot V\right) \cdot[\operatorname{End}(p) / F]
$$

Therefore the field extension $\operatorname{End}(p) / F$ is finite with degree dividing $r$.
Lemma 1.1.6. Each irreducible component $X$ of a Drinfeld modular variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ contains a point $p \in X\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ with $\operatorname{End}(p)=F$.

Proof. Choose $\bar{\omega} \in \Omega_{F}^{r}$ such that $\omega\left(F^{r}\right)=F \oplus F \cdot \xi_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus F \cdot \xi_{r}$ with $\xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{r} \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ algebraically independent over $F$. This is possible because $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ as uncountable field is of infinite transcendence degree over the countable field $F$.

Now choose $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ such that $p:=[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \in X\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ (use the description of the irreducible components of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ given in Proposition 1.1.3). Since $1 \in \omega\left(F^{r}\right)$, we have on the one hand $\operatorname{End}(p) \subseteq \omega\left(F^{r}\right)$. On the other hand, all elements of $\operatorname{End}(p)$ are algebraic over $F$ because the extension $\operatorname{End}(p) / F$ is finite. But by the choice of $\xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{r}$, every element of $\omega\left(F^{r}\right)$ which is algebraic over $F$ lies in $F$. Hence, $\operatorname{End}(p)=F$.

### 1.2 Rank one case

In the case $r=1$ the variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is zero-dimensional and defined over $F$ for any compact open subgroup $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)=\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$. Hence, $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{1}$ consists only of finitely many closed points and it can be set-theoretically identified with $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. By Proposition 0.2.2, the closed points are all defined over $F^{\text {sep }}$ and the absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ acts on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{1}$.

Drinfeld's upper half-space $\Omega_{F}^{1}$ just consists of one point. Therefore, we have

$$
S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{1}=F^{*} \backslash\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} / \mathcal{K}
$$

as a set. Since $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$ is abelian, this set can be identified with the abelian group $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} /\left(F^{*} \cdot \mathcal{K}\right)$.

Since $F^{*} \cdot \mathcal{K}$ is a closed subgroup of finite index of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$, by class field theory, there is a finite abelian extension $H / F$ totally split at $\infty$ such that the Artin map

$$
\psi_{H / F}:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Gal}(H / F)
$$

induces an isomorphism $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} /\left(F^{*} \cdot \mathcal{K}\right) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(H / F)$. In particular we have

$$
\left|S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{1}\right|=[H: F] .
$$

Theorem 1.2.1. If $\psi_{H / F}(g)=\left.\sigma\right|_{H}$ for a $g \in\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$ and a $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$, then the action of $\sigma$ on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{1}=F^{*} \backslash\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} / \mathcal{K}$ is given by multiplication with $g^{-1}$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1 in Section 8 of Drinfeld's article [10]. Note that in this article the action of an element $g \in\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$ on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{1}=F^{*} \backslash\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} / \mathcal{K}$ is given by the morphism $\pi_{g}$, which is given by multiplication with $g^{-1}$.

Corollary 1.2.2. The absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ acts transitively on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{1}$.

## Chapter 2

## Morphisms and Drinfeld modular subvarieties

### 2.1 Projection morphisms and Hecke correspondences

Let $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a fixed Drinfeld modular variety. For each $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ and all compact open subgroups $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$, we have a well-defined map

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) & \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \\
{[(\bar{\omega}, h)] } & \mapsto\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h g^{-1}\right)\right] . \tag{2.1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2.1.1. This map is induced by a unique finite morphism $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow$ $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ defined over $F$ of degree $\left[g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g: \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \cdot\left(\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}\right)\right]$.

Proof. In the case that $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ are contained in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$, we already showed the existence of a morphism $\pi_{g}$ which is described by (2.1.1) on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points in the proof of Theorem 1.1.2. If $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ are arbitrary with $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$, there is an $s \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ with

$$
s \mathcal{K}^{\prime} s^{-1} \subset s g^{-1} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} s^{-1} \subset \operatorname{GL}_{r}(\hat{A}) .
$$

By our definition in the proof of Theorem 1.1.2, we have $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}=S_{F, s \mathcal{K}^{\prime} s^{-1}}^{r}$, where under the identifications of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points introduced in the proof of Theorem 1.1.2

$$
[(\omega, h)] \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left[\left(\omega, h s^{-1}\right)\right] \in S_{F, s \mathcal{K}^{\prime} s^{-1}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)
$$

Similarly, we have $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}=S_{F, s g^{-1} \mathcal{K g s}^{-1}}^{r}$ with

$$
[(\omega, h)] \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \longleftrightarrow\left[\left(\omega, h g s^{-1}\right)\right] \in S_{F, s g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g s^{-1}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)
$$

Using these identifications, we can define the morphism $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ as $\pi_{1}: S_{F, s \mathcal{K}^{\prime} s^{-1}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, s g^{-1} \mathcal{K}_{g s^{-1}}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. Since the latter morphism $\pi_{1}$ is given by $[(\omega, h)] \mapsto[(\omega, h)]$ on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points, by the above identifications $\pi_{g}$ is indeed described by (2.1.1) on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points. So we have shown the existence of the morphism $\pi_{g}$ defined over $F$. It is uniquely determined by (2.1.1) because $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is algebraically closed.

To show finiteness of the morphism $\pi_{g}$ and the statement about its degree, we use Proposition 0.3.1 and 0.3.2. By the above definition of a general morphism $\pi_{g}$, it is enough to show these statements for morphisms of the form $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$.

We first assume that $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\mathcal{K}(I)$ is a principal congruence subgroup. Then $\pi_{1}$ is the canonical projection

$$
S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} / \mathcal{K}
$$

by the construction in the proof of Theorem 1.1.2. By the discussion at the end of Section 0.3 , in this case $\pi_{1}$ is finite of degree $|\rho(\mathcal{K})|=[\mathcal{K}: \operatorname{ker}(\rho)]$ for

$$
\rho: \begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}\right) \\
g & \longmapsto \pi_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have to show that $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)=\mathcal{K}(I) \cdot\left(\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}\right)$. For $g=k \lambda$ with $k \in \mathcal{K}(I)$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}$, we have

$$
\pi_{g}([(\bar{\omega}, h)])=\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h \lambda^{-1} k^{-1}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\overline{\omega \circ \lambda^{-1}}, h\right)\right]=[(\bar{\omega}, h)]
$$

for all $[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$, hence $\mathcal{K}(I) \cdot\left(\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}\right) \subset \operatorname{ker}(\rho)$.
Conversely, assume that $g \in \operatorname{ker}(\rho)$. By Lemma 1.1.6, there is a geometric point $p=[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ with $\operatorname{End}(p)=F$. For this point, we have $[(\bar{\omega}, h)]=\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h g^{-1}\right)\right]$, hence there are $c \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}^{*}, T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}(I)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =c \cdot \omega \circ T^{-1}, \\
h & =T h g^{-1} k .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equality implies that $\omega\left(F^{r}\right)=c \cdot \omega\left(F^{r}\right)$, hence $c \in F^{*}$ because of $\operatorname{End}(p)=F$. The matrix $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ is therefore equal to the scalar matrix $c \in F^{*}$. By the second equality, we conclude that $g=k T$ and $T \in \mathcal{K}$ because $g$ and $k$ both lie in $\mathcal{K}$. Hence, $g \in \mathcal{K}(I) \cdot\left(\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}\right)$ and indeed $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)=\mathcal{K}(I) \cdot\left(\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}\right)$. This concludes the proof for the case $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\mathcal{K}(I)$.

For general subgroups $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \operatorname{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$, choose a proper ideal $I$ of $A$ with $\mathcal{K}(I) \subset \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$. Then we have the following commutative diagram of projection maps:


We have already shown that the morphisms $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ and $\pi_{1}$ : $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ are finite. Therefore, $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is finite of degree

$$
\frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)}{\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}\right)}=\frac{\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K}(I) \cdot\left(\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}\right)\right]}{\left[\mathcal{K}^{\prime}: \mathcal{K}(I) \cdot\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \cap F^{*}\right)\right]}
$$

This is equal to

$$
\frac{\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]}{\left[\mathcal{K}(I) \cdot\left(\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}\right): \mathcal{K}(I) \cdot\left(\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \cap F^{*}\right)\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]}{\left[\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}: \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \cap F^{*}\right]}=\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \cdot\left(\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}\right)\right],
$$

as claimed.
In the following, we call the morphisms $\pi_{g}$ projection morphisms of Drinfeld modular varieties. In the case $g=1$ we also call them canonical projections of Drinfeld modular varieties. For two elements $g, g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ and two subgroups $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime} \subset g^{\prime-1} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{\prime}$, by the description on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{g g^{\prime}}=\pi_{g} \circ \pi_{g^{\prime}} . \tag{2.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.1.2. A compact open subgroup $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ is called amply small if there is a proper ideal $I$ of $A$ and $a g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ such that $g \mathcal{K} g^{-1}$ is contained in the principal congruence subgroup $\mathcal{K}(I) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$.

Proposition 2.1.3. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ be amply small, $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset$ $g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$. Then the morphism $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is étale. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is a normal subgroup of $g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$, it is an étale Galois cover over $F$ with group $g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ where the automorphism of the cover corresponding to a coset $[x] \in$ $g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is given by $\pi_{x}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$.

Proof. We first reduce ourselves to the case $g=1$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}(I) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ for some proper ideal $I$ of $A$. For $\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ and $g$ arbitrary with $\mathcal{K}$ amply small, let
$h \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ and $I$ a proper ideal of $A$ such that $h^{-1} \mathcal{K} h \subset \mathcal{K}(I) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$. By the relation (2.1.2), we have the commutative diagram

where the horizontal morphisms are isomorphisms with $\left(\pi_{h}\right)^{-1}=\pi_{h^{-1}}$ and $\left(\pi_{g^{-1} h}\right)^{-1}=\pi_{h^{-1} g}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is a normal subgroup of $g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$ if and only if $h^{-1} g \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{-1} h$ is a normal subgroup of $h^{-1} \mathcal{K} h$. In this case there is an isomorphism $\alpha: g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g / \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \cong h^{-1} \mathcal{K} h / h^{-1} g \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{-1} h$ given by conjugation by $h^{-1} g$ such that, under the isomorphism $\pi_{g^{-1} h}: S_{F, h^{-1} g \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{-1} h}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ in the above diagram, for $x \in g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$, the automorphism $\pi_{x}$ of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ passes into the automorphism $\pi_{\alpha(x)}$ of $S_{F, h^{-1} g \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{-1} h}^{r}$.

Hence, we can assume w.l.o.g. that $g=1$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}(I) \subset \operatorname{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$.
Case (i): Let $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ be a principal congruence subgroup $\mathcal{K}(J)$ modulo a proper ideal $J$ of $A$, i.e., $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\mathcal{K}(J) \triangleleft \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}(I)$.

Then, by our definition in the proof of Theorem 1.1.2, $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is the canonical projection

$$
S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \longrightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} / \mathcal{K} .
$$

We show that $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(J)$ acts freely on the closed points of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r}$. By Proposition 0.3.2, this implies that this projection is an étale morphism. By the modular interpretation of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r}$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1.2, it is enough to show that the action of $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(J)$ on isomorphism classes of Drinfeld $A$-modules over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ together with $J$-level structure is free.

Indeed, assume that a coset $[k] \in \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(J)$ stabilizes the isomorphism class of the Drinfeld module $\varphi$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ associated to a lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ together with $J$-level structure $\alpha:\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} J^{-1} \cdot \Lambda / \Lambda$. By our definition of the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ on Drinfeld modules with $J$-level structure in the proof of Theorem 1.1.2, the image of $(\varphi, \alpha)$ under $k$ is $\left(\varphi, \alpha \circ k^{-1}\right)$. So there is an automorphism of $\varphi$ given by a $c \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}^{*}$ with $c \cdot \Lambda=\Lambda$ such that the diagram

commutes. Since $c$ is an automorphism of $\varphi$, it is an element of the group of units of the endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}(\varphi)$. By Theorem 4.9 (2) in [9] the latter
is an order in a finite extension $F^{\prime}$ of $F$ in which there is exactly one place $\infty^{\prime}$ above $\infty$. In particular, $\operatorname{End}(\varphi)$ is contained in the integral closure $A^{\prime}$ of $A$ in $F^{\prime}$ which is equal to all elements of $F^{\prime}$ regular outside $\infty^{\prime}$. This implies $c \in A^{\prime *}$, hence $c$ is an element of the field of constants of $F^{\prime}$.

Now assume $c \neq 1$. Then there is an $n \geq 1$ such that $(c-1)^{q^{n}-1}=1$ because $c-1$ is a nonzero element of the finite field of constants of $F^{\prime}$ containing $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Therefore, $c-1$ is an element of the group of units of $\operatorname{End}(\varphi)$, i.e., $(c-1) \Lambda=\Lambda$. However, by the assumption $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}(I)$, the restriction of $k^{-1}$ to $\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r}$ is the identity, hence by the commutativity of the diagram $c$ is the identity on $I^{-1} \cdot \Lambda / \Lambda$. Therefore, for all $x \in I^{-1} \cdot \Lambda$, we have $(c-1) \cdot x=c x-x \in \Lambda$. This contradicts $(c-1) \cdot \Lambda=\Lambda$ because $I^{-1} \cdot \Lambda \subsetneq \Lambda$.

Hence, we have $c=1$ and, by the commutative diagram above, $k^{-1}$ : $\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \rightarrow\left(J^{-1} / A\right)^{r}$ is the identity, i.e., $k \in \mathcal{K}(J)$ and $[k]=1 \in \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(J)$.

So we have shown that $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}=S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} / \mathcal{K}$ is an étale cover. The group $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(J)$ injects into the automorphism group over $F$ of this cover via $[k] \mapsto$ $\pi_{k}$. Furthermore, by Corollary 3.13 in [26], an element of the automorphism group over $F$ of this cover is uniquely determined by the image of one geometric point. Since the cover is of degree $[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K}(J)]$ by Theorem 2.1.1 (note that $\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}=\{1\}$ because of $\left.\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}(I)\right)$, there are only $[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K}(J)]$ possibilities for the image of one geometric point. Hence, the automorphism group over $F$ of the cover must be equal to $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(J)$ and the automorphism corresponding to a coset $[k] \in \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(J)$ is given by $\pi_{k}$. The cover is Galois with group $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(J)$ (over $F$ ) because this group acts simply transitively on the geometric fibers.

Case (ii): Let $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ be an arbitrary normal subgroup of $\mathcal{K}$, i.e., $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \triangleleft \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}(I)$.
Choose a proper ideal $J$ of $A$ such that $\mathcal{K}(J) \subset \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ and note that the diagram

commutes. Since $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is normal in $\mathcal{K}$, the action of $\mathcal{K}$ on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r}$ induces an action of $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ on the quotient $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}=S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$. By the commutativity of the diagram, the variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is the quotient of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ under this action. Furthermore, this action is free on the closed points of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ because $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}(J)$ acts freely on the closed points of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r}$. Therefore, we conclude by the same arguments
as above that $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is an étale cover with group $\mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ where the automorphism of the cover corresponding to a coset $[k] \in \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is given by $\pi_{k}$.

Case (iii): Let $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ be an arbitrary subgroup of $\mathcal{K}$, i.e., $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}(I)$.
As in case (ii) above, choose a proper ideal $J$ of $A$ such that $\mathcal{K}(J) \subset \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$. The diagram above then also commutes and $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ and $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \rightarrow$ $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ are surjective étale morphisms by case (i). Furthermore, $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r}$ is a nonsingular variety as explained in step (i) of the proof of Theorem 1.1.2.

Proposition 17.3.3.1 in EGA IV [19] says that if $X \rightarrow Y$ is a flat, surjective morphism of schemes and $X$ is regular, then $Y$ is also regular. Therefore, $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ are both non-singular varieties.

By Proposition 10.4 in [22], a morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of non-singular varieties of the same dimension over an algebraically closed field is étale if and only if, for every closed point $x \in X$, the induced map $T_{x} \rightarrow T_{f(x)}$ on Zariski tangent spaces is an isomorphism. We can apply this criterion because $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r}, S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ are all non-singular. Since the morphisms $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ and $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(J)}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ are étale, the commutativity of the above diagram therefore implies that $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is étale.

Corollary 2.1.4. If $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ is amply small, then the Drinfeld modular variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is non-singular.

Proof. See case (iii) of the above proof of Proposition 2.1.3.
Definition 2.1.5 (Hecke correspondence). For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{g}:=\mathcal{K} \cap$ $g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$ the diagram

is called the Hecke correspondence $T_{g}$ associated to $g$. For subvarieties $Z \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ we define

$$
T_{g}(Z):=\pi_{g}\left(\pi_{1}^{-1}(Z)\right)
$$

Note that $T_{g}(Z)$ is a subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ for any subvariety $Z \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ because $\pi_{g}$ is finite and hence proper. By Theorem 2.1.1, the degree of the morphism $\pi_{1}$ equals

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{1}\right)=\left[\mathcal{K}:\left(\mathcal{K} \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g\right) \cdot\left(\mathcal{K} \cap F^{*}\right)\right]=\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g\right] .
$$

It is called the degree $\operatorname{deg} T_{g}$ of the Hecke correspondence $T_{g}$.

### 2.2 Inclusions and Drinfeld modular subvarieties

Let $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a given Drinfeld modular variety. We consider the following datum:

- A finite extension $F^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of $F$ of degree $r / r^{\prime}$ for some integer $r^{\prime} \geq 1$ with only one place $\infty^{\prime}$ lying over $\infty$, and
- an $\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $b:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$.

Furthermore, we choose an isomorphism

$$
\varphi: F^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\prime r^{\prime}}
$$

of vector spaces over $F$. By scalar extension to $F_{\infty}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$ it induces isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{\infty}^{r} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_{\infty^{\prime}}^{\prime r^{\prime}} \\
\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} & \xrightarrow{\varphi}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which we also denote by $\varphi$. We now define a morphism from the lower-rank Drinfeld modular variety $S^{\prime}=S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ with $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}:=\left(b \mathcal{K} b^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ into $S$ :

Theorem 2.2.1. There is a finite morphism $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ defined over $F^{\prime}$ which on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points is given by the injective map

$$
\begin{align*}
S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) & \longrightarrow  \tag{2.2.1}\\
{\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}\right)\right] } & \longmapsto
\end{align*} \int_{\left.\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi}, \varphi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ b\right)\right],}
$$

where $\overline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \Omega_{F^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ and $h^{\prime} \in \operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$. The morphism $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is independent of the choice of $\varphi: F^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\prime r^{\prime}}$.

Proof. We denote by $A^{\prime}$ the integral closure of $A$ in $F^{\prime}$. Since there is only one place $\infty^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ above $\infty$, this is the ring of elements of $F^{\prime}$ regular away from $\infty^{\prime}$.

Case (i): We first consider the case where $b\left(\widehat{A}^{r}\right)=\widehat{A}^{r^{\prime}}$ and $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(I)$ is a principal congruence subgroup modulo a proper ideal $I$ of $A$. In this case, $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\left(b \mathcal{K} b^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ is the principal congruence subgroup modulo $I^{\prime}:=I A^{\prime}$. Indeed $\left(b \mathcal{K}(I) b^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ exactly consists of the elements of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ which stabilize $b\left(\widehat{A}^{r}\right)$ and induce the identity on the quotient $b\left(\widehat{A}^{r}\right) / I \cdot b\left(\widehat{A}^{r}\right)$. These are exactly the elements of the principal congruence subgroup $\mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right) \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ because of $b\left(\widehat{A}^{r}\right)=\widehat{A}^{r^{r^{\prime}}}$.

In this situation, $b$ induces an $A$-linear isomorphism $\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(I^{\prime-1} / A^{\prime}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$, which we again denote by $b$. Therefore, for a Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-module $(\mathcal{L}, \varphi)$ of rank $r^{\prime}$ over an $F^{\prime}$-scheme with $I^{\prime}$-level structure

$$
\alpha: \underline{\left(I^{\prime-1} / A^{\prime}\right)^{r^{\prime}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_{I^{\prime}},
$$

the restriction $\left(\mathcal{L},\left.\varphi\right|_{A}\right)$ to $A \subset A^{\prime}$ is a Drinfeld $A$-module of rank $r=r^{\prime} \cdot\left[F^{\prime} / F\right]$ over $S$ and the composition

$$
\underline{\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r}} \xrightarrow{b} \underline{\left(I^{\prime-1} / A^{\prime}\right)^{r^{\prime}}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{I}
$$

is an $I$-level structure on $\left(\mathcal{L},\left.\varphi\right|_{A}\right)$ (note that the $I$-torsion subgroup scheme $\mathcal{L}_{I}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ coincides with the $I^{\prime}$-torsion subgroup scheme $\mathcal{L}_{I^{\prime}}$ because $I$ generates $I^{\prime}$ as an ideal of $\left.A^{\prime}\right)$. The assignment

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{L}, \varphi, \alpha) \longmapsto\left(\mathcal{L},\left.\varphi\right|_{A}, \alpha \circ b\right) \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a morphism of functors from $\mathcal{F}_{F^{\prime}, I^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ to the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_{F, I}^{r}$ to the subcategory of $F^{\prime}$-schemes (see step (i) of the proof of Theorem 1.1.2 for the definition of these functors). Therefore, we have a morphism

$$
\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}} \longrightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}
$$

defined over $F^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 in [5], it is a proper morphism which is injective on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points. Since $S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}$ and $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ are both affine schemes of finite type over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, the morphism $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is therefore a proper morphism of finite presentation with finite fibers. This implies that $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is finite by Theorem 8.11.1 of EGA IV [20].

