

Lecture 8

December 9, 2004

Notes by Egon Rüttsche

§20 The ring of Witt vectors over \mathbb{Z}

In this section we show that the group scheme structure on $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ from Proposition 19.4 is the addition for a certain ring scheme structure on $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Set

$$(20.1) \quad \Phi_{\ell}(\underline{x}) := \sum_{n=0}^{\ell} p^n x_n^{p^{\ell-n}} = x_0^{p^{\ell}} + px_1^{p^{\ell-1}} + \dots + p^{\ell} x_{\ell}.$$

Then using Lemma 19.1 we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} E(\underline{x}, t) &= \prod_{n \geq 0} \exp\left(-\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(x_n t^{p^n})^{p^m}}{p^m}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{n, m \geq 0} p^n x_n^{p^m} \cdot \frac{t^{p^{n+m}}}{p^{n+m}}\right) = \exp\left(-\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \Phi_{\ell}(\underline{x}) \cdot \frac{t^{p^{\ell}}}{p^{\ell}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The relation in Proposition 19.4 becomes

$$\log E(\underline{x}, t) + \log E(\underline{y}, t) = \log E(\underline{s}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}), t),$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \Phi_{\ell}(\underline{x}) \frac{t^{p^{\ell}}}{p^{\ell}} - \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \Phi_{\ell}(\underline{y}) \frac{t^{p^{\ell}}}{p^{\ell}} = -\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \Phi_{\ell}(\underline{s}(\underline{x}, \underline{y})) \frac{t^{p^{\ell}}}{p^{\ell}}.$$

By equating coefficients, we deduce that Proposition 19.4 is equivalent to

Proposition 20.2. The above group law on $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the unique one for which each $\Phi_{\ell} : W_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1, +)$ is a homomorphism.

Remark. We write this group law additively, i.e. $\underline{s}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) =: \underline{x} + \underline{y}$.

Terminology. An element $\underline{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots) \in W(R)$ is called a *Witt vector*, and the x_0, x_1, \dots its *components*. The expressions $\Phi_{\ell}(\underline{x})$ are called *phantom components*. The reason for this is that over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$, giving the x_{ℓ} is equivalent to giving the $\Phi_{\ell}(\underline{x})$, because we have an isomorphism

$$(20.3) \quad W_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]} \longrightarrow \prod_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]}^1, \quad \underline{x} \mapsto (\Phi_{\ell}(\underline{x}))_{\ell}.$$

But the expressions reduce to $\Phi_\ell(\underline{x}) \equiv x_0^{p^\ell} \pmod{p}$, so only a “phantom” of what was there remains.

Proposition 20.2 also generalizes as follows, with an independent proof:

Theorem 20.4. There are unique morphisms $+, \cdot : W_{\mathbb{Z}} \times W_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ defining a unitary ring structure, such that each $\Phi_\ell : W_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ is a unitary ring homomorphism (and $+$ coincides with that from Propositions 19.4 and 20.2).

Remark. On Witt vectors $+$ and \cdot will always denote the above morphisms, not the componentwise addition and multiplication.

Proof. The isomorphism (20.3) shows that the theorem holds over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$. To prove it over \mathbb{Z} we must show that $+$ and \cdot , as well as the respective identity sections and the additive inverse, are morphisms defined over \mathbb{Z} . For $+$ and \cdot this is achieved conveniently by Lemma 20.5 below. One easily checks that $\underline{0} = (0, 0, \dots)$ and $\underline{1} = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ are the additive and multiplicative identity sections. For the additive inverse the reader is invited to adapt Lemma 20.5. Finally, once all morphisms are defined over \mathbb{Z} , the ring and homomorphism axioms over \mathbb{Z} follow directly from those over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$. \square

Lemma 20.5. For every morphism $u : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ there exists a unique morphism $\underline{v} : W_{\mathbb{Z}} \times W_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that for all $\ell \geq 0$: $\Phi_\ell \circ \underline{v} = u \circ (\Phi_\ell \times \Phi_\ell)$.

