

## Degree of a line bundle

Given a holomorphic line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  on a Riemann surface  $X$ , we have two different definitions of the degree of  $L$ .

The goal of these pages is to prove that these two definitions are actually the same.

### First definition

$L \rightarrow X$  hol line bundle on  $X$ . By theorem 29.16 of [1],  $\exists^{\#}$  meromorphic section of  $L$ . If  $U = \{U_i\}$  is an open covering of  $X$  s.t.  $L|_{U_i} \cong U_i \times \mathbb{C}^*$  we can identify  $s$  with  $\{s_i \in \mathcal{M}(U_i)\}_{i \in I}$  and for all  $x \in X$

$$\text{ord}_x(s) := \text{ord}_x(s_i) \quad \text{is } \begin{cases} \text{positive} & \text{if } x \in U_i \\ \text{negative} & \text{if } x \notin U_i \end{cases}$$

is well-defined. Let  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  be the divisor of  $s$  i.e.

$$D(x) := \text{ord}_x(s).$$

This defines a map

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Div}(X) / \text{Div}_P(X) \\ [L] &\mapsto [D] \end{aligned}$$

↑  
well defined by the previous results

that happens to be an isomorphism of groups (look at thm 29.19 of [1] to see that this map is well-defined and is an isomorphism of groups)

Def 1  $\deg L := \deg D$ . is the degree of  $L$

## Second definition

$L \rightarrow X$  hol line bundle on  $X$ . Thus we have

$$c_1: H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

$$[L] \mapsto c_1([L])$$

Now we have:

$$H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(X; \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\text{Def}} H_{\text{DR}}^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\int_X} \mathbb{C}$$

↑  
De Rham theorem      ↓  
(See page 44 of)  
[2]

$$c_1(L) \mapsto \int_X c_1(L)$$

Def 2  $\deg(L) := \int_X c_1(L).$

## Proof of the equivalence

Prop:  $\boxed{\text{def 1} = \text{def 2}}$

### Proof

Strategy: we will almost explicitly compute the 2-form  $\omega_L := c_1(L)$  via the isomorphism  $\star$  and then integrate  $\omega_L$ .

Let  $\{U_i\} = \mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$  s.t.

1.  $\forall i$   $U_i$  is contractible
2.  $\forall i, j$   $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$  is contractible;
3.  $L|_{U_i} = U_i \times \mathbb{C}^*$   $\forall i$ .

(See thm 5.1 of [3] for the existence of such a cover)

the proof of

Let  $s = \{s_i \in \mathcal{M}(U_i)\}$  be a meromorphic section of  $L$ . Then

$$s_i = g_{ij} s_j \quad \text{on } U_{ij}$$

where  $g_{ij}$  are the transition functions of  $L$  with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$ .

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{ij} = \frac{s_i}{s_j} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_{ij}) \quad \forall i, j$$

Step 1 // We compute  $c_1(L) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ :

Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \{f_{ij}\} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{g_{ij} = e^{2\pi i f_{ij}}\} & \exists \text{ such } f_{ij} \text{ because } U_{ij} \text{ is contractible} \\
 & \uparrow & & & \\
 0 \rightarrow C^1(U, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & C^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i \cdot)} & C^1(U, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 \rightarrow C^2(U, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & C^2(U, \mathcal{O}_X) & \rightarrow & C^2(U, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \{f_{jh} - f_{ih} + f_{ij}\} & & \\
 & & \uparrow \text{position } i, j, h & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{i.e. } c_1(L) = [\{f_{jh} - f_{ih} + f_{ij}\}] \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

Step 2 // We compute the isomorphism  $\star$  :

the image of  $c_1(L) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  under

The isomorphism  $\star$  is obtained as the composition of two isomorphisms  
 $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong H_{\text{DR}}^2(X)$   
 $\uparrow$   
sheaf of closed 1-forms on  $X$

(see page 44 of [2])

Step 2.2 // We compute the image of  $c_1(L) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$  under the isomorphism  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}^2)$ :

The isomorphism is given by the map  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}^2)$  that appears in the long exact sequence of cohomology groups associated to the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

↑  
sheaf of  $\mathbb{C}^\times$  functions with values in  $\mathbb{C}$

So consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{ \varphi_{ij} \} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \{ w_{ij} = d\varphi_{ij} \} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow C^1(U, \mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow C^1(U, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{d} C^1(U, \mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 \rightarrow C^2(U, \mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow C^2(U, \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{d} C^2(U, \mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

the open sets  $U_{ij}$  are contractible

induces an isomorphism  $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong H^1(X, \mathbb{C})$

$\{ \varphi_{jh} - \varphi_{ih} + \varphi_{ij} \}$   
↑ position  $ijh$

and we want that  $[\{ \varphi_{jh} - \varphi_{ih} + \varphi_{ij} \}] = [\{ f_{jh} - f_{ih} + f_{ij} \}] = c_1(L)$

in  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

It is enough to choose  $\varphi_{ij} = f_{ij}$ .

$$\Rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}^2)$$

$$c_1(L) \leftrightarrow \left[ \{ \varphi_{ij} = d\varphi_{ij} = \partial f_{ij} \} \right]$$