We now check that $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is given by (2.2.1) on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points. By our discussion in the proof of Theorem 1.1.2, a $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued point $p=\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}\right)\right] \in$ $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ corresponds to the Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-module associated to the $A^{\prime}$-lattice

$$
\Lambda:=\omega^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime r^{\prime}} \cap h^{\prime} \widehat{A}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}
$$

of rank $r^{\prime}$ with $I^{\prime}$-level structure given by the composition

$$
\left(I^{\prime-1} / A^{\prime}\right)^{r^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{h^{\prime}} I^{\prime-1} \cdot\left(F^{\prime r^{\prime}} \cap h^{\prime} \widehat{A}^{r^{\prime}}\right) /\left(F^{\prime r^{\prime}} \cap h^{\prime} \widehat{A}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \xrightarrow{\omega^{\prime}} I^{\prime-1} \cdot \Lambda / \Lambda .
$$

The $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued point $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}(p) \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ corresponds to the restriction of this Drinfeld module to $A$, which is associated to the same $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ (considered as $A$-lattice), together with the composition of $\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{b}\left(I^{\prime-1} / A^{\prime}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ with the above level structure (note that $I^{\prime-1} \cdot \Lambda=I^{-1} \cdot \Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ ). Because of

$$
\Lambda=\omega^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime r^{\prime}} \cap h^{\prime} \widehat{A}^{r^{\prime}}\right)=\left(\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)\left(F^{r} \cap\left(\varphi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ b\right) \widehat{A}^{r}\right),
$$

we indeed have $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}(p)=\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi}, \varphi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ b\right)\right] \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$.
Case (ii): For $b:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ arbitrary, we choose

- a $g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ with $g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{\prime-1} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{A^{\prime}}\right)$,
- an $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $b^{\prime}:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ with $b^{\prime}\left(\widehat{A}^{r}\right)=\widehat{A^{\prime}}$,
- a proper ideal $I$ of $A$ with $\mathcal{K}(I) \subset g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$, where $g:=b^{-1} \circ g^{\prime-1} \circ b^{\prime} \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$.

Then $g^{\prime} \circ b=b^{\prime} \circ g^{-1}$, hence

$$
g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{\prime-1}=\left(b^{\prime} g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g b^{\prime-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right) \supset\left(b^{\prime} \mathcal{K}(I) b^{\prime-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)=\mathcal{K}\left(I A^{\prime}\right),
$$

and by case (i) and Theorem 2.1.1, the composition of morphisms

$$
S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I A^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\stackrel{\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{\prime}}{\longrightarrow}} S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r} \xrightarrow{\pi_{g}} S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}
$$

is defined and finite. Because of

$$
\left(g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{\prime-1}\right) b^{\prime} g^{-1}=b^{\prime} g^{-1}\left(b^{-1} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} b\right) \subset b^{\prime} g^{-1} \mathcal{K}
$$

this composition is invariant under the action of $g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{\prime-1}$ on $S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I A^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}$. Hence, it induces a finite morphism $f: S_{F^{\prime}, g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{\prime-1}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ such that the diagram

commutes. We can now define $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}:=f \circ \pi_{g^{\prime}}$, where $\pi_{g^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F^{\prime}, g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{\prime-1}}^{r^{\prime}}$. For $\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}\right)\right] \in S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ we indeed have

$$
\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)=\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi}, \varphi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} g^{\prime-1} \circ b^{\prime} \circ g^{-1}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi}, \varphi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ b\right)\right],
$$

independently of the choice of $\varphi: F^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ and the representative $\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\Omega_{F^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$. This also shows that our definition of $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is independent of the choice of $g^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ and $I$.

It remains only to prove that $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is injective on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points, i.e., that the map (2.2.1) is injective. For this, consider two elements $\left[\left(\overline{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}, h_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]$, $\left[\left(\overline{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}, h_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ of $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ with $\overline{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}, \overline{\omega_{2}^{\prime}} \in \Omega_{F^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ associated to $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}: F_{\infty^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ and $h_{1}^{\prime}, h_{2}^{\prime} \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ which are mapped to the same element of $S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. This means that there exist $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that
(i) $\overline{\omega_{1}^{\prime} \circ \varphi \circ T^{-1}}=\overline{\omega_{2}^{\prime} \circ \varphi}$,
(ii) $T\left(\varphi^{-1} \circ h_{1}^{\prime} \circ b\right) k=\varphi^{-1} \circ h_{2}^{\prime} \circ b$.

By (i) there is a $\rho \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}^{*}$ such that the diagram

commutes. Since the maps $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \rho$ are injective and $F^{\prime}$-linear, this implies that the $F$-linear automorphism $T^{\prime}:=\varphi \circ T \circ \varphi^{-1}$ of $F^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ is also $F^{\prime}$-linear and lies in $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, we have $T^{\prime} \cdot \overline{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}=\overline{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}$, i.e., $\overline{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}$ and $\overline{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}$ lie in the same $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$-orbit.

Equation (ii) implies that $T^{\prime} h_{1}^{\prime}\left(b \circ k \circ b^{-1}\right)=h_{2}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$. Since $h_{1}^{\prime}, h_{2}^{\prime}$ and $T^{\prime}$ all lie in $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$, we conclude that

$$
b \circ k \circ b^{-1} \in \mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\left(b \mathcal{K} b^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right),
$$

i.e., $\left[\left(\overline{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}, h_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\overline{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}, h_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ in $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$.

Since the morphism $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ is injective on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points, we call it an inclusion of Drinfeld modular varieties (by a slight abuse of terminology). If $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ is amply small (in the sense of Definition 2.1.2), we can show that it is in fact a closed immersion:

Proposition 2.2.2. Let $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be an inclusion of Drinfeld modular varieties with $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ amply small. Then $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ is also amply small and $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is a closed immersion of varieties.

Before giving the proof of Proposition 2.2.2, we summarize the description of the tangent spaces at the closed points of a Drinfeld modular variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(I)$ for a proper ideal $I$ of $A$ given in [17].

We use for $a \in A$ the notation

$$
\operatorname{deg} a:=\log _{q}(|A /(a)|)
$$

and denote by $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\{\{\tau\}\}$ the ring of formal non-commutative power series in the variable $\tau$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ and the commutator rule $\tau \lambda=\lambda^{q} \tau$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

Definition 2.2.3. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\{\tau\}$ be a Drinfeld module over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of rank $r$. An $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear map $\eta: A \rightarrow \tau \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\{\tau\}$ is called a derivation with respect to $\varphi$ if, for all $a, b \in A$, the derivation rule

$$
\eta_{a b}=a \eta_{b}+\eta_{a} \circ \varphi_{b}
$$

is satisfied. Such a derivation is called reduced resp. strictly reduced if it satisfies $\operatorname{deg}_{\tau} \eta_{a} \leq r \cdot \operatorname{deg} a$ resp. $\operatorname{deg}_{\tau} \eta_{a}<r \cdot \operatorname{deg} a$ for all $a \in A$. The space of reduced resp. strictly reduced derivations $A \rightarrow \tau \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\{\tau\}$ with respect to $\varphi$ is denoted by $D_{r}(\varphi)$ resp. $D_{s r}(\varphi)$.

Theorem 2.2.4. Let $x$ be a $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued point of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ corresponding to a Drinfeld $A$-module $\varphi$ with I-level structure $\alpha$. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{x}\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{s r}(\varphi) \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.
Proof. This follows from the discussion in the proof of Theorem 6.11 in [17] and the lemmata before this proof.

The isomorphism (2.2.3) is given as follows: A tangent vector $\xi \in T_{x}\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}\right)$ is an element of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\varepsilon] /\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right)$ which projects to $x \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ under the canonical projection $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\varepsilon] /\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. It corresponds to the isomorphism class of a Drinfeld $A$-module over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\varepsilon] /\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ with $I$-level structure which projects to $(\varphi, \alpha)$ under the canonical projection $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\varepsilon] /\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. There is a unique Drinfeld $A$-module $\tilde{\varphi}$ in this isomorphism class such that, for all $a \in A$,

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{a}=\varphi_{a}+\varepsilon \cdot \eta_{a}
$$

where $a \mapsto \eta_{a}$ is a strictly reduced derivation with respect to $\varphi$. The tangent vector $\xi$ is mapped to this strictly reduced derivation under (2.2.3).

Theorem 2.2.5. Let $\varphi$ be the Drinfeld $A$-module over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ associated to an A-lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. Then there is a natural isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{r}(\varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) . \tag{2.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-linear subspace $D_{s r}(\varphi) \subset D_{r}(\varphi)$ is mapped to a subspace of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ which is a complement of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \cdot \mathrm{id}$, where $\mathrm{id}: \Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is the canonical inclusion.

Proof. See Theorem 5.14 in [16] and Theorem 6.10 in [16].

The isomorphism (2.2.4) is called de Rham isomorphism and can be described as follows: Let $\eta$ be a reduced derivation with respect to $\varphi$. Then, for all nonconstant $a \in A$, there is a unique solution $F_{\eta} \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\{\{\tau\}\}$ satisfying the difference equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\eta}(a z)-a F_{\eta}(z)=\eta_{a}\left(e_{\Lambda}(z)\right) \tag{2.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
e_{\Lambda}(z)=z \cdot \prod_{0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda}(1-z / \lambda)
$$

denotes the exponential function associated to the lattice $\Lambda$. This solution is independent of the choice of $a \in A$ and defines an entire function $\mathbb{C}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ which restricts to an $A$-linear map $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. The reduced derivation $\eta$ is mapped to $\left.F_{\eta}\right|_{\Lambda}$ under (2.2.4).

Proof of Proposition 2.2.2. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2.1, we denote the integral closure of $A$ in $F^{\prime}$ by $A^{\prime}$.

We first show that $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\left(b \mathcal{K} b^{-1}\right) \cap G L_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ is amply small. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small, there is a proper ideal $I$ of $A$ and a $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ such that $g \mathcal{K} g^{-1} \subset \mathcal{K}(I)$. Therefore $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is contained in the subgroup

$$
\left(b g^{-1} \mathcal{K}(I) g b^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)
$$

of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$. This subgroup exactly consists of all elements of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ which stabilize $\Lambda:=b g^{-1}\left(\hat{A}^{r}\right) \subset\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ and induce the identity on $\Lambda / I \cdot \Lambda$. Since these
 the identity on $\Lambda^{\prime} / I \cdot \Lambda^{\prime}$. Since $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a finitely generated $\widehat{A^{\prime}}$-submodule of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ with $\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f} \cdot \Lambda^{\prime}=\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ and $\widehat{A}^{\prime}$ is a direct product of principal ideal domains, $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a free $\widehat{A^{\prime}}$-module of rank $r^{\prime}$. Hence, there is a $g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ such that $\Lambda^{\prime}=g^{\prime} \widehat{A}^{r^{\prime}}$ and

$$
\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset\left(b g^{-1} \mathcal{K}(I) g b^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right) \subset g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right) g^{\prime-1}
$$

for $I^{\prime}:=I A^{\prime}$. This implies that $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is amply small.
To show that $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is a closed immersion, we use the following criterion given in Proposition 12.94 of [21]:

A proper morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of varieties over an algebraically closed field $K$ is a closed immersion if and only if the map $X(K) \rightarrow Y(K)$ induced by $f$ is injective and, for all $x \in X(K)$, the induced map on Zariski tangent spaces $T_{x}(X) \rightarrow T_{f(x)}(Y)$ is injective.

Since the morphism $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is finite and injective on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points by Theorem 2.2.1, it is proper and we therefore only have to show that, for all $x \in$
$S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$, the induced map on Zariski tangent spaces $\iota_{F, b_{*}}^{F^{\prime}}: T_{x}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow$ $T_{t_{F, b},(x)}\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)$ is injective.

Case (i): As in the proof of Theorem 2.2.1, we first consider the case where $b\left(\hat{A}^{r}\right)=\widehat{A}^{r^{r^{\prime}}}$ and $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(I)$ is a principal congruence subgroup modulo a proper ideal $I$ of $A$. In this case, we have $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ with $I^{\prime}:=I A^{\prime}$. We can therefore use the description of the tangent spaces given above.

Let $x \in S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ be a point corresponding to the Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-module $\varphi$ associated to an $A^{\prime}$-lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of rank $r^{\prime}$ with $I^{\prime}$-level structure. Since we defined $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ by restricting Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-modules to Drinfeld $A$-modules, the point $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}(x) \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ corresponds to the Drinfeld $A$-module $\left.\varphi\right|_{A}$ associated to the same $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ considered as $A$-lattice of rank $r$ with some $I$-level structure. We can therefore consider the following diagram

where the vertical arrow in the middle denotes the restriction of derivations from $A^{\prime}$ to $A$ and the one at the right the canonical inclusion. The left square of the diagram commutes by the definition of (2.2.3) because $\iota_{F, b_{*}}^{F^{\prime}}$ has the modular interpretation of restricting Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-modules over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\varepsilon] /\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ to $A$. The right square also commutes because the unique solution of (2.2.5) is independent of $a \in A^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda$ as an $A^{\prime}$-lattice has the same exponential function as $\Lambda$ as an $A$-lattice.

Hence, the diagram commutes and, since the right vertical arrow is an injective map, also the other two are injective maps. In particular, the induced map $\iota_{F, b_{*}}^{F^{\prime}}$ between tangent spaces is injective.

Case (ii): Let $b:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ be arbitrary and $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ be an arbitrary amply small subgroup. Then, by the construction in the proof of Theorem 2.2.1, there is

- a $g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ with $g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{\prime-1} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{A^{\prime}}\right)$,
- an $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $b^{\prime}:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ with $b^{\prime}\left(\widehat{A}^{r}\right)=\widehat{A^{r^{\prime}}}$,
- a proper ideal $I$ of $A$ with $\mathcal{K}(I) \subset g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$, where $g:=b^{-1} \circ g^{\prime-1} \circ b^{\prime} \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$
such that the diagram

with $I^{\prime}:=I A^{\prime}$ commutes. By Proposition 2.1.3 and Corollary 2.1.4, the projection maps $\pi_{g^{\prime-1}}$ and $\pi_{g}$ in this diagram are étale morphisms between non-singular varieties because $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are amply small. Hence, they induce isomorphisms on tangent spaces of closed points (Proposition 10.4 in [22]). By case (i), the upper horizontal arrow $\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime}}$ induces injections on tangent spaces of closed points. Therefore, the commutativity of the diagram implies that, for all $x \in S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$, the induced map $\iota_{F, b_{*}}^{F^{\prime}}: T_{x}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow T_{\iota_{F, b}(x)}\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)$ is injective.

The image of an inclusion $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ of Drinfeld modular varieties is a subvariety of $S$ because finite morphisms are proper.

Definition 2.2.6. A subvariety of $S$ of the form $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ for an inclusion $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is called a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$. An irreducible component of a Drinfeld modular subvariety over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is called a special subvariety and a special subvariety of dimension 0 a special point.
Proposition 2.2.7. Let $\iota_{F, b_{1}}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S$ and $\iota_{F, b_{2}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}: S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow S$ be two inclusions of Drinfeld modular varieties with $F^{\prime \prime} \subset F^{\prime}$. Then for a $\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $c:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=c \circ b_{2} \circ k \tag{2.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $k \in \mathcal{K}$, the diagram

commutes.
Proof. First note that we have

$$
\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\left(b \mathcal{K} b^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)=\left(c \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime} c^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)
$$

by the definition of $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}$ and equation (2.2.6). Therefore, there is an inclusion $\iota_{F^{\prime \prime}, c}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$.

Now we choose an $F$-linear isomorphism $\varphi: F^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\prime \prime \prime r^{\prime \prime}}$ and an $F^{\prime \prime}$-linear isomorphism $\psi: F^{\prime \prime \prime} r^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\prime r^{\prime}}$. The following calculation on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points shows the commutativity of the depicted diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{F, b_{2}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(\iota_{F^{\prime \prime}, c}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)\right) & =\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \psi \circ \varphi}, \varphi^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ c \circ b_{2}\right]\right. \\
& =\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \psi \circ \varphi}, \varphi^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ b_{1} \circ k^{-1}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ(\psi \circ \varphi)},(\psi \circ \varphi)^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ b_{1}\right)\right]=\iota_{F, b_{1}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.2.8. Let $\tilde{K} \subset \mathcal{K}$ be an open subgroup and $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ the corresponding canonical projection. Then the following holds:
(i) For each Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime} \subset S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$, the image $\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.
(ii) For each Drinfeld modular subvariety $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$, the preimage $\pi_{1}^{-1}(X)$ is a finite union of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$.

Proof. For (i), assume that $X^{\prime}$ is the image of the inclusion $\tilde{\iota}_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow$ $S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$ associated to the datum $\left(F^{\prime}, b\right)$ and consider the inclusion morphism $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ : $S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ associated to the same datum. The diagram

with $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{1}$ the respective canonical projections as defined in Section 2.1 commutes by definition of the inclusion morphisms. Hence,

$$
\pi_{1}\left(X^{\prime}\right)=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{1}^{\prime}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)\right)=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)
$$

is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.
For (ii), choose a set of representatives $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l} \in \mathcal{K}$ for the left cosets $\mathcal{K} / \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ and consider the inclusion morphisms $\iota_{F, \text { bok }}^{F_{i}}: ~ S_{F^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{i}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$ associated to $\left(F^{\prime}, b \circ k_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, l$. By the definition of the inclusion morphisms we have

$$
\pi_{1}^{-1}(X)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \iota_{F, b o k_{i}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{i}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right),
$$

hence $\pi_{1}^{-1}(X)$ is a finite union of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$.

Lemma 2.2.9. For an inclusion $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$, we have

$$
\operatorname{End}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{End}\left(\nu_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

for all $p^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$.
Remark: This is an equality of subfields of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ and not just an abstract isomorphism of fields.

Proof. This follows from the definition of $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ because $\left(\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi\right)\left(F^{r}\right)=\omega^{\prime}\left(F^{\prime r^{\prime}}\right)$ for $\overline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \Omega_{F^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ and an $F$-linear isomorphism $\varphi: F^{r} \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} F^{\prime r^{\prime}}$.

Now we give a criterion under which two Drinfeld modular subvarieties are contained in each other.

Proposition 2.2.10. Let $X^{\prime}=\iota_{F, b_{1}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ and $X^{\prime \prime}=\iota_{F, b_{2}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ be two Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $X^{\prime}$ is contained in $X^{\prime \prime}$.
(ii) There is an irreducible component of $X^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ which is contained in $X^{\prime \prime}$.
(iii) $F^{\prime \prime} \subset F^{\prime}$ and there exist $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and an $\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $c$ : $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ such that $b_{1}=c \circ b_{2} \circ k$.

Proof. We write $S^{\prime}=S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ and $S^{\prime \prime}=S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$.
The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is trivial.
For (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) assume that $\iota_{F, b_{1}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \subset \iota_{F, b_{2}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for an irreducible component $Y^{\prime}$ of $S^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. By Lemma 1.1.6 there is a $p^{\prime}=\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}\right)\right] \in Y^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ with $\operatorname{End}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=F^{\prime}$. Now let $\iota_{F, b_{1}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\iota_{F, b_{2}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for a suitable $p^{\prime \prime}=\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime \prime}}, h^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] \in$ $S^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. Lemma 1.1.5 and 2.2.9 yield

$$
F^{\prime}=\operatorname{End}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{End}\left(\iota_{F, b_{1}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{End}\left(\iota_{F, b_{2}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{End}\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right) \supset F^{\prime \prime}
$$

Because of $\iota_{F, b_{1}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\iota_{F, b_{2}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(p^{\prime \prime}\right)$ we have

$$
\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi_{1}}, \varphi_{1}^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ b_{1}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime \prime} \circ \varphi_{2}}, \varphi_{2}^{-1} \circ h^{\prime \prime} \circ b_{2}\right)\right]
$$

for $F$-linear isomorphisms $\varphi_{1}: F^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\prime \prime r^{\prime}}$ and $\varphi_{2}: F^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\prime \prime r^{\prime \prime}}$. Hence, there are $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

1. $\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi_{1}}=\overline{\omega^{\prime \prime} \circ \varphi_{2} \circ T^{-1}}$,
2. $\varphi_{1}^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ b_{1}=T\left(\varphi_{2}^{-1} \circ h^{\prime \prime} \circ b_{2}\right) k$.

Because of 1. and $F^{\prime \prime} \subset F^{\prime}$, one concludes as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.1 that the $F$-linear isomorphism $\psi:=\varphi_{1} \circ T \circ \varphi_{2}^{-1}: F^{\prime \prime r^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow F^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ is $F^{\prime \prime}$-linear.

We set $c:=b_{1} \circ k^{-1} \circ b_{2}^{-1}:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$. By 2. this is equal to

$$
c=h^{\prime-1} \circ \varphi_{1} \circ T \circ \varphi_{2}^{-1} \circ h^{\prime \prime}=h^{\prime-1} \circ \psi \circ h^{\prime \prime} .
$$

Since $\psi$ is $F^{\prime \prime}$-linear and $F^{\prime \prime} \subset F^{\prime}$ we conclude that $c$ is an $\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}$-linear isomorphism. Furthermore, we have $b_{1}=c \circ b_{2} \circ k$ by the definition of $c$, which shows (iii).

