

Asymptotics of the hypergraph bipartite Turán problem

Domagoj Bradac^{*} Lior Gishboliner^{*} Oliver Janzer[†] Benny Sudakov^{*}

Abstract

For positive integers s, t, r , let $K_{s,t}^{(r)}$ denote the r -uniform hypergraph whose vertex set is the union of pairwise disjoint sets X, Y_1, \dots, Y_t , where $|X| = s$ and $|Y_1| = \dots = |Y_t| = r - 1$, and whose edge set is $\{\{x\} \cup Y_i : x \in X, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$. The study of the Turán function of $K_{s,t}^{(r)}$ received considerable interest in recent years. Our main results are as follows. First, we show that

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)}) = O_{s,r}(t^{\frac{1}{s-1}} n^{r - \frac{1}{s-1}}) \quad (1)$$

for all $s, t \geq 2$ and $r \geq 3$, improving the power of n in the previously best bound and resolving a question of Mubayi and Verstraëte about the dependence of $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(3)})$ on t . Second, we show that (1) is tight when r is even and $t \gg s$. This disproves a conjecture of Xu, Zhang and Ge. Third, we show that (1) is *not* tight for $r = 3$, namely that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(3)}) = O_{s,t}(n^{3 - \frac{1}{s-1} - \varepsilon_s})$ (for all $s \geq 3$). This indicates that the behaviour of $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)})$ might depend on the parity of r . Lastly, we prove a conjecture of Ergemlidze, Jiang and Methuku on the hypergraph analogue of the bipartite Turán problem for graphs with bounded degrees on one side. Our tools include a novel twist on the dependent random choice method as well as a variant of the celebrated norm graphs constructed by Kollár, Rónyai and Szabó.

1 Introduction

Let H be an r -uniform hypergraph. The Turán function $\text{ex}(n, H)$ of H is the largest number of edges in an r -uniform hypergraph on n vertices with no copy of H . The study of the function $\text{ex}(n, H)$ for various hypergraphs H is one of the central problems of extremal combinatorics. In the graph case $r = 2$, the Turán function is fairly well understood unless H is bipartite. On the other hand, for $r \geq 3$, our understanding of the Turán function is much worse and there are only few tight results. For example, determining the answer for the 3-uniform clique on 4 vertices is still open. Some of the few cases where tight results are known involve hypergraphs which are derived from graphs, see e.g. [11, 13, 6, 9, 15]. We refer the interested reader to an extensive survey of Keevash [8] on Turán problems for non- r -partite r -uniform hypergraphs.

It is well-known that for r -partite H , one has $\text{ex}(n, H) = O(n^{r-\varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(H) > 0$ and the main goal here is to determine or estimate the best possible $\varepsilon(H)$. One of the very old such Turán-type questions for hypergraphs is a problem of Erdős [3], asking for the maximum number $f_r(n)$ of edges in an r -uniform hypergraph on n vertices which does not have four distinct edges A, B, C, D satisfying $A \cup B = C \cup D$ and $A \cap B = C \cap D = \emptyset$. Note that in this problem the forbidden hypergraphs originate quite naturally from a four-cycle. Erdős in particular asked whether $f_r(n) = O(n^{r-1})$. This was answered affirmatively by Füredi [7], who showed that $f_r(n) \leq 3.5 \binom{n}{r-1}$. Mubayi and Verstraëte [12] extended Erdős's question by

^{*}Department of Mathematics, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland. Research supported in part by SNSF grant 200021_196965. Email: [domagoj.bradac, lior.gishboliner, benjamin.sudakov](mailto:{domagoj.bradac, lior.gishboliner, benjamin.sudakov}@math.ethz.ch)@math.ethz.ch.

[†]Department of Mathematics, ETH, Zürich, Switzerland. Research supported by an ETH Zürich Post-doctoral Fellowship 20-1 FEL-35. Email: [oliver.janzer](mailto:oliver.janzer@math.ethz.ch)@math.ethz.ch.

considering the following family of r -uniform hypergraphs which generalize complete bipartite graphs: for positive integers r, s, t , let $K_{s,t}^{(r)}$ denote the r -uniform hypergraph whose vertex set consists of disjoint sets X, Y_1, \dots, Y_t , where $|X| = s$ and $|Y_1| = \dots = |Y_t| = r - 1$, and whose edge set is $\{\{x\} \cup Y_i : x \in X, 1 \leq i \leq t\}$. Note that $K_{s,t}^{(r)}$ is r -partite and for $r = 2$, $K_{s,t}^{(2)}$ is just the $s \times t$ complete bipartite graph. Observe that the edges of $K_{2,2}^{(r)}$ form a configuration A, B, C, D as in the definition of $f_r(n)$. Hence, $f_r(n) \leq \text{ex}(n, K_{2,2}^{(r)})$ (this is in fact an equality for $r = 3$). Mubayi and Verstraëte [12] proved that $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,2}^{(r)}) \leq 3 \binom{n}{r-1} + O(n^{r-2})$, improving the constant in Füredi's result. Pikhurko and Verstraëte [14] improved the coefficient of $\binom{n}{r-1}$ further. It remains open whether $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,2}^{(r)}) = (1 + o(1)) \binom{n-1}{r-1}$, as conjectured by Füredi. That $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ is a lower bound can be seen by considering the star, i.e. the hypergraph consisting of all edges containing a fixed vertex.

Mubayi and Verstraëte [12] initiated the study of $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(3)})$ for general s, t , and proved that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(3)}) \leq C_{s,t} n^{3-1/s}$ as well as that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(3)}) \geq c_t n^{3-2/s}$ for $t > (s-1)!$. For small values of s , they obtained more accurate estimates. Namely, for $s = 3$, they improved their bound to $\text{ex}(n, K_{3,t}^{(3)}) \leq C_t n^{13/5}$, while for $s = 2$, they showed that $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(3)}) \leq t^4 \binom{n}{2}$ and that $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(3)}) \geq \frac{2t-1}{3} \binom{n}{2}$ for infinitely many n . They further asked to determine the correct dependence of $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(3)})$ on t . Ergemlidze, Jiang and Methuku [4] improved the upper bound to $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(3)}) \leq (15t \log t + 40t)n^2$, leaving a $\log t$ gap from the lower bound of $\Omega(tn^2)$. For $r > 3$, little is known. Ergemlidze, Jiang and Methuku found a construction showing $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(4)}) = \Omega(tn^3)$. Xu, Zhang and Ge [16, 17] proved a tight bound on $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)})$ when s is much larger than t , using a standard application of the random algebraic method of Bukh [2].

Our first result, Theorem 1.1, achieves two goals. First, it resolves the problem of Mubayi and Verstraëte by proving that $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(3)}) = \Theta(tn^2)$. And second, it improves the upper bound of [12] on $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(3)})$ for every s and t by reducing the exponent of n from $3 - \frac{1}{s}$ to $3 - \frac{1}{s-1}$. We also obtain an analogous result for every $r \geq 3$. The proof of this bound relies on a new weighted variant of the dependent random choice method (see [5] for a description of the technique and a brief history).

Theorem 1.1. *For any $s, t \geq 2$ and $r \geq 3$ there is a constant C_s depending only on s such that*

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)}) \leq C_s t^{\frac{1}{s-1}} n^{r - \frac{1}{s-1}}.$$

In particular,

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(3)}) \leq C t n^2$$

for some absolute constant C .

Our next result shows that, somewhat surprisingly, the bound in Theorem 1.1 is tight in terms of both n and t if the uniformity r is even and $t \gg s$. Our construction uses as building blocks a variation of the norm graphs, introduced by Kollár, Rónyai and Szabó [10], which might be of independent interest.

Theorem 1.2. *For any positive integers $s \geq 2$ and k , there is a positive constant $c = c(k, s)$ such that for every integer $t > (s-1)!$, if n is sufficiently large, then*

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(2k)}) \geq c t^{\frac{1}{s-1}} n^{2k - \frac{1}{s-1}}.$$

By combining Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we see that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)}) = \Theta_{r,s}(t^{\frac{1}{s-1}} n^{r - \frac{1}{s-1}})$ if $r \geq 4$ is even and $t > (s-1)!$. (Here and elsewhere in the paper, $\Theta_{r,s}$ means that the implied constants

can depend on r and s .) In the special case $s = 2$, this gives $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(r)}) = \Theta_r(tn^{r-1})$ for even $r \geq 4$. This partially answers a question of Ergemlidze, Jiang and Methuku [4], who asked to determine the dependence of $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(r)})$ on t . Also, Theorem 1.2 disproves a conjecture of Xu, Zhang and Ge [17, Conjecture 5.1] which stated that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)}) = \Theta_{r,s,t}(n^{r-2/s})$ for all $2 \leq s \leq t$.

