

Removable singularities and a vanishing theorem for Seiberg-Witten invariants

Dietmar Salamon*
University of Warwick

December 1995

1 Introduction

This is an expository paper. The goal is to give a proof of the following vanishing theorem for the Seiberg-Witten invariants of connected sums of smooth 4-manifolds.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose that X is a compact oriented smooth 4-manifold diffeomorphic to a connected sum $X_1 \# X_2$ where*

$$b^+(X_1) \geq 1, \quad b^+(X_2) \geq 1,$$

and $b^+(X) - b_1(X)$ is odd. Then the Seiberg-Witten invariants of X are all zero.

This result is the Seiberg-Witten analogue of Donaldson's original theorem about the vanishing of the instanton invariants [2] for connected sums. An outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1 was given by Donaldson in [1]. The key ingredient of the proof is a removable singularity theorem for the Seiberg-Witten equations on flat Euclidean 4-space. A proof of Theorem 1.1 was also indicated by Witten in his lecture on 6 December 1994 at the Isaac Newton Institute in Cambridge. The result was used by Kotschick in his proof that (simply connected) symplectic 4-manifolds are irreducible [4].

*Thanks to Mario Micallef for helpful discussions

Seiberg-Witten equations on \mathbb{R}^4

Identify \mathbb{R}^4 with the quaternions \mathbb{H} via $x = x_0 + ix_1 + jx_2 + kx_3$ and consider the standard spin^c structure $\Gamma : \mathbb{H} = T_x\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{4 \times 4}$ given by

$$\Gamma(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma(\xi) \\ -\gamma(\xi)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_0 + i\xi_1 & \xi_2 + i\xi_3 \\ -\xi_2 + i\xi_3 & \xi_0 - i\xi_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $\gamma(e_0) = \mathbb{1}$, $\gamma(e_1) = I$, $\gamma(e_2) = J$, and $\gamma(e_3) = K$ with

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given a connection 1-form $A = \sum_j A_j dx_j$ with $A_j : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$ and a spinor $\Phi : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ denote

$$\nabla_A \Phi = \sum_{j=0}^3 \nabla_j \Phi dx_j, \quad \nabla_j \Phi = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_j} + A_j \Phi$$

The Seiberg-Witten equations have the form

$$D_A \Phi = 0, \quad \rho^+(F_A) = (\Phi \Phi^*)_0 \quad (1)$$

where $D_A = -\nabla_0 + I\nabla_1 + J\nabla_2 + K\nabla_3$ is the Dirac operator associated to the connection A , $F_A = dA = \sum_{i<j} F_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j$ is the curvature, and $\rho^+(F_A) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ is given by

$$\rho^+(F_A) = (F_{01} + F_{23})I + (F_{02} + F_{31})J + (F_{03} + F_{12})K.$$

Moreover, $(\Phi \Phi^*)_0$ denotes the traceless part of the matrix $\Phi \Phi^* \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ and hence the second equation in (1) is equivalent to $F_{01} + F_{23} = -2^{-1} \Phi^* I \Phi$, $F_{02} + F_{31} = -2^{-1} \Phi^* J \Phi$, and $F_{03} + F_{12} = -2^{-1} \Phi^* K \Phi$. The energy of a pair (A, Φ) on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ is given by

$$E(A, \Phi; \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 |\nabla_i \Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\Phi|^4 + \sum_{i<j} |F_{ij}|^2 \right).$$

It is invariant under the action of the gauge group $\text{Map}(\Omega, S^1)$ by $(A, \Phi) \mapsto (u^*A, u^{-1}\Phi)$ where $u^*A = u^{-1}du + A$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the following removable singularity theorem for the finite energy solutions of (1). Denote the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^4 by $B = B^4 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \mid |x| \leq 1\}$. If $\Phi = 0$ then the result reduces to Uhlenbeck's removable singularity theorem for ASD instantons in the case of the gauge group $G = S^1$ (cf. Uhlenbeck [10] and Donaldson-Kronheimer [2], pp 58-72 and 166-170).

