

Overcoming the curse of dimensionality with DNNs: Theoretical approximation results for PDEs

Philippe von Wurstemberger (ETH Zurich, Switzerland)

Joint works with

Arnulf Jentzen (ETH Zurich, Switzerland),

Fabian Hornung (ETH Zurich, Switzerland & Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany),

Philipp Grohs (University of Vienna, Austria),

Martin Hutzenthaler (University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany)

Thomas Kruse (University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany)

Tuan Anh Nguyen (University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany)

SMAI 2019, Guidel Plages

13 May 2019

Goal: Approximate solutions of PDEs numerically, e.g., Black-Scholes PDE:

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{|\beta_i|^2 |x_i|^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial (x_i)^2}\right)(t, x) + \alpha_i x_i \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right)(t, x) = 0,$$

$$u(T, x) = g(x),$$

where $u: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}}$, $(\beta_i)_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$, $t \in [0, T]$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Dimension $d \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponds to # assets in model.

Major issue for $d \gg 1$:

Classical approximations methods such as finite differences, finite element methods, sparse grids suffer under the **curse of dimensionality**.

Monte Carlo methods based on Feynman-Kac formula :
approximations at a fixed point without curse of dimensionality.

Methods based on Deep learning:

- E, Han, & Jentzen, *Solving high-dimensional partial differential equations using deep learning*. arXiv 2017. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2018
- E, Han, & Jentzen, *Deep learning-based numerical methods for high-dimensional parabolic partial differential equations and backward stochastic differential equations*. arXiv 2017. Comm. Math. Stat. 2017.
- many more: Beck, Becker, Grohs, Jaafari, Jentzen 2018; Fujii, Takahashi, Takahashi 2017; E, Yu 2017; Sirignano, Spiliopoulos 2017; Berg, Nyström 2017; Henry-Labordere 2017; Raissi 2018; Chan-Wai-Nam, Mikael, Warin 2018; Farahmand, Nabi, Nikovski 2017; Goudenege, Molent, Zanette 2019; Huré, Pham, Warin 2019; ...

Empirical observation:

DNNs seem to be able to **overcome** the **curse of dimensionality**

⇒ Theoretical evidence for this observation?

Definition (Artificial neural networks (ANNs), Parameters, Realizations of ANNs)

For all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $\mathbf{A}_d \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy

$\mathbf{A}_d(x) = (\max\{x_1, 0\}, \dots, \max\{x_d, 0\})$. We denote by \mathcal{N} the set given by

$$\mathcal{N} = \cup_{L \in \{2, 3, \dots\}} \cup_{(l_0, l_1, \dots, l_L) \in \mathbb{N}^{L+1}} \left(\times_{k=1}^L (\mathbb{R}^{l_k \times l_{k-1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{l_k}) \right)$$

and we denote by $\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \cup_{k,l=1}^{\infty} C(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^l)$ the functions satisfying $\forall L \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$, $(l_0, l_1, \dots, l_L) \in \mathbb{N}^{L+1}$, $\Phi = ((W_1, B_1), \dots, (W_L, B_L)) \in (\times_{k=1}^L (\mathbb{R}^{l_k \times l_{k-1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{l_k}))$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{l_0}$ that

$$\mathcal{P}(\Phi) = \sum_{k=1}^L l_k(l_{k-1} + 1), \quad \mathcal{R}(\Phi) \in C(\mathbb{R}^{l_0}, \mathbb{R}^{l_L}),$$

$$(\mathcal{R}(\Phi))(x) = W_L(\mathbf{A}_{l_{L-1}}(W_{L-1}(\dots \mathbf{A}_{l_1}(W_1 x + B_1) \dots) + B_{L-1})) + B_L.$$

Theorem (Grohs, Hornung, Jentzen, vW 2018)

Let $T, \kappa > 0$, $(\alpha_{d,i})_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, d \in \mathbb{N}}, (\beta_{d,i})_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, d \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ satisfy that $\sup_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}, d \in \mathbb{N}} (|\alpha_{d,i}| + |\beta_{d,i}|) < \infty$, $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}$ let $g_d \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ and let $u_d \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ be an at most poly. growing solution of

$$\left(\frac{\partial u_d}{\partial t} \right)(t, x) + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{|\beta_{d,i}|^2 |x_i|^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_d}{\partial (x_i)^2} \right)(t, x) + \alpha_{d,i} x_i \left(\frac{\partial u_d}{\partial x_i} \right)(t, x) = 0,$$

$$u_d(T, x) = g_d(x),$$

for $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and let $(\phi_{d,\delta})_{d \in \mathbb{N}, \delta \in (0,1]} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ satisfy $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \delta \in (0, 1]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that $\mathcal{R}(\phi_{d,\delta}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$, $|(\mathcal{R}(\phi_{d,\delta}))(x)| \leq \kappa d^\kappa (1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^\kappa)$, $\mathcal{P}(\phi_{d,\delta}) \leq \kappa d^\kappa \delta^{-\kappa}$, and $|g_d(x) - (\mathcal{R}(\phi_{d,\delta}))(x)| \leq \kappa d^\kappa \delta (1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^\kappa)$.