It is natural to ask whether the bound in Theorem 1.1 is tight for odd uniformities as well. Our next theorem shows that this is *not* the case for $r = 3$. This indicates that, perhaps surprisingly, the parity of r may play a role.

Theorem 1.3. *For any $s \geq 3$, there exists some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(s) > 0$ such that for any t ,*

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(3)}) \leq C_{s,t} n^{3 - \frac{1}{s-1} - \varepsilon}.$$

The $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(s)$ in Theorem 1.3 can be chosen on the order of s^{-5} .

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 together show that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)})/n^{r-1}$ has a different order of magnitude for even $r \geq 4$ and for $r = 3$. Indeed, for even r the function $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)})/n^{r-1}$ is asymptotically $\Theta_{r,s,t}(n^{1 - \frac{1}{s-1}})$, assuming $s \ll t$, while for $r = 3$ this function is smaller by at least a factor of n^ε . This contradicts a claim made in the concluding remarks of [4] (see [4, Proposition 1]), where it was stated that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)}) \leq O_{r,s,t}(n^{r-3}) \cdot \text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(3)})$. One can check that the proof suggested in [4] is incorrect. Moreover, as we now see, the statement itself is disproved by Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

It would be very interesting to determine if Theorem 1.3 can be extended to all odd uniformities r . If so, then this would be a rare example of an extremal problem where the answer depends on the parity of the uniformity. (See [9] for another hypergraph Turán problem where the extremal construction depends heavily on number theoretic properties of the parameters.) The first open case is $r = 5$: is it true that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(5)}) = O(n^{5 - \frac{1}{s-1} - \varepsilon})$?

We end with some results for a more general family of hypergraphs. Let G be a bipartite graph with an ordered bipartition (X, Y) , $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$. Following [4], we define $G_{X,Y}^{(r)}$ to be the r -uniform hypergraph whose vertex set consists of disjoint sets X, Y_1, \dots, Y_m , $|Y_1| = \dots = |Y_m| = r - 1$, and whose edge set is $\{\{x\} \cup Y_i : \{x, y_i\} \in E(G)\}$. Note that if $G = K_{s,t}$ with X being the part of size s and Y being the part of size t , then $G_{X,Y}^{(r)} = K_{s,t}^{(r)}$. Ergemlidze, Jiang and Methuku [4] asked whether it is true that if all vertices in Y have degree at most 2 in G , then $\text{ex}(n, G_{X,Y}^{(r)}) = O(n^{r-1})$ where the implied constant depends only on G and r . Here we resolve this conjecture in greater generality.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $s \geq 2$, $r \geq 3$ and let G be a bipartite graph with an ordered bipartition (X, Y) such that every vertex in Y has degree at most s . Then $\text{ex}(n, G_{X,Y}^{(r)}) \leq Cn^{r - \frac{1}{s-1}}$ where C only depends on G and r .*

Note that Theorem 1.2 shows that this bound can be attained whenever r is even.

Finally, we consider the hypergraph $G_{X,Y}^{(r)}$ when $G = C_{2t}$ is the cycle of length $2t$. Let us write $C_{2t}^{(r)}$ for this hypergraph. In an unpublished work, Jiang and Liu showed that $\Omega_r(tn^{r-1}) \leq \text{ex}(n, C_{2t}^{(r)}) \leq O_r(t^5 n^{r-1})$. The lower bound is obtained by taking all edges which contain one of $t - 1$ vertices. This hypergraph has cover number $t - 1$, so it cannot contain $C_{2t}^{(r)}$, which has cover number t . Ergemlidze, Jiang and Methuku [4] improved the upper bound to $\text{ex}(n, C_{2t}^{(r)}) \leq O_r(t^2(\log t)n^{r-1})$. We determine the correct dependence on t .

Theorem 1.5. *For every $t \geq 2$ and $r \geq 3$, we have that $\text{ex}(n, C_{2t}^{(r)}) = \Theta_r(tn^{r-1})$.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove a general result which implies Theorems 1.1, 1.4 and 1.5. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 5, we give some concluding remarks.

2 Upper bounds

In what follows, for an r -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{G} and a set $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\} \subset V(\mathcal{G})$, we write $d_{\mathcal{G}}(S)$ or $d_{\mathcal{G}}(v_1, \dots, v_{r-1})$ for the number of vertices $v_r \in V(\mathcal{G})$ such that $v_1 v_2 \dots v_r \in E(\mathcal{G})$. We omit the subscript when the hypergraph is clear. Given a vertex $v \in V(\mathcal{G})$, the link hypergraph of v (with respect to \mathcal{G}) is the $(r-1)$ -uniform hypergraph containing all $(r-1)$ -sets which together with v form an edge in \mathcal{G} .

Definition 2.1. In an r -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{G} , we call a set $S \subset V(\mathcal{G})$ t -rich if there are sets $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_t \subset V(\mathcal{G})$ of size $r-1$ such that S, T_1, \dots, T_t are pairwise disjoint and $\{u\} \cup T_i \in E(\mathcal{G})$ for every $u \in S$ and $i \in [t]$.

Note that if \mathcal{G} has any t -rich set of size s , then it contains $K_{s,t}^{(r)}$ as a subgraph.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\alpha > 1$ be a real number and let $r \geq 3$, $s \geq 2$, t and n be positive integers. Then there is a constant C which depends only on s such that the following holds. If \mathcal{G} is an n -vertex r -uniform hypergraph with at least $C\alpha^{\frac{1}{s-1}}t^{\frac{1}{s-1}}n^{r-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ hyperedges, then there is a set $A \subset V(\mathcal{G})$ of size at least $\alpha^{\frac{1}{s-1}}t^{\frac{1}{s-1}}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ (and at least s) such that the proportion of t -rich sets of size s in A is at least $1 - \alpha^{-1}$.

Observe that the conclusion of this theorem implies that \mathcal{G} contains $K_{s,t}^{(r)}$ as a subgraph, so Theorem 1.1 follows immediately (by taking $\alpha = 2$, for example). Moreover, as we will see shortly, Theorem 2.2 also implies Theorems 1.4 and 1.5 fairly easily.

The proof of Theorem 2.2 uses a novel variant of the dependent random choice method. The rough idea is to choose random vertices $\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r \in V(\mathcal{G})$ and take \mathbf{A} to be the set of $\mathbf{v}_1 \in V(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_2 \dots \mathbf{v}_r \in E(\mathcal{G})$. However, we add two major twists to this. Firstly, we only put into \mathbf{A} those vertices \mathbf{v}_1 for which $d(\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) = \max_i d(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}, \mathbf{v}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)$. Secondly, the vertices $\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ are not chosen *uniformly* at random, but with probability proportional to $1/d(\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)$.

Let us briefly comment on the purpose of these two twists. If we were to choose $\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ uniformly at random and let \mathbf{A} be the set of $\mathbf{v}_1 \in V(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_2 \dots \mathbf{v}_r \in E(\mathcal{G})$, then by the usual dependent random choice argument, we could conclude that for most s -sets $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\} \subset \mathbf{A}$, there are many sets of size $r-1$ forming an edge with each of the u_i . (“Many” here means roughly $\omega(n^{r-2-\frac{1}{s-1}})$.) If at least t of these $(r-1)$ -sets are pairwise disjoint, then we can find a copy of $K_{s,t}^{(r)}$, but we run into trouble if say all these $(r-1)$ -sets contain some vertex x . In this case, the reason why the event $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\} \subset \mathbf{A}$ is not very unlikely is that conditional on $x \in \{\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r\}$, the probability of this event is fairly large. However, now the pair (u_1, x) belongs to slightly more edges than a typical pair of vertices in \mathcal{G} . This can be exploited by modifying the probability distribution of the random vertices $(\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)$ in a way that $(r-1)$ -sets with large degree are chosen with lower probability.

More precisely, by choosing $\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ with probability proportional to $1/d(\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)$ and taking \mathbf{A} to be the set of those vertices \mathbf{v}_1 for which $d(\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r) = \max_i d(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}, \mathbf{v}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)$, we achieve that for a typical s -set $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\} \subset \mathbf{A}$, not only there are many $(r-1)$ -sets forming an edge with each u_i , but in fact the stronger statement holds that there cannot be a constant-sized set which intersects all such $(r-1)$ -sets. See Claims 3-5 for the full details (Claims 1-2 would hold similarly without the twists).