Theorem 1.2 (Removable singularities) *Let $A \in \Omega^1(B - \{0\}, i\mathbb{R})$ and $\Phi \in C^\infty(B - \{0\}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ satisfy (1) with*

$$E(A, \Phi; B) < \infty.$$

*Then there exists a gauge transformation $u : B - \{0\} \rightarrow S^1$ such that $u(x) = 1$ for $|x| = 1$ and u^*A and $u^{-1}\Phi$ extend to a smooth solution of (1) over B .*

The following three fundamental identities will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.2. The first is the Weitzenböck formula

$$D_A^* D_A \Phi + \sum_{i=0}^3 \nabla_i \nabla_i \Phi = \rho^+(F_A) \Phi \quad (2)$$

where $D_A^* = \nabla_0 + I\nabla_1 + J\nabla_2 + K\nabla_3$. The second is the energy identity

$$\begin{aligned} E(A, \Phi; \Omega) &= \int_{\Omega} \left(|D_A \Phi|^2 + |\rho^+(F_A) - (\Phi \Phi^*)_0|^2 \right) \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} A \wedge dA + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \Phi, \nabla_{A, \nu} \Phi + \Gamma(\nu) D_A \Phi \rangle \, \text{dvol}_{\partial\Omega} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for $A \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^4, i\mathbb{R})$ and $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C}^2)$. Here we use the norm $|T|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(T^*T)$ for complex 2×2 -matrices so that $\mathbb{1}, I, J, K$ form an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$. Moreover, $\nu : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ denotes the outward unit normal vector field, $\nabla_{A, \nu} \Phi = \sum_i \nu_i \nabla_i \Phi$, and $\Gamma(\nu) = -\nu_0 \mathbb{1} + \nu_1 I + \nu_2 J + \nu_3 K$. The third equation is

$$\Delta |\Phi|^2 = -2|\nabla_A \Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^4 \quad (4)$$

for solutions of (1) where $\Delta = -\sum_i \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2$. It is proved by direct computation using (2) and $\rho^+(F_A) \Phi = (\Phi \Phi^*)_0 \Phi = |\Phi|^2 \Phi / 2$. Equation (4) was first noted by Kronheimer and Mrowka in [5] and lies at the heart of their compactness proof for the solutions of (1).

Proof of the energy identity: The proof relies on the familiar equation

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|F_A|^2 - 2|F_A^+|^2 \right) = \int_{\Omega} F_A \wedge F_A = \int_{\partial\Omega} A \wedge dA,$$

and on the formula

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla_A \Phi|^2 - |D_A \Phi|^2 \right) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \Phi, \nabla_{A, \nu} \Phi + \Gamma(\nu) D_A \Phi \rangle - \int_{\Omega} \langle \Phi, \rho^+(F_A) \Phi \rangle.$$

This last equation follows from Stokes' theorem and (2). With $|\rho^+(F_A)|^2 = 2|F_A^+|^2$ and $\langle \Phi, \rho^+(F_A) \Phi \rangle = 2\langle \rho^+(F_A), (\Phi \Phi^*)_0 \rangle$ the rest of the proof is an easy exercise. \square

2 Removable singularities for 1-forms

The first step in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the following weak removable singularity theorem for 1-forms on \mathbb{R}^n . The theorem asserts that if α is a 1-form on the punctured ball $B^n - \{0\}$ such that $d\alpha$ is of class L^2 then there exists a function $\xi : B^n - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha - d\xi$ is of class $W^{1,2}$ (and $d^*(\alpha - d\xi) = 0$). If $n = 4$ and α is anti-self-dual then it follows easily that $\alpha - d\xi$ extends to a smooth 1-form on B^4 . This is Uhlenbeck's removable singularity theorem for ASD instantons in the case $G = S^1$. Note also that this is the special case $\Phi = 0$ in Theorem 1.2. Even though this result is simply a special case of Uhlenbeck's theorem we give a proof below which is specific to the abelian case and is considerably simpler than both Uhlenbeck's original proof in [10] and the proof given by Donaldson and Kronheimer in [2]. Throughout denote by $B^n(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |x| \leq r\}$ the closed ball in \mathbb{R}^n of radius r and abbreviate $B^n = B^n(1)$ and $A(r_0, r_1) = A^n(r_0, r_1) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid r_0 \leq |x| \leq r_1\}$ for $r_0 < r_1$.

Proposition 2.1 (Uhlenbeck) *Assume $n \geq 4$ and let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(B^n - \{0\})$ be a smooth real valued 1-form which satisfies*

$$\int_{B^n} |d\alpha|^2 < \infty.$$

Then there exists a smooth function $\xi : B^n - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha - d\xi$ is of class $W^{1,2}$ on the (unpunctured) unit ball and satisfies

$$\int_{B^n} \left(|\nabla(\alpha - d\xi)|^2 + \frac{|\alpha - d\xi|^2}{|x|^2} \right) \leq 4 \int_{B^n} |d\alpha|^2$$

as well as

$$d^*(\alpha - d\xi) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \nu} = \alpha(\nu).$$

Here $d\xi/\partial\nu$ denotes the normal derivative on ∂B^n and $\alpha(\nu) = \sum_i \alpha_i(x)x_i$ for $|x| = 1$.