↑ holomorphic

Step 2.b || We compute the image of  $[\{df_{ij}\}]$  under the isomorphism

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}') \cong H_{\text{dR}}^2(X)$$

This isomorphism is given by the map

$$H_{\text{dR}}^2(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}')$$

that appears in the long exact sequence of cohomology groups associated to the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}'^1 \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

↑                   ↑                   ↑  
closed 1-forms      closed 2-forms  
 $C^\infty$  1-forms

So consider

$$0 \rightarrow C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}') \rightarrow C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}'^1) \rightarrow C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow 0$$

here we use the fact that each  $U_i$  is contractible

$$0 \rightarrow C^1(U, \mathbb{Z}'^1) \rightarrow C^1(U, \mathbb{Z}'^1) \rightarrow C^1(U, \mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow 0$$

induces isomorphism

$$\{v_i\} \leftrightarrow \{\eta_i = \sum \gamma_i\}$$

$$\{v_i - v_j\}$$

and we want  $\{\gamma_i\}$  s.t.  $[v_i - v_j] = [df_{ij}] \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}')$

After that

$$\| \omega_L \|_{U_i} = d\gamma_i$$

Pb how to find  $\{\gamma_i\}$  explicitly?

### Obs / Summary

Therefore the steps to find  $w_L$  are:

$$s = \{s_i\} \text{ thus } g_{ij} = \frac{s_j}{s_i} = e^{2\pi i f_{ij}} \text{ thus } w_{ij} = df_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{s_j'}{s_j} \frac{s_i s_j - s_j s_i}{s_j^2} dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{s_i'}{s_i} - \frac{s_j'}{s_j} \right) dz \text{ where } z \text{ are coordinates on } U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$$

A. We could be tempted to choose

$$\gamma_i = \frac{s_i'}{s_i} dz_i \text{ on } U_i$$

but  $\frac{s_i'}{s_i} dz_i \notin \mathcal{E}'(U_i)$  because it has poles of order 1 exactly at the zeros and poles of  $s$ .

However this suggests to proceed as follows.

Let  $\mu_i := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{s_i}{s_i'} dz_i$  and  $\mu := \{\mu_i\} \in C^0(U, \mathcal{M}^{(1)})$   
sheaf of meromorphic 1-forms on  $X$

Then  $\mu_i - \mu_j = df_{ij} \subset \overset{\text{holomorphic 1-forms}}{\Omega}(U_{ij})$

$\Rightarrow \mu := \{\mu_i\}$  is a Mittag-Leffler distribution of 1-forms.

(See page 133 of [1] for the definition).

$\forall x \in X$  the residue of  $\mu$  is well defined

$$\text{Res}_x(\mu) := \text{Res}_x(\mu_i) \text{ if } x \in U_i$$

or Set

$$\text{Res}(\mu) := \sum_{x \in X} \text{Res}_x(\mu).$$

Then  $\text{Res}(\mu) = \frac{\text{zeros of } s - \text{poles of } s}{2\pi i} = \frac{\deg(L)}{2\pi i}$  according to def 1.

To conclude consider Dolbeault's isomorphism

$$H^1(X, \Omega) \cong \frac{\mathcal{E}^2(X)}{d\mathcal{E}^{1,0}(X)}$$

$\left[ \begin{matrix} \{df_{ij}\} \\ [\delta\mu] \end{matrix} \right] \hookrightarrow$ 

 $\uparrow$   
 $\uparrow$   
 2 forms on  $X$ 

 forms of type  $(1,0)$  on  $X$

obtained by considering the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{1,0} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^2 \rightarrow 0$$

Claim || Under this isomorphism  $[\delta\mu]$  corresponds to  $[\omega_L]$

## proof of the claim

We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & \{ \eta_i \} & \\
 & & & & d & \nearrow & \\
 0 \rightarrow C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}^4) & \xrightarrow{\text{U1}} & C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}^1) & \xrightarrow{\text{d}} & C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{U1}} & 0 \\
 & & & & d & \searrow & \\
 0 \rightarrow C^0(U, \Omega) & \xrightarrow{\text{U2}} & C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}^{10}) & \xrightarrow{\text{d}} & C^0(U, \mathbb{Z}^2) & \xrightarrow{\text{U1}} & 0
 \end{array}$$

i.e.

We can

choose  $\{f_i\} \in C^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^{10})$   
instead of  $\{g_i\} \in C^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}^1)$ .

This is because

$$df_{ij} \in \Omega(U_{ij})$$

$$0 \rightarrow C^1(U, \Omega) \xrightarrow{\quad} C^1(U, \mathcal{E}^{10}) \xrightarrow{\quad} C^1(U, \mathcal{E}^2) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow C^1(U, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\quad} C^1(U, \mathcal{E}^1) \xrightarrow{\quad} C^1(U, \mathbb{Z}^2) \rightarrow 0$$

Now we use Thm 17.3 of [1], that says

$$\frac{\text{zeros of } s - \text{poles of } s}{2\pi i} = \text{Res } (\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_X \omega_L$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{def 1} = \text{def 2}$$

## References

- [1] Forster, Lectures on Riemann surfaces;
- [2] P. Griffith and J. Harris, Principles of algebraic geometry;
- [3] R. Bott and L.W. Tu, Differential forms in Algebraic Topology.