The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from Proposition 2.2.7.
Corollary 2.2.11. Let $X^{\prime}=\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ be a fixed Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$. Then the assignment

$$
X \longmapsto \iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}(X)
$$

is a bijection from the set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$ to the set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ contained in $X^{\prime}$.

Proof. Since $\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}$ is injective on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points, it is enough to show that
(i) $\quad \iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}(X)$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$ for each Drinfeld modular subvariety $X$ of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$,
(ii) $\quad\left(\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{-1}(X)$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$ for every Drinfeld modular subvariety $X \subset X^{\prime}$ of $S$.
For (i), let $X=\iota_{F^{\prime \prime}, c}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ be a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$. The map

$$
b:=c \circ b^{\prime}:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}
$$

is an $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$-linear isomorphism, hence we can apply Proposition 2.2.7 to conclude that

$$
\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}(X)=\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(\iota_{F^{\prime \prime}, c}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)\right.
$$

is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.
For (ii), let $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ be a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$ which is contained in $X^{\prime}$. By Proposition 2.2.10, we have $F \subset F^{\prime \prime} \subset F^{\prime}$ and there are an $\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $c:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime \prime}} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ and a $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$
b=c \circ b^{\prime} \circ k .
$$

By Proposition 2.2.7 the diagram

commutes. Therefore, $\left(\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{-1}(X)=\iota_{F^{\prime \prime}, c}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$.

From Proposition 2.2.10, the following criterion for equality of Drinfeld modular subvarieties follows:

Corollary 2.2.12. Let $X^{\prime}=\iota_{F, b_{1}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ and $X^{\prime \prime}=\iota_{F, b_{2}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ be two Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$. The following statements are equivalent:
(i) $X^{\prime}=X^{\prime \prime}$.
(ii) $X^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$ have a common irreducible component over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.
(iii) $\quad F^{\prime}=F^{\prime \prime}$ (hence $r^{\prime}=r^{\prime \prime}$ ) and there exist $s \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $b_{1}=s \circ b_{2} \circ k$.

In particular, each special subvariety of $S$ is an irreducible component over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of a unique Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$.

Corollary 2.2.13. For a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime} \subset S$ there is a unique extension $F^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of $F$ and a unique conjugacy class $C$ of compact open subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ with $r^{\prime}=r /\left[F^{\prime} / F\right]$ such that $F^{\prime \prime}=F^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime} \in C$ for all inclusions $\iota_{F, c}^{F^{\prime \prime}}: S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow S$ with image $X^{\prime}$.

Proof. By definition, $X^{\prime}$ is the image of some inclusion $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S$. For any other inclusion $\iota_{F, c}^{F^{\prime \prime}}: S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow S$ with image $X^{\prime}$, Corollary 2.2.12 implies $F^{\prime \prime}=F^{\prime}$ and $b=s \circ c \circ k$ for suitable $s \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$. The latter implies $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=s \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime} s^{-1}$, i.e., $\mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}$ lies in the conjugacy class of $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$.

The preceding corollary allows us to make the following definition:

Definition 2.2.14. For a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ of $S$, the extension $F^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of $F$ is called the reflex field of $X^{\prime}$ and the index of $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ in a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ is called the index of $X^{\prime}$ and is denoted by $i\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, the product

$$
D\left(X^{\prime}\right):=\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right| \cdot i\left(X^{\prime}\right),
$$

where $\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ denotes the class group of $A^{\prime} \subset F^{\prime}$, is called the predegree of $X^{\prime}$.
By Corollary 2.2.12, each special subvariety of $S$ is an irreducible component of a unique Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$. This allows us to define the reflex field of a special subvariety:
Definition 2.2.15. For a special subvariety $V$ of $S$ which is an irreducible component of a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}$ of $S$, the reflex field of $V$ is defined to be the reflex field of $X^{\prime}$.

If $\mathcal{K}=\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$, we can characterize the set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ with a given reflex field $F^{\prime}$ in terms of $\hat{A}$-lattices in $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ :

Proposition 2.2.16. Assume that $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with $\mathcal{K}=\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ and let $F^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ be an extension of $F$ of degree $r / r^{\prime}$ for some integer $r^{\prime} \geq 1$ with only one place $\infty^{\prime}$ lying over $\infty$. Then the set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ with reflex field $F^{\prime}$ is in bijective correspondence with the set of orbits of the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ on the set of free $\hat{A}$-submodules of rank $r$ of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ via the assignment

$$
\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \longmapsto \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right) \cdot b\left(\hat{A}^{r}\right)
$$

Proof. For two Drinfeld modular subvarieties $\iota_{F, b_{1}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\iota_{F, b_{2}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(S_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of $S$ with reflex field $F^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right) \cdot b_{1}\left(\hat{A}^{r}\right)=\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right) \cdot b_{2}\left(\hat{A}^{r}\right)
$$

if and only if there exist $s \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}=\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ with $b_{1}=$ $s \circ b_{2}^{-1} \circ k$ because the stabilizer of $\hat{A}^{r}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ is exactly $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$. Hence, the assignment $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right) \cdot \varphi\left(m^{-1} \hat{A}^{r}\right)$ is well-defined and injective by Corollary 2.2.12. Furthermore, its image is exactly equal to the set of orbits of the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ on free $\hat{A}$-submodules of rank $r$ of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$.
Proposition 2.2.17. The natural action of the absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ defined in Proposition 0.2.1 on the set of subvarieties of $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ which are defined over $\bar{F}$ restricts to an action on the set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$. For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ and a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$, the Galois conjugate $\sigma(X)$ is given by $\iota_{F, \sigma \circ b}^{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}\left(S_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right), \sigma \circ \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$.

Remark: In the above formula for the Galois conjugate $\sigma(X)$, the $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ obtained by tensoring $\sigma: F^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ with $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ over $F$ is also denoted by $\sigma$.

Proof. As explained in Section 0.1, we identify $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ with $\operatorname{Aut}_{F}(\bar{F})$ via the unique extension of the elements of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ to $\bar{F}$.

Case (i): We first consider the case where $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ for a proper ideal $I$ of $A$ and $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ for an inclusion morphism $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ associated to a datum $\left(F^{\prime}, b\right)$ satisfying $b\left(\widehat{A}^{r}\right)=\widehat{A}^{r^{r^{\prime}}}$ with $A^{\prime}$ the ring of elements of $F^{\prime}$ regular away from $\infty^{\prime}$. As explained in the proof of Theorem 2.2.1, in this case we have $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=$ $\mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ with $I^{\prime}=I A^{\prime}$ and $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is defined by the morphism (2.2.2) of functors from $\mathcal{F}_{F^{\prime}, I^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ to $\mathcal{F}_{F, I}^{r}$ (restricted to the subcategory of $F^{\prime}$-schemes) using the modular interpretation of $S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}$ and $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$.

Note that, for any Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-module $\varphi: A^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{F}\{\tau\}$ over $\bar{F}$,

$$
\varphi^{\sigma}: \begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(A^{\prime}\right) & \longrightarrow \bar{F}\{\tau\} \\
\sigma\left(a^{\prime}\right) & \longmapsto\left(\varphi_{a^{\prime}}\right)^{\sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\varphi_{a^{\prime}}\right)^{\sigma}$ is obtained from $\varphi_{a^{\prime}}$ by applying $\sigma$ to its coefficients, is a Drinfeld $\sigma\left(A^{\prime}\right)$-module over $\bar{F}$. Furthermore, for any $I^{\prime}$-level structure $\alpha:\left(I^{\prime-1} / A^{\prime}\right)^{r^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sim}$ $\varphi_{I^{\prime}} \subset \bar{F}$ on $\varphi$, the composition

$$
\left(\sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)^{-1} / \sigma\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right)^{r^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}}\left(I^{\prime-1} / A^{\prime}\right)^{r^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \varphi_{I^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sigma}\left(\varphi^{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)}
$$

is an $\sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)$-level structure on $\varphi^{\sigma}$. Using the modular interpretation of $S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}$ and $S_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{K}\left(\sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right)}^{r^{\prime}}$, the assignment

$$
(\varphi, \alpha) \longmapsto\left(\varphi^{\sigma}, \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1}\right)
$$

defines a map $g_{\sigma}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow S_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{K}\left(\sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right)}^{r^{\prime}}(\bar{F})$. By construction, the map $g_{\sigma}$ is bijective with inverse $g_{\sigma^{-1}}$.

Note that we have $(\sigma \circ b)\left(\hat{A}^{r}\right)=\widehat{\sigma\left(A^{\prime}\right)}{ }^{r^{\prime}}$ (where the $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $\sigma:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ obtained by tensoring $\sigma: F \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma(F)$ with $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ over $F$ is also denoted by $\sigma$ ). Hence the datum $\left(\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right), \sigma \circ b\right)$ defines an inclusion map

$$
\iota_{F, \sigma \circ b}^{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}: S_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{K}\left(\sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right)}^{r^{\prime}} \longrightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}
$$

which is defined by a morphism of functors from $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right), \sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}$ to $\mathcal{F}_{F, I}^{r}$ (restricted
to the subcategory of $\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)$-schemes). We now show that the diagram

commutes, where the right vertical map is given by the action of $\sigma$ on the closed points of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ defined over $\bar{F}$ defined in Proposition 0.2 .1 (note that we identify $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}(\bar{F})$ with the closed points of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}$ defined over $\bar{F}$ as discussed in Section 0.1).

Recall that we defined $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}(\bar{F}):=M_{F, I}^{r}(\operatorname{Spec}(\bar{F}))$ where $M_{F, I}^{r}$ is the moduli scheme over $F$ representing the functor $\mathcal{F}_{F, I}^{r}$ and satisfying $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}=\left(M_{F, I}^{r}\right)_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$. For an $\bar{F}$-valued point $p: \operatorname{Spec}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow M_{F, I}^{r}$ in $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}(\bar{F})$, by our definition of the Galois action in Section 0.2 and our conventions in Section 0.1, $\sigma(p)$ is the composition $p \circ \operatorname{Spec}(\sigma)$. Hence, the modular interpretation of $\sigma: S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow$ $S_{F, \mathcal{K}(I)}^{r}(\bar{F})$ is given by pulling back Drinfeld $A$-modules over $\operatorname{Spec}(\bar{F})$ with $I$-level structure along $\operatorname{Spec}(\sigma)$. This is given by the assignment

$$
(\varphi, \alpha) \longmapsto\left(\varphi^{\sigma}, \sigma \circ \alpha\right)
$$

on Drinfeld $A$-modules $\varphi: A \rightarrow \bar{F}\{\tau\}$ of rank $r$ with $I$-level structure $\alpha$ : $\left(I^{-1} / A\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_{I} \subset \bar{F}$.

From this, the commutativity of the above diagram follows together with the modular interpretation (2.2.2) of the inclusions $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ and $\iota_{F, \sigma \circ b}^{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}$. Indeed, for a Drinfeld $A^{\prime}$-module $\varphi: A^{\prime} \rightarrow \bar{F}\{\tau\}$ of rank $r^{\prime}$ over $\bar{F}$ together with $I^{\prime}$-level structure $\alpha:\left(I^{\prime-1} / A^{\prime}\right)^{r^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_{I^{\prime}} \subset \bar{F}$ corresponding to $p \in S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}(\bar{F})$, the point $\sigma\left(\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}(p)\right)$ corresponds to

$$
\left(\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{A}\right)^{\sigma}, \sigma \circ \alpha \circ b\right)
$$

and $\iota_{F, \sigma \circ b}^{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}\left(g_{\sigma}(p)\right)$ to

$$
\left(\left.\varphi^{\sigma}\right|_{A},\left(\sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1}\right) \circ(\sigma \circ b)\right)=\left(\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{A}\right)^{\sigma}, \sigma \circ \alpha \circ b\right) .
$$

Since, for any subvariety $Y \subset S$ defined over $\bar{F}$, the set $Y(\bar{F})$ of $\bar{F}$-valued points (viewed as a subset of the closed points of $Y \subset S$ ) is Zariski dense in $Y$ (see, e.g., Corollary AG. 13.3 in [3]), the commutativity of the above diagram implies that

$$
\sigma(X)=\iota_{F, \sigma \circ b}^{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}\left(g_{\sigma}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\right)\right)=\iota_{F, \sigma o b}^{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}\left(S_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{K}\left(\sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

for $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$. Hence, $\sigma(X)$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$ and it is of the desired form because of $\sigma \circ \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}=\mathcal{K}\left(\sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Case (ii): For a general $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$, by the construction in the proof of Theorem 2.2.1, there is

- a $g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ with $g^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} g^{\prime-1} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{A^{\prime}}\right)$,
- an $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $b^{\prime}:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ with $b^{\prime}\left(\widehat{A}^{r}\right)=\widehat{A}^{r^{\prime}}$,
- a proper ideal $I$ of $A$ with $\mathcal{K}(I) \subset g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$, where $g:=b^{-1} \circ g^{\prime-1} \circ b^{\prime} \in$ $\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$
such that the diagram

with $I^{\prime}:=I A^{\prime}$ commutes where $\pi_{g}$ and $\pi_{g^{\prime-1}}$ are surjective and defined over $F$. This implies together with case (i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(X) & =\sigma\left(\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{g^{\prime-1}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right)=\sigma\left(\pi_{g}\left(\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\pi_{g}\left(\sigma\left(\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(I^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\right)\right)\right)=\pi_{g}\left(\iota_{F, \sigma o b^{\prime}}^{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}\left(S_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{K}\left(\sigma\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a similar commutative diagram, this is equal to

$$
\iota_{F, \sigma \circ b}^{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)}\left(S_{\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right), \sigma \circ \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \circ \sigma^{-1}}^{r^{\prime}}\right),
$$

hence a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$ of the desired form.

### 2.3 Determinant map and irreducible components

For a general Drinfeld modular variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$, we denote by $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{K} \subset\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$ the image of $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ under the determinant map. Since the determinant map is a group homomorphism and maps principal congruence subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ to principal congruence subgroups of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$, the subgroup $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{K} \subset\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$ is open and compact.

Definition 2.3.1. The map $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \rightarrow S_{F, \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right) & \longrightarrow F^{*} \backslash\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} / \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K} \\
{[(\bar{\omega}, h)] } & \longmapsto \operatorname{det} h] .
\end{aligned}
$$

is called determinant map and is denoted by det.
Remark: The determinant map can be described in terms of the modular interpretation, using the construction of exterior powers of Drinfeld modules in [23, Theorem 3.3]. We refrain from doing so because we do not need that.

Proposition 2.3.2. The determinant map is surjective and its fibers are exactly the irreducible components of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$.

Proof. The surjectivity is immediate because det : $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$ is surjective.

We know by Proposition 1.1.3 that the irreducible components of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ are in bijective correspondence with the double quotient $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$. A point $[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ lies in the irreducible component corresponding to a double coset $[g] \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$ if and only if $h \in[g]$.

We show that, for every $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$, the fiber of $[\operatorname{det} g] \in S_{F, \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ is equal to the irreducible component corresponding to $[g] \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$. By the above remarks, this is equivalent to

$$
h \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \cdot g \cdot \mathcal{K} \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{det} h \in F^{*} \cdot(\operatorname{det} g) \cdot(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{K})
$$

for all $h \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$.
If $h \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \cdot g \cdot \mathcal{K}$, then we have $\operatorname{det} h \in F^{*} \cdot(\operatorname{det} g) \cdot(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{K})$ by the multiplicativity of the determinant. Conversely, assume that $\operatorname{det} h \in F^{*} \cdot(\operatorname{det} g)$. $(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{K})$. Then there are $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{det} h=\operatorname{det}(T \cdot g \cdot k),
$$

hence $T g k h^{-1} \in \operatorname{SL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$. By the strong approximation theorem [33] for semisimple simply connected groups over function fields, $\mathrm{SL}_{r}(F)$ is dense in $\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$. Since $h \mathcal{K} h^{-1}$ is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$, we therefore have

$$
\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)=\mathrm{SL}_{r}(F) \cdot\left(\left(h \mathcal{K} h^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)\right)
$$

So there are $T^{\prime} \in \mathrm{SL}_{r}(F)$ and $k^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K} \cap \mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ such that $T g k h^{-1}=T^{\prime} h k^{\prime} h^{-1}$. This implies

$$
h=T^{\prime-1} T g k k^{\prime-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \cdot g \cdot \mathcal{K} .
$$

By Proposition 2.3.2, the determinant map induces a bijection

$$
\operatorname{det}_{*}: \pi_{0}\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} S_{F, \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}}^{1}
$$

between the set $\pi_{0}\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)$ of irreducible components of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ and the set $S_{F, \text { det } \mathcal{K}}^{1}$ (we identify the latter set with $S_{F, \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ as explained in Section 1.2). We now consider the natural action defined in Proposition 0.2.1 of the absolute Galois group $G_{F}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ on these two sets.

Proposition 2.3.3. The bijection $\operatorname{det}_{*}$ is $G_{F}$-equivariant.
Proof. We consider separable extensions $F^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of $F$ of degree $r$ with only one place $\infty^{\prime}$ above $\infty$. The intersection $F^{\prime \prime}$ of all these extensions is equal to $F$. This follows by induction over $r$ :

Assume by contradiction that $F^{\prime \prime} \supsetneq F$ with $\left[F^{\prime \prime} / F\right]=r^{\prime}>1$. By Eisenstein's Criterion (Proposition III.1.14 in [35]) we find a second extension $F_{2}^{\prime \prime} \neq F^{\prime \prime}$ of $F$ of degree $r^{\prime}$ with only one place $\infty_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ above $\infty$. By induction hypothesis, the intersection of all separable extensions of $F_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ of degree $r / r^{\prime}$ with only one place above $\infty_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is equal to $F_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. These extensions of $F_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ are all separable extensions of $F$ of degree $r$ with only one place above $\infty$, hence its intersection $F_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ contains $F^{\prime \prime}$. This is not possible because $F_{2}^{\prime \prime} \neq F^{\prime \prime}$ and $\left[F_{2}^{\prime \prime} / F\right]=\left[F^{\prime \prime} / F\right]=r^{\prime}$.

The equality $F^{\prime \prime}=F$ implies that the subgroups $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F^{\prime}\right) \subset G_{F}$ where $F^{\prime}$ runs over all separable extensions of $F$ of degree $r$ with only one place above $\infty$ generate the whole absolute Galois group $G_{F}$. Therefore it is enough to show that $\operatorname{det}_{*}$ is $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F^{\prime}\right)$-equivariant for all these extensions $F^{\prime}$.

Let now $F^{\prime} / F$ be a fixed extension of the above form, $Y$ an irreducible component of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F^{\prime}\right)$. We have to show that $\operatorname{det}_{*}(\sigma(Y))=$ $\sigma\left(\operatorname{det}_{*}(Y)\right)$. We assume that $Y$ corresponds to the class of $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$ via the bijective correspondence from Proposition 1.1.3. We choose an $F$-linear isomorphism

$$
\varphi: F^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} F^{\prime},
$$

and define

$$
b:=\varphi \circ g:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f} .
$$

The datum ( $F^{\prime}, b$ ) defines an inclusion morphism $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{1} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. By its definition, the point $p^{\prime}:=[1] \in S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{1}=F^{\prime *} \backslash\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{*} / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is mapped to the closed point

$$
p:=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}([1])=\left[\left(\overline{i \circ \varphi}, \varphi^{-1} \circ 1 \circ b\right)\right]=[(\overline{i \circ \varphi}, g)]
$$

of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$, where $i$ denotes the canonical inclusion $F_{\infty^{\prime}}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. This point lies in the irreducible component $Y$, which corresponds to the class of $g$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$.

By Proposition 0.2.2, the point $p^{\prime} \in S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{1}$ is defined over $F^{\text {sep }}=F^{\text {sep }}$. Since $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is defined over $F^{\prime}$, the closed point $p=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(p^{\prime}\right) \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ is also defined over $F^{\text {sep }}$ and we have

$$
\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\sigma\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)=\sigma(p) \in \sigma(Y)
$$

i.e., $\sigma(Y)$ is the unique irreducible component of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ containing $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\sigma\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)$. The equality $\operatorname{det}_{*}(\sigma(Y))=\sigma\left(\operatorname{det}_{*}(Y)\right)$ is therefore equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\sigma\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)=\sigma(\operatorname{det} p) . \tag{2.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the description of the Galois action on $S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{1}$ and $S_{F, \text { det } \mathcal{K}}^{1}$ given by Theorem 1.2.1 to calculate both sides of (2.3.1). For this, let $H / F$ resp. $H^{\prime} / F^{\prime}$ be the finite abelian extensions corresponding to the closed finite index subgroups $F^{*} \cdot \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K} \subset\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$ resp. $F^{\prime *} \cdot \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{*}$ in class field theory, and let $E$ be the compositum of $H$ and $H^{\prime}$. Then the diagram of Artin maps

commutes with $N_{F^{\prime} / F}$ the norm map and $r_{E / H}, r_{E / H^{\prime}}$ the restriction maps. Therefore, if $h^{\prime} \in\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{*}$ is chosen such that $\psi_{E / F^{\prime}}\left(h^{\prime}\right)=\left.\sigma\right|_{E}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{H^{\prime} / F^{\prime}}\left(h^{\prime}\right) & =\left.\sigma\right|_{H^{\prime}}, \\
\psi_{H / F}\left(N_{F^{\prime} / F}\left(h^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\left.\sigma\right|_{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

With Theorem 1.2.1 this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\sigma\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\left[h^{\prime-1}\right]\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\varphi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime-1} \circ b\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\varphi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime-1} \circ \varphi\right) \cdot \operatorname{det} g=\left[N_{F^{\prime} / F}\left(h^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \cdot \operatorname{det} g\right] \\
& =\sigma([\operatorname{det} g])=\sigma(\operatorname{det} p) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we have shown (2.3.1), which is equivalent to $\operatorname{det}_{*}(\sigma(Y))=\sigma\left(\operatorname{det}_{*}(Y)\right)$.
Corollary 2.3.4. The determinant map is induced by a unique morphism $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r} \rightarrow$ $S_{F, \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}}^{1}$ defined over $F$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3.2, the determinant map is constant on the irreducible components of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. Since these irreducible components and all closed points of $S_{F, \text { det } \mathcal{K}}^{1}$ are defined over $F^{\text {sep }}$, the determinant map is therefore induced by a unique morphism defined over $F^{\text {sep }}$.