Note that addition of any exact 1-form on $B^n - \{0\}$ does not alter the L^2 -norm of $d\alpha$. Thus the behaviour of α near zero may be extremely singular. The proposition asserts that there exists an exact 1-form $d\xi$ on $B^n - \{0\}$ which tames the singularity at 0 in the sense that $\alpha - d\xi$ is of class $W^{1,2}$ on B^n . The function ξ will be constructed as a limit of functions $\xi_\varepsilon : B^n(1) - B^n(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfy $d^*(\alpha - d\xi_\varepsilon) = 0$ with boundary condition $\partial\xi_\varepsilon/\partial\nu = \alpha(\nu)$ on $\partial(B_1 - B_\varepsilon)$. The convergence proof relies on the following three lemmata.

Lemma 2.2 *Assume $n \geq 4$. Then every smooth 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(A^n(\varepsilon, 1))$ with $\alpha(\nu) = 0$ on $\partial A^n(\varepsilon, 1)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$\int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \left(|\nabla\alpha|^2 + \frac{|\alpha|^2}{|x|^2} \right) \leq 4 \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \left(|d\alpha|^2 + |d^*\alpha|^2 \right).$$

Proof: Let $\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i dx_i$ be a smooth 1-form on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary. Suppose that $\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \nu_i = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. This condition is equivalent to $*\alpha|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$. Integration by parts shows that

$$\|\nabla\alpha\|^2 - \|d\alpha\|^2 - \|d^*\alpha\|^2 = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\nu} \right\rangle d\text{vol}_{\partial\Omega} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha \wedge *d\alpha.$$

Here all norms on the left are L^2 -norms on $A(\varepsilon, 1)$. Now use the formulae $*dx_i|_{\partial\Omega} = \nu_i d\text{vol}_{\partial\Omega}$ and $dx_i \wedge *(dx_i \wedge dx_j) = - *dx_j$ for $i < j$ to obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \alpha \wedge *d\alpha - \int_{\partial\Omega} \left\langle \alpha, \frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial\nu} \right\rangle d\text{vol}_{\partial\Omega} = \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \frac{\partial\nu_j}{\partial x_i} d\text{vol}_{\partial\Omega}.$$

This equation uses the fact that $\sum_i \alpha_i \nu_i = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is tangent to $\partial\Omega$. In the case $\Omega = A(\varepsilon, 1)$ the last two identities combine to

$$\|\nabla\alpha\|^2 = \|d\alpha\|^2 + \|d^*\alpha\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{|x|=\varepsilon} |\alpha|^2 - \int_{|x|=1} |\alpha|^2 \quad (5)$$

for 1-forms on $A(\varepsilon, 1)$ which satisfy $\langle \alpha, \nu \rangle = 0$ on the boundary. Now consider the function $f(x) = x/|x|^2$ with $\text{div}(f) = (n-2)/|x|^2$. Then for every smooth function $u : A(\varepsilon, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{|x|=\varepsilon} |u|^2 - \int_{|x|=1} |u|^2 &= - \int_{\partial A(\varepsilon, 1)} \langle \nu, f \rangle |u|^2 d\text{vol} \\ &= - \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (f_i |u|^2) \\ &= - \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(2f_i u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + |u|^2 \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &\leq 2 \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \frac{|u| |\nabla u|}{|x|} - \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \text{div}(f) |u|^2 \\ &= 2 \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \frac{|u| |\nabla u|}{|x|} - (n-2) \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2} \\ &\leq \delta \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} |\nabla u|^2 - \left(n-2 - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds for any constant $\delta > 0$. If $n \geq 4$ we can choose $1/(n-2) < \delta < 1$. For example, with $\delta = 3/4$ we obtain from (5)

$$\|\nabla\alpha\|^2 \leq \|d\alpha\|^2 + \|d^*\alpha\|^2 + \frac{3}{4} \|\nabla\alpha\|^2 - \left(n-2 - \frac{4}{3} \right) \int_{A(\varepsilon, 1)} \frac{|\alpha|^2}{|x|^2}.$$

This holds for all n . But for $n \geq 4$ the last term on the right is negative and the desired inequality follows. \square