Proof. By the isomorphism (20.3) there exist unique $\underline{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots)$ with $v_n \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}][x_0, \dots, x_n, y_0, \dots, y_n]$ satisfying the desired relations. It remains to show that $v_n \in A := \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \dots, y_0, \dots]$. Since $\Phi_0(\underline{x}) = x_0$, this is clear for $v_0 = u(x_0, y_0)$. So fix $n \geq 0$ and assume that $v_i \in A$ for all $i \leq n$. For any sequence $\underline{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots)$ we will abbreviate $\underline{x}^p = (x_0^p, x_1^p, \dots)$. Then the definition (20.1) of Φ_ℓ implies that

$$\Phi_{n+1}(\underline{x}) = \Phi_n(\underline{x}^p) + p^{n+1}x_{n+1}.$$

Using this and the relation defining \underline{v} we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n(\underline{v}^p) + p^{n+1}v_{n+1} &= \Phi_{n+1}(\underline{v}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u(\Phi_{n+1}(\underline{x}), \Phi_{n+1}(\underline{y})) \\ &= u(\Phi_n(\underline{x}^p) + p^{n+1}x_{n+1}, \Phi_n(\underline{y}^p) + p^{n+1}y_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Here note that the right hand side and $\Phi_n(\underline{v}^p)$ are already in A . Thus we have $p^{n+1}v_{n+1} \in A$ and

$$\begin{aligned} p^{n+1}v_{n+1} &\equiv u(\Phi_n(\underline{x}^p), \Phi_n(\underline{y}^p)) - \Phi_n(\underline{v}^p) \pmod{p^{n+1}A} \\ (20.6) \quad &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Phi_n(\underline{v}(\underline{x}^p, \underline{y}^p)) - \Phi_n(\underline{v}^p). \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate this further recall that $v_i \in A$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n$; hence

$$v_i(\underline{x}^p, \underline{y}^p) \equiv v_i(\underline{x}, \underline{y})^p \pmod{pA}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(\underline{x}^p, \underline{y}^p)^{p^{n-i}} &\equiv (v_i(\underline{x}, \underline{y})^p)^{p^{n-i}} \pmod{p^{n-i+1}A}, \text{ hence} \\ p^i v_i(\underline{x}^p, \underline{y}^p)^{p^{n-i}} &\equiv p^i (v_i(\underline{x}, \underline{y})^p)^{p^{n-i}} \pmod{p^{n+1}A}, \text{ and therefore} \\ \Phi_n(\underline{v}(\underline{x}^p, \underline{y}^p)) &\equiv \Phi_n(\underline{v}^p) \pmod{p^{n+1}A}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (20.6) we deduce that $p^{n+1}v_{n+1} \in p^{n+1}A$, and hence $v_{n+1} \in A$. The lemma follows by induction on n . \square

Examples. We write $\underline{s} = (s_0, s_1, \dots)$ for the morphism $+$, and $\underline{p} = (p_0, p_1, \dots)$ for the morphism \cdot . Using the relations $\Phi_0(\underline{x}) = x_0$ and $\Phi_1(\underline{x}) = x_0^p + px_1$, elementary calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} s_0(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) &= x_0 + y_0, \\ p_0(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) &= x_0 \cdot y_0, \\ s_1(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) &= x_1 + y_1 + \frac{1}{p}(x_0^p + y_0^p - (x_0 + y_0)^p) \\ &= x_1 + y_1 - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} x_0^i y_0^{p-i}, \\ p_1(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) &= x_0^p y_1 + x_1 y_0^p + px_1 y_1. \end{aligned}$$

As one can see, the formulas are quickly becoming very complicated. One should not use them directly, but think conceptually.

For use in the next section we note:

Proposition 20.7. The morphism $\tau : \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \longrightarrow W_{\mathbb{Z}}$, $x \mapsto (x, 0, \dots)$ is multiplicative, i.e., it satisfies $\tau(xy) = \tau(x) \cdot \tau(y)$.