By Proposition 2.3.3, this morphism over $F^{\text {sep }}$ is $G_{F}$-equivariant. Hence, by [3, AG 14.3] it is defined over $F$.

Corollary 2.3.5. $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is $F$-irreducible and has exactly

$$
\left|S_{F, \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}}^{1}\right|=\left|F^{*} \backslash\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} / \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}\right|=|\operatorname{Cl}(F)| \cdot\left|\hat{A}^{*} /\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \cdot \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}\right)\right|
$$

irreducible components over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.
Proof. By Corollary 1.2.2 and Proposition 2.3.3, it follows that the absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ acts transitively on the set of irreducible components of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. Hence, $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is $F$-irreducible by Proposition 0.2.2.

It only remains to show the second equality. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} & \longrightarrow \\
\left(x_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) & \longmapsto \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(x_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $v_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the normalized discrete valuation associated to $\mathfrak{p}$ and $I(A)$ the group of fractional ideals of $A$, is a surjective homomorphism with kernel $\hat{A}^{*}$. Therefore there are isomorphisms of abelian groups

$$
\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} /\left(F^{*} \cdot \hat{A}^{*}\right) \cong F^{*} \backslash\left(\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} / \hat{A}^{*}\right) \cong F^{*} \backslash I(A)=\mathrm{Cl}(F)
$$

The compact open subgroup $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}$ of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*}$ lies in the unique maximal compact open subgroup $\hat{A}^{*}$. Hence

$$
\left|F^{*} \backslash\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} / \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}\right|=\left|\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{*} /\left(F^{*} \cdot \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}\right)\right|=|\operatorname{Cl}(F)| \cdot\left|\left(F^{*} \cdot \hat{A}^{*}\right) /\left(F^{*} \cdot \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}\right)\right| .
$$

The claim now follows from

$$
\left(F^{*} \cdot \hat{A}^{*}\right) /\left(F^{*} \cdot \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}\right) \cong \hat{A}^{*} /\left(\left(F^{*} \cdot \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}\right) \cap \hat{A}^{*}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(F^{*} \cdot \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}\right) \cap \hat{A}^{*}=\left(F^{*} \cap \hat{A}^{*}\right) \cdot \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K}=\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} \cdot \operatorname{det} \mathcal{K} .
$$

Corollary 2.3.6. Each Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with reflex field $F^{\prime}$ is $F^{\prime}$-irreducible.

Proof. A Drinfeld modular subvariety $X$ of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with reflex field $F^{\prime}$ is the image of an inclusion morphism $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. Since $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is defined over $F^{\prime}$ by Theorem 2.2.1, Corollary 2.3.5 immediately implies the $F^{\prime}$-irreducibility of $X$.

## Chapter 3

## Degree of subvarieties

### 3.1 Compactification of Drinfeld modular varieties

In [30] Pink constructs the Satake compactification $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ of a Drinfeld modular variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with $\mathcal{K} \subset \operatorname{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$. It is a normal projective variety which contains $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ as an open dense subvariety.

If $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small, $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is endowed with a natural ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. In [30], the space of global sections of its $k$-th power is defined to be the space of algebraic modular forms of weight $k$ on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.

If $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ is arbitrary (not necessarily contained in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ ) and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ is chosen such that $g \mathcal{K} g^{-1} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$, we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}:=\bar{S}_{F, g \mathcal{K} g^{-1}}^{r} \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, if $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}:=\mathcal{L}_{F, g \mathcal{K}_{g^{-1}}}^{r} . \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in Step (v) of the proof of Theorem 1.1.2, one can show, using part (i) of the following proposition for $\mathcal{K} \subset \operatorname{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$, that this defines $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ up to isomorphism.

Proposition 3.1.1. (i) For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ and a compact open subgroup $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset$ $g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$ the morphism $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ defined in Section 2.1 extends uniquely to a finite morphism $\overline{\pi_{g}}: \bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ defined over $F$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small, then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \cong \bar{\pi}_{g}{ }^{*} \mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r} .
$$

(ii) Any inclusion $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ of Drinfeld modular varieties extends uniquely to a finite morphism $\overline{\iota_{F, b}^{\prime}}: \bar{S}_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ defined over $F^{\prime}$. If $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small, then there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{L}_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \cong \overline{\iota_{F, b}^{\prime \prime}} \mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}
$$

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.11 and 4.12 and Lemma 5.1 in [30]. Note that these statements automatically hold for arbitrary levels $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ (not necessarily contained in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ respectively $\left.\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{A}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ because the equations (3.1.1) and (3.1.2) define the Satake compactification of a general Drinfeld modular variety as the Satake compactification of a Drinfeld modular variety with level contained in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$ resp. $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\widehat{A^{\prime}}\right)$.

### 3.2 Degree of subvarieties

In this section, $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ always denotes a Drinfeld modular variety with $\mathcal{K}$ amply small.

Definition 3.2.1. The degree of an irreducible subvariety $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is defined to be the degree of its Zariski closure $\bar{X}$ in $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$, i.e., the integer

$$
\operatorname{deg} X:=\operatorname{deg}_{\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} \bar{X}=\int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{\operatorname{dim} X} \cap[\bar{X}],
$$

where $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right) \in A^{1} \bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ denotes the first Chern class of $\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$, the cycle class of $\bar{X}$ in $A_{\operatorname{dim} X} \bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is denoted by $[\bar{X}]$ and $\cap$ is the cap-product between $A^{\operatorname{dim} X} \bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and $A_{\operatorname{dim} X} \bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.

The degree of a reducible subvariety $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is the sum of the degrees of all irreducible components of $X$.

Remark: Note that our definition of degree for reducible subvarieties differs from the one used in many textbooks where only the sum over the irreducible components of maximal dimension is taken.

Lemma 3.2.2. The degree of a subvariety $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is at least the number of irreducible components of $X$.

Proof. This follows by our definition of degree because $\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is ample and the degree of an irreducible subvariety of a projective variety with respect to an ample invertible sheaf is a positive integer (see, e.g., Lemma 12.1 in [15]).

Proposition 3.2.3. (i) Let $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be the morphism defined in Section 2.1 for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} \pi_{g}^{-1}(X)=\left[g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g: \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right] \cdot \operatorname{deg} X \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for subvarieties $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} \pi_{g}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg} X^{\prime} \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for subvarieties $X^{\prime} \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$. In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} T_{g}(X) \leq\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g\right] \cdot \operatorname{deg} X \tag{3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for subvarieties $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.
(ii) For any inclusion $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ of Drinfeld modular varieties and for any subvariety $X \subset S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} X=\operatorname{deg} \ell_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}(X) \tag{3.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use the projection formula for Chern classes (see, e.g., Proposition 2.5 (c) in [15]):

If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism of varieties and $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf on $Y$, then, for all $k$-cycles $\alpha \in A_{k}(X)$, we have the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*}\left(c_{1}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{L}\right) \cap \alpha\right)=c_{1}(\mathcal{L}) \cap f_{*}(\alpha) \tag{3.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $(k-1)$-cycles in $A_{k-1}(Y)$.
For the proof of (3.2.1) and (3.2.2), we first assume that $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and $X^{\prime} \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ are irreducible. For this, note that $\pi_{g}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is finite of degree $\left[g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g: \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]$ by Theorem 2.1.1 and étale by Proposition 2.1.3 because $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small. The latter implies that the scheme-theoretic preimage of $X$ under $\pi_{g}$ is a reduced closed subscheme of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ (by Theorem III.10.2 in [22] the fibers of the generic points of the irreducible components of $X$ are regular). Hence the scheme-theoretic preimage is equal to the subvariety $\pi_{g}^{-1}(X)$. Note that the degree of a finite, flat surjective morphism of varieties $f: V \rightarrow W$ is preserved under base extension by our characterisation of the degree as rank of the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{W}$-module $f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{V}\right)$ in Section 0.1. Therefore the restriction of $\pi_{g}$ to the subvariety $\pi_{g}^{-1}(X)$ is also finite of degree $\left[g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g: \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]$ and we have the equality

$$
\overline{\pi_{g_{*}}}\left[\overline{\pi_{g}^{-1}(X)}\right]=\left[g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g: \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right] \cdot[\bar{X}]
$$

of cycles on $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. For $d:=\operatorname{dim} X$, with Proposition 3.1.1 (i) and the above projection formula we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg} \pi_{g}^{-1}(X) & =\operatorname{deg}_{\overline{\pi_{g}}{ }^{*} \mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} \overline{\pi_{g}^{-1}(X)}=\int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}} c_{1}\left(\overline{\pi_{g}}{ }^{*} \mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap\left[\overline{\pi_{g}^{-1}(X)}\right] \\
& =\int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} \overline{\pi_{g_{*}}}\left(c_{1}\left({\overline{\pi_{g}}}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap\left[\overline{\pi_{g}^{-1}(X)}\right]\right) \\
& \left.=\int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap{\overline{\pi_{g_{*}}}}^{\pi_{g}^{-1}(X)}\right] \\
& =\left[g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g: \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right] \cdot \int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap[\bar{X}]=\left[g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g: \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right] \cdot \operatorname{deg} X
\end{aligned}
$$

For the proof of (3.2.2), we note that

$$
\overline{\pi_{g_{*}}}\left[\overline{X^{\prime}}\right]=\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi_{g} \mid X^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left[\overline{\pi_{g}\left(X^{\prime}\right)}\right]
$$

as cycles on $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. Again with the projection formula and Proposition 3.1.1 (i), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.\pi_{g}\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right) \cdot \operatorname{deg} \pi_{g}\left(X^{\prime}\right) & \left.=\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.\pi_{g}\right|_{X^{\prime}}\right) \cdot \int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap \overline{\pi_{g}\left(X^{\prime}\right)}\right] \\
& =\int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap \overline{\pi_{g}}\left[\overline{X^{\prime}}\right] \\
& =\int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} \overline{\pi_{g_{*}}}\left(c_{1}\left({\overline{\pi_{g}}}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap\left[\overline{X^{\prime}}\right]\right) \\
& =\int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}} c_{1}\left({\overline{\pi_{g}}}^{*} \mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap\left[\overline{X^{\prime}}\right]=\operatorname{deg} X^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $d:=\operatorname{dim} X^{\prime}$ and in particular

$$
\operatorname{deg} \pi_{g}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg} X^{\prime}
$$

If $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is reducible with irreducible components $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{deg} \pi_{g}^{-1}(X)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{deg} \pi_{g}^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)
$$

because the set of irreducible components of $\pi_{g}^{-1}(X)$ is exactly equal to

$$
\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\text { irreducible components of } \pi_{g}^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)\right\}
$$

and this union is disjoint. Therefore, the formula (3.2.1) follows from the irreducible case.

If $X^{\prime} \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ is reducible with irreducible components $X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{k}^{\prime}$, then the set of irreducible components of $\pi_{g}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ is a subset of $\left\{\pi_{g}\left(X_{1}^{\prime}\right), \ldots, \pi_{g}\left(X_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$, hence we have

$$
\operatorname{deg} \pi_{g}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \operatorname{deg} \pi_{g}\left(X_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and the inequality (3.2.2) follows from the irreducible case.
The inequality (3.2.3) immediately follows from (3.2.1) and (3.2.2) because

$$
T_{g}(X)=\pi_{g}\left(\pi_{1}^{-1}(X)\right)
$$

where $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{g}$ are projection morphisms $S_{F, \mathcal{K}_{g}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with $\mathcal{K}_{g}:=\mathcal{K} \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg} \pi_{1}=\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K}_{g}\right]=\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g\right] .
$$

Finally, for the proof of (3.2.4) we use that $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}: S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is a closed immersion by Proposition 2.2 .2 because $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small. For an irreducible subvariety $X \subset S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$, we therefore have the equality

$$
\left.\overline{\iota_{F, b_{*}}^{F^{\prime}}}[\bar{X}]=\overline{\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}(X)}\right]
$$

of cycles on $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. The same calculation as in the proof of (3.2.2) with $d:=\operatorname{dim} X$ therefore gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg} \iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}(X) & \left.=\int_{\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}} c_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap \overline{\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}(X)}\right] \\
& \left.=\int_{\bar{S}_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}} c_{1} \overline{\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}} * \mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)^{d} \cap[\bar{X}]=\operatorname{deg} X
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\overline{\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}} \mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r} \cong \mathcal{L}_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ by Proposition 3.1.1 (ii).
If $X \subset S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ is reducible with irreducible components $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l}$, then $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}(X)$ has exactly the irreducible components $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, \iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(X_{l}\right)$ because $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ is a closed immersion. Therefore, the formula (3.2.4) for $X$ reducible follows from the irreducible case.

We will use the following two consequences of Bézout's theorem to get an upper bound for the degree of the intersection of two subvarieties of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ :

Lemma 3.2.4. For subvarieties $V, W$ of a projective variety $U$ and an ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $U$, we have

$$
\operatorname{deg} V \cap W \leq \operatorname{deg} V \cdot \operatorname{deg} W
$$

where deg denotes the degree with respect to $\mathcal{L}$.
Proof. See Example 8.4.6 in [15] in the case that $V$ and $W$ are irreducible.
If $V=V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{k}$ and $W=W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{l}$ are decompositions into irreducible components, then

$$
V \cap W=\bigcup_{i, j} V_{i} \cap W_{j} .
$$

Therefore, each irreducible component of $V \cap W$ is an irreducible component of some $V_{i} \cap W_{j}$. By our definition of degree for reducible varieties this implies

$$
\operatorname{deg} V \cap W \leq \sum_{i, j} \operatorname{deg}\left(V_{i} \cap W_{j}\right) .
$$

Hence by the case that $V$ and $W$ are irredubible, we get

$$
\operatorname{deg} V \cap W \leq \sum_{i, j} \operatorname{deg} V_{i} \cdot \operatorname{deg} W_{j}=\left(\sum_{i} \operatorname{deg} V_{i}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{j} \operatorname{deg} W_{j}\right)=\operatorname{deg} V \cdot \operatorname{deg} W .
$$

Lemma 3.2.5. For subvarieties $V$, $W$ of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{deg} V \cap W \leq \operatorname{deg} V \cdot \operatorname{deg} W
$$

Proof. Recall that we defined the degree of a subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ as the degree of its Zariski closure in the compactification $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with respect to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. In view of the previous lemma, it is therefore enough to show the following inequality of degrees of Zariski closures in $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ :

$$
\operatorname{deg} \overline{V \cap W} \leq \operatorname{deg} \bar{V} \cap \bar{W} .
$$

For this, note that $\overline{V \cap W} \subset \bar{V} \cap \bar{W}$ and

$$
\overline{V \cap W} \cap S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}=V \cap W=\left(\bar{V} \cap S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right) \cap\left(\bar{W} \cap S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\right)=(\bar{V} \cap \bar{W}) \cap S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}
$$

because $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is Zariski open in $\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V} \cap \bar{W}=\overline{V \cap W} \cup(Y \cap(\bar{V} \cap \bar{W})) \tag{3.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y:=\bar{S}_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r} \backslash S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ denotes the boundary of the compactification. Since the irreducible components of $\overline{V \cap W}$ are the Zariski closures of the irreducible components of $V \cap W$, they all have non-empty intersection with $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. Hence, every irreducible component of $\overline{V \cap W}$ is not contained in $Y \cap(\bar{V} \cap \bar{W})$ and therefore by (3.2.6) an irreducible component of $\bar{V} \cap \bar{W}$. The desired inequality

$$
\operatorname{deg} \overline{V \cap W} \leq \operatorname{deg} \bar{V} \cap \bar{W}
$$

follows.

### 3.3 Degree of Drinfeld modular subvarieties

We let $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular variety.
Proposition 3.3.1. If $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small, there is a constant $C>0$ only depending on $F$ and $r$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg}(X) \geq C \cdot D(X)
$$

for all Drinfeld modular subvarieties $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with $D(X)$ the predegree of $X$ from Definition 2.2.14.

Remark: We expect that one could also prove an upper bound for $\operatorname{deg}(X)$ of the form $\operatorname{deg}(X) \leq C^{\prime} \cdot D(X)$ with a constant $C^{\prime}$ depending on $F, \mathcal{K}$ and $r$. Because of this expectation we call $D(X)$ the predegree of $X$. We refrain from proving an upper bound because we only need a lower bound in the following.

Proof. Let $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ be an arbitrary Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$. We fix primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ with $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ and let $\mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ be the principal congruence subgroup modulo $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$. By Proposition 2.2.2, the subgroup $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset G L_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ is amply small and, by definition, also $\mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)$ is amply small. Therefore, by Proposition 3.2.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}(X) & =\operatorname{deg}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right.}{\left[\mathcal{K} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}: \mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \cdot \frac{\left[\mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right): \mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]}{\left[\mathcal{K}^{\prime}: \mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $\mathcal{K}_{\text {max }}^{\prime}$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ which contains $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of the same index in the maximal compact subgroups $\mathcal{K}_{\text {max }}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\hat{A^{\prime}}\right)$. Hence, we get

Since $S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}$ has at least $\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|$ irreducible components over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ by Corollary 2.3.5, we have $\operatorname{deg}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}\right)}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \geq\left|\operatorname{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|$ by Lemma 3.2.2. With

$$
\left[\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\hat{A}^{\prime}\right): \mathcal{K}\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)\right]<\left|k\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)\right|^{r^{\prime 2}} \leq\left(|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r / r^{\prime}}\right)^{r^{\prime 2}} \leq|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r^{2}}
$$

and $i(X)=\left[\mathcal{K}_{\text {max }}^{\prime}: \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right]$ we therefore get the desired estimate

$$
\operatorname{deg}(X) \geq\left|\operatorname{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right| \cdot \frac{i(X)}{|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r^{2}}}=\frac{1}{|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r^{2}}} \cdot D(X)
$$

Since we can choose the same prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$ in the above estimates for all Drinfeld modular subvarieties $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$, the constant $C:=\frac{1}{|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r^{2}}}$ only depends on $F$ and $r$.

Theorem 3.3.2. For each sequence $\left(X_{n}\right)$ of pairwise distinct Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$, the sequence of predegrees $\left(D\left(X_{n}\right)\right)$ is unbounded. In particular, if $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small, the degrees $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{n}\right)$ are unbounded.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3.1, it is enough to show that the sequence

$$
D\left(X_{n}\right)=i\left(X_{n}\right) \cdot\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F_{n}\right)\right|
$$

where $F_{n}$ is the reflex field of $X_{n}$ is unbounded.
The following two propositions imply that there are only finitely many extensions $F^{\prime}$ of $F$ of degree dividing $r$ and bounded class number:
Proposition 3.3.3. There are only finitely many extensions $F^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of $F$ of fixed genus $g^{\prime}$ and fixed constant field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$.

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 8.23.5. in [18].
Proposition 3.3.4. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a function field of genus $g^{\prime}$ with field of constants $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$. Then

$$
\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)\left(q^{\prime 2 g^{\prime}}-2 g^{\prime} q^{\prime g^{\prime}}+1\right)}{2 g^{\prime}\left(q^{\prime g^{\prime}+1}-1\right)}
$$

Proof. Proposition 3.1 in [4].
Therefore, the sequence $D\left(X_{n}\right)$ is unbounded if the set of reflex fields $F_{n}$ is infinite. So it suffices to show unboundedness of the predegree $D\left(X_{n}\right)$ in a sequence of pairwise distinct Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ with fixed reflex field. This follows from the next theorem.

Theorem 3.3.5. For each sequence $\left(X_{n}\right)$ of pairwise distinct Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ with fixed reflex field $F^{\prime}$, the indices $i\left(X_{n}\right)$ are unbounded.