Lemma 2.3 (Poincaré's inequality) *There is a constant $c = c(n) > 0$ such that every smooth function $\xi : A^n(1/2, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with mean value zero satisfies the inequality*

$$\int_{A(1/2,1)} |\xi|^2 \leq c \int_{A(1/2,1)} |d\xi|^2.$$

Lemma 2.4 *Every smooth function $\xi : A^n(r_0, r_1 + t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies*

$$\int_{A(r_0, r_1)} |\xi|^2 \leq 2 \int_{A(r_0+t, r_1+t)} |\xi|^2 + \int_{A(r_0, r_1+t)} |d\xi|^2$$

for $0 < r_0 < r_1 \leq 1$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Proof: Consider the identity

$$\xi(rx) = \xi((t+r)x) - \int_0^t \langle \nabla \xi((r+s)x), x \rangle ds$$

and use the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to obtain

$$|\xi(rx)|^2 \leq 2 |\xi((t+r)x)|^2 + \frac{2}{(n-2)r^{n-2}} \int_r^{r+t} s^{n-1} |d\xi(sx)|^2 ds$$

for $|x| = 1$ and $n \geq 3$. In the case $n = 2$ there is a similar inequality with $1/(n-2)r^{n-2}$ replaced by $\log(r+t) - \log r \leq r - \log r$. Now multiply by r^{n-1} and integrate over S^{n-1} and over $r_0 \leq r \leq r_1$. \square

Lemma 2.5 *Let $u : B^n - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that*

$$\int_{B^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 < \infty.$$

Then u is of class $W^{1,2}$ on B^n , i.e. its distributional derivatives exist and agree with the ordinary derivatives.

Proof: For any compactly supported test function $\varphi : B^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ integrate the function $u\partial_i\varphi + \varphi\partial_i u$ over the annulus $\varepsilon \leq |x| \leq 1$ and show that the boundary integral over $|x| = \varepsilon$ converges to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.1: For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a smooth function $\xi_\varepsilon : A^n(\varepsilon, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies

$$d^*(\alpha - d\xi_\varepsilon) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \xi_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} = \langle \alpha, \nu \rangle$$

where the last equation holds on the boundary. The function ξ_ε is only determined up to a constant which can be fixed by the normalization condition

$$\int_{1/2 \leq |x| \leq 1} \xi_\varepsilon(x) dx = 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\|\nabla(\alpha - d\xi_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2(A(\varepsilon,1))}^2 + \int_{\varepsilon \leq |x| \leq 1} \frac{|\alpha - d\xi_\varepsilon|^2}{|x|^2} \leq 4 \|d\alpha\|_{L^2(A(\varepsilon,1))}^2.$$

Fix some number $\delta > 0$. Then for $\varepsilon < \delta$

$$\|\nabla d\xi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(A(\delta,1))} \leq 2 \|d\alpha\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\alpha\|_{L^2(A(\delta,1))},$$

$$\|d\xi_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(A(\delta,1))} \leq 2 \|d\alpha\|_{L^2} + \|\alpha\|_{L^2(A(\delta,1))}.$$

Now use Lemma 2.3 and the mean value condition to control the L^2 -norm of ξ_ε on $A(1/2, 1)$ and Lemma 2.4 to control this norm on $A(\delta, 1/2)$. This shows that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $c_\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|\xi_\varepsilon\|_{W^{2,2}(A(\delta,1))} \leq c_\delta$$

for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \delta)$. Now the usual diagonal sequence argument shows that there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ such that ξ_{ε_i} converges strongly in $W^{1,2}(K)$ and weakly in $W^{2,2}(K)$ for every compact subset $K \subset B^n - \{0\}$. The limit function $\xi : B^n - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class $W^{2,2}$ on every compact subset away from 0 and satisfies $d^*(\alpha - d\xi) = 0$ and $\langle \alpha - d\xi, \nu \rangle = 0$. Hence Lemma 2.2 shows that

$$\int_K \left(|\nabla(\alpha - d\xi)|^2 + \frac{|\alpha - d\xi|^2}{|x|^2} \right) \leq 4 \int_{B^n} |d\alpha|^2$$

for every compact subset $K \subset B^n - \{0\}$. By Lemma 2.5, $\alpha - d\xi$ is of class $W^{1,2}$ on B^n . This proves the proposition. \square

3 Proof of the removable singularity theorem

By Proposition 2.1 there exists a smooth function $\xi : B^4 - \{0\} \rightarrow i\mathbb{R}$ such that $A - d\xi$ is of class $W^{1,2}$ on the closed ball B^4 and $d^*(A - d\xi) = 0$. Hence we may assume from now on that $A \in W^{1,2}$ and $d^*A = 0$. Moreover, by the finite energy condition, we have $\Phi \in L^4$ and $\nabla_i \Phi \in L^2$. The Sobolev embedding theorem shows that $A \in L^4$ and hence

$$\partial_i \Phi = \nabla_i \Phi - A_i \Phi \in L^2$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. By Lemma 2.5, this shows that $\Phi \in W^{1,2}$. Thus we have a solution (A, Φ) of (1) which is smooth on the punctured ball $B^4 - \{0\}$ and on the closed ball satisfies

$$A \in W^{1,2}, \quad \Phi \in W^{1,2}, \quad d^*A = 0.$$

We shall prove in three steps that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$E_0(A, \Phi; B_r) = \int_{|x| \leq r} \left(|\nabla_A \Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\Phi|^4 \right) \leq cr^2. \quad (6)$$