We proceed with the detailed proof.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Choose C such that $C \geq 4s$ and $\frac{C^{s-1}((r-1)!)^s}{2^{s-1}s^s} - r^2 \geq 1$. Since $s \geq 2$, C can be chosen to be independent from r . Let \mathcal{G} be an n -vertex r -uniform hypergraph with $e(\mathcal{G}) \geq C\alpha^{\frac{1}{s-1}}t^{\frac{1}{s-1}}n^{r-\frac{1}{s-1}}$. Let

$$D = \frac{C}{2}\alpha^{\frac{1}{s-1}}t^{\frac{1}{s-1}}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}.$$

By successively deleting all edges containing a set of size $r-1$ which lies in less than D edges, we obtain a subhypergraph \mathcal{G}' (on the same vertex set) with $e(\mathcal{G}') \geq e(\mathcal{G}) - n^{r-1}D \geq e(\mathcal{G})/2$ such that for every set $S \subset V(\mathcal{G})$ of size $r-1$, we have either $d_{\mathcal{G}'}(S) = 0$ or $d_{\mathcal{G}'}(S) \geq D$. For the rest of the proof, we let $d(S) := d_{\mathcal{G}'}(S)$ for every set S of size $r-1$.

For distinct vertices $v_2, \dots, v_r \in V(\mathcal{G}')$, let

$$A_{v_2, \dots, v_r} = \{v_1 \in V(\mathcal{G}') : v_1 v_2 \dots v_r \in E(\mathcal{G}'), d(v_2, \dots, v_r) = \max_i(d(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_r))\}$$

and let $a(v_2, \dots, v_r) = |A_{v_2, \dots, v_r}|$.

Define

$$p = \sum_{\substack{v_2, \dots, v_r: \\ d(v_2, \dots, v_r) > 0}} \frac{D}{n^{r-1}d(v_2, \dots, v_r)}.$$

By the definition of \mathcal{G}' , if $d(v_2, \dots, v_r) > 0$, then $d(v_2, \dots, v_r) \geq D$, so we have $p \leq 1$. Let us define a random set $\mathbf{A} \subset V(\mathcal{G}')$ as follows. With probability $1-p$, we let $\mathbf{A} = \emptyset$. With probability p , we choose a random $(r-1)$ -tuple $(\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r)$ of distinct vertices in \mathcal{G}' in a way that the probability that $\mathbf{v}_i = v_i$ for every i is $\frac{D}{n^{r-1}d(v_2, \dots, v_r)}$ if $d(v_2, \dots, v_r) > 0$ and 0 otherwise. Set $\mathbf{A} = A_{\mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r}$.

Claim 1. $\sum_{v_2, \dots, v_r} a(v_2, \dots, v_r) \geq (r-1)!e(\mathcal{G}')$.

Proof of Claim 1. For any $e \in E(\mathcal{G}')$, there are at least $(r-1)!$ ordered r -tuples (v_1, \dots, v_r) such that $e = v_1 v_2 \dots v_r$ and $d(v_2, \dots, v_r) = \max_i(d(v_1, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_r))$. For any such r -tuple, we have $v_1 \in A_{v_2, \dots, v_r}$.

Claim 2. $\mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{A}|^s] \geq \frac{((r-1)!)^s}{r!} D^s$.

Proof of Claim 2. Using Hölder's inequality for three functions with parameters $p_1 = s$, $p_2 = s$, $p_3 = s/(s-2)$, we get

$$\left(\sum \frac{a(v_2, \dots, v_r)^s}{d(v_2, \dots, v_r)^s} \right) \left(\sum d(v_2, \dots, v_r) \right) \left(\sum 1 \right)^{s-2} \geq \left(\sum a(v_2, \dots, v_r) \right)^s,$$

where each sum is over all $(r-1)$ -tuples of distinct vertices (v_2, \dots, v_r) with $d(v_2, \dots, v_r) > 0$. Hence,

$$\sum \frac{a(v_2, \dots, v_r)^s}{d(v_2, \dots, v_r)^s} \geq \frac{(\sum a(v_2, \dots, v_r))^s}{n^{(r-1)(s-2)} \sum d(v_2, \dots, v_r)},$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{A}|^s] &= \sum \frac{D}{n^{r-1}d(v_2, \dots, v_r)} a(v_2, \dots, v_r)^s \geq \frac{D}{n^{(r-1)(s-1)}} \frac{(\sum a(v_2, \dots, v_r))^s}{\sum d(v_2, \dots, v_r)} \\ &\geq \frac{D}{n^{(r-1)(s-1)}} \frac{((r-1)!e(\mathcal{G}'))^s}{r!e(\mathcal{G}')} = \frac{((r-1)!)^s D}{r!n^{(r-1)(s-1)}} e(\mathcal{G}')^{s-1} \\ &\geq \frac{((r-1)!)^s D}{r!n^{(r-1)(s-1)}} (Dn^{r-1})^{s-1} = \frac{((r-1)!)^s}{r!} D^s, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality used Claim 1.

Claim 3. Let $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s, v_2, \dots, v_{r-1}$ be distinct vertices in \mathcal{G}' . Then the probability that $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s \in \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{v}_i = v_i$ for all $2 \leq i \leq r-1$ is at most D/n^{r-1} .

Proof of Claim 3. Assume that $v_r \in V(\mathcal{G}')$ is such that $u_j \in A_{v_2, \dots, v_r}$ for each $j \in [s]$. Then in particular $u_1 v_2 v_3 \dots v_r \in E(\mathcal{G}')$ and $d(v_2, v_3, \dots, v_r) \geq d(u_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r-1})$. Clearly, there are at most $d(u_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r-1})$ choices for v_r satisfying these two properties, and for each such choice, the probability that $\mathbf{v}_i = v_i$ for all $2 \leq i \leq r$ is $\frac{D}{n^{r-1}d(v_2, v_3, \dots, v_r)} \leq \frac{D}{n^{r-1}d(u_1, v_2, \dots, v_{r-1})}$. Hence, summing over all possibilities for v_r proves the claim.

Claim 4. Let u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s and v_2 be distinct vertices in \mathcal{G}' . Then the probability that $u_1, \dots, u_s \in \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 = v_2$ is at most D/n^2 .

Proof of Claim 4. This follows from Claim 3 and the union bound over all choices for v_3, \dots, v_{r-1} .

Claim 5. Suppose that u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s are distinct vertices in \mathcal{G}' such that $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ is not t -rich in \mathcal{G}' . Then the probability that $u_j \in \mathbf{A}$ for every $j \in [s]$ is at most $\frac{(r-1)^2(t-1)D}{n^2}$.

Proof of Claim 5. Since $\{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ is not t -rich, the common intersection of the link hypergraphs of u_1, \dots, u_s does not contain a matching of size t . Hence, there is a set $T \subset V(\mathcal{G}')$ of size at most $(r-1)(t-1)$ with the property that whenever $u_j v_2 \dots v_r \in E(\mathcal{G}')$ for every $j \in [s]$, we have $v_i \in T$ for some $2 \leq i \leq r$. Therefore, if $u_j \in \mathbf{A}$ for every $j \in [s]$, then $\mathbf{v}_i \in T$ for some $2 \leq i \leq r$. By Claim 4, the probability that $u_j \in \mathbf{A}$ for every $j \in [s]$ and $\mathbf{v}_2 \in T$ is at most $|T|D/n^2$. By symmetry, for any fixed $2 \leq i \leq r$, the probability that $u_j \in \mathbf{A}$ for every $j \in [s]$ and $\mathbf{v}_i \in T$ is also at most $|T|D/n^2$. The claim follows.