Proof. We first note that we can assume w.l.o.g. that the given compact subgroup $\mathcal{K}$ equals $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{K}$ is replaced by a compact open subgroup $\mathcal{L} \supset \mathcal{K}$ and the $X_{n}$ by their images under the canonical projection $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{L}}^{r}$, the indices $i\left(X_{n}\right)$ decrease by Definition 2.2.14. Hence, we can assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is a maximal compact open subgroup and therefore some conjugate $h \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A}) h^{-1}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$. If we further replace the $X_{n}$ by their images under the isomorphism $\pi_{h^{-1}}: S_{F, h \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A}) h^{-1}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})}^{r}$, then the $i\left(X_{n}\right)$ do obviously not change because the $X_{n}$ are the image of an inclusion from the same $S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. Therefore, we can w.l.o.g. assume $\mathcal{K}=\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A})$.

For the following considerations, we assume that $X_{n}=\iota_{F, b_{n}}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}_{n}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)\right)$ with $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}$-linear isomorphisms $b_{n}:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)^{r} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$. We denote by $\Lambda_{n}$ the $\hat{A}$ lattices $b_{n}\left(\hat{A}^{r}\right)$ in $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$. By Proposition 2.2.16, they are determined up to and only up to the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ and their orbits under the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ are pairwise distinct.

We have the product decomposition $\Lambda_{n}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}:=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} b_{n, \mathfrak{p}}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}\right)$, where $\Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}} \subset F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ are free $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-submodules of rank $r$. The $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$-modules $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}$ are finitely generated submodules of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ with $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}=F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$, hence free of rank $r^{\prime}$ because $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ is a direct product of principal ideal domains. This implies that $\hat{A}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{n}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}$ is a free $\hat{A}^{\prime}$-submodule of $\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ of rank $r^{\prime}$. Since the $\Lambda_{n}$ are determined up to and only up to the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$, we may therefore assume w.l.o.g. that $\hat{A}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{n}=\hat{A}^{r^{\prime}}$ for all $n$.

Note that we have

$$
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{\prime}=\left(b_{n}^{-1} \mathrm{GL}_{r}(\hat{A}) b_{n}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)=\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)} \Lambda_{n}
$$

Since $\hat{A}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{n}=\hat{A}^{r^{\prime}}$, these compact open subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$ are all contained in the maximal compact subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\hat{A^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}} \mathbb{A}_{\left.F^{\prime}\right)}^{f}}{\hat{A^{\prime}}}^{r^{\prime}}$. Hence, we can write the indices $i\left(X_{n}\right)$ as

$$
i\left(X_{n}\right)=\left[\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\hat{A}^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}\right)} \Lambda_{n}\right]
$$

and, using the above product decompositions, as $i\left(X_{n}\right)=\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty} i_{n, \mathfrak{p}}$, where

$$
i_{n, \mathfrak{p}}=\left[\operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)} \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}\right] .
$$

For each $n$, almost all factors of this product are 1 because $\Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}=A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime^{\prime}}$ for almost all $\mathfrak{p}$.

Since we assumed that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}=A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$, by the Proposition 3.3.6 below, we get the estimates $i_{n, \mathfrak{p}} \geq C \cdot\left[A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}: \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}\right]^{1 / r}$, where the constant $C$ is independent of $n$ and $\mathfrak{p}$.

We now finish the proof by assuming (by contradiction) that the sequence $\left(i\left(X_{n}\right)\right)$ is bounded. This implies by the above product decomposition of $i\left(X_{n}\right)$ and estimates of $i_{n, \mathfrak{p}}$ that $\left[A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}: \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}\right] \leq D$ for all $n$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ for some uniform constant $D$.

But, note that, as finite $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}} / \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}$ is isomorphic to some product

$$
A_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p}^{m_{1}} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \cdots \times A_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p}^{m_{l}} A_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

If $\Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}} \nsupseteq \mathfrak{p}^{N} \cdot A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$, we have $m_{i} \geq N+1$ for some $i$ and therefore

$$
|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{N+1} \leq\left[A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}: \Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}\right] \leq D
$$

In particular, we have $|k(\mathfrak{p})| \leq D$ whenever $\Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}} \neq A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$. Since there are only finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}$ with $|k(\mathfrak{p})| \leq D$, we conclude:

- There are finitely many primes $\mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{k}$ such that $\Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}=A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ for all $n$ and $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{k}$.
- There is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $\mathfrak{p}$ and $n$, the $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-lattice $\Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}$ contains $\mathfrak{p}^{N} A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$.

Since the quotients $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}} / \mathfrak{p}^{N} A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ are finite, the second statement implies that for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ there are only finitely many possibilities for $\Lambda_{n, p_{i}}$. As for $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{p}_{1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_{k}$ the lattices $\Lambda_{n, \mathfrak{p}}$ are independent of $n$, this implies that only finitely many $\hat{A}$-lattices $\Lambda_{n} \subset\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ occur, a contradiction to our assumptions.

Proposition 3.3.6. Let $K$ be a complete field with respect to a discrete valuation $v$ with finite residue field containing $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and let $R$ be the corresponding discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $K^{\prime}:=L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{m}$ with $L_{i}$ finite field extensions of $K$ and $R^{\prime}:=S_{1} \times \cdots \times S_{m}$ with $S_{i} \subset L_{i}$ the discrete valuation ring associated to the unique extension of $v$ to $L_{i}$. Suppose that $r^{\prime} \geq 1$ and set $r:=r^{\prime} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[L_{i}: K\right]$.

There is a constant $C>0$ only depending on $q$ and $r$ such that, for any free $R$-submodule $\Lambda \subset K^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ of rank $r$, we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)}\left(R^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda\right): \operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)}(\Lambda)\right] \geq C \cdot\left[R^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda: \Lambda\right]^{1 / r}
$$

Proof. For a free $R$-submodule $\Lambda \subset K^{\prime \prime r^{\prime}}$ of rank $r$, the $R^{\prime}$-submodule $R^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda \subset$ $K^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ is free of finite rank because it is torsionfree and finitely generated and $R^{\prime}$ is a principal ideal domain as direct product of discrete valuation rings. Furthermore, we have $K \cdot \Lambda=K^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ because $K \cdot \Lambda$ is a $K$-linear subspace of $K^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ of dimension

$$
r=r^{\prime} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[L_{i}: K\right]=\operatorname{dim}_{K}\left(K^{\prime r^{\prime}}\right)
$$

Therefore we also have $K^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda=K^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ and $R^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda$ is of full rank $r^{\prime}$. So there is a $t^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ with

$$
R^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda=t^{\prime} \cdot R^{\prime r^{\prime}}
$$

Since the inequality in the conclusion of the lemma is invariant under replacing $\Lambda$ by $t^{\prime-1} \cdot \Lambda$, we can from now on assume that $R^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda=R^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ and need to show

$$
\left[\operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)}(\Lambda)\right] \geq C \cdot\left[R^{\prime r^{\prime}}: \Lambda\right]^{1 / r}
$$

for some constant $C$ only depending on $q$ and $r$.
We introduce the notation

$$
H:=\left\{T \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right): T \cdot \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda\right\} .
$$

This set of matrices is an $R$-subalgebra of $\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ with $H^{*}=\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)}(\Lambda)$.
Note that, if $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ is a $R$-basis of $\Lambda$, then $\Lambda=\xi\left(R^{r}\right) \subset K^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ for

$$
\xi: \begin{array}{ccc}
K^{r} & \longrightarrow & K^{\prime r^{\prime}} \\
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) & \longmapsto & x_{1} g_{1}+\cdots+x_{r} g_{r}
\end{array}
$$

Since $K$ is complete, $\xi$ is a homeomorphism (cf. Proposition 4.9 in [28]). This implies that $\Lambda \subset R^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ is open.

Hence, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{r^{\prime}} \subseteq \Lambda$. Therefore $\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right) \subseteq H$ and

$$
H / \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\bar{T} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right): \bar{T} \cdot\left(\Lambda / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime r^{\prime}}\right) \subseteq \Lambda / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime r^{\prime}}\right\}
$$

if we identify $\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right) / \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)$. For the stabilizer of $\Lambda / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ under the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)$, this means that

$$
\left(H / \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}=\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)}\left(\Lambda / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)
$$

Now note that $T \cdot \Lambda \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ for all $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, we have

$$
\bar{T} \cdot\left(\Lambda / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{r^{\prime}}\right)=(T \cdot \Lambda) / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{r^{\prime}}
$$

for all $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$, where $\bar{T}$ denotes the reduction of $T$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \cdot \Lambda \longmapsto(T \cdot \Lambda) / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime r^{\prime}} \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an injective map from the orbit of $\Lambda$ under $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ to the orbit of $\Lambda / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ under $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)$. It is also surjective because the reduction map modulo $\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}$ from $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ to $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)$ is surjective. Indeed, if $\bar{T} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)$ is the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}$ of some $T \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$, there is a $T^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ such that $T T^{\prime} \in 1_{r^{\prime}}+\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)$. This implies $\operatorname{det} T \cdot \operatorname{det} T^{\prime} \in 1+\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime} \subseteq R^{\prime *}$, hence $\operatorname{det} T \in R^{\prime *}$ and $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$.

The above bijection of orbits and formulas for the corresponding stabilizers give us the following estimate:

$$
\left[\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right): H^{*}\right]=\left[\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right):\left(H / \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right)^{*}\right] \geq \frac{\left|\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left[H: \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right]}
$$

Lemma 3.3.7. There is a constant $C$ only depending on $q$ and $r$ such that

$$
\left|\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq C \cdot\left|\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

Proof. By definition of $R^{\prime}$ the quotient $R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $S_{1} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} S_{1} \times$ $\cdots \times S_{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} S_{m}$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right) & \cong \operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(S_{1} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} S_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(S_{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} S_{m}\right) \\
\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right) & \cong \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(S_{1} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} S_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(S_{m} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} S_{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now note that, for any $l \geq 1$ and any discrete valuation $\operatorname{ring} U$ with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ and residue field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}$ containing $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, a matrix $T \in \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}(U)$ is invertible if and only if its reduction modulo $\mathfrak{n}^{l}$ is invertible in $\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(U / \mathfrak{n}^{l}\right)$. This follows by the same argument as in the proof of the bijection of orbits (3.3.1). In particular, $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(U / \mathfrak{n}^{l}\right)$ exactly consists of the matrices with reduction modulo $\mathfrak{n}$ lying in $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}(U / \mathfrak{n})$. As the fibers of the projection $\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(U / \mathfrak{n}^{l}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}(U / \mathfrak{n})$ have all cardinality $\left|\mathfrak{n} / \mathfrak{n}^{l}\right|^{\prime^{\prime 2}}=q^{\prime(l-1) r^{\prime 2}}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(U / \mathfrak{n}^{l}\right)\right| & =q^{\prime(l-1) r^{r^{\prime 2}}\left|\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}}\right)\right|} \\
& =q^{\prime(l-1) r^{\prime 2}}\left(q^{\prime r^{\prime}}-1\right)\left(q^{\prime r^{\prime}}-q^{\prime}\right) \cdots\left(q^{\prime r^{\prime}}-q^{\prime r^{\prime}-1}\right) \\
& \geq q^{\prime l r^{\prime 2}}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{r^{\prime}} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{r^{\prime}}\left|\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(U / \mathfrak{n}^{l}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m \leq r / r^{\prime}$, we have in total

$$
\left|\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{m r^{\prime}}\left|\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq C \cdot\left|\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

with $C=\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)^{r}$.
By Lemma 3.3.7 and the estimate before, we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right): H^{*}\right] \geq C \cdot \frac{\left[\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right]}{\left[H: \operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathfrak{m}^{k} R^{\prime}\right)\right]}=C \cdot\left[\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right): H\right]
$$

To finish the proof of Proposition 3.3.6, we consider a $R$-basis $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ of $\Lambda$ and the $R$-module homomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)^{r} & \longrightarrow & R^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda / \Lambda \\
\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\right) & \longmapsto\left(T_{1} \cdot g_{1}+\cdots+T_{r} \cdot g_{r}\right) & \bmod \Lambda .
\end{array}
$$

It is surjective and its kernel contains $H^{r}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\left[\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right): H\right]^{r}=\left[\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right)^{r}: H^{r}\right] \geq\left[R^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda: \Lambda\right]
$$

and in total

$$
\left[\operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right): \operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)}(\Lambda)\right] \geq C \cdot\left[\operatorname{Mat}_{r^{\prime}}\left(R^{\prime}\right): H\right] \geq C \cdot\left[R^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda: \Lambda\right]^{1 / r} .
$$

## Chapter 4

## Zariski density of Hecke orbits

In the whole chapter, $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ denotes a Drinfeld modular variety and $C$ a set of representatives in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ for $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}$. We use the description of the irreducible components of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ given in Proposition 1.1.3: We let $Y_{h}$ be the irreducible component of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ corresponding to $h \in C$ and identify its $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points $Y_{h}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right)$ with $\Gamma_{h} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$ where $\Gamma_{h}:=h \mathcal{K} h^{-1} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ via the isomorphism from Proposition 1.1.3.

## 4.1 $T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}-\text { orbits }}$

For $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ and closed subvarieties $Z \subset S$ we define

$$
\left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)(Z):=T_{g}(Z) \cup T_{g^{-1}}(Z),
$$

and recursively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)^{0}(Z):=Z \\
& \left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)^{n}(Z):=\left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)\left(\left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)^{n-1}(Z)\right), n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 4.1.1. For a geometric point $x \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ and $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$, the union

$$
T_{g}^{\infty}(x):=\bigcup_{n \geq 0}\left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)^{n}(x) \subset S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)
$$

is called the $T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}-\text { orbit of } x}$.
Note that $T_{g}^{\infty}(x)$ is the smallest subset of $S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ containing $x$ which is mapped into itself under $T_{g}$ and $T_{g^{-1}}$.

We now give an explicit description of the intersection of $T_{g}^{\infty}(x)$ with the irreducible components of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ for $x \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$.
Proposition 4.1.2. Let $h_{1}, h_{2} \in C$ and assume that $x \in Y_{h_{1}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ with $x=$ $[\omega] \in \Gamma_{h_{1}} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$. Then the intersection of $T_{g}^{\infty}(x)$ with $Y_{h_{2}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ is given by

$$
T_{g}^{\infty}(x) \cap Y_{h_{2}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)=\left\{[T \omega] \in \Gamma_{h_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}: T \in h_{2}\langle\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}\rangle h_{1}^{-1} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)\right\}
$$

where $\langle\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}\rangle$ denotes the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ generated by the double coset $\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. By assumption, we have $x=\left[\left(\omega, h_{1}\right)\right] \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}\right)$. Hence, by Definition 2.1.5

$$
T_{g}(x)=\left\{\left[\left(\omega, h_{1} k g^{-1}\right)\right]: k \in \mathcal{K}\right\}
$$

and similarly

$$
T_{g^{-1}}(x)=\left\{\left[\left(\omega, h_{1} k g\right)\right]: k \in \mathcal{K}\right\} .
$$

Therefore, by the recursive definition of $\left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)^{n}(x)$, the elements of $T_{g}^{\infty}(x)$ are exactly those of the form $\left[\left(\omega, h_{1} k_{1} g_{1} k_{2} g_{2} \cdots k_{n} g_{n}\right)\right]$ with $n \geq 0, k_{i} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $g_{i} \in\left\{g, g^{-1}\right\}$, i.e., we have

$$
T_{g}^{\infty}(x)=\left\{\left[\left(\omega, h_{1} s\right)\right]: s \in\langle\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}\rangle\right\}
$$

Hence, an element $y \in T_{g}^{\infty}(x) \cap Y_{h_{2}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ can be written as $y=\left[\left(\omega, h_{1} s\right)\right]$ with $s \in\langle\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}\rangle$. Since $y$ lies in $Y_{h_{2}}$, there exist $T \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$ with

$$
T h_{1} s k=h_{2} \Longleftrightarrow T=h_{2} k^{-1} s^{-1} h_{1}^{-1} .
$$

Therefore, $y=\left[\left(\omega, h_{1} s\right)\right]=\left[\left(T \omega, T h_{1} s k\right)\right]=\left[\left(T \omega, h_{2}\right)\right]$ is equal to $[T \omega] \in$ $\Gamma_{h_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$, where $T \in h_{2}\langle\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}\rangle h_{1}^{-1} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$.

Conversely, an element $[T \omega] \in \Gamma_{h_{2}} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$ with $T=h_{2} s h_{1}^{-1} \in h_{2}\langle\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}\rangle h_{1}^{-1} \cap$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ is equal to

$$
\left[\left(T \omega, h_{2}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\omega, T^{-1} h_{2}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\omega, h_{1} s^{-1} h_{2}^{-1} h_{2}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\omega, h_{1} s^{-1}\right)\right]
$$

with $s^{-1} \in\langle\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}\rangle$, hence lies in $T_{g}^{\infty}(x) \cap Y_{h_{2}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$.

### 4.2 Zariski density

We give a sufficient condition for a subset $M \subset S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ to be Zariski dense in one irreducible component $Y_{h}$ of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. Recall that, for a place $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ of $F$, we denote the adeles outside $\infty$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ by $\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, p}$.

Proposition 4.2.1. Let $M$ be a subset of $S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ contained in an irreducible component $Y_{h}$ of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ for $h \in C$ and suppose that $M$ contains an element $x=[\omega] \in Y_{h}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)=\Gamma_{h} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$ such that there exists a place $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ of $F$ and an open subgroup $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}\right)$ with

$$
M^{\prime}:=\left\{[T \omega] \in \Gamma_{h} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}: T \in\left(\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \times \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)\right\} \subset M
$$

Then $M$ is Zariski dense in $Y_{h}$.
Proof. We denote the Zariski closure of $M^{\prime}$ by $Y$. It is enough to show that $Y\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)=Y_{h}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. As the nonsingular locus $Y^{\text {ns }}$ of $Y$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is Zariski open in $Y$ (Theorem I.5.3 in [22]), the intersection $Y^{\mathrm{ns}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \cap M^{\prime}$ is non-empty, say $\left[\omega^{\prime}\right] \in Y^{\mathrm{ns}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \cap M^{\prime}$. Since $\left(\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \times \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)$, after replacing $[\omega]$ by $\left[\omega^{\prime}\right]$, we still have

$$
M^{\prime}=\left\{[T \omega] \in Y_{h}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right): T \in\left(\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \times \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)\right\} .
$$

Hence, we can assume that $x=[\omega]$ lies in $Y^{\mathrm{ns}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ and it is enough to show that the tangent space $T_{x} Y$ of $Y$ at $x$ is of dimension $r-1=\operatorname{dim} S$.

Since $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ is open in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}\right)$, there is an $N \in A$ with $N \notin \mathfrak{p}$ such that $K^{\prime}(N) \subset \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$, where $K^{\prime}(N)$ denotes the principal congruence subgroup modulo $N$ of $\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}\right)$. Now let $l \geq 1$ such that $\mathfrak{p}^{l}=(\pi)$ is a principal ideal of $A$ and consider for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $k \geq 1$ the matrices

$$
A_{i k}:=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & \\
& & 1 & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
& & \frac{N}{\pi^{k}} & & 1
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}_{r}(F)
$$

with the entry $\frac{N}{\pi^{k}}$ in the ith column. As elements of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ (diagonally embedded) they lie in $\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \times K^{\prime}(N) \subset \mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \times \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$. Hence, for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $k \geq 1,\left[A_{i k} \omega\right]$ lies in $M^{\prime} \subset Y\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$.

We now view $\Omega_{F}^{r}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{A}^{r-1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ by identifying $\left[\omega_{1}: \cdots: \omega_{r-1}: 1\right]$ with $\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{r-1}\right)$ (note that the $r$-th projective coordinate $\omega_{r}$ of an arbitrary
element of $\Omega_{F}^{r}$ can be assumed to be 1 because the $F_{\infty}$-rational hyperplane $\omega_{r}=0$ does not belong to $\left.\Omega_{F}^{r}\right)$. Assume that we have $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{r-1}\right)$ in this identification. Then, using (1.1.2), we see that

$$
A_{i k} \omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{i}-\frac{N}{\pi^{k}}, \ldots, \omega_{r-1}\right)
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $k \geq 1$. Note that $\omega_{i}-\frac{N}{\pi^{k}}$ converges to $\omega_{i}$ in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\left\{\left[A_{i k} \omega\right]\right\}_{k \geq 1} \subset Y\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Since $Y\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \subset Y_{h}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)=\Gamma_{h} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}$ is closed in the rigid analytic topology, it follows that there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ with $|c|_{\infty}<\varepsilon$

$$
\left[\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{i}+c, \ldots, \omega_{r-1}\right)\right] \in Y\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)
$$

This implies $\operatorname{dim} T_{x} Y=r-1$ and $Y\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)=Y_{h}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$.
Now let $\mathfrak{p} \neq \infty$ be a place of $F$ and $g \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ trivial outside $\mathfrak{p}$, i.e., $g:=\left(1, \ldots, g_{\mathfrak{p}}, \ldots, 1\right)$ for some $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. Using Proposition 4.2.1, we prove a sufficient condition for the $T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}-\text { orbit }} T_{g}^{\infty}(x)$ to be Zariski dense in the irreducible component of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ containing $x$. This result is a generalization of Theorem 4.11 in [5].

Theorem 4.2.2. Assume that the image of the cyclic subgroup $\left\langle g_{\mathfrak{p}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is unbounded and, for $x \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$, let $Y_{x}$ be the irreducible component of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ containing $x$. Then, for all $x \in S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$, the intersection of the $T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}-\text { orbit }} T_{g}^{\infty}(x)$ with $Y_{x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ is Zariski dense in $Y_{x}$.