Step 1: For every $r \in (0, 1]$

$$E_0(A, \Phi; B_r) = \int_{|x|=r} \sum_i \langle \Phi, \nabla_i \Phi \rangle \frac{x_i}{r}.$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be any open domain with smooth boundary such that A and Φ are defined on its closure. (Thus $0 \notin \Omega$.) Consider the energy

$$E_0(A, \Phi; \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla_A \Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\Phi|^4 + 2|F_A^+|^2 \right) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \Phi, \nabla_{A, \nu} \Phi \rangle.$$

The first equality follows from the fact that $|\Phi|^4 = 8|F_A^+|^2$ for solutions of (1) and the second equality follows from the energy identity (3). Abbreviate

$$f(r) = \int_{|x|=r} \sum_i \langle \Phi, \nabla_i \Phi \rangle \frac{x_i}{r}.$$

Then $f : (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function and the previous identity shows that

$$E_0(A, \Phi; B_r - B_\varepsilon) = f(r) - f(\varepsilon).$$

Hence f is monotonically increasing and bounded below. This shows that the limit $f(0) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(\varepsilon)$ exists. Now it follows from the finiteness of the energy that $\Phi \in L^4$ and $\nabla_i \Phi \in L^2$ and hence $\langle \Phi, \nabla_i \Phi \rangle \in L^{4/3}$ for all i . Moreover, by Hölder's inequality,

$$|f(r)|^{4/3} \leq (2\pi^2)^{1/3} r \int_{|x|=r} (|\Phi| |\nabla_A \Phi|)^{4/3}$$

and hence

$$\int_0^1 \frac{|f(r)|^{4/3}}{r} dr < \infty.$$

This shows that there must be a sequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ with $f(\varepsilon_i) \rightarrow 0$ and it follows that $f(0) = 0$. This implies $f(r) = E_0(A, \Phi; B_r)$ as claimed.

Step 2: Every smooth function $u : \mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the identity

$$-\int_{\rho \leq |x| \leq r} \frac{\Delta u}{|x|^2} = \int_{|x|=r} \frac{2u + \langle \nabla u, x \rangle}{r^3} - \int_{|x|=\rho} \frac{2u + \langle \nabla u, x \rangle}{\rho^3}.$$

This is Stokes' theorem on the annulus $\rho \leq |x| \leq r$ with $\Delta v = -\sum_i \partial^2 v / \partial x_i^2 = 0$ for $v(x) = 1/|x|^2$.

Step 3: *Proof of (6).*

Recall from (4) that $\Delta|\Phi|^2 = -2|\nabla_A\Phi|^2 - |\Phi|^4$. Moreover, note that

$$\int_{|x|=r} \langle \nabla|\Phi|^2, x \rangle = 2 \int_{|x|=r} \sum_i \langle \Phi, \nabla_i \Phi \rangle x_i = 2rf(r).$$

Hence it follows from Step 2 with $u = |\Phi|^2$ that

$$\int_{\rho \leq |x| \leq r} \frac{2|\nabla_A\Phi|^2 + |\Phi|^4}{|x|^2} dx = \int_{|x|=r} \frac{2|\Phi|^2}{r^3} + \frac{2f(r)}{r^2} - \int_{|x|=\rho} \frac{2|\Phi|^2}{\rho^3} - \frac{2f(\rho)}{\rho^2}.$$

This implies

$$\frac{f(\rho)}{\rho^2} \leq \frac{f(r)}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r^3} \int_{|x|=r} |\Phi|^2$$

for $0 < \rho \leq r$ and (6) follows.