Let \mathbf{b} be the number of sets of size s in \mathbf{A} which are not t -rich. It follows from Claim 5 that $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{b}] \leq \binom{n}{s} \cdot \frac{(r-1)^2(t-1)D}{n^2} \leq r^2 t D n^{s-2}$. By Claim 2 and since $D \geq 4s$, $\mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{A}|^s \mathbb{1}(|\mathbf{A}| \geq s)] \geq \mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{A}|^s] - s^s \geq \frac{((r-1)!)^s}{r!} D^s - s^s \geq \frac{((r-1)!)^s}{2r!} D^s$, so using that $\binom{x}{s} \geq (x/s)^s$ for $x \geq s$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\binom{|\mathbf{A}|}{s} \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[\binom{|\mathbf{A}|}{s} \mathbb{1}(|\mathbf{A}| \geq s) \right] \geq \mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{A}|^s \mathbb{1}(|\mathbf{A}| \geq s)] / s^s \geq \frac{((r-1)!)^s}{r! 2s^s} D^s.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\binom{|\mathbf{A}|}{s} - \alpha \mathbf{b} \right] &\geq \frac{((r-1)!)^s}{r! 2s^s} D^s - \alpha r^2 t D n^{s-2} = \left(\frac{((r-1)!)^s}{r! 2s^s} D^{s-1} - \alpha r^2 t n^{s-2} \right) D \\ &= \left(\frac{C^{s-1} ((r-1)!)^s}{2^s r! s^s} - r^2 \right) \alpha t n^{s-2} D \geq \alpha t n^{s-2} D \geq \alpha^{\frac{s}{s-1}} t^{\frac{s}{s-1}} n^{s-\frac{s}{s-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there is an outcome for which $\binom{|\mathbf{A}|}{s} - \alpha \mathbf{b} \geq \alpha^{\frac{s}{s-1}} t^{\frac{s}{s-1}} n^{s-\frac{s}{s-1}}$. Then $|\mathbf{A}| \geq s$, $|\mathbf{A}| \geq \alpha^{\frac{1}{s-1}} t^{\frac{1}{s-1}} n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ and the proportion of t -rich sets of size s in \mathbf{A} is at least $1 - \alpha^{-1}$, as desired. \square

It is now not hard to deduce Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $t = |V(G_{X,Y}^{(r)})|$ and let $\alpha = t^s$. Let C be the constant provided by Theorem 2.2 and let $C' = C \alpha^{\frac{1}{s-1}} t^{\frac{1}{s-1}}$. Note that C' is a constant that depends only on G and r .

Let \mathcal{G} be an n -vertex r -uniform hypergraph with at least $C' n^{r-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ edges. By Theorem 2.2, there is a set $A \subset V(\mathcal{G})$ of size at least $\alpha^{\frac{1}{s-1}} t^{\frac{1}{s-1}} n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ such that the proportion of t -rich sets in A is at least $1 - \alpha^{-1}$. Note that then $|A| \geq t \geq |X|$. Moreover, the proportion of t -rich sets in A is greater than $1 - \binom{|X|}{s}^{-1}$, so A has a subset A' of size $|X|$ in which all s -sets are t -rich. This implies that \mathcal{G} contains $G_{X,Y}^{(r)}$ as a subgraph. Indeed, using that $t = |V(G_{X,Y}^{(r)})|$, we can construct a copy of $G_{X,Y}^{(r)}$ by embedding X arbitrarily into A' and then embedding the sets Y_1, \dots, Y_m from the definition of $G_{X,Y}^{(r)}$ greedily one by one. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The lower bound was justified in the paragraph before the statement of the theorem, so it is enough to prove the upper bound. Let C be the constant provided by Theorem 2.2 with $s = 2$, and let \mathcal{G} be an n -vertex r -uniform hypergraph with at least $100Crtn^{r-1}$ edges. By Theorem 2.2 applied with $\alpha = 100$, $s = 2$ and rt in place of t , there is a set $A \subset V(\mathcal{G})$ of size at least $100rt$ such that the proportion of rt -rich sets of

size 2 in A is at least $99/100$. By known results on the Turán number of cycles, this implies that there is a t -cycle formed by rich pairs, i.e., there are distinct vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t in A such that $\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \dots, \{x_t, x_1\}$ are rt -rich pairs. We give a direct proof of this statement for completeness.

We claim that there is a set $A' \subset A$ of size at least $4t$ such that for each $u \in A'$, the number of $v \in A'$ for which $\{u, v\}$ is rt -rich is at least $3|A'|/4$. Indeed, let $A_0 = A$ and, recursively for every i :

- if there is some $u \in A_i$ such that the number of vertices $v \in A_i$ for which $\{u, v\}$ is rt -rich is less than $3|A_i|/4$, then choose such a vertex and let $A_{i+1} = A_i \setminus \{u\}$,
- else terminate the process and let $A' = A_i$.

Clearly, we obtain a set A' such that for each $u \in A'$, the number of $v \in A'$ for which $\{u, v\}$ is rt -rich is at least $3|A'|/4$; we just need to show that $|A'| \geq 4t$. If $|A'| < 4t$, then we have deleted at least $|A|/2$ vertices which implies that there were at least $\frac{|A|}{2} \cdot (|A|/8 - 1) > \frac{1}{100} \binom{|A|}{2}$ pairs in A which are not rt -rich. This is a contradiction, so indeed $|A'| \geq 4t$.

Observe that for any $v, v' \in A'$, there are at least $|A'|/2 \geq 2t$ vertices $u \in A'$ such that the pairs $\{u, v\}$ and $\{u, v'\}$ are rt -rich. We can now greedily find distinct vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t in A' such that $\{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \dots, \{x_t, x_1\}$ are rt -rich pairs.

Since $|V(C_{2t}^{(r)})| = rt$, we can greedily find pairwise disjoint sets Y_1, \dots, Y_t in $V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ such that $\{x_i\} \cup Y_i, \{x_{i+1}\} \cup Y_i \in E(\mathcal{G})$ for all $i \in [t]$, where we let $x_{t+1} = x_1$. Hence, \mathcal{G} contains $C_{2t}^{(r)}$ as a subgraph, completing the proof. \square

3 Lower bounds

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. The key ingredient is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let A and B be two disjoint sets of size n . Assume that there exist pairwise edge-disjoint bipartite graphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m with parts A and B such that for any distinct vertices $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s \in A \cup B$, there are fewer than t vertices $y \in A \cup B$ for which there exists $i \in [m]$ (that may depend on y) with $x_1y, x_2y, \dots, x_sy \in E(G_i)$. Let $e = \sum_{i=1}^m e(G_i)$. Then*

$$\text{ex}(2kn, K_{s,t}^{(2k)}) \geq e^k/m.$$

Proof. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2k} be pairwise disjoint sets of size n . For every $1 \leq p \leq m$, we define a $2k$ -partite $2k$ -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{G}(p)$ with parts X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2k} as follows. For $x_1 \in X_1, \dots, x_{2k} \in X_{2k}$, we let $x_1x_2 \dots x_{2k}$ be a hyperedge in $\mathcal{G}(p)$ if and only if there exist $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \leq m$ such that $i_1 + \dots + i_k \equiv p \pmod{m}$ and for each $1 \leq \ell \leq k$, we have $x_{2\ell-1}x_{2\ell} \in E(G_{i_\ell})$, where $X_{2\ell-1}$ is identified with A and $X_{2\ell}$ is identified with B . Now clearly, $|\bigcup_{p=1}^m E(\mathcal{G}(p))| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^m E(G_i)|^k = e^k$. Hence, there exists some p for which $e(\mathcal{G}(p)) \geq e^k/m$.

It is therefore sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{G}(p)$ is $K_{s,t}^{(2k)}$ -free for every p . Suppose otherwise. By symmetry, we may assume that there are distinct vertices $x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{1,s} \in X_1, x_{\alpha,\beta} \in X_\alpha$ for all $2 \leq \alpha \leq 2k$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq t$ such that $x_{1,i}x_{2,\beta}x_{3,\beta} \dots x_{2k,\beta} \in E(\mathcal{G})$ for each $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq t$. Clearly, for each $2 \leq \ell \leq k$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq t$, there is a unique $i_\ell(\beta) \in [m]$ such that $x_{2\ell-1,\beta}x_{2\ell,\beta} \in E(G_{i_\ell(\beta)})$. Moreover, for any $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq t$ there is a unique $i_1(j, \beta) \in [m]$ such that $x_{1,j}x_{2,\beta} \in E(G_{i_1(j,\beta)})$.

By the definition of $\mathcal{G}(p)$, for any $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq t, i_1(j, \beta) + i_2(\beta) + \dots + i_k(\beta) \equiv p \pmod{m}$. Hence, $i_1(1, \beta) = i_1(2, \beta) = \dots = i_1(s, \beta)$. Then for every $1 \leq \beta \leq t$, there is some $i \in [m]$ such that $x_{1,1}x_{2,\beta}, x_{1,2}x_{2,\beta}, \dots, x_{1,s}x_{2,\beta} \in E(G_i)$ (namely $i = i_1(1, \beta) = i_1(2, \beta) = \dots = i_1(s, \beta)$). By assumption, the vertices $x_{2,\beta}, 1 \leq \beta \leq t$ are all distinct which contradicts the properties of the graphs G_i . \square

We now want to show that for $m \approx (n/t)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}$, one can almost completely cover the edge set of $K_{n,n}$ with pairwise edge-disjoint graphs G_1, \dots, G_m satisfying the property described in Lemma 3.1. The bound in Lemma 3.1 will then give $\text{ex}(2kn, K_{s,t}^{(2k)}) \gtrsim t^{\frac{1}{s-1}} n^{2k - \frac{1}{s-1}}$.

