## Symplectic Topology Example Sheet 3

Dietmar Salamon ETH Zürich

## 7 March 2013

**Exercise 3.1.** Let *L* be an *n*-dimensional manifold, equipped with and atlas  $\phi_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  and define an atlas on the cotangent bundle  $T^*L$  by

$$\psi_{\alpha}: T^*U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^* = \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \qquad \psi_{\alpha}(q, v^*) := \left(\phi_{\alpha}(q), \left(d\phi_{\alpha}(q)^*\right)^{-1} v^*\right),$$

for  $q \in U_{\alpha} \subset L$  and  $v \in T_q^*L$ .

(i) Prove that there is a unique 1-form  $\lambda \in \Omega^1(T^*L)$  such that

$$\lambda|_{T^*U_\alpha} = \psi_\alpha^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i dx_i\right)$$

for every  $\alpha$ .

(ii) Show that the 1-form  $\lambda \in \Omega^1(T^*L)$  in (i) can be written in the form

$$\lambda_p(\widehat{p}) = \left\langle v^*, d\pi(p)\widehat{p} \right\rangle, \qquad p = (q, v^*) \in T^*L, \quad \widehat{p} \in T_p(T^*L)$$

Here  $\pi: T^*L \to L$  denotes the obvious projection.

(iii) A 1-form  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(L)$  is a section of the cotangent bundle of L and hence can be interpreted as a smooth map from L to  $T^*L$ . Show that the pullback of the 1-form  $\lambda \in \Omega^1(T^*L)$  under the smooth map  $\alpha : L \to T^*L$  is the 1-form  $\alpha$  itself, i.e.

$$\alpha^*\lambda = \alpha$$

Prove that the 1-form  $\lambda$  on  $T^*L$  is uniquely determined by this property. It is also called the **canonical** 1-form on  $T^*L$  and denoted by  $\lambda_{\text{can}}$ .

(iv) Prove that

$$\omega_{\mathrm{can}} := -d\lambda_{\mathrm{car}}$$

is a symplectic form on  $T^*L$  and that the canonical coordinates

$$\psi_{\alpha}: T^*U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

are Darboux charts for  $\omega_{\rm can}$ .

**Warning:** Many authors, inspired by physics, use the notation q instead of x for the position variable and p instead of y for the momentum variable; in this notation the canonical 1-form is  $\lambda_{\text{can}} = pdq$  and  $d\lambda_{\text{can}} = dp \wedge dq$  is then often used as the canonical symplectic form on the cotangent bundle. In the (x, y)-notation this would be  $dy \wedge dx$ . This is a key source for different choices of sign conventions in symplectic topology. (In short  $dy \wedge dx$  for physicists and  $dx \wedge dy$  for complex geometers.)

Consider the coordinates  $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $z_j = x_j + \mathbf{i}y_j \in \mathbb{C}$ for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ . The next exercise uses the complex-valued 1-forms

$$dz_j := dx_j + \mathbf{i} dy_j, \qquad d\bar{z}_j := dx_j - \mathbf{i} dy_j$$

and the differential operators

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right), \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right)$$

on the space of complex valued smooth functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Thus  $dz_j$  is complex linear,  $d\overline{z}_j$  is complex anti-linear, and every complex-valued 1-form on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is, at each point, a linear combination of the  $dz_j$  and the  $d\overline{z}_j$  with complex coefficients. For example the differential of a smooth function  $f : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ can be written in the form  $df = \partial f + \bar{\partial} f$ , where

$$\partial f := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} dz_j, \qquad \bar{\partial} f := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j$$

For each  $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$  the complex-linear functional  $\partial f_z : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  is the complexlinear part of  $df_z = df(z) : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ , and the complex-anti-linear functional  $\bar{\partial} f_z : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$  is the complex-anti-linear part of  $df_z$ . Thus f is holomorphic if and only if  $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ . We also need the 2-form

$$\partial \bar{\partial} f := \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k.$$

This 2-form is imaginary-valued when f is real valued. Also  $\partial \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \partial = 0$ .