By (4), the function $x \mapsto |\Phi(x)|^4$ is subharmonic and hence

$$|\Phi(x)|^4 \leq \frac{2}{\pi^2 r^4} \int_{B_r(x)} |\Phi|^4 \leq \frac{2}{\pi^2 r^4} E_0(A, \Phi; B_{2r}) \leq \frac{8c}{\pi^2 r^2}$$

for $r = |x|$. The first inequality is the mean value inequality for subharmonic functions, the second follows from the definition of E_0 , and the last follows from (6). Thus

$$|\Phi(x)|^4 \leq \frac{8c}{\pi^2 |x|^2}$$

and, since the function $x \mapsto 1/|x|^\alpha$ is integrable in a neighbourhood of zero whenever $\alpha < 4$, it follows that $|\Phi|^p$ is integrable for every $p < 8$. Thus we have proved that $|\Phi|^2 \in L^p$ for any $p < 4$. Since $d^+A = \sigma^+((\Phi\Phi^*)_0)$ this shows that $d^+A \in L^p$ for any $p < 4$. Now recall that $d^*A = 0$ and hence

$$\Delta A = d^*dA = 2d^*d^+A = 2d^*\sigma^+((\Phi\Phi^*)_0).$$

Note that A is a weak solution of this equation on the closed (unpunctured) ball and hence it follows that $A \in W^{1,p}$ for any $p < 4$. Thus $A \in L^q$ for any $q < \infty$. The formula

$$0 = D_A\Phi = D\Phi - \Gamma(A)\Phi$$

with $\Gamma(A)\Phi \in L^p$ now shows that $\Phi \in W^{1,p}$ for any $p < 4$. Thus $\Phi \in L^q$ for some $q > 4$ and using the last equation again with $\Gamma(A)\Phi \in L^q$ we find that $\Phi \in W^{1,q}$ for some $q > 4$. This implies $d^*\sigma^+((\Phi\Phi^*)_0) \in L^q$ and, by the previous equation $A \in W^{2,q}$. Using the two equations alternatingly we conclude that A and Φ are smooth on B_1 . This is a standard elliptic bootstrapping argument and completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4 Proof of the vanishing theorem

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. The proof given here was outlined by Donaldson in [1]. It is based on choosing a sequence of metrics g_ν on the connected sum $X_1 \# X_2$ which *pinches* the neck to a point and has the property that the scalar curvature s_ν is bounded below by a constant independent of ν . Note, however, that the scalar curvature will diverge to $+\infty$ near the *pinched neck*. More precisely, the following remark shows how to construct a metric on the unit disc in \mathbb{R}^4 which agrees with the standard metric outside a ball of radius δ and with the pullback metric from $\mathbb{R} \times \varepsilon S^3$ under the diffeomorphism $x \mapsto (\varepsilon \log |x|, \varepsilon x/|x|)$ inside a punctured ball of radius δ^{m+1} for some integer m .

Remark 4.1 Consider the diffeomorphism

$$f : \mathbb{R}^4 - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \varepsilon S^3, \quad f(x) = \left(\varepsilon \log |x|, \varepsilon \frac{x}{|x|} \right).$$

It is easy to see that the pullback of the standard product metric g_ε on $\mathbb{R} \times \varepsilon S^3$ under this diffeomorphism is given by

$$f^* g_\varepsilon(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|x|^2} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle$$

for $|x| \leq \varepsilon^2$. Now choose a function $\lambda : (0, 1] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ which satisfies

$$\lambda(r) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon/r & \text{if } r \leq \delta^{m+1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } r \geq \delta. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

and consider the metric

$$g_\lambda(\xi, \eta) = \lambda(|x|)^2 \langle \xi, \eta \rangle.$$

Note that for $|x| \leq \delta^{m+1}$ this metric agrees with the above pullback metric $f^* g_\varepsilon$. The scalar curvature of g_λ is given by

$$s_\lambda = 6 \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda^3} = -6 \frac{\lambda'' + 3\lambda'/r}{\lambda^3}.$$

One can choose λ decreasing and thus $\lambda'(r) \leq 0$ for all r . It remains to prove that λ can be chosen such that (7) is satisfied and, say,

$$\frac{\lambda''(r)}{\lambda(r)} + 3 \frac{\lambda'(r)}{r\lambda(r)} \leq 1. \quad (8)$$

Here the constant 1 is an arbitrary choice and can be replaced by any positive number. We must prove that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists a function $\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow$

$[0, \infty)$ which satisfies (7) and (8) for some constant $\varepsilon > 0$. Following Micallef and Wang [7] we introduce a function $\alpha = \alpha(r)$ by

$$\frac{\lambda'}{\lambda} = -\frac{\alpha}{r}, \quad \frac{\lambda''}{\lambda} = -\frac{\alpha'}{r} + \frac{\alpha + \alpha^2}{r^2}.$$

Then the conditions (7) and (8) take the form

$$\alpha(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } r \leq \delta^{m+1}, \\ 0, & \text{for } r \geq \delta, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{\alpha'}{r} + \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{r^2} \geq -1. \quad (10)$$