The following lemma provides a suitable collection of subgraphs under some mild divisibility conditions.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $s \geq 2$ and h be positive integers and let p be a prime congruent to 1 modulo h . Let $m = (p-1)/h$. Then there are pairwise edge-disjoint bipartite graphs G_1, \dots, G_m with the same parts A and B such that $|A| = |B| = p^{s-1}$, $|\bigcup_{i=1}^m E(G_i)| = p^{2s-2} - p^{s-1}$ and for any distinct vertices $x_1, \dots, x_s \in A \cup B$ there are at most $h^{s-1}(s-1)!$ vertices $y \in A \cup B$ for which there exists $i \in [m]$ with $x_1y, x_2y, \dots, x_sy \in E(G_i)$.*

In the proof, we make use of the following result of Kollár, Rónyai and Szabó which was used to obtain their celebrated lower bound for the Turán number of complete bipartite graphs; see also [1] for a refinement.

Lemma 3.3 ([10, Theorem 3.3]). *Let K be a field and let $a_{i,j}, b_i \in K$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ such that $a_{i,j_1} \neq a_{i,j_2}$ for any $i \in [t]$ and $j_1 \neq j_2$. Then the system of equations*

$$\begin{aligned} (z_1 - a_{1,1})(z_2 - a_{2,1}) \dots (z_t - a_{t,1}) &= b_1, \\ (z_1 - a_{1,2})(z_2 - a_{2,2}) \dots (z_t - a_{t,2}) &= b_2, \\ &\vdots \\ (z_1 - a_{1,t})(z_2 - a_{2,t}) \dots (z_t - a_{t,t}) &= b_t \end{aligned}$$

has at most $t!$ solutions $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_t) \in K^t$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Let A and B be disjoint copies of the field $\mathbb{F}_{p^{s-1}}$. Let H be a subgroup of \mathbb{F}_p^\times of order h , where \mathbb{F}_p^\times denotes the multiplicative group of \mathbb{F}_p . Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m be the cosets of H in \mathbb{F}_p^\times .

Recall that the norm map $N: \mathbb{F}_{p^{s-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ is defined as $N(x) = x \cdot x^p \cdot x^{p^2} \dots x^{p^{s-2}}$ and note that $N(xy) = N(x)N(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{s-1}}$. For $x \in A$, $y \in B$ and $i \in [m]$, let xy be an edge in G_i if and only if $N(x+y) \in S_i$. Since S_1, \dots, S_m partition \mathbb{F}_p^\times and $N(z) = 0$ if and only if $z = 0$, it follows that G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m are pairwise edge-disjoint and $\bigcup_{i=1}^m E(G_i) = (A \times B) \setminus \{(x, -x) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{s-1}}\}$. Hence, $|\bigcup_{i=1}^m E(G_i)| = p^{2s-2} - p^{s-1}$.

We are left to show that for any distinct vertices $x_1, \dots, x_s \in A \cup B$ there are at most $h^{s-1}(s-1)!$ vertices $y \in A \cup B$ for which there exists $i \in [m]$ with $x_1y, x_2y, \dots, x_sy \in E(G_i)$. We may assume without loss of generality that $x_j \in A$ for each $j \in [s]$. Suppose that for some $y \in B$ there is $i \in [m]$ with $x_1y, x_2y, \dots, x_sy \in E(G_i)$. This means that $N(x_j+y) \in S_i$ holds for each $j \in [s]$. Then $N(\frac{x_j+y}{x_s+y}) = N(x_j+y)/N(x_s+y) \in H$ for each $j \in [s-1]$.

Claim. Let x_1, \dots, x_s be distinct elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{s-1}}$ and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{s-1} \in H$. Then there are at most $(s-1)!$ elements $y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{s-1}}$ such that $N(\frac{x_j+y}{x_s+y}) = \lambda_j$ for each $j \in [s-1]$.

Since there are h^{s-1} ways to choose the possible values of $N(\frac{x_j+y}{x_s+y})$ for $j \in [s-1]$ from H , the claim implies the lemma.

Proof of Claim. Note that $N(\frac{x_j+y}{x_s+y}) = \lambda_j$ is equivalent to $N(\frac{1}{x_s+y} + \frac{1}{x_j-x_s}) = \lambda_j/N(x_j-x_s)$. Setting $z = \frac{1}{x_s+y}$, $a_j = \frac{1}{x_j-x_s}$ and $b_j = \lambda_j/N(x_j-x_s)$, the problem is reduced to counting the number of solutions to the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} N(z + a_1) &= b_1, \\ N(z + a_2) &= b_2, \\ &\vdots \\ N(z + a_{s-1}) &= b_{s-1} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

in the variable z . Since $N(z + a_j) = (z + a_j)(z^p + a_j^p) \dots (z^{p^{s-2}} + a_j^{p^{s-2}})$, we can apply Lemma 3.3 (with $K = \mathbb{F}_{p^{s-1}}$, $t = s - 1$, $a_{i,j} = -a_j^{p^{i-1}}$, $z_i = z^{p^{i-1}}$) to see that (2) has at most $(s - 1)!$ solutions for z , completing the proof of the claim. \square

Remark 3.4. One can prove a variant of Lemma 3.2 using the random algebraic method of Bukh (see [2] for a detailed example of how this method is applied). More precisely, one can take a uniformly random polynomial $f : \mathbb{F}_p^{2s-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ of a given (large) degree and set $E(G_i) = \{xy : x, y \in \mathbb{F}_p^{s-1}, f(x, y) \in S_i\}$ for all $i \in [m]$, where S_i are defined as in the proof of Lemma 3.2. The proof above uses essentially the same construction for the explicit choice $f(x, y) = N(x + y)$ (with the minor difference that the parts there are identified with $\mathbb{F}_{p^{s-1}}$ rather than \mathbb{F}_p^{s-1}).

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $h = \lfloor ((t - 1)/(s - 1)!)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} \rfloor \geq 1$. Choose a prime p such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{h}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n}{2k}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}} \leq p \leq \left(\frac{n}{2k}\right)^{\frac{1}{s-1}}$ (since n is sufficiently large, such a prime exists by the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions). Let $m = (p - 1)/h$. Note that $h^{s-1}(s - 1)! \leq t - 1$. By the existence of the bipartite graphs provided by Lemma 3.2 and by Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\text{ex}(2kp^{s-1}, K_{s,t}^{(2k)}) \geq (p^{2s-2} - p^{s-1})^k / m \geq 2^{-k} p^{2(s-1)k} / m \geq 2^{-k} h p^{2(s-1)k-1} \geq ct^{\frac{1}{s-1}} n^{2k - \frac{1}{s-1}}$$

for some positive constant $c = c(k, s)$. Since $2kp^{s-1} \leq n$, this completes the proof. \square

4 An improved upper bound for $r = 3$

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. The following definition will be crucial in the proof. Here and in the rest of this section, we will ignore floor and ceiling signs whenever doing so does not make a substantial difference.

Definition 4.1. Let $s \geq 3$ be an integer and let \mathcal{G} be a 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph with parts X , Y and Z of size n each. We call a vertex $z \in Z$ s -nice in \mathcal{G} if there exist partitions $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_{\frac{1}{n^{s-1}}}$ and $Y = Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{\frac{1}{n^{s-1}}}$ into sets of size $n^{1 - \frac{1}{s-1}}$ such that if $xyz \in E(\mathcal{G})$ for some $x \in X_i$, then $y \in Y_i$. We define s -nice vertices in X and Y analogously.

Observe that if some vertex z is s -nice in \mathcal{G} , then it is also s -nice in any subhypergraph of \mathcal{G} .