Proof. We assume that $Y_{x}=Y_{h}$ for some $h \in C$. Then, by Proposition 4.1.2, we have

$$
T_{g}^{\infty}(x) \cap Y_{x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)=\left\{[T \omega] \in \Gamma_{h} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}: T \in h\langle\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}\rangle h^{-1} \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)\right\}
$$

Since $\mathcal{K}$ is an open subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$, there is an $N \in A$ such that the principal congruence subgroup $K(N) \subset \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ modulo $N$ is contained in $h \mathcal{K} h^{-1}$. If the principal ideal $(N) \subset A$ is equal to $\prod_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathfrak{q}^{\nu_{\mathfrak{q}}}$, then we can write $K(N)=\prod_{\mathfrak{q}} K_{\mathfrak{q}}(N)$ with

$$
K_{\mathfrak{q}}(N)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{t_{\mathfrak{q}} \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(A_{\mathfrak{q}}\right): t_{\mathfrak{q}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \mathfrak{q}^{v_{\mathfrak{q}}}\right)\right\} & , v_{\mathfrak{q}}>0 \\
\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(A_{\mathfrak{q}}\right) & , v_{\mathfrak{q}}=0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\left\langle K_{\mathfrak{p}}(N) h_{\mathfrak{p}} g_{\mathfrak{p}} h_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} K_{\mathfrak{p}}(N)\right\rangle \times \prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{q}}(N)=\left\langle K(N) h g h^{-1} K(N)\right\rangle \subset h\langle\mathcal{K} g \mathcal{K}\rangle h^{-1} .
$$

We set $U_{\mathfrak{p}}:=\left\langle K_{\mathfrak{p}}(N) h_{\mathfrak{p}} g_{\mathfrak{p}} h_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} K_{\mathfrak{p}}(N)\right\rangle$ and $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}:=\prod_{\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}} K_{\mathfrak{q}}(N)$. Since the image of $\left\langle g_{\mathfrak{p}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is unbounded, the same applies to the subgroup $U_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$.

We now consider the subgroup $U_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. It is open in $\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ because $U_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is open, and is normalized by the image of $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, which is unbounded. Since $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}$ is an absolutely simple linear algebraic group over the local field $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathrm{SL}_{r} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{r} \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_{r}$ is its universal covering, we conclude by Theorem 2.2 of [32] that $U_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap \operatorname{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is equal to $\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$.

Hence, $\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is contained in $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and we have

$$
\left\{[T \omega] \in \Gamma_{h} \backslash \Omega_{F}^{r}: T \in\left(\mathrm{SL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \times \mathcal{K}^{\prime}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)\right\} \subset T_{g}^{\infty}(x) \cap Y_{x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)
$$

Therefore, we can apply Proposition 4.2 .1 to the subset $T_{g}^{\infty}(x) \cap Y_{x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ of $S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ and conclude that $T_{g}^{\infty}(x) \cap Y_{x}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ is Zariski dense in $Y_{x}$.

## Chapter 5

## Geometric criterion for being a Drinfeld modular subvariety

Proposition 5.1.1. Let $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular variety and $Z \subset$ $S$ an irreducible subvariety over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ such that $Z=T_{g} Z=T_{g^{-1}} Z$ for some $g=\left(1, \ldots, g_{\mathfrak{p}}, \ldots, 1\right)$ with $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. If the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $g_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is unbounded, then $Z$ is an irreducible component of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

Proof. Let $x \in Z\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ be a geometric point of $Z$. By assumption we have $T_{g}(x) \subset T_{g} Z=Z$ and $T_{g^{-1}}(x) \subset T_{g^{-1}} Z=Z$, hence

$$
\left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)(x) \subset Z .
$$

Iterating we get for all $n \geq 1$

$$
\left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)^{n}(x) \subset Z,
$$

so the $\left(T_{g}+T_{g^{-1}}\right)$-orbit $T_{g}^{\infty}(x)$ of $x$ is contained in $Z$. Since $Z$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, the orbit $T_{g}^{\infty}(x)$ is contained in one irreducible component $Y$ of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. So $T_{g}^{\infty}(x)$ is Zariski dense in $Y$ by Theorem 4.2.2. Since $Z$ is Zariski closed in $S$, it follows that $Z=Y$ is an irreducible component of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

Definition 5.1.2. A subvariety $X$ defined over $\bar{F}$ of a Drinfeld modular subvariety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is called Hodge-generic if each of its irreducible components over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is not contained in a proper Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.

Theorem 5.1.3. Let $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular variety with $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ amply small where $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}\right)$. Suppose that $Z \subset S$ is an $F$-irreducible Hodge-generic subvariety with $\operatorname{dim} Z \geq 1$ such that $Z \subset T_{g} Z$
for some $g=\left(1, \ldots, g_{\mathfrak{p}}, \ldots, 1\right)$ with $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. If, for all $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $k_{1} \cdot g_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot k_{2}$ is unbounded, then $Z=S$.

Proof. In this proof, for simplicity of notation, we identify $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ via the inclusion

$$
h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \longmapsto\left(1, \ldots, h_{\mathfrak{p}}, \ldots, 1\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) .
$$

Let $Z=Z_{1} \cup \cdots \cup Z_{s}$ be a decomposition of $Z$ into irreducible components over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. Since $Z$ is defined over $F$, the irreducible component $Z_{1}$ is defined over some finite, separable extension $E$ of $F$. By the $F$-irreducibility of $S$ and $Z$, it is enough to show that $Z_{1}$ is an irreducible component of $S$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. We divide the proof into two steps:
(i) We show that there is an open subgroup $\mathcal{K}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K}$ with associated canonical projection $\pi: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and an $E$-irreducible component $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\pi^{-1}\left(Z_{1}\right)$ which is also irreducible over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ such that $T_{h_{\mathrm{p}}} Z_{1}^{\prime}$ is $E$-irreducible for all $h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$.
(ii) Using Proposition 5.1.1, we prove that $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ is an irreducible component of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

Steps (i) and (ii) imply that $Z_{1}=\pi\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is an irreducible component of $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

Step (i): Note that, by Proposition 2.1.3, the canonical projections

$$
\pi_{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}: S_{F, U_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}}^{r} \longrightarrow S
$$

where $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ runs over all open normal subgroups of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ form a projective system of finite étale Galois covers defined over $F$ with Galois groups $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} / U_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence, by Proposition 2.1.3

$$
\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}: S^{(\mathfrak{p})}:=\lim _{\overleftarrow{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}} S_{F, U_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}}^{r} \longrightarrow S
$$

 this group is isomorphic to $\mathcal{K}_{p}$. Therefore there are bijections

$$
{\underset{U}{U_{\mathfrak{p}}}}_{\lim }^{\operatorname{GL}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) / U_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right),{\underset{\overleftarrow{U}}{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\lim } \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) /\left(U_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}
$$

of sets. Hence we have the following isomorphisms of rigid-analytic spaces:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(\mathfrak{p}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) & \cong \lim _{U_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) /\left(U_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}\right)\right) \\
& \cong \mathrm{GL}_{r}(F) \backslash\left(\Omega_{F}^{r} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right) / \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 2.1.3 and the above isomorphisms, the automorphism of the $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-cover $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ corresponding to a $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is given by

$$
\underset{\overleftarrow{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\lim } \pi_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}:[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \mapsto\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\right)\right]
$$

on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points of $S^{(\mathfrak{p})}$.
We now denote by $Y$ the nonsingular locus of the variety $Z_{1}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. By Theorem I.5.3. in [22], $Y$ is a non-empty open subset of $Z_{1}$ and $Y$ is also defined over $E$.

Let $y \in Y\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) \subset S\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ be a geometric point of $Y$. We denote by $\pi_{1}^{\text {arithm }}(Y, y)$ the arithmetic fundamental group of the variety $Y$ over $E$, i.e., $\pi_{1}^{\text {arithm }}(Y, y):=\pi_{1}\left(Y_{0}, y\right)$ if $Y=\left(Y_{0}\right)_{\mathbb{C}_{\infty}}$ for a scheme $Y_{0}$ over $E$. Furthermore we fix a geometric point $x=[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \in S^{(\mathfrak{p})}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ with $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)=y$ and consider the monodromy representation

$$
\rho: \pi_{1}^{\operatorname{arithm}}(Y, y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}
$$

associated to $x \in S^{(\mathfrak{p})}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ and the $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$-cover $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
By Theorem 4 in [6] the image of $\rho$ is open in $\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ under the assumptions

- $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small,
- $Y$ is a smooth irreducible locally closed subvariety of $S$ with $\operatorname{dim} Y \geq 1$,
- The Zariski closure of $Y$ in $S$ is Hodge-generic.

These assumptions are satisfied in our case, hence $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}:=\rho\left(\pi_{1}^{\operatorname{arithm}}(Y, y)\right)$ is open in $\mathcal{K}_{p}$.

Now we set $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ and consider the canonical projection

$$
\pi: S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r} .
$$

The orbit of the point $x^{\prime}:=[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ lying between our base points $x \in S^{(\mathfrak{p})}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ and $y \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ under the action of $\pi_{1}^{\text {arithm }}(Y, y)$ on the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$ equals

$$
\left\{\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime-1}\right)\right] \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right): k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \in \rho\left(\pi_{1}^{\operatorname{arithm}}(Y, y)\right)=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

and is therefore of cardinality 1. Hence, the $E$-irreducible component $Y^{\prime}$ of $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ containing $x^{\prime}$ is mapped isomorphically onto $Y$ by $\pi$. Since $Y$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, it follows that $Y^{\prime}$ is also irreducible over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

Note furthermore, for any open subgroup $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime}:=\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ with canonical projection $\pi^{\prime}: S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ that

$$
\pi^{\prime-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)\right] \in S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right): k_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

is exactly one orbit under the action of $\pi_{1}^{\text {arithm }}(Y, y)$ on $\pi^{\prime-1}\left(\pi^{-1}(y)\right)$. Therefore, $\pi^{\prime-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ is $E$-irreducible. Since this holds for every open subgroup $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$, this implies that $T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}} Y^{\prime}$ is $E$-irreducible for all $h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$.

We now define $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ to be the Zariski closure of $Y^{\prime}$ in $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$. Since $Y^{\prime}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, its Zariski closure $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ is also irreducible over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ and moreover, by dimension reasons, an irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}\left(Z_{1}\right)$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. Since $Y^{\prime}$ is also $E$-irreducible, we similarly conclude that $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ is an $E$-irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}\left(Z_{1}\right)$.

Note that, for all $h_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, the projections $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ in the definition of the Hecke correspondence $T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ on $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ are open and closed because they are finite and étale. By the $E$-irreducibility of $T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}} Y^{\prime}$ this implies that

$$
T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}} Z_{1}^{\prime}=\pi_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(\overline{Y^{\prime}}\right)\right)=\overline{\pi_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)\right)}=\overline{T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}} Y^{\prime}}
$$

is $E$-irreducible and concludes step (i).
Step (ii): By the assumption $Z \subset T_{g} Z$, the irreducible component $Z_{1}$ of $Z$ is contained in $T_{g} Z_{i}$ for some $i$. Since $Z$ is $F$-irreducible, there is an element $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ with $Z_{i}=\sigma\left(Z_{1}\right)$. This gives for $Z_{1}^{\prime} \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}^{\prime} \subset \pi^{-1}\left(Z_{1}\right) \subset \pi^{-1}\left(T_{g} \sigma\left(Z_{1}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(\pi^{-1}\left(T_{g} Z_{1}\right)\right), \tag{5.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last equality holds because all our projection morphisms are defined over $F$.

Lemma 5.1.4. Let $\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l}\right\}$ be a set of representatives for the left cosets in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$. Then we have

$$
\pi^{-1}\left(T_{g} Z_{1}\right)=\bigcup_{i, j=1}^{l} T_{k_{i}^{-1} g_{\mathrm{p}} k_{j}} Z_{1}^{\prime} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 5.1.4. We show both inclusions on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points.
First consider a $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued point $x$ of $\pi^{-1}\left(T_{g} Z_{1}\right)$. Because of $Z_{1}=\pi\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ there is a $p^{\prime}=[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \in Z_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ with $x \in \pi^{-1}\left(T_{g} \pi\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Therefore there are $l_{1}, l_{2} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$
x=\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h l_{1} g_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} l_{2}\right)\right] \in S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) .
$$

Since $\left\{k_{1}, \cdots, k_{l}\right\}$ is a set of representatives for the left cosets in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} / \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cong \mathcal{K} / \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$, there are $i$ and $j$ and $k_{1}^{\prime}, k_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ with $l_{1}^{-1}=k_{i} k_{1}^{\prime}$ and $l_{2}=k_{j} k_{2}^{\prime}$. Hence we have

$$
x=\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h k_{1}^{\prime-1}\left(k_{j}^{-1} g_{\mathfrak{p}} k_{i}\right)^{-1} k_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right] \in T_{k_{j}^{-1} g_{\mathrm{p}} k_{i}} p^{\prime} \subset T_{k_{j}^{-1} g_{\mathrm{p}} k_{i}} Z_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right) .
$$

For the other inclusion, let $x$ be a $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued point of $T_{k_{i}^{-1} g_{\mathrm{p}} k_{j}} Z_{1}^{\prime}$ for some $i$ and $j$. Then there is a $p^{\prime}=[(\bar{\omega}, h)] \in Z_{1}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ and a $k^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ with

$$
x=\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h k^{\prime}\left(k_{i}^{-1} g_{\mathfrak{p}} k_{j}\right)^{-1}\right)\right] .
$$

It follows that

$$
\pi(x)=\left[\left(\bar{\omega}, h k^{\prime} k_{j}^{-1} g_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\right)\right] \in T_{g}\left(\pi\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset T_{g} Z_{1}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)
$$

hence indeed $x \in \pi^{-1}\left(T_{g} Z_{1}\right)\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$.
Since $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ is $E$-irreducible, Lemma 5.1.4 and (5.1.1) imply the existence of $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with

$$
Z_{1}^{\prime} \subset \sigma\left(T_{k_{1}^{-1} g_{\mathrm{p}} k_{2}} Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

By (i), the subvariety $T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}} Z_{1}^{\prime} \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ with $h_{\mathfrak{p}}:=k_{1}^{-1} g_{\mathfrak{p}} k_{2}$ is also $E$-irreducible, therefore we even have

$$
Z_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma\left(T_{h_{\mathrm{p}}} Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Iterating this gives the inclusion

$$
Z_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma\left(T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}} \sigma\left(T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}} Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\sigma^{2}\left(T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}} Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right) \supset \sigma^{2}\left(T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}^{2}} Z_{1}^{\prime}\right),
$$

which also must be an equality because both sides are of the same dimension and $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ is $E$-irreducible. Repeating the same argument gives

$$
Z_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma^{i}\left(T_{h_{\mathrm{p}}^{i}} Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $i \geq 1$. There is an $n \geq 1$ with $\sigma^{n} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / E\right)$. Since $T_{h_{p}^{n}} Z_{1}^{\prime}$ is defined over $E$, we conclude the relations

$$
Z_{1}^{\prime}=\sigma^{n}\left(T_{h_{p}^{n}} Z_{1}^{\prime}\right)=T_{h_{p}^{n}} Z_{1}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
T_{h_{\mathrm{p}}^{-n}} Z_{1}^{\prime}=T_{h_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-n}}\left(T_{h_{\mathrm{p}}^{n}} Z_{1}^{\prime}\right) \supset Z_{1}^{\prime} .
$$

Again, the latter relation must be an equality because $T_{h_{p}^{-n}} Z_{1}^{\prime}$ is $E$-irreducible and of the same dimension as $Z_{1}^{\prime}$. Hence we have

$$
Z_{1}^{\prime}=T_{h_{p}^{n}} Z_{1}^{\prime}=T_{h_{\mathrm{p}}^{-n}} Z_{1}^{\prime} .
$$

Note that the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $h_{\mathfrak{p}}^{n}=$ $\left(k_{1}^{-1} g_{\mathrm{p}} k_{2}\right)^{n}$ is unbounded by our assumption. So we can apply Proposition 5.1.1 and conclude that $Z_{1}^{\prime}$ is an irreducible component of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$.

## Chapter 6

## Existence of good primes and suitable Hecke operators

### 6.1 Good primes

In this section, $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ denotes a Drinfeld modular subvariety of a Drinfeld modular variety $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ associated to the datum ( $F^{\prime}, b$ ).

Definition 6.1.1. For a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$, a free $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-submodule $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$ of rank $r$ is called an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-lattice.

Definition 6.1.2. A prime $\mathfrak{p}$ is called good for $X \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ if there exists an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-lattice $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$ such that
(i) $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ with $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the kernel of the natural map

$$
\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k(\mathfrak{p})}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

for a uniformizer $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}\right)$,
(ii) there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with local degree $\left[F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]=1$,
(iii) $b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$-submodule of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$.

## Remarks:

- The definition is independent of the datum $\left(F^{\prime}, b\right)$ describing $X$ because $F^{\prime}$ is uniquely determined by $X$ and $b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ b_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ and $k_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ for a second datum $\left(F^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ describing $X$ by Corollary 2.2.12.
- The existence of a good prime $\mathfrak{p}$ for $X$ implies that the reflex field $F^{\prime}$ of $X$ is separable over $F$ because there exists a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ which is unramified over $F$.
- If $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}=s_{\mathfrak{p}} A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$ for an $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, then condition (i) is equivalent to

$$
\mathcal{K}=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p}) s_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p}) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is the principal congruence subgroup modulo $\mathfrak{p}$.
Proposition 6.1.3. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a good prime for $X$. Suppose that $X$ is contained in a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}=\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}\right) \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$.

Then $X^{\prime \prime}:=\left(\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{-1}(X)$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$ and there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}$ of $F^{\prime \prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with $k(\mathfrak{p})=k\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}$ is good for $X^{\prime \prime} \subset S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.2.11, $X^{\prime \prime}=\left(\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{-1}(X)$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$. In the proof of Corollary 2.2 .11 we saw that $F \subset F^{\prime \prime} \subset F^{\prime}$ and there are an $\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}$-linear isomorphism $c:\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime \prime}} \xlongequal{\cong}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$ and a $k \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=c \circ b^{\prime} \circ k \tag{6.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $X^{\prime \prime}=\iota_{F^{\prime \prime}, c}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$. The situation is summarized in the following commutative diagram where all arrows are bijections on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$-valued points:


Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is a good prime for $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$, there is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-lattice $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$ for which the conditions (i)-(iii) of Definition 6.1.2 are satisfied. We now show the existence of a good prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}$ for $X^{\prime \prime}=\iota_{F^{\prime \prime}, c}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \subset S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$.

By (ii), there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ lying over $\mathfrak{p}$ with local degree $\left[F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]=1$. We define $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}$ to be the prime of $F^{\prime \prime}$ lying between $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$. By construction, $k(\mathfrak{p})=k\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ is also of local degree 1 over $F^{\prime \prime}$, i.e., $\left[F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right]=1$.

By (iii), $b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$-submodule of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$. So we can write

$$
b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime} \times \Lambda^{\prime\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

with $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime} \subset F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime} r^{\prime}$ an $A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}$-submodule (recall that $A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime}=A^{\prime} \otimes_{A^{\prime \prime}} A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}$ by our conventions). Since $c$ is $\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime \prime}}^{f}$-linear and $A^{\prime \prime} \subset A^{\prime}$, it follows that we can also write

$$
c_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\left(b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)=c_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime} \times \Lambda^{\prime\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)}\right)=\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} \times \Lambda^{\prime \prime\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)}
$$

with $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}$ an $A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}$-submodule of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime}$ for which $c_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}$ is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}$-submodule of $F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime} r^{r^{\prime}}$. By (6.1.1) and since $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ stabilizes $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by (i), we furthermore have

$$
b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\left(k_{\mathfrak{p}} \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=c_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\left(b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)=\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} \times \Lambda^{\prime \prime\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)} .
$$

So we have shown that the conditions (ii) and (iii) of Definition 6.1.2 are satisfied for the lattice $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} r^{\prime \prime}$ and the datum $\left(F^{\prime}, c\right)$ describing $X^{\prime \prime} \subset$ $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$. To show also (i), we note that $\mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime \prime} \times \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime(\mathfrak{p})}$ with

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime \prime}=\left(b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime \prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime \prime}\right) .
$$

Since $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the kernel of the natural map

$$
\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k(\mathfrak{p})}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

for a uniformizer $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, it follows that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime \prime}$ is the kernel of the natural map

$$
\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime \prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime \prime}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k(\mathfrak{p})}\left(b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)
$$

Since $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also a uniformizer at $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}$ and because of $b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime} \times \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime \prime\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)}$, we see that (i) is satisfied for the lattice $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}}^{\prime \prime}$.