Consider the curve $\gamma(t) = \alpha(\delta e^{-t})$. Then (10) translates into

$$\dot{\gamma} \leq (2-\gamma)\gamma + \delta^2 e^{-2t}$$

and (9) reads $\gamma(t) = 1$ for $t \geq T = \log(\delta^{-m})$ and $\gamma(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$. A solution of the differential equation $\dot{\gamma} = (2-\gamma)\gamma$ is given by the explicit formula

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{2\delta^{2m} e^{2t}}{1 + \delta^{2m} e^{2t}}.$$

This solution satisfies $\gamma(0) = 2\delta^{2m}/(1+2\delta^{2m}) \ll 1$ and $\gamma(T) = \gamma(\log(\delta^{-m})) = 1$. Perturbing this function slightly near $t = 0$ and $t = T$ gives a smooth solution of the required differential inequality provided that m is sufficiently large. Note that essentially the same argument can be used to prove the theorem of Gromov and Lawson about positive scalar curvature for connected sums [3]. \square

Recall that the solutions of the Seiberg-Witten equations for a spin^c structure $\Gamma : TX \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ form a moduli space space $\mathcal{M}(X, \Gamma, g, \eta)$ which, for a generic perturbation η , is a finite dimensional compact manifold of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{M}(X, \Gamma, g, \eta) = \frac{c \cdot c}{4} - \frac{2\chi + 3\sigma}{4}$$

where $\chi = \chi(X)$ and $\sigma = \sigma(X)$ denote the Euler characteristic and signature of X and $c = c_1(L_\Gamma) \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the characteristic class of the spin^c structure. It is convenient to think of the connected sum as follows. Fix two points $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$ and choose a metric g_i on X_i which is flat in a neighbourhood of x_i . Now construct a sequence of manifolds $X_\nu = X_1 \#_\nu X_2$ by removing arbitrarily small discs from X_1 and X_2 , centered at x_1 and x_2 respectively, modifying the metrics g_i as in Remark 4.1 above, and then identifying two annuli which are isometric to $[0, 1] \times \varepsilon_\nu S^3$. Given two spin^c structures Γ_1 over X_1 and Γ_2 over X_2 one obtains a corresponding sequence of spin^c structures Γ_ν over X_ν by identifying Γ_1 and Γ_2 in suitable trivializations over the two annuli. Let us

choose a sequence of perturbations η_ν on X_ν which vanish near the *neck* and are independent of ν on the complement of the neck. Any such sequence determines two fixed perturbations η_1 and η_2 on X_1 and X_2 , respectively, which vanish in the given neighbourhoods of x_1 and x_2 . In [8], Chapter 9, it is proved that the perturbation can be chosen such that the moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}(X_1, \Gamma_1, g_1, \eta_1)$ and $\mathcal{M}(X_2, \Gamma_2, g_2, \eta_2)$ are regular.

Assume first that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(X_\nu, \Gamma_\nu, g_\nu, \eta_\nu)$ is zero dimensional. We prove that this space must be empty for ν sufficiently large. Suppose otherwise that for every ν there exists a solution (A_ν, Φ_ν) of the Seiberg-Witten equations for the metric g_ν and the perturbation η_ν . In [5] Kronheimer and Mrowka proved that the spinors Φ_ν satisfy the inequality

$$\sup_X |\Phi_\nu| \leq -\frac{1}{2} \inf_X s_\nu.$$

where s_ν denotes the scalar curvature of g_ν (see also [8]). The previous exercise shows that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $s_\nu(x) \geq -c$ for all $x \in X$ and all ν . Hence the Φ_ν are uniformly bounded. Now A_ν and Φ_ν restrict to solutions of the Seiberg-Witten equations on X_1 (for the metric g_1 and the perturbation η_1) outside any neighbourhood of x_1 . Hence it follows from the compactness theorem in [5] (see also [8], Chapter 9) that there exists a subsequence which converges in the C^∞ -topology on every compact subset of $X_1 - \{x_1\}$ to a solution (A_1, Φ_1) of the Seiberg-Witten equations which is defined on $X_1 - \{x_1\}$ and has finite energy. Since g_1 is flat and η_1 vanishes near x_1 the removable singularity theorem 1.2 asserts that A_1 and Φ_1 extend to a smooth solution over all of X_1 . This shows that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}(X_1, \Gamma_1, g_1, \eta_1)$ is nonempty. Obviously, the same argument applies to X_2 . Now the perturbation η was chosen such that η_1 and η_2 are regular for g_1 and g_2 . But the dimension formula shows that

$$0 = \dim \mathcal{M} = \dim \mathcal{M}_1 + \dim \mathcal{M}_2 + 1.$$

Hence one of the moduli spaces must have negative dimension. Since both moduli spaces are regular it follows that one of them must be empty, a contradiction. This shows that the assumption that $\mathcal{M}(X_\nu, \Gamma_\nu, g_\nu, \eta_\nu)$ was nonempty for all ν must have been false. But if there is a metric for which the moduli space is empty then the Seiberg-Witten invariant is zero. Thus we have proved that the Seiberg-Witten invariant must vanish whenever the moduli space is zero dimensional.