The proof of Theorem 1.3 will consist of two main steps. First, we prove the following structural result which states that (under a mild condition on the maximum degree) if a $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ -free hypergraph has close to $n^{3 - \frac{1}{s-1}}$ edges, then it contains a subgraph with a similar number of edges in which all vertices in two of the parts are nice.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $s \geq 3$, let t be a positive integer, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let n be sufficiently large. Let \mathcal{G} be a $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ -free 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph with parts X , Y and Z of size n each. Assume that $e(\mathcal{G}) \geq n^{3 - \frac{1}{s-1} - \varepsilon}$ and that every pair of vertices belongs to at most $n^{1 - \frac{1}{s-1} + \varepsilon}$ hyperedges. Then \mathcal{G} has a subhypergraph \mathcal{H} (on the same vertex set) such that $e(\mathcal{H}) \geq n^{3 - \frac{1}{s-1} - 225s^4\varepsilon}$ and every vertex in $X \cup Y$, $Y \cup Z$ or $Z \cup X$ is s -nice in \mathcal{H} .*

The second step is showing that (again under some mild conditions on the degrees) such a structured hypergraph must contain $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $s \geq 3$, let t be a positive integer, let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4s+6}$ and let n be sufficiently large. Let \mathcal{G} be a 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph with parts X, Y and Z of size n each. Assume that the number of hyperedges containing any given pair of vertices in \mathcal{G} is either 0 or between $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ and $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}$. Assume that $xyz \in E(\mathcal{G})$ for some $x \in X, y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$ and that every vertex in $Z \cup \{x\}$ is s -nice in \mathcal{G} . Then \mathcal{G} contains a copy of $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$.*

We will give the proof of Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 in Subsections 4.1 and 4.2, respectively. Now let us see how these lemmas imply Theorem 1.3. The last ingredient is a lemma that shows that we can assume that no pair of vertices belongs to many hyperedges.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $s \geq 3$, let t be a positive integer, let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, let n be sufficiently large and let \mathcal{G} be a $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ -free 3-uniform hypergraph with $3n$ vertices and at least $n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ hyperedges. Then \mathcal{G} has a 3-partite subgraph \mathcal{H} with parts of size n such that $e(\mathcal{H}) \geq n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-2\varepsilon}$ and any pair of vertices belongs to at most $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+2\varepsilon}$ hyperedges in \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Clearly \mathcal{G} has a 3-partite subgraph \mathcal{G}' , with parts X, Y, Z of size n each, such that $e(\mathcal{G}') \geq \frac{2}{9}e(\mathcal{G})$. For each $e = xyz \in E(\mathcal{G}')$, let $\lambda(e) = \max(d_{\mathcal{G}'}(x, y), d_{\mathcal{G}'}(y, z), d_{\mathcal{G}'}(z, x))$. Now there is a positive integer $1 \leq b \leq \log_2 n$ such that \mathcal{G}' has at least $e(\mathcal{G}')/\log_2 n$ edges e with $2^{b-1} \leq \lambda(e) \leq 2^b$. By symmetry, we may assume that there are at least $n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon-o(1)}$ triples $(x, y, z) \in X \times Y \times Z$ such that $xyz \in E(\mathcal{G}')$, $d_{\mathcal{G}'}(x, y) \geq 2^{b-1}$ and $d_{\mathcal{G}'}(x, y), d_{\mathcal{G}'}(y, z), d_{\mathcal{G}'}(z, x) \leq 2^b$. Let \mathcal{H} be the subgraph of \mathcal{G}' consisting of precisely these edges xyz . Clearly, $2^b \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon-o(1)} \geq \omega(1)$, so there are at least $n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon-o(1)}(2^{b-1})^{s-1}$ many $(s+2)$ -tuples $(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_s) \in X \times Y \times Z^s$ of distinct vertices such that $xyz_1 \in E(\mathcal{H})$ and $xyz_i \in E(\mathcal{G}')$ for each $i \in [s]$. Write \mathcal{A} for the set of these tuples. By the pigeon hole principle, we can choose some $(z_1, \dots, z_s) \in Z^s$ which features at least $n^{-s}n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon-o(1)}(2^{b-1})^{s-1}$ many times in \mathcal{A} . Since \mathcal{G}' does not contain $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ as a subgraph, there is a set $T \subset X \cup Y$ of size at most $2(t-1)$ such that if $(x, y, z_1, \dots, z_s) \in \mathcal{A}$, then $x \in T$ or $y \in T$. By symmetry, we may therefore assume that for some $y_0 \in T \cap Y$ there are at least $\frac{1}{2t-2}n^{-s}n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon-o(1)}(2^{b-1})^{s-1}$ vertices $x \in X$ such that $(x, y_0, z_1, \dots, z_s) \in \mathcal{A}$. In particular, $d_{\mathcal{G}'}(y_0, z_1) \geq \frac{1}{2t-2}n^{-s}n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon-o(1)}(2^{b-1})^{s-1}$. On the other hand, $d_{\mathcal{G}'}(y_0, z_1) \leq 2^b$ by the definition of \mathcal{A} . Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2t-2}n^{-s}n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon-o(1)}(2^{b-1})^{s-1} \leq 2^b,$$

so $2^b \leq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\frac{\varepsilon}{s-2}+o(1)} \leq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+2\varepsilon}$. This implies that every pair of vertices belongs to at most $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+2\varepsilon}$ hyperedges in \mathcal{H} . \square

We can now prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{900s^4(2s+3)}$, let n be sufficiently large and assume, for the sake of contradiction, that \mathcal{G} is a $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ -free 3-uniform hypergraph with $3n$ vertices and at least $n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ edges.

By Lemma 4.4, \mathcal{G} has a 3-partite subgraph \mathcal{G}' with parts X, Y, Z of size n such that $e(\mathcal{G}') \geq n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-2\varepsilon}$ and any pair of vertices belongs to at most $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+2\varepsilon}$ hyperedges in \mathcal{G}' . Lemma 4.2 implies that \mathcal{G}' has a subgraph \mathcal{G}'' (on the same vertex set) such that $e(\mathcal{G}'') \geq n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-450s^4\varepsilon}$ and every vertex in $X \cup Y, Y \cup Z$ or $Z \cup X$ is s -nice in \mathcal{G}'' . By successively removing edges which contain a pair of vertices lying in less than $D = \frac{1}{10}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-450s^4\varepsilon}$ edges, we obtain a non-empty subgraph \mathcal{G}''' (on the same vertex set) in which every pair of vertices belongs to either 0 or at least D hyperedges. Hence, since $450s^4\varepsilon < \frac{1}{4s+6}$, Lemma 4.3 implies that \mathcal{G}''' contains $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ as a subgraph, which is a contradiction. \square

4.1 Finding a structured subgraph in \mathcal{G}

In this subsection, we prove Lemma 4.2. In what follows, for a graph G and vertices $u_1, \dots, u_k \in V(G)$, we write $d_G(u_1, \dots, u_k)$ for the number of common neighbours of u_1, \dots, u_k in G . With a slight abuse of notation, for a 3-uniform hypergraph \mathcal{G} , we still write $d_{\mathcal{G}}(u, v)$ for the number of hyperedges in \mathcal{G} containing both u and v .

Lemma 4.5. *Let $s \geq 3$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let n be sufficiently large. Let $G = (X, Y)$ be a bipartite graph on $n+n$ vertices such that $\Delta(G) \leq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}$ and the number of s -tuples $(u_1, \dots, u_s) \in X^s$ with $d_G(u_1, \dots, u_s) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ is at least $n^{s-1-\varepsilon}$. Then there are pairwise disjoint sets $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k \subset X$ and $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k \subset Y$ of size $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ for some $k \geq n^{\frac{1}{s-1}-(3s+1)\varepsilon}$ such that $G[U_i, V_i]$ has at least $n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-4\varepsilon}$ edges for each $i \in [k]$.*

Proof. Assume that for some $j < n^{\frac{1}{s-1}-(3s+1)\varepsilon}$ we have already found pairwise disjoint sets $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_j \subset X$ and $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_j \subset Y$ of size $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ such that $G[U_i, V_i]$ has at least $n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-4\varepsilon}$ edges for each $i \in [j]$. We show how to find the next pair of subsets U_{j+1}, V_{j+1} . Write $U = \bigcup_{i \in [j]} U_i$ and $V = \bigcup_{i \in [j]} V_i$. Clearly, $|U| \leq n^{1-(3s+1)\varepsilon}$ and $|V| \leq n^{1-(3s+1)\varepsilon}$. Let $W = \{x \in X : |N_G(x) \cap V| \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}\}$. By double counting the edges between W and V , we get $|W| \cdot \frac{1}{2}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon} \leq |V|\Delta(G)$, which implies that $|W| \leq 2n^{1-(3s-1)\varepsilon}$.