Proof. It is enough to check this over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$, i.e., after applying each Φ_ℓ . But $\Phi_\ell(\tau(x)) = x^{p^\ell}$ is obviously multiplicative. \square

Finally, we introduce *Witt vectors of finite length* $n \geq 1$. For this recall that the m -th components of $\underline{x} + \underline{y}$ and $\underline{x} \cdot \underline{y}$ and $-\underline{x}$ depend only on the first m components of \underline{x} and \underline{y} . Thus the same formulas define a ring structure on $W_{n,R} := \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{A}_R^1$ for any ring R , such that the truncation map

$$(20.8) \quad W_R \longrightarrow W_{n,R}, \quad \underline{x} \mapsto (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

is a ring homomorphism.

§21 Witt vectors in characteristic p

From now on let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. For any scheme X over \mathbb{F}_p we abbreviate $X_k := X \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p} \text{Spec } k$. Then there is a natural isomorphism $X_k^{(p)} \cong X_k$ which turns the relative Frobenius of X_k into the endomorphism $\sigma_X \times \text{id}$ of X_k , where σ_X denotes the absolute Frobenius of X . Indeed, this follows from the definition of Frobenius from §14 and the fact that the two rectangles in the following commutative diagram are cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_k & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{X_k}} & X_k & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & X \\
 \downarrow F_{X_k} = \sigma_X \times \text{id} & \searrow \text{id} \times \sigma_{\text{Spec } k} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X_k^{(p)} = X_k & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \sigma_{\text{Spec } k}} & X_k & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & X \\
 \downarrow & \searrow \sigma_{\text{Spec } k} & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\text{Spec } k}} & \text{Spec } k & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p
 \end{array}$$

In particular we can apply this to $W_k = W_{\mathbb{F}_p} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p} \text{Spec } k$. Thus the Frobenius and Verschiebung for the additive group of W_k become *endomorphisms* satisfying $F \circ V = V \circ F = p \cdot \text{id}$. The following proposition collects some of their properties.

Proposition 21.1. (a) $F((x_0, x_1, \dots)) = (x_0^p, x_1^p, \dots)$.

(b) $V((x_0, x_1, \dots)) = (0, x_0, x_1, \dots)$.

(c) $p \cdot (x_0, x_1, \dots) = (0, x_0^p, x_1^p, \dots)$.

(d) $F(\underline{x} + \underline{y}) = (F\underline{x}) + (F\underline{y})$.

(e) $F(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{y}) = (F\underline{x}) \cdot (F\underline{y})$.

(f) $\underline{x} \cdot (V\underline{y}) = V((F\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{y})$.

(g) $E(\underline{x} \cdot (V\underline{y}), t) = E((F\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{y}, t^p)$.

Remark. Part (b) is probably the reason why V is called Verschiebung.

Proof. (a), (d), and (e) are clear from the definition and functoriality of F . (b) is equivalent to (c) by the relation $p \cdot \underline{x} = V F \underline{x}$, because $F : W_k \rightarrow W_k$ is an epimorphism. For (c) we cannot use the phantom components, because we are in characteristic $p > 0$. Instead we use the Artin-Hasse exponential

$E(\underline{x}, t) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} F(x_n t^{p^n})$. Recall that it defines a homomorphism and a closed embedding $W_{\mathbb{Z}(p)} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}(p)}$, and hence also $W_k \rightarrow \Lambda_k$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E(p \cdot \underline{x}, t) &= E(\underline{x}, t)^p = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} F(x_n t^{p^n})^p \stackrel{(*)}{=} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} F(x_n^p t^{p^{n+1}}) \\ &= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} F(x_{n-1}^p t^{p^n}) = E((0, x_0^p, x_1^p, \dots), t), \end{aligned}$$

where (*) follows from the fact that we are working over k and that F has coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}(p)$. This shows (c). Next, since F is an epimorphism, it suffices to prove (f) for $\underline{y} = F\underline{z}$. But for this it follows from the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{x} \cdot (V\underline{y}) &= \underline{x} \cdot (VF\underline{z}) = \underline{x} \cdot (p \cdot \underline{z}) = p \cdot (\underline{x} \cdot \underline{z}) \\ &= VF(\underline{x} \cdot \underline{z}) \stackrel{(e)}{=} V((F\underline{x}) \cdot (F\underline{z})) = V((F\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (g) results from

$$E(\underline{x} \cdot (V\underline{y}), t) \stackrel{(f)}{=} E(V((F\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{y}), t) \stackrel{\text{def. of } E}{=} E((F\underline{x}) \cdot \underline{y}, t^p). \quad \square$$

Theorem 21.2. $W(k)$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer p and residue field k .