### 6.2 Suitable Hecke correspondences

Proposition 6.2.1. Let $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular subvariety and $g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$. Then, we have

$$
X \subset T_{g} X
$$

for $g:=b^{-1} \circ g^{\prime} \circ b \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$.
Proof. Let $p=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) \in X\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ for some $\overline{\omega^{\prime}} \in \Omega_{F^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}$ and $h^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$. Then we have

$$
p=\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi}, \varphi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} \circ b\right)\right]=\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime} \circ \varphi},\left(\varphi^{-1} \circ h^{\prime} g^{\prime} \circ b \circ g^{-1}\right)\right]\right.
$$

for an $F$-linear isomorphism $\varphi: F^{r} \xrightarrow{\cong} F^{\prime r^{\prime}}$, therefore $p$ lies in $T_{g}\left(\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\left[\left(\overline{\omega^{\prime}}, h^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)\right)$ and therefore in $T_{g} X\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. Since $p \in X\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ was arbitrary, we conclude $X \subset T_{g} X$.

Theorem 6.2.2. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a good prime for a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X=$ $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ and let $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ be a prime of $F^{\prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with local degree 1 over $F$. Then there is a

$$
g^{\prime}=\left(1, \ldots, 1, g_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, 1, \ldots, 1\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)
$$

with $g_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ such that the following holds for $g:=b^{-1} \circ g^{\prime} \circ b \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ :
(i) $X \subset T_{g} X$,
(ii) $\operatorname{deg} T_{g}=\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g\right]=|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r-1}$,
(iii) For all $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $k_{1} \cdot g_{\mathrm{p}} \cdot k_{2}$ is unbounded.

Proof. Suppose that the conditions (i)-(iii) of Definition 6.1.2 are satisfied for the $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-lattice $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$.

By (iii), we can write

$$
b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)=\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \times \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)}
$$

with $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} r^{\prime}$ a free $A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-submodule of rank $r^{\prime}$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)} \subset\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{r^{\prime}}$. Let $g_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}: F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime r^{\prime}} \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ be given by

$$
\operatorname{diag}\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)
$$

for a uniformizer $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ with respect to an $A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-basis of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$.
We now check the conditions (i)-(iii) for $g_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Statement (i) follows by Proposition 6.2.1.

For (ii) and (iii), note that each $A_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$-basis of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is also an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and can be extended to an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis of $b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ because the local degree $\left[F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]$ is equal to 1 . In particular, $g_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}=b_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ g_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}: F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}} \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$ is given by the diagonal matrix

$$
D_{\mathfrak{p}}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)
$$

with respect to some $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis $B^{\prime}$ of $b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ for a uniformizer $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. It follows that $g_{\mathfrak{p}}: F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r} \rightarrow F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$ is also given by $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to the $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-basis $b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence, there is a $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\mathfrak{p}} & =s_{\mathfrak{p}} D_{\mathfrak{p}} s_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}, \\
\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} & =s_{\mathfrak{p}} A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the remark after Definition 6.1.2, we therefore have

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p}) s_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}
$$

with $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ the principal congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$.
Hence, we can and do assume $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ and $g_{\mathfrak{p}}=D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ because (ii) and (iii) are invariant under conjugation.

For the proof of (ii) consider the map

$$
\alpha: \begin{array}{clc}
\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p}) & \longrightarrow & \left(A_{\mathfrak{p}} /\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)^{r-1} \\
h & \longmapsto\left(\left[\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \cdot h_{21}\right], \ldots,\left[\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \cdot h_{r 1}\right]\right) .
\end{array}
$$

For $h, h^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p})$, we have for $2 \leq i \leq r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \cdot\left(h h^{\prime}\right)_{i 1} & =\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} h_{i 1}\right) h_{11}^{\prime}+h_{i i}\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} h_{i 1}^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{j \neq i, 1}\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} h_{i j}\right) h_{j 1}^{\prime} \\
& \equiv \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} h_{i 1}+\pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} h_{i 1}^{\prime}+0(\bmod \mathfrak{p})
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore $\alpha$ is a homomorphism of groups. It is furthermore surjective and its kernel is exactly equal to $\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap D_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p}) D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$. Hence, we have

$$
\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g\right]=\left[\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}: \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap g_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} g_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]=|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r-1}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{deg} T_{g}=\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g\right]
$$

as explained at the end of Section 2.1.
For (iii), let $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ be arbitrary. We prove that the eigenvalues of $\left(k_{1} g_{\mathfrak{p}} k_{2}\right)^{-1}=k_{2}^{-1} D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} k_{1}^{-1}$ do not all have the same $\mathfrak{p}$-valuation by showing that the Newton polygon of the characteristic polynomial

$$
\chi(\lambda)=\lambda^{r}+a_{r-1} \lambda^{r-1}+\cdots+a_{1} \lambda+a_{0}
$$

of $k_{2}^{-1} D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} k_{1}^{-1}$ consists at least of two line segments. This implies that the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $k_{1} g_{\mathfrak{p}} k_{2}$ is unbounded.

Since $k_{1}, k_{2}$ are elements of $\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{det}\left(k_{1}\right), \operatorname{det}\left(k_{2}\right) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{*}$ and hence

$$
v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(a_{0}\right)=v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(k_{2}^{-1} D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} k_{1}^{-1}\right)\right)=0-v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\operatorname{det}\left(D_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right)+0=-1 .
$$

The coefficient $a_{r-1}$ can be expressed as

$$
a_{r-1}=-\operatorname{tr}\left(k_{2}^{-1} D_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1} k_{1}^{-1}\right)=-\sum_{i}\left(k_{2}^{-1}\right)_{i 1} \pi_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\left(k_{1}^{-1}\right)_{1 i}-\sum_{i} \sum_{j \neq 1}\left(k_{2}^{-1}\right)_{i j}\left(k_{1}^{-1}\right)_{j i} .
$$

Because of $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ we have $v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\left(k_{1}^{-1}\right)_{i j}\right), v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\left(k_{2}^{-1}\right)_{i j} \geq 0\right.$ with equality exactly for $i=j$. Therefore, in the above expression for $a_{r-1}$, the summand for $i=1$ in
the first sum has $\mathfrak{p}$-valuation -1 and all the other summands have $\mathfrak{p}$-valuation at least 0 . We conclude

$$
v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(a_{r-1}\right)=-1 .
$$

Hence, the point $\left(r-1, v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(a_{r-1}\right)\right)$ lies below the line through $\left(0, v_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(a_{0}\right)\right)$ and $(r, 0)$. This implies that the Newton polygon of $\chi$ consists at least of two line segments.

### 6.3 Existence of good primes

Proposition 6.3.1. Let $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \subset S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular subvariety and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime of $F$ such that the following holds:
(i) there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with local degree $\left[F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]=1$,
(ii) $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ with $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ a maximal compact subgroup and $\mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}\right)$,
(iii) $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}:=\left(b_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$.

Then there is a subgroup $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{K}$ and a Drinfeld modular subvariety $\tilde{X} \subset S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$ such that
(i) $\pi_{1}(\tilde{X})=X$ for the canonical projection $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$,
(ii) $\mathfrak{p}$ is good for $\tilde{X} \subset S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$,
(iii) $[\mathcal{K}: \tilde{\mathcal{K}}]<|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{2}$.

Proof. As $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, there is an $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \in$ $\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ with $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}=s_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) s_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}$. We define $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to be the lattice $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$, for which we have

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) .
$$

Now, we let $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the kernel of the natural map

$$
\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k(\mathfrak{p})}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)
$$

for a uniformizer $\pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and define $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}:=\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$.
By construction, we get the upper bound (iii) for the index of $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ :

$$
[\mathcal{K}: \tilde{\mathcal{K}}]=\left[\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}: \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]=\left|\operatorname{Aut}_{k(\mathfrak{p})}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} / \pi_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\right|=\left|\mathrm{GL}_{r}(k(\mathfrak{p}))\right|<|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r^{2}}
$$

We denote by $\tilde{\iota}_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$ the inclusion $S_{F^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$ associated to the same datum $\left(F^{\prime}, b\right)$ as $\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}$. By definition of the inclusion morphisms, the diagram

with $\pi_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{1}$ projection morphisms as defined in Section 2.1 commutes. Therefore, the Drinfeld modular subvariety $\tilde{X}:=\tilde{\iota}_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \subset S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$ satisfies

$$
\pi_{1}(\tilde{X})=\pi_{1}\left(\tilde{\iota}_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)\right)=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(\pi_{1}^{\prime}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \tilde{\mathcal{}}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)\right)=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)=X
$$

It remains to show that $\mathfrak{p}$ is good for $\tilde{X} \subset S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$. By assumption, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{p}$ of $F^{\prime}$ with local degree $\left[F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]=1$ and by construction $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ satisfies condition (i) of Definition 6.1.2. So we only have to check that $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}:=b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$-submodule of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the stabilizer of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$, the stabilizer of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ in $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ is exactly

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}:=\left(b_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right),
$$

which is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ by assumption. Therefore we have

$$
\operatorname{Stab}_{F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime *}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cap F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime *}=A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime *}
$$

because $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime *}$ is the unique maximal compact subgroup of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime *}$. In particular $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime *}$ is contained in the subring

$$
R:=\left\{\lambda \in F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}: \lambda \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \subset \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$. By the lemma below, it follows that this subring contains $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$. Therefore $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$-submodule of $F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime r^{\prime}}$.
Lemma 6.3.2. $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime *}$ generates $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ as a ring.
Proof. The ring

$$
A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}=\prod_{\mathfrak{q}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{p}} A_{\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

is generated by $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime *}$ and all the elements

$$
\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}}:=\left(0, \ldots, 0, \pi_{\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

with $\mathfrak{q}^{\prime} \mid \mathfrak{p}$, where $\pi_{\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}}$ denotes a uniformizer at $\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}$. So we only have to show that all $\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}}$ lie in the subring of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ generated by $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime *}$. This is true because of

$$
\lambda_{\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}}=\underbrace{(1, \ldots, 1)}_{\in A_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime *}}+\underbrace{\left(-1, \ldots,-1, \pi_{\mathfrak{q}^{\prime}}-1,-1, \ldots,-1\right)}_{\in A_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime *}} .
$$

Theorem 6.3.3. Let $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular variety and $N>0$. For every prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$, denote by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the projection of $\mathcal{K}$ to $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. Then, for almost all Drinfeld modular subvarieties $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ with separable reflex field over $F$, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ with the following properties:
(i) there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with local degree $\left[F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]=1$,
(ii) $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ is a maximal compact subgroup and $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ with $\mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}\right)$,
(iii) $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}:=\left(b_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$,
(iv) $|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{N}<D(X)$ where $D(X)$ denotes the predegree of $X$ from Definition 2.2.14.

Before giving the proof of this theorem, we show two lemmas.
Lemma 6.3.4. There are absolute constants $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ such that for all global function fields $F^{\prime}$ with field of constants containing $\mathbb{F}_{q}$

$$
g\left(F^{\prime}\right) \leq C_{1}+C_{2} \cdot \log _{q}\left(\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)
$$

where $g\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ denotes the genus of $F^{\prime}$ and $\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|$ the class number of $F^{\prime}$.
Proof. Let $F^{\prime}$ be a global function field with field of constants $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\prime}} \supset \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then, with Proposition 3.3.4 we get the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right| & \geq \frac{\left(q^{\prime}-1\right)\left(q^{\prime 2 g\left(F^{\prime}\right)}-2 g\left(F^{\prime}\right) q^{\prime g\left(F^{\prime}\right)}+1\right)}{2 g\left(F^{\prime}\right)\left(q^{\prime g\left(F^{\prime}\right)+1}-1\right)} \geq\left(q^{\prime}-1\right) \cdot\left(\frac{q^{\prime g\left(F^{\prime}\right)-1}}{2 g\left(F^{\prime}\right)}-\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \geq(q-1) \cdot\left(\frac{q^{g\left(F^{\prime}\right)-1}}{2 g\left(F^{\prime}\right)}-\frac{1}{q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\frac{q^{g\left(F^{\prime}\right)-1}}{g\left(F^{\prime}\right)} \leq \frac{2\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|}{q-1}+\frac{2}{q} \leq 4\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right| .
$$

There is a constant $C>0$ such that for all $F^{\prime}$ with $g\left(F^{\prime}\right) \geq C$

$$
q^{g\left(F^{\prime}\right) / 2} \leq \frac{q^{g\left(F^{\prime}\right)-1}}{g\left(F^{\prime}\right)} \leq 4\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

and hence

$$
g\left(F^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 \cdot \log _{q}\left(4\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) \leq 4+2 \log _{q}\left(\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)
$$

So the bound

$$
g\left(F^{\prime}\right) \leq C_{1}+C_{2} \cdot \log _{q}\left(\left|\mathrm{Cl}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)
$$

holds with $C_{1}:=\max \{4, C\}$ and $C_{2}:=2$.
Lemma 6.3.5. There are constants $C_{3}, C_{4}>0$ only depending on $r$ such that for all finite separable extensions $F^{\prime} / F$ of global function fields with $\left[F^{\prime} / F\right] \leq r$

$$
g\left(E^{\prime}\right) \leq C_{3}+C_{4} \cdot g\left(F^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $E^{\prime}$ denotes the normal closure of the extension $F^{\prime} / F$.
Proof. Let $F^{\prime} / F$ be a finite separable extension of global function fields of degree $r^{\prime} \leq r$. Its normal closure $E^{\prime}$ is the compositum of all Galois conjugates $F_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, F_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ over $F$. We use Castelnuovo's inequality (Theorem III.10.3 in [35]) to bound its genus:

If a global function field $K$ is the compositum of two subfields $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ with $n_{i}:=\left[K / K_{i}\right]<\infty$ for $i=1,2$, then

$$
g(K) \leq n_{1} \cdot g\left(K_{1}\right)+n_{2} \cdot g\left(K_{2}\right)+\left(n_{1}-1\right)\left(n_{2}-1\right) .
$$

For $K_{1}=F_{1}^{\prime}$ and $K_{2}=F_{2}^{\prime}$ this gives

$$
g\left(F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq r^{\prime} \cdot g\left(F^{\prime}\right)+r^{\prime} \cdot g\left(F^{\prime}\right)+\left(r^{\prime}-1\right)^{2} \leq 2 r^{\prime} \cdot g\left(F^{\prime}\right)+r^{\prime 2}
$$

because all Galois conjugates of $F^{\prime}$ over $F$ have the same genus and $\left[F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime} / F_{1}^{\prime}\right] \leq$ $\left[F_{2}^{\prime} / F\right]=r^{\prime}$ and $\left[F_{1}^{\prime} F_{2}^{\prime} / F_{2}^{\prime}\right] \leq\left[F_{1}^{\prime} / F\right]=r^{\prime}$. With induction over $k$ we get

$$
g\left(F_{1}^{\prime} \cdots F_{k}^{\prime}\right) \leq k r^{\prime k-1} \cdot g\left(F^{\prime}\right)+(k-1) r^{\prime k}
$$

and with $k=r^{\prime}$

$$
g\left(E^{\prime}\right) \leq r^{\prime r^{\prime}} \cdot g\left(F^{\prime}\right)+\left(r^{\prime}-1\right) \cdot r^{\prime r^{\prime}} \leq(r-1) r^{r}+r^{r} \cdot g\left(F^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proof of Theorem 6.3.3. For a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ with separable reflex field over $F$, we denote by $n(X)$ the number of primes of $F$ for which (ii) and (iii) do not both hold and by $m(X, N)$ the number of primes of $F$ with (i) and (iv). We show the following statements for Drinfeld modular subvarieties $X$ of $S$ with separable reflex field:
(a) $n(X) \leq C_{5}+C_{6} \cdot \log _{q}(i(X))$ for constants $C_{5}, C_{6}$ independent of $X$ where $i(X)$ denotes the index of $X$ as defined in Definition 2.2.14,
(b) there is an $M>0$ such that $m(X, N)>n(X)$ for all $X$ with $D(X)>M$.

Statement (b) implies the theorem because $D(X)>M$ for almost all Drinfeld modular subvarieties $X$ of $S$ by Theorem 3.3.2.

Proof of (a): For a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ of $S$ we have

$$
\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\left(b \mathcal{K} b^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)
$$

and the index $i(X)$ is the index of $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ in a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F^{\prime}}^{f}\right)$.

For a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ for which (ii) holds we can write $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\operatorname{Stab}_{\operatorname{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ for some $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-lattice $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{r}$ and

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}=\left(b_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

with $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}:=b_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$. By Proposition 3.3.6 we have the estimates

$$
\left[\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right): \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right] \geq C \cdot\left[A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}: \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right]^{1 / r}
$$

for some constant $C>0$ only depending on $q$ and $r$. Note that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ is a free $A_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime}$-submodule of rank $r^{\prime}$ (see, e.g., the proof of Proposition 3.3.6). Therefore, $\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ is conjugate to $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ and a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence, if $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ is not a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ (i.e., (iii) does not hold for $\mathfrak{p}$ ), then $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \subsetneq A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left[\operatorname{Stab}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)}\left(A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \cdot \Lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right): \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right] \geq C \cdot|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{1 / r}
$$

because each finite non-trivial $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$-module has at least $|k(\mathfrak{p})|$ elements.
Since, for each prime $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfying (ii), we have $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime} \times \mathcal{K}^{\prime(\mathfrak{p})}$ for some subgroup $\mathcal{K}^{\prime(\mathfrak{p})} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}\right)$, we conclude that

$$
i(X) \geq C \cdot|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{n_{3}(X) / r} \geq C \cdot q^{n_{3}(X) / r}
$$

where $n_{3}(X)$ is the number of primes of $F$ for which (ii) holds, but (iii) does not hold. If $n_{2}$ is the number of primes of $F$, for which (ii) does not hold, then we conclude

$$
n(X)=n_{2}+n_{3}(X) \leq n_{2}-r \cdot \log _{q}(C)+r \cdot \log _{q}(i(X)) .
$$

This finishes the proof of (a) because $n_{2}$ is independent of $X$.
Proof of (b): Let $X$ be a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$ with separable reflex field $F^{\prime}$ over $F$. We denote the normal closure of the extension $F^{\prime} / F$ by $E^{\prime}$. To give a lower bound for $m(X, N)$ we note that all primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$ which
completely split in $E^{\prime}$ satisfy condition (i). We bound the number of such primes with fixed degree using an effective version of Čebotarev's theorem.

For the application of Čebotarev's theorem we fix some notations. We denote the constant extension degree of $E^{\prime} / F$ by $n$ and its geometric extension degree by $k$. Since we assumed $F$ to have field of constants $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the field of constants of $E^{\prime}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$ and $k=\left[E^{\prime} / \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \cdot F\right]$. We furthermore fix a separating transcendence element $\theta$ of $F / \mathbb{F}_{q}$ (i.e., an element $\theta$ of $F$ such that $F / \mathbb{F}_{q}(\theta)$ is finite and separable) and set $d:=\left[F / \mathbb{F}_{q}(\theta)\right]$.

The effective version of Čebotarev's theorem in [14] (Proposition 6.4.8) says that for all $i \geq 1$ with $n \mid i$

$$
\left|\left|C_{i}\left(E^{\prime} / F\right)\right|-\frac{q^{i}}{i k}\right|<\frac{2}{i k}\left(\left(k+g\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right) q^{i / 2}+k(2 g(F)+1) q^{i / 4}+g\left(E^{\prime}\right)+d k\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{i}\left(E^{\prime} / F\right):= & \left\{\mathfrak{p} \text { place of } F \mid k(\mathfrak{p})=\mathbb{F}_{q^{i}}, \mathfrak{p} \text { completely splits in } E^{\prime}\right. \text { and } \\
& \left.\mathfrak{p} \text { is unramified over } \mathbb{F}_{q}(\theta)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply this for all $X$ with predegree $D(X) \geq q^{4 N r!}$. Because of $n \leq\left[E^{\prime} / F\right] \leq$ $r$ !, for these $X$ we have $q^{n} \leq D(X)^{\frac{1}{4 N}}$. Therefore there are $j \geq 1$ with $n \mid j$ and $q^{j}<D(X)^{\frac{1}{N}}$ and we can define

$$
i:=\max \left\{j \geq 1: n \mid j, q^{j}<D(X)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right\}
$$

Our choice of $i$ ensures that

$$
m(X, N) \geq\left|C_{i}\left(E^{\prime} / F\right)\right|
$$

By our choice of $i$ and $X$ we have $q^{i}<D(X)^{\frac{1}{N}}, q^{n+i} \geq D(X)^{\frac{1}{N}}$ and $q^{n} \leq$ $D(X)^{\frac{1}{4 N}}$. Hence we have the bounds

$$
q^{i}<D(X)^{\frac{1}{N}}, \quad q^{i}=\frac{q^{n+i}}{q^{n}} \geq D(X)^{\frac{3}{4 N}}
$$

Furthermore Lemma 6.3.4 and 6.3.5 imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(F^{\prime}\right) & \leq C_{1}+C_{2} \cdot \log _{q}(D(X)) \\
g\left(E^{\prime}\right) & \leq C_{3}+C_{4} \cdot g\left(F^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d$ is independent of $X$ and $1 \leq n, k \leq r$ ! for all $X$, the above conclusion of Čebotarev's theorem and these bounds imply

$$
m(X, N) \geq \frac{C_{1}^{\prime} \cdot D(X)^{\frac{3}{4 N}}}{\log _{q}(D(X))}-\frac{C_{2}^{\prime}+C_{3}^{\prime} \log _{q}(D(X))}{\log _{q}(D(X))}\left(D(X)^{\frac{1}{2 N}}+D(X)^{\frac{1}{4 N}}+1\right)
$$

with $C_{1}^{\prime}, C_{2}^{\prime}, C_{3}^{\prime}>0$ independent of $X$. On the other hand, our statement (a) gives the bound

$$
n(X) \leq C_{5}+C_{6} \cdot \log _{q}(D(X))
$$

with $C_{5}, C_{6}$ independent of $X$. Since $x^{\frac{1}{2 N}}\left(\log _{q}(x)\right)^{2}=o\left(x^{\frac{3}{4 N}}\right)$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$, these bounds imply the existence of an $M>0$ such that $m(X, N)>n(X)$ for all $X$ with $D(X)>M$.