For a vertex $u \in X$, let $S_u = \{x \in X : d_G(u, x) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}\}$. By double counting the edges between S_u and $N_G(u)$, we get $|S_u|n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon} \leq \Delta(G)^2$, so $|S_u| \leq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+3\varepsilon}$. It follows that for any $u \in X$, the number of $(u_2, \dots, u_s) \in X^{s-1}$ with $d_G(u, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_s) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ is at most $|S_u|^{s-1} \leq n^{s-2+3(s-1)\varepsilon}$. Therefore, the number of $(u_1, \dots, u_s) \in X^s$ with $d_G(u_1, \dots, u_s) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ such that $u_i \in U \cup W$ for some $i \in [s]$ is at most $s(|U| + |W|)n^{s-2+3(s-1)\varepsilon} \leq 3sn^{s-1-2\varepsilon} \leq \frac{1}{2}n^{s-1-\varepsilon}$. On the other hand, by assumption, the number of s -tuples $(u_1, \dots, u_s) \in X^s$ with $d_G(u_1, \dots, u_s) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ is at least $n^{s-1-\varepsilon}$. Hence, there exists some $u \in X \setminus (U \cup W)$ such that there are at least $\frac{1}{2}n^{s-2-\varepsilon}$ tuples $(u_2, \dots, u_s) \in (X \setminus (U \cup W))^{s-1}$ with $d_G(u, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_s) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$. This implies that there are at least $(\frac{1}{2}n^{s-2-\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{s-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ vertices $x \in X \setminus (U \cup W)$ with $d_G(u, x) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$. Since $u \notin W$, we have $|N_G(u) \cap V| < \frac{1}{2}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$, so there are at least $\frac{1}{2}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ vertices $x \in X \setminus (U \cup W)$ with $|N_G(u) \cap N_G(x) \setminus V| \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$. This means that we can choose a set $U_{j+1} \subset X \setminus U$ of size $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ which sends at least $(\frac{1}{2}n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon})^2 = \frac{1}{4}n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-2\varepsilon}$ edges to $N_G(u) \setminus V$. Since $|N_G(u) \setminus V| \leq \Delta(G)$, there exists a set $V_{j+1} \subset Y \setminus V$ of size $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ such that the number of edges in $G[U_{j+1}, V_{j+1}]$ is at least $\frac{1}{4}n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-2\varepsilon} \cdot \min\left(1, n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}/\Delta(G)\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-3\varepsilon} \geq n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-4\varepsilon}$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $s \geq 3$, let t be a positive integer, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let n be sufficiently large. Let \mathcal{G} be a $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ -free 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph with parts X, Y and Z of size n each. Assume that $e(\mathcal{G}) \geq n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ and that every pair of vertices belongs to at most $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}$ hyperedges. Then \mathcal{G} has a subhypergraph \mathcal{G}' (on the same vertex set) such that $e(\mathcal{G}') \geq n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-15s^2\varepsilon}$ and either every vertex in Y or every vertex in Z is s -nice in \mathcal{G}' .*

Proof. We may assume that $\varepsilon < 1/4$, else the conclusion of the lemma holds trivially. Using $e(\mathcal{G}) \geq n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$, by convexity there is a set \mathcal{T} of at least $\Omega_s(n^2(n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon})^s) = \Omega_s(n^{s+1-\frac{1}{s-1}-s\varepsilon})$ tuples $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, y, z)$ of distinct vertices such that $x_i \in X, y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$ and $x_i y z \in E(\mathcal{G})$ for every i . Since \mathcal{G} is $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ -free, for any distinct $x_1, \dots, x_s \in X$

there is a set $S \subset Y \cup Z$ of size at most $2t - 2$ such that for each $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$ for which $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, y, z) \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $y \in S$ or $z \in S$. Since every pair of vertices in \mathcal{G} is in at most $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}$ hyperedges, it follows that any fixed (x_1, \dots, x_s) extends to at most $(2t-2)n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}$ members of \mathcal{T} . Hence, there are at least $\frac{\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{T}|}{(2t-2)n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}}$ tuples (x_1, \dots, x_s) which extend to

at least $\frac{\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{T}|}{n^s}$ members of \mathcal{T} . For each such (x_1, \dots, x_s) there is some $z \in Y \cup Z$ such that $d_{\mathcal{G}}(\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}, z) \geq \frac{1}{2t-2} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{T}|}{n^s} \geq \Omega_{s,t}(n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-s\varepsilon}) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-(s+1)\varepsilon}$. Here $d_{\mathcal{G}}(\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}, z)$ denotes the number of vertices $y \in V(\mathcal{G})$ such that $x_i y z \in E(\mathcal{G})$ holds for all $i \in [s]$. Hence, the number of tuples $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z) \in X^s \times (Y \cup Z)$ of distinct vertices with $d_{\mathcal{G}}(\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}, z) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-(s+1)\varepsilon}$ is at least $\frac{\frac{1}{2}|\mathcal{T}|}{(2t-2)n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}} \geq \Omega_{s,t}(n^{s-(s+1)\varepsilon}) \geq 4n^{s-(s+2)\varepsilon}$.

By the symmetry of Y and Z , we may assume, without loss of generality, that there are at least $2n^{s-(s+2)\varepsilon}$ tuples $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z) \in X^s \times Z$ with $d_{\mathcal{G}}(\{x_1, \dots, x_s\}, z) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-(s+1)\varepsilon}$.

For every $z \in Z$, define a bipartite graph G_z with parts X and Y where xy is an edge in G_z if and only if $xyz \in E(\mathcal{G})$. Observe that for any $z \in Z$, we have $\Delta(G_z) \leq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}$. By the previous paragraph,

$$\sum_{z \in Z} \left| \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in X^s : d_{G_z}(x_1, \dots, x_s) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-(s+1)\varepsilon} \right\} \right| \geq 2n^{s-(s+2)\varepsilon}. \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, we claim that for any $z \in Z$, we have

$$\left| \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in X^s : d_{G_z}(x_1, \dots, x_s) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-(s+1)\varepsilon} \right\} \right| \leq n^{s-1+(s-1)(s+3)\varepsilon}. \quad (4)$$

Indeed, let $x \in X$ and let $S_x = \{x' \in X : d_{G_z}(x, x') \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-(s+1)\varepsilon}\}$. By double counting the edges of G_z between S_x and $N_{G_z}(x)$, we have $|S_x| \cdot n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-(s+1)\varepsilon} \leq \Delta(G_z)^2 \leq n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}+2\varepsilon}$ and so $|S_x| \leq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+(s+3)\varepsilon}$. Hence, the number of $x_2, \dots, x_s \in X$ satisfying $d_{G_z}(x, x_2, \dots, x_s) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-(s+1)\varepsilon}$ is at most $|S_x|^{s-1} \leq n^{s-2+(s-1)(s+3)\varepsilon}$. Now (4) follows by summing over x .

By (3) and (4), there are at least $n^{1-(s+2)\varepsilon-(s-1)(s+3)\varepsilon}$ vertices $z \in Z$ for which

$$\left| \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in X^s : d_{G_z}(x_1, \dots, x_s) \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-(s+1)\varepsilon} \right\} \right| \geq n^{s-1-(s+2)\varepsilon}. \quad (5)$$

We now define a suitable subhypergraph of \mathcal{G} . For any vertex z satisfying (5), Lemma 4.5 (applied for G_z with $(s+2)\varepsilon$ in place of ε) implies that for some $k \geq n^{\frac{1}{s-1}-(3s+1)(s+2)\varepsilon}$ there are disjoint sets $X_1, \dots, X_k \subseteq X$ and $Y_1, \dots, Y_k \subseteq Y$ of size $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ such that for all $i \in [k]$, in G_z there are at least $n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-4(s+2)\varepsilon}$ edges between X_i and Y_i . Among the hyperedges of \mathcal{G} containing z , keep those xyz for which there is $i \in [k]$ such that $x \in X_i$ and $y \in Y_i$. Thus, for each z satisfying (5) we keep at least $k \cdot n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-4(s+2)\varepsilon} \geq n^{2-\frac{1}{s-1}-(3s+5)(s+2)\varepsilon}$ edges containing it. For each $z \in Z$ which does not satisfy (5), delete all hyperedges of \mathcal{G} containing z . Call the resulting subhypergraph \mathcal{G}' .