Proof. Since k is perfect, we have $p^n W(k) = V^n(W(k))$ for all $n \geq 1$. By iterating Proposition 21.1 (b) this is also the kernel of the truncation homomorphism $W(k) \rightarrow W_n(k)$ from (20.8). Thus $W(k)/p^n W(k) \cong W_n(k)$ and $W(k)/pW(k) \cong W_1(k) \cong k$. Using this, by induction on n one shows that $W_n(k)$ is a $W(k)$ -module of length n . Since clearly $W(k) \cong \varprojlim_n W_n(k)$, the theorem follows. \square

Theorem 21.3 (Witt). Let R be a complete noetherian local ring with residue field k .

- (a) There exists a unique ring homomorphism $u : W(k) \rightarrow R$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(k) & \xrightarrow{u} & R \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & k & \end{array}$$

- (b) If R is a complete discrete valuation ring with uniformizer p , then u is an isomorphism.

Proof. Recall that by Proposition 18.1 there are unique multiplicative sections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W(k) & \\ & \swarrow \tau & \nearrow i \\ & k & \\ & \nwarrow & \nearrow \\ & R & \end{array}$$

Since u is also multiplicative, it must therefore satisfy the equation $i = u \circ \tau$. By Proposition 20.7 we have $\tau(x) = (x, 0, \dots)$. In view of Proposition 21.1 (c) this implies that any element $\underline{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots) \in W(k)$ has the power series expansion

$$\underline{x} = \tau(x_0) + p \cdot \tau(x_1^{1/p}) + p^2 \cdot \tau(x_2^{1/p^2}) + \dots$$

So the ring homomorphism u must be given by

$$u(\underline{x}) = i(x_0) + p \cdot i(x_1^{1/p}) + p^2 \cdot i(x_2^{1/p^2}) + \dots$$

In particular u is unique, but we must verify that this formula does define a ring homomorphism. For this, let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of R , which contains p , and calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} u(\underline{x}) &\equiv i(x_0) + p \cdot i(x_1^{1/p}) + \dots + p^n \cdot i(x_n^{1/p^n}) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}}, \\ &= i(x_0^{p^{-n}})^{p^n} + p \cdot i(x_1^{p^{-n}})^{p^{n-1}} + \dots + p^n \cdot i(x_n^{p^{-n}}) \\ &= \Phi_n(i(x_0^{p^{-n}}), \dots, i(x_n^{p^{-n}})). \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to show that this defines a ring homomorphism $W(k) \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ for any n , because R is complete noetherian and hence $R = \varprojlim R/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. Since Frobenius defines a ring automorphism of $W(k)$, this is equivalent to showing that $\Phi_n(i(x_0), \dots, i(x_n))$ defines a ring homomorphism $W(k) \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. But $\Phi_n : W(R) \rightarrow R$ is a ring homomorphism by the construction of Witt vectors. Moreover, we have $\Phi_n(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$ if all $x_i \in \mathfrak{m}$, by the definition of Φ_n . Thus the composite homomorphism in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W(R) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_n} & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W(k) & \dashrightarrow & R/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \end{array}$$

vanishes on the kernel of the left vertical map; hence it factors through a ring homomorphism along the lower edge. The lower arrow is then given explicitly by $\Phi_n(i(x_0), \dots, i(x_n)) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}}$ for any section i , in particular for the canonical one. Therefore this defines a ring homomorphism, proving (a).

(b) follows from the fact that any homomorphism of complete discrete valuation rings with the same uniformizer and the same residue field is an isomorphism. \square