## Chapter 7

## The André-Oort Conjecture for Drinfeld modular varieties

### 7.1 Statement and first reduction

Conjecture 7.1.1 (André-Oort Conjecture for Drinfeld modular varieties). Let $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular variety and $\Sigma$ a set of special points of $S$. Then each irreducible component over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of the Zariski closure of $\Sigma$ is a special subvariety of $S$.

Our main result is the following theorem:
Theorem 7.1.2. Conjecture 7.1.1 is true if the reflex fields of all special points in $\Sigma$ are separable over $F$.

Since the reflex field of a special point in $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ is of degree $r$ over $F$, special points with inseparable reflex field over $F$ can only occur if $r$ is divisible by $p=\operatorname{char}(F)$. Hence, Theorem 7.1.2 implies

Corollary 7.1.3. Conjecture 7.1.1 is true if $r$ is not a multiple of $p=\operatorname{char}(F)$.
Theorem 7.1.2 follows from the following crucial statement whose proof we give in the next section:

Theorem 7.1.4. Let $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular variety and $Z \subset S$ an $F$-irreducible subvariety of $S$. Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$, all of the same dimension $d<\operatorname{dim} Z$ and with separable reflex field over $F$, whose union is Zariski dense in $Z$. Then, for almost all $X \in \Sigma$, there is a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}$ of $S$ with $X \subsetneq X^{\prime} \subset Z$.

Proposition 7.1.5. Theorem 7.1.4 implies Theorem 7.1.2.

Proof of Proposition 7.1.5. Theorem 7.1.2 can be seen as a special case of the following more general statement:

Proposition 7.1.6. Let $S$ be a Drinfeld modular variety and $Z \subset S$ an $F$ irreducible subvariety. Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$, all of the same dimension $d \leq \operatorname{dim} Z$ and with separable reflex field over $F$, whose union is Zariski dense in $Z$. Then each irreducible component of $Z$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is a special subvariety.

Indeed, Proposition 7.1.6 for $d=0$ implies Theorem 7.1.2:
Assume that $Y$ is an irreducible component over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of the Zariski closure of a set $\Sigma$ of special points of a Drinfeld modular variety $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with separable reflex field over $F$. Note that each special point in $\Sigma$ is defined over the separable closure $F^{\text {sep }}$ of $F$ because it is an irreducible component over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of a Drinfeld modular subvariety of dimension 0 defined over a separable extension of $F$. Therefore, the Zariski closure of $\Sigma$ and hence $Y$ are also defined over $F^{\text {sep }}$. We set $Z:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right) \cdot Y$. This is a finite union of Galois conjugates of $Y$ over $F$ because $Y$ is defined over some finite separable extension of $F$ (as variety defined over $F^{\text {sep }}$ ). By Proposition 0.2.2, the subvariety $Z \subset S$ is $F$-irreducible.

Let now $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be the set of special points in $\Sigma$ contained in $Y$ and $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$ the union of the $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$-conjugates of the elements of $\Sigma^{\prime}$. By our assumption, the Zariski closure of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is equal to $Y$ and the Zariski closure of $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$ is $Z$.

Note that $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$ is a union of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of dimension 0 with separable reflex field over $F$. Indeed, for a geometric point $p \in \Sigma^{\prime \prime}$, there is a $\sigma \in$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ with $p=\sigma(q) \subset \sigma\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F^{\prime}\right) \cdot q\right)$ for some special point $q \in \Sigma^{\prime}$ with reflex field $F^{\prime}$. Since $q$ is a special point with reflex field $F^{\prime}$, Corollary 2.3.6 implies that the $F^{\prime}$-irreducible subvariety $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F^{\prime}\right) \cdot q$ of $S$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety. Hence by Proposition 2.2.17, $\sigma\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F^{\prime}\right) \cdot q\right) \subset \Sigma^{\prime \prime}$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety with separable reflex field $\sigma\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ over $F$.

So Proposition 7.1.6 for $d=0$ implies that all irreducible components of $Z$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ and in particular $Y$ are special subvarieties of $S$. Hence, Proposition 7.1.6 for $d=0$ implies Theorem 7.1.2. It remains to show that Theorem 7.1.4 implies Proposition 7.1.6:

Proof of Proposition 7.1.6 assuming Theorem 7.1.4. We give a proof by descending induction over $d$. For $d=\operatorname{dim} Z$ the statement is true because each irreducible component of $Z$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ must be an irreducible component over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ of a Drinfeld modular subvariety lying in $\Sigma$.

So we let $0 \leq d<\operatorname{dim} Z$ and assume that Proposition 7.1.6 is true for $d^{\prime}$ with $d<d^{\prime} \leq \operatorname{dim} Z$. By Theorem 7.1.4, there is a finite subset $\tilde{\Sigma} \subset \Sigma$ such that for all $X \in \Sigma \backslash \tilde{\Sigma}$, there is a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}$ with $X \subsetneq X^{\prime} \subset Z$. We denote the set of these Drinfeld modular subvarieties $X^{\prime}$ by $\Sigma^{\prime}$.

Since the union of the finitely many elements of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is Zariski closed of dimension $d<\operatorname{dim} Z$, the union of the Drinfeld modular subvarieties in $\Sigma \backslash \tilde{\Sigma}$ is still Zariski dense in $Z$. Therefore, the union of all subvarieties in $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is also Zariski dense in $Z$.

Note that Proposition 2.2.10 implies that all elements $X^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ are of dimension $d^{\prime}>d$. Therefore there is a $d^{\prime}$ with $d<d^{\prime} \leq \operatorname{dim} Z$ such that the Zariski closure of the union of all subvarieties of dimension $d^{\prime}$ in $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is of codimension 0 in $Z$. We let $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}$ be the set of all $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$-conjugates of the subvarieties of dimension $d^{\prime}$ in $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Since $Z$ is $F$-irreducible, this is a set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$, all of the same dimension $d^{\prime}>d$, whose union is Zariski dense in $Z$. Hence, we can apply the induction hypothesis and conclude that each irreducible component of $Z$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is special.

### 7.2 Inductive proof in the separable case

The proof of Theorem 7.1.4 requires the results from Section 6.3 about the existence of good primes and the following theorem. We first give an inductive proof of the latter theorem using our results about existence of suitable Hecke correspondences from Section 6.2 and our geometric criterion in Theorem 5.1.3.

Theorem 7.2.1. Let $S=S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ be a Drinfeld modular variety and $X \subset S$ a Drinfeld modular subvariety over $F$ which is contained in an $F$-irreducible subvariety $Z \subset S$ with $\operatorname{dim} Z>\operatorname{dim} X$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a good prime for $X \subset S$ and

$$
\operatorname{deg}(X)>|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{s}-1\right)} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(Z)^{2^{s}}
$$

for $s:=\operatorname{dim} Z-\operatorname{dim} X$. Then there is a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}$ of $S$ with $X \subsetneq X^{\prime} \subset Z$.

Remark: The degree $\operatorname{deg}(X)$ makes sense here because $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small by condition (i) in Definition 6.1.2.

Proof. In this proof, by "irreducible component" we always mean an irreducible component over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. We assume that $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$. Note that $F^{\prime}$ is separable over $F$ by the remark after Definition 6.1.2.

We prove the following statements for all $n \geq 1$ :
(i) If the theorem is true for $s=n$ and $Z$ Hodge-generic (i.e., all irreducible components of $Z$ do not lie in a proper Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$, see Definition 5.1.2), then it is true for $s=n$ and general $Z$.
(ii) If the theorem is true for all $s$ with $1 \leq s<n$ and general $Z$, then it is true for $s=n$ and $Z$ Hodge-generic.

These two statements imply the theorem by induction over $s$.
Proof of (i): We assume that the theorem is true for $s=n$ and $Z$ Hodgegeneric and have to show that it is true for $s=n$ if $Z$ is not Hodge-generic. In this case, there is an irreducible component of $Z$ which is contained in a proper Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$. Since $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ acts transitively on the irreducible components of $Z$ by the $F$-irreduciblity of $Z$ (Proposition 0.2 .2 ) and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right)$ acts on the set of Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S$ (Proposition 2.2.17), also the other irreducible components of $Z$ are contained in a proper Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$. In particular, this is the case for some chosen irreducible component $Z^{\prime}$ of $Z$ which contains an irreducible component $V$ of $X$.

We now consider a minimal Drinfeld modular subvariety $Y=\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ of $S$ with $Z^{\prime} \subset Y \subsetneq S$. By Proposition 2.2.10, the reflex field $F^{\prime \prime}$ of $Y$ is contained in $F^{\prime}$ and is therefore also separable over $F$. Since $Y$ is defined over $F^{\prime \prime}$, the $F^{\prime \prime}$-irreducible component $Z^{\prime \prime}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot Z^{\prime}$ of $Z$ is contained in $Y$. Furthermore, the $F^{\prime}$-irreducibility of $X$ (see Corollary 2.3.6) implies

$$
X=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}} / F^{\prime}\right) \cdot V \subset \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}} / F^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot V \subset \operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\mathrm{sep}} / F^{\prime \prime}\right) \cdot Z^{\prime}=Z^{\prime \prime} \subset Y
$$

We now set $\tilde{X}:=\left(\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{-1}(X)$ and $\tilde{Z}:=\left(\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{-1}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$. These are subvarieties of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$ with

$$
\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{Z} \subset S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \tilde{Z}-\operatorname{dim} \tilde{X}=\operatorname{dim} Z-\operatorname{dim} X=n .
$$

The subvariety $\tilde{Z}=\left(\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\right)^{-1}\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is $F^{\prime \prime}$-irreducible because $Z^{\prime \prime} \subset \iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ is $F^{\prime \prime}$-irreducible and $\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}$ is a closed immersion defined over $F^{\prime \prime}$ by Proposition 2.2.2.

By Corollary 2.2 .11 and minimality of $Y$, the subvariety $\tilde{Z} \subset S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$ is Hodge-generic and $\tilde{X}$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$ with separable reflex field $F^{\prime}$ over $F^{\prime \prime}$. Furthermore, by Proposition 6.1.3, there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}$ of $F^{\prime \prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with $k(\mathfrak{p})=k\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}$ is good for $\tilde{X} \subset S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime \prime}}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$.

Proposition 3.2.3 (ii) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg} \tilde{X} & =\operatorname{deg} X \\
\operatorname{deg} \tilde{Z} & =\operatorname{deg} Z^{\prime \prime} \leq \operatorname{deg} Z
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of $k(\mathfrak{p})=k\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $r^{\prime \prime}<r$ the assumption

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\tilde{X})>\left|k\left(\mathfrak{p}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|^{\left(r^{\prime \prime}-1\right) \cdot\left(2^{n}-1\right)} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(\tilde{Z})^{2^{n}}
$$

is satisfied. So if Theorem 7.2.1 is true for $Z$ Hodge-generic and $s=n$ then there is a Drinfeld modular subvariety $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ of $S_{F^{\prime \prime}, \mathcal{K} \prime \prime}^{r^{\prime \prime}}$ with $\tilde{X} \subsetneq \tilde{X}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{Z}$ and $X^{\prime}:=\iota_{F, b^{\prime}}^{F^{\prime \prime}}\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is the desired Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S$ with $X \subsetneq X^{\prime} \subset$ $Z$. This concludes the proof of (i).

Proof of (ii): We assume that the theorem is true for all $s$ with $1 \leq s<n$ and have to show that it is true for $Z$ Hodge-generic and $\operatorname{dim} Z-\operatorname{dim} X=n$. Since $\mathfrak{p}$ is a good prime for $X$, we can apply Theorem 6.2.2 and find a $g \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ with the following properties:
(a) $X \subset T_{g} X$,
(b) $\quad \operatorname{deg} T_{g}=\left[\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{K} \cap g^{-1} \mathcal{K} g\right]=|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r-1}$,
(c) For all $k_{1}, k_{2} \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, the cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ generated by the image of $k_{1} \cdot g_{\mathrm{p}} \cdot k_{2}$ is unbounded.
Because of (a) and $X \subset Z$ we have

$$
X \subset Z \cap T_{g} Z
$$

Lemma 3.2.5 together with Proposition 3.2.3 and property (b) of our $g \in$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f}\right)$ give us the upper bound

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(Z \cap T_{g} Z\right) \leq \operatorname{deg} Z \cdot \operatorname{deg} T_{g} Z \leq(\operatorname{deg} Z)^{2} \cdot \operatorname{deg} T_{g}=(\operatorname{deg} Z)^{2} \cdot|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r-1}
$$

With the assumption on $\operatorname{deg} X$ and $n=\operatorname{dim} Z-\operatorname{dim} X \geq 1$ we conclude

$$
\operatorname{deg} X>|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{n}-1\right)} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(Z)^{2^{n}} \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(Z \cap T_{g} Z\right)
$$

Therefore $X$ cannot be a union of irreducible components of $Z \cap T_{g} Z$. Note that $Z \cap T_{g} Z$ is defined over $F$, hence also over the reflex field $F^{\prime}$ of $X$. Since $X$ is $F^{\prime}$-irreducible, there is an $F^{\prime}$-irreducible component $Y^{\prime}$ of $Z \cap T_{g} Z$ with $X \subset Y^{\prime}$. We have $X \subsetneq Y^{\prime}$ because $X$ is not a union of irreducible components (over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ ) of $Z \cap T_{g} Z$.

Now we set $Y:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(F^{\text {sep }} / F\right) \cdot Y^{\prime}$. This is an $F$-irreducible component of $Z \cap T_{g} Z$ which contains $X$ with $\operatorname{dim} X<\operatorname{dim} Y$. We distinguish two cases:

Case 1: $Y=Z$
Because of $Y \subset Z \cap T_{g} Z$ this is only possible if $Z \subset T_{g} Z$. Since $Z$ is $F$-irreducible and Hodge-generic, property (c) from above holds and $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small, we can
apply our geometric criterion (Theorem 5.1.3) and conclude that $Z=S$. So $X^{\prime}:=Z=S$ satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

Case 2: $Y \subsetneq Z$
Set $s^{\prime}:=\operatorname{dim} Y-\operatorname{dim} X$. Since $Y$ and $Z$ are $F$-irreducible, we have $1 \leq s^{\prime}<$ $n=\operatorname{dim} Z-\operatorname{dim} X$. Hence, by our assumption, we can apply the theorem to $X \subset Y \subset S$ and the prime $\mathfrak{p}$ provided that the inequality of degrees

$$
\operatorname{deg} X>|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{s^{\prime}}-1\right)} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(Y)^{2^{s^{\prime}}}
$$

holds.
To check the latter, note that $Y$ is a union of irreducible components (over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ ) of $Z \cap T_{g} Z$ because it is an $F$-irreducible component of $Z \cap T_{g} Z$, whence

$$
\operatorname{deg} Y \leq \operatorname{deg}\left(Z \cap T_{g} Z\right) \leq|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r-1} \cdot(\operatorname{deg} Z)^{2}
$$

Therefore we indeed have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{s^{\prime}}-1\right)} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(Y)^{2^{s^{\prime}}} & \leq|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(Y)^{2^{n-1}} \\
& \leq|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)} \cdot|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot 2^{n-1}} \cdot(\operatorname{deg} Z)^{2^{n}} \\
& =|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{n}-1\right)} \cdot(\operatorname{deg} Z)^{2^{n}}<\operatorname{deg} X .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we find a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}$ of $S$ with $X \subsetneq X^{\prime} \subset Y \subset Z$ as desired.

Proof of Theorem 7.1.4. We first reduce ourselves to the case that $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small. If $\mathcal{K}$ is not amply small, there is a amply small open subgroup $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$ with corresponding canonical projection $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \mathcal{L}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. We choose an $F$ irreducible component $\tilde{Z}$ of $\pi_{1}^{-1}(Z)$ with $\operatorname{dim} Z=\operatorname{dim} \tilde{Z}$ and set
$\tilde{\Sigma}:=\left\{\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{Z} F^{\prime}\right.$-irreducible component of $\pi_{1}^{-1}(X) \mid X \in \Sigma$ with reflex field $\left.F^{\prime}\right\}$.
Since Drinfeld modular subvarieties with reflex field $F^{\prime}$ are $F^{\prime}$-irreducible by Corollary 2.3.6, all $\tilde{X} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ are Drinfeld modular subvarieties of $S_{F, \mathcal{L}}^{r}$ by Lemma 2.2.8. They are all contained in $\tilde{Z}$ and their union is Zariski dense in $\tilde{Z}$ by our assumption on $\Sigma$. If Theorem 7.1.4 is true for $\mathcal{K}$ amply small, we conclude that for almost all $\tilde{X} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$, there is a Drinfeld modular subvariety $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ of $S_{F, \mathcal{L}}^{r}$ with $\tilde{X} \subsetneq \tilde{X}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{Z}$. For such an $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$, again by Lemma 2.2.8, $X^{\prime}:=\pi_{1}\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$. Hence for almost all $X \in \Sigma$, there is a Drinfeld modular subvariety $X^{\prime}$ with $X \subsetneq X^{\prime} \subset Z$.

So we now assume that $\mathcal{K}$ is amply small. By Theorem 6.3 .3 with $N=$ $2(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{s}-1\right)+r^{2} \cdot 2^{s+1}$ for $s:=\operatorname{dim} Z-d$, for almost all $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right) \in \Sigma$, there exists a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $F$ with the properties
(i) there is a prime $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ of $F^{\prime}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$ with local degree $\left[F_{\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}}^{\prime} / F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right]=1$,
(ii) $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \times \mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})}$ with $\mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)$ a maximal compact subgroup and $\mathcal{K}^{(\mathfrak{p})} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{r}\left(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{f, \mathfrak{p}}\right)$,
(iii) $\quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}:=\left(b_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathfrak{p}} b_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1}\right) \cap \mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{r^{\prime}}\left(F_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\prime}\right)$,
(iv) $\quad|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{2(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{s}-1\right)+r^{2} \cdot 2^{s+1}}<D(X)$ for $s:=\operatorname{dim} Z-d$.

Furthermore, by Theorem 3.3.2 we have
(v) $D(X)>\frac{\operatorname{deg}(Z)^{s+1}}{C^{2}}$
for almost all $X \in \Sigma$ with $C$ the constant from Proposition 3.3.1.
By Proposition 6.3.1, for all $X=\iota_{F, b}^{F^{\prime}}\left(S_{F^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ with (i)-(v) there is a subgroup $\tilde{\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathcal{K}$ and a Drinfeld modular subvariety $\tilde{X} \subset S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$ such that
(vi) $\quad \pi_{1}(\tilde{X})=X$ for the canonical projection $\pi_{1}: S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r} \rightarrow S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$,
(vii) $\mathfrak{p}$ is good for $\tilde{X} \subset S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$,
(viii) $\quad[\mathcal{K}: \tilde{\mathcal{K}}]<|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r^{2}}$.

Furthermore, for such an $\tilde{X} \subset S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$, we choose an $F$-irreducible component $\tilde{Z}$ of $\pi_{1}^{-1}(Z)$ with $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{Z}$. Since $\pi_{1}$ is finite of degree $[\mathcal{K}: \tilde{\mathcal{K}}]$ by Theorem 2.1.1, we have $\operatorname{dim} \tilde{Z}=\operatorname{dim} Z>\operatorname{dim} X=\operatorname{dim} \tilde{X}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg} \tilde{Z} & \leq \operatorname{deg} \pi_{1}^{-1} Z=[\mathcal{K}: \tilde{\mathcal{K}}] \cdot \operatorname{deg} Z<|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{r^{2}} \cdot \operatorname{deg} Z \\
\operatorname{deg} \tilde{X} & \geq \operatorname{deg} \pi_{1}(\tilde{X})=\operatorname{deg} X
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 3.2.3. Therefore, using Proposition 3.3.1, we get the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg} \tilde{X} \quad \underset{(i v)),(v)}{\geq} \operatorname{deg} X \geq C \cdot D(X)=D(X)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(C \cdot D(X)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
&|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{s}-1\right)+r^{2} \cdot 2^{s}} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(Z)^{2^{s}} \geq|k(\mathfrak{p})|^{(r-1) \cdot\left(2^{s}-1\right)} \cdot \operatorname{deg}(\tilde{Z})^{2^{s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\tilde{X} \subset \tilde{Z} \subset S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$ together with $\mathfrak{p}$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 7.2.1. So we find a Drinfeld modular subvariety $\tilde{X}^{\prime}$ of $S_{F, \tilde{\mathcal{K}}}^{r}$ with $\tilde{X} \subsetneq \tilde{X}^{\prime} \subset \tilde{Z}$ and $X^{\prime}:=\pi_{1}\left(\tilde{X}^{\prime}\right)$ is a Drinfeld modular subvariety of $S_{F, \mathcal{K}}^{r}$ with $X \subsetneq X^{\prime} \subset Z$.
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