A similar argument applies to the cut-down moduli spaces when $\dim \mathcal{M} > 0$. For this case it is useful to intersect the moduli space \mathcal{M}_1 , say, with suitable submanifolds of the form

$$\mathcal{N}_h = \left\{ [A, \Phi] \mid \int_{X_1} \langle h(A), \Phi \rangle \text{dvol} = 0 \right\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_1) = \frac{\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1) \times C^\infty(X, W_1^+)^*}{\text{Map}(X, S^1)}$$

where $h : \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, W_1^+)^*$ satisfies

$$h(u^*A) = u(y)u^{-1}h(A)$$

for every gauge transformation $u : X_1 \rightarrow S^1$ and some $y \in X_1$. The map h can be localized near y as follows. For every 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(X, i\mathbb{R})$ and every smooth path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ consider the *holonomy* $\rho_\alpha(\gamma) \in S^1$ defined by

$$\rho_\alpha(\gamma) = \exp\left(\int_\gamma \alpha\right).$$

For each point $x \in X_1$ near y let $\gamma_x : [0, 1] \rightarrow X_1$ denote the path running from x to y in a straight line in a local chart. Fix a reference connection A_0 and a nonzero section $\Psi \in C^\infty(X_1, W_1^+)$ with support in the given neighbourhood of y . Then the map

$$h(A)(x) = \rho_{A-A_0}(\gamma_x)\Psi(x)$$

has the required properties. Now, as before, $\dim \mathcal{M} = \dim \mathcal{M}_1 + \dim \mathcal{M}_2 + 1$ and hence one of the moduli spaces must have dimension strictly smaller than \mathcal{M} . Suppose without loss of generality that

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_1 < \dim \mathcal{M} = 2d$$

and choose d functions $h_1, \dots, h_d : \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, W_1^+)^*$ as above which are localized somewhere on X_1 away from x_1 . Then, for a generic perturbation η_1 ,

$$\mathcal{M}(X_1, \Gamma_1, g_1, \eta_1) \cap \mathcal{N}_{h_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{N}_{h_d} = \emptyset.$$

On the other hand the h_i determine functions

$$h_{i,\nu} : \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_\nu) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, W_\nu^+)^*$$

(defined by the same formula) and one can examine the moduli spaces

$$\mathcal{M}(X_\nu, \Gamma_\nu, g_\nu, \eta_\nu) \cap \mathcal{N}_{h_{1,\nu}} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{N}_{h_{d,\nu}}.$$

If these are nonempty for all ν then it follows as above that the space $\mathcal{M}_1 \cap \mathcal{N}_{h_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{N}_{h_d}$ is nonempty contradicting the choice of the perturbation η_1 . Hence these moduli spaces are empty for large ν and thus the Seiberg-Witten invariants are zero.

References

- [1] S.K. Donaldson, The Seiberg-Witten equations and 4-manifold topology, Preprint, June 1995.

- [2] S.K. Donaldson and P.B. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Oxford University Press, 1990.
- [3] M. Gromov and H.B. Lawson, The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature, *Ann. of Math.* **111** (1980), 423–434.
- [4] D. Kotschick, On irreducible 4-manifolds, Preprint, 1995.
- [5] P. Kronheimer and T.S. Mrowka, The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane, *Math. Res. Letters* **1** (1994), 797–808.
- [6] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction To Symplectic Topology*, to appear in Oxford University Press, 1995.
- [7] M. Micallef and M.Y. Wang, Metrics with nonnegative isotropic curvature, *Duke Mathematics Journal* **72** (1993), 649–672.
- [8] D. Salamon, *Spin geometry and Seiberg-Witten invariants*, in preparation.
- [9] C.H. Taubes, The Seiberg-Witten invariants and symplectic forms, *Math. Res. Letters* **1** (1994), 809–822.
- [10] K. Uhlenbeck, Removable singularities in Yang-Mills fields, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **83** (1982), 11–29.
- [11] K. Uhlenbeck, Connections with L^p bounds on curvature, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **83** (1982), 31–42.
- [12] E. Witten, Monopoles and 4-manifolds, Preprint, hep-th/9411102, November, 1994.