**Exercise 3.2.** The Fubini-Study form  $\omega_{\text{FS}} \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n)$  is defined by

$$\omega_{\rm FS} := \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2 \left|z\right|^4} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \left( \left|z_j\right|^2 dz_k \wedge d\bar{z}_k - \bar{z}_j z_k dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k \right). \tag{1}$$

(Strictly speaking this is a real valued 2-form on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\omega_{\text{FS}}$  is its pullback the quotient manifold  $\mathbb{C}P^n = (\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^*$ .) (i) Prove that

$$\omega_{\rm FS} = rac{\mathbf{i}}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \left( |z|^2 
ight).$$

(ii) Let  $\omega_0 := \sum_{j=0}^n dx_j \wedge dy_j = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=0}^n dz_j \wedge d\overline{z}_j$  be the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Define  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \to S^{2n+1}$  by  $\phi(z) := |z|^{-1} z$ . Prove that

$$\omega_{\rm FS} = \phi^* \omega_0.$$

(iii) Let  $B \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be the open unit ball and define the coordinate charts  $\phi_j : U_j \to B, \ j = 0, \dots, n$ , on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  by  $U_j := \{[z_0 : \dots : z_n] \in \mathbb{C}P^n \mid z_j \neq 0\}$  and

$$\phi_j([z_0:\cdots:z_n]) := \frac{(z_1,\ldots,z_{j-1},z_{j+1},\ldots,z_n)}{|z|}.$$
 (2)

Show that

$$\phi^{-1}(\zeta) = \left(\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2}}, \dots, \frac{\zeta_{j-1}}{\sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2}}, 1, \frac{\zeta_j}{\sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2}}, \dots, \frac{\zeta_n}{\sqrt{1 - |\zeta|^2}}\right)$$

for  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n) \in B$ . Show that the  $\phi_j$  are Darboux charts on  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ . Deduce that  $\mathbb{C}P^1 \subset \mathbb{C}P^n$  has area  $\pi$ . Warning:  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  has a natural complex structure. However, the coordinate charts  $\phi_j$  are not holomorphic.

(iv) Consider the case  $n = 1, x_0 = 1, z_1 = z = x + \mathbf{i}y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . Show that

$$\omega_{\rm FS} = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2} = \frac{dx \wedge dy}{(1+x^2+y^2)^2}, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^1} \omega_{\rm FS} = \pi$$

(v) Let  $S^2 := \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\}$  be the unit sphere with the volume form  $\operatorname{dvol}_{S^2} := x_1 dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + x_2 dx_3 \wedge dx_1 + x_3 dx_1 \wedge dx_2$ . Let  $\phi : S^2 \to \mathbb{CP}^1$  be the stereographic projection  $\phi(x) := [1 - x_3 : x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2]$ . Prove that

$$\phi^* \omega_{\rm FS} = \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{dvol}_{S^2}.$$

**Exercise 3.3.** Show that the real projective space

$$\mathbb{R}P^n := \{ [z_0 : \cdots : z_n] \in \mathbb{C}P^n \, | \, z_0, \ldots, z_n \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

and the **Clifford torus** 

$$\mathbb{T}^n := \{ [z_0 : \dots : z_n] \in \mathbb{C}P^n \mid |z_0| = |z_1| = \dots = |z_n| \}$$

are Lagrangian submanifolds of  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{FS})$ .

**Exercise 3.4.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be closed (i.e. compact without boundary) symplectic manifold and let  $F, G : M \to \mathbb{R}$  be smooth functions. Show that the Poisson bracket of F and G satisfies the identity

$$\{F,G\}\frac{\omega^n}{n!} = dF \wedge dG \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

Deduce that the Poisson bracket has mean value zero, i.e.