It is clear that every vertex in Z is s -nice in \mathcal{G}' . Moreover,

$$e(\mathcal{G}') \geq n^{1-(s+2)\varepsilon-(s-1)(s+3)\varepsilon} \cdot n^{2-\frac{1}{s-1}-(3s+5)(s+2)\varepsilon} \geq n^{3-\frac{1}{s-1}-15s^2\varepsilon},$$

as $s+2+(s-1)(s+3)+(3s+5)(s+2) \leq 15s^2$ for $s \geq 3$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.2. The lemma follows from two applications of Lemma 4.6. \square

4.2 Finding $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ in the structured subgraph

In this subsection, we prove Lemma 4.3. In what follows, for a 3-uniform hypergraph \mathcal{G} and distinct vertices $x, z \in V(\mathcal{G})$, we write $N_{\mathcal{G}}(x, z)$ for the set of vertices $y \in V(\mathcal{G})$ for which xyz is an edge in \mathcal{G} . Let $K_{1,s,1}^{(3)}$ denote the 3-uniform hypergraph with vertices x, y_1, \dots, y_s, z and edges $xy_i z, i = 1, \dots, s$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $s \geq 3$, let $0 < \varepsilon < 1/8$ and let n be sufficiently large. Let \mathcal{G} be a 3-uniform 3-partite hypergraph with parts X, Y and Z of size n each. Assume that every pair of vertices in \mathcal{G} is contained in either 0 or at least $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ hyperedges. Suppose that $xyz \in E(\mathcal{G})$ for some $x \in X, y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$ and that z is s -nice in \mathcal{G} . Then \mathcal{G} has at least $n^{s-\frac{2}{s-1}-(2s+1)\varepsilon}$ copies of $K_{1,s,1}^{(3)}$ containing z and with the part of size s being a subset of $N_{\mathcal{G}}(x, z)$.*

Proof. Let G_z be the link graph of z . Since z is s -nice in \mathcal{G} , there are sets $X' \subset X$ and $Y' \subset Y$ of size $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ such that $x \in X', y \in Y'$ and whenever $x'y' \in E(G_z)$, then either none or both of $x' \in X'$ and $y' \in Y'$ hold. By the assumption in the lemma, every vertex in G_z has degree either 0 or at least $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$.

Let $xy' \in E(G_z)$. Clearly, $y' \in Y'$. Then y' has at least $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ neighbours in G_z , all of which must be in X' . Hence, there are at least $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon} \cdot |N_{G_z}(x)| = n^{-\varepsilon} |X'| |N_{G_z}(x)|$ edges in G_z between X' and $N_{G_z}(x)$. Since $|N_{G_z}(x)|$ is much larger than n^ε , by convexity there are $\Omega_s(|X'| |N_{G_z}(x)|^s n^{-s\varepsilon})$ copies of $K_{1,s}$ in G_z with the part of size s inside $N_{G_z}(x)$. Since $|X'| = n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ and $|N_{G_z}(x)| \geq n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$, the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Since x is s -nice, there are sets $Y' \subset Y$ and $Z' \subset Z$ of size $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$ such that $y \in Y', z \in Z'$ and whenever $xy'z' \in E(\mathcal{G})$, then we have either none or both of $y' \in Y'$ and $z' \in Z'$. Note that there are at least $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ vertices $z' \in Z'$ such that $xyz' \in E(\mathcal{G})$, because each pair of vertices is in either 0 or at least $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon}$ edges, by the assumption of the lemma. For each $z' \in Z'$ with $xyz' \in E(\mathcal{G})$, Lemma 4.7 gives at least $n^{s-\frac{2}{s-1}-(2s+1)\varepsilon}$ copies of $K_{1,s,1}^{(3)}$ containing z' and with the part of size s being a subset of $N_{\mathcal{G}}(x, z')$. Since $N_{\mathcal{G}}(x, z') \subset Y'$ for every such z' , it follows that \mathcal{G} contains at least $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon} \cdot n^{s-\frac{2}{s-1}-(2s+1)\varepsilon} = n^{s+1-\frac{3}{s-1}-(2s+2)\varepsilon}$ copies of $K_{1,s,1}^{(3)}$ with the part of size s being a subset of Y' . However, $|Y'|^s = (n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}})^s = n^{s-1-\frac{1}{s-1}}$, so it follows by the pigeon hole principle that there is a set $S \subset Y'$ of size s which extends to at least $\frac{n^{s+1-\frac{3}{s-1}-(2s+2)\varepsilon}}{n^{s-1-\frac{1}{s-1}}} = n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-(2s+2)\varepsilon}$ copies of $K_{1,s,1}^{(3)}$. Let E be the set of pairs $(x', z') \in X \times Z$ with $x'y'z' \in E(\mathcal{G})$ for every $y' \in S$, so $|E| \geq n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-(2s+2)\varepsilon}$. We claim that \mathcal{G} contains a copy of $K_{s,t}^{(3)}$ with the part of size s being S . If not, then the pairs in E are covered by at most $2t-2$ vertices. But then $|E| \leq (2t-2) \cdot n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}$, as every pair of vertices is in at most $n^{1-\frac{1}{s-1}+\varepsilon}$ hyperedges. Since $2 - \frac{2}{s-1} - (2s+2)\varepsilon > 1 - \frac{1}{s-1} + \varepsilon$, this contradicts $|E| \geq n^{2-\frac{2}{s-1}-(2s+2)\varepsilon}$. \square

5 Concluding remarks

- The most interesting question arising from the present paper is whether $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)}) = O_{r,s,t}(n^{r-\frac{1}{s-1}-\varepsilon})$ for $s \geq 3$ and odd $r \geq 5$. Recall that this is true for $r = 3$ (Theorem 1.3) but false for even r if $t \gg s$ (Theorem 1.2).
- Similarly, it would be interesting to decide whether $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}^{(r)}) = \Theta_r(tn^{r-1})$ for odd $r \geq 5$. This is true for $r = 3$ and every even $r \geq 4$. The upper bound holds for arbitrary $r \geq 3$ (Theorem 1.1).

- Mubayi and Verstraëte conjectured that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(3)}) = \Theta_{s,t}(n^{3-2/s})$ for $2 \leq s \leq t$. This remains open for $s \geq 3$.

Note added. After posting our paper on the arXiv, we were informed by Dhruv Mubayi that he had independently proved a weaker version of our Theorem 1.1, namely that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}^{(r)}) \leq n^{r-\frac{1}{s-1}} (\log n)^{O_{r,s,t}(1)}$.

References

- [1] N. Alon, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó, Norm-graphs: Variations and applications, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 76(2):280–290, 1999.
- [2] B. Bukh, Random algebraic construction of extremal graphs, *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 47:939–945, 2015.
- [3] P. Erdős. Problems and results in combinatorial analysis, In *Proceedings of the Eighth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, La., 1977)*, pages 3–12. Congressus Numerantium XIX, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1977.
- [4] B. Ergemlidze, T. Jiang, and A. Methuku, New bounds for a hypergraph bipartite Turán problem, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 176:105299, 2020.
- [5] J. Fox and B. Sudakov, Dependent random choice, *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 38:68–99, 2011.
- [6] P. Frankl, Asymptotic solution of a Turán-type problem, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 6:223–227, 1990.
- [7] Z. Füredi, Hypergraphs in which all disjoint pairs have distinct unions, *Combinatorica*, 4(2):161–168, 1984.
- [8] P. Keevash, Hypergraph Turán problems, In R. Chapman (Ed.), **Surveys in Combinatorics**, volume 392 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, pages 83–140, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011.
- [9] P. Keevash and B. Sudakov, On a hypergraph Turán problem of Frankl, *Combinatorica*, 25(6):673–706, 2005.
- [10] J. Kollár, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó, Norm-graphs and bipartite Turán numbers, *Combinatorica*, 16(3):399–406, 1996.
- [11] D. Mubayi, A hypergraph extension of Turán’s theorem, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 96(1):122–134, 2006.
- [12] D. Mubayi and J. Verstraëte, A hypergraph extension of the bipartite Turán problem, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 106(2):237–253, 2004.
- [13] O. Pikhurko, Exact computation of the hypergraph Turán function for expanded complete 2-graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B*, 103, 11 2005.
- [14] O. Pikhurko and J. Verstraëte, The maximum size of hypergraphs without generalized 4-cycles, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 116(3):637–649, 2009.
- [15] A. F. Sidorenko, Asymptotic solution for a new class of forbidden r -graphs, *Combinatorica*, 9(2):207–215, 1989.

- [16] Z. Xu, T. Zhang, and G. Ge, Some tight lower bounds for Turán problems via constructions of multi-hypergraphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 89:103161, 2020.
- [17] Z. Xu, T. Zhang, and G. Ge, Some extremal results on hypergraph Turán problems, *Science China Mathematics*, pages 1–10, 2021.