$$\int_M \{F, G\} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} = 0.$$

**Exercise 3.5.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be closed symplectic manifold and let  $\phi : M \to M$  be a symplectomorphism. Prove that, if  $\phi$  is sufficiently close to the identity in the  $C^1$ -topology, then  $\phi$  is **symplectically isotopic** to the identity, i.e. there exists a smooth map

$$[0,1] \times M \to M : (t,p) \mapsto \phi_t(p)$$

such that  $\phi_t^* \omega = \omega$  for every t and

$$\phi_0 = \mathrm{id}, \qquad \phi_1 = \phi.$$

**Hint:** Use Weinstein's Lagrangian neighborhood theorem for the diagonal in

$$M := M \times M, \qquad \widetilde{\omega} := (-\omega) \times \omega = \mathrm{pr}_1^* \omega - \mathrm{pr}_0^* \omega,$$

where  $\operatorname{pr}_0, \operatorname{pr}_1 : M \times M \to M$  are defined by  $\operatorname{pr}_0(p,q) := p$  and  $\operatorname{pr}_1(p,q) := q$ . Show that every Lagrangian submanifold of  $T^*M$  sufficiently close to the zero section in the  $C^1$ -topology is the graph of a closed 1-form. Show that every Lagrangian submanifold of  $\widetilde{M}$  sufficiently close to the diagonal in the  $C^1$ -topology is the graph of a symplectomorphism. **Exercise 3.6.** Let J be an almost complex structure on a manifold M. The **Nijenhuis tensor of** J is the 2-form  $N_J$  on M with values in the tangent bundle TM, defined by

$$N_J(X,Y) := [X,Y] + J[JX,Y] + J[X,JY] - [JX,JY]$$

for  $X, Y \in \text{Vect}(M)$ .

(i) Verify that  $N_J$  is a **tensor**, i.e.

$$N_J(fX, gY) = fgN_J(X, Y)$$

for every pair of smooth functions  $f, g : M \to \mathbb{R}$ . Equivalently, for every  $p \in M$ , the tangent vector  $N_J(X, Y)(p) \in T_pM$  depends only on X(p) and Y(p), but not on the derivatives of X and Y at p. (ii) Show that

(ii) Show that

$$N_J(JX,Y) = N_J(X,JY) = -JN_J(X,Y)$$

for all  $X, Y \in \text{Vect}(M)$ .

(iii) Denote by  $TM^c := TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  the complexified tangent bundle and by

$$E^{\pm} := \{ (p, v^c) \in TM^c \, | \, J_p v^c = \pm \mathbf{i} v^c \}$$

the subbundles determines by the eigenspaces of J. Thus  $TM^c = E^+ \oplus E^-$ . Prove that the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes if and only if the subbundles  $E^{\pm}$  are **involutive** (i.e. invariant under Lie brackets).

(iv) Let  $\phi: M' \to M$  be a diffeomorphism. Prove that

$$N_{\phi^*J}(\phi^*X,\phi^*Y) = \phi^*N_J(X,Y)$$

for all  $X, Y \in \text{Vect}(M)$ .

(v) Assume dim(M) = 2. Prove that  $N_J = 0$  for every  $J \in \mathcal{J}(M)$ .

**Exercise 3.7.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold. An almost complex structure  $J \in \mathcal{J}(M)$  is said to be **tamed by**  $\omega$  if

$$\omega(v, Jv) > 0$$

for every nonzero tangent vector v. Let  $\mathcal{J}_{\tau}(M, \omega)$  denote the space of  $\omega$ -tame almost complex structures J on M. Prove that  $\mathcal{J}_{\tau}(M, \omega)$  is contractible.

**Hint:** Fix an  $\omega$ -compatible almost complex structure  $J_0 \in \mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$  and denote by  $g_0 := \omega(\cdot, J_0 \cdot)$  the associated Riemannian metric. Let

$$|v|_0 := \sqrt{g_0(v, v)}$$

be the norm of a tangent vector  $v \in T_pM$  with respect to this metric and let

$$||A||_0 := \sup_{v \in T_p M \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|Av|_0}{|v|_0}$$

be the corresponding operator norm of an endomorphism  $A: T_pM \to T_pM$ . Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ A \in \Omega^0(M, \operatorname{End}(TM)) \, \middle| \, AJ_0 + J_0 A = 0, \, \|A(p)\|_0 < 1 \, \forall p \in M \right\}.$$

Prove that the formula

$$\mathcal{F}(J) := (1 + J_0 J)(1 - J_0 J)^{-1}$$

defines a homeomorphism  $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{J}_{\tau}(M, \omega) \to \mathcal{A}$  with inverse

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(A) = J_0(\mathbb{1} + A)^{-1}(\mathbb{1} - A).$$

Use the fact that  $\mathcal{A}$  is convex.