Then $\exists c > 0$, $(\psi_{d,\varepsilon})_{d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1]} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0, 1]$: $\mathcal{R}(\psi_{d,\varepsilon}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{P}(\psi_{d,\varepsilon}) \leq c d^c \varepsilon^{-c}$, and

$$\left[\int_{[0,1]^d} |u_d(0, x) - (\mathcal{R}(\psi_{d,\varepsilon}))(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Example: $g_d(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \max \left\{ \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_d}{d} - K, 0 \right\}$ for some $K > 0$.

Main idea and comments about the proof

- Statement purely *deterministic*. Proof based on *probabilistic arguments*.
- Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Goal: find $\psi \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{P}(\psi) \leq c d^c \varepsilon^{-c}$ and $\left[\int_{[0,1]^d} |u_d(0, x) - (\mathcal{R}(\psi))(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon$.
- Main steps:
 - Construct random field $\mathcal{X}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d: \mathcal{X}(x): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \sim$ Monte Carlo type approx of $u_d(0, x)$.
 - $\forall \omega \in \Omega: \mathcal{X}(\omega, \cdot) = \mathcal{R}(\Psi_\omega)$ is realization of ANN $\Psi_\omega \in \mathcal{N}$.
 - \mathcal{X} is close to $u_d(0, \cdot): \mathbb{E} \left[\left[\int_{[0,1]^d} |u_d(0, x) - \mathcal{X}(x)|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \right] \leq \varepsilon$.
 - $\Rightarrow \exists \omega \in \Omega: \left[\int_{[0,1]^d} |u_d(0, x) - \underbrace{\mathcal{X}(\omega, x)}_{(\mathcal{R}(\Psi_\omega))(x)}|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon$.
 - count parameters $\mathcal{P}(\Psi_\omega) \leq c d^c \varepsilon^{-c}$.
 - define $\psi = \Psi_\omega$.

Nonlinear equations?

Let $T > 0$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Lip. cont., $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}$ let $g_d \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ and let $u_d \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ an at most poly. growing visc. solution of

$$\frac{\partial u_d}{\partial t} + \left[\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{|\beta|^2 |x_i|^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_d}{\partial (x_i)^2} \right) + \alpha x_i \left(\frac{\partial u_d}{\partial x_i} \right) \right] + f(u_d) = 0,$$
$$u_d(T, x) = g_d(x),$$

for $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Application: e.g. pricing models with default risk (cf., e.g., Henry-Labordere 2012)

Full-history Recursive Multilevel Picard Algorithm (MLP)

(Hutzenthaler, Jentzen, Kruse, Nguyen, vW 2018)

Let $\kappa > 0$, $\Theta = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ assume $|g_d(x)| \leq \kappa d^\kappa (1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^\kappa)$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ prob. space, let $W^{d,\theta}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\theta \in \Theta$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, be i.i.d. Brownian motion, let $R^\theta: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, T]$, $\theta \in \Theta$, be i.i.d. cont. satisfying $\forall t \in [0, T], \theta \in \Theta: R_t^\theta$ is $\mathcal{U}_{[t,T]}$ -distributed, assume $(W^{d,\theta})_{d \in \mathbb{N}, \theta \in \Theta}$ and $(R^\theta)_{\theta \in \Theta}$ are indep., $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \theta \in \Theta, t \in [0, T], s \in [t, T], x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $X_{t,s}^{d,\theta,x}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfy

$$X_{t,s}^{d,\theta,x} = [x_i \exp((\alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{2})(s-t) + \beta(W_s^{d,\theta,i} - W_t^{d,\theta,i}))]_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}},$$

and let $V_{M,n}^{d,\theta}: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $M, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\theta \in \Theta$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy

$\forall d, M, n \in \mathbb{N}, \theta \in \Theta, t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that $V_{M,0}^{d,\theta}(t, x) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{M,n}^{d,\theta}(t, x) = & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(T-t)}{M^{n-k}} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{M^{n-k}} f\left(V_{M,k}^{d,(\theta,k,m)}(R_t^{(\theta,k,m)}, X_{t,R_t^{(\theta,k,m)}}^{d,(\theta,k,m),x})\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{N}}(k) f\left(V_{M,k-1}^{d,(\theta,k,-m)}(R_t^{(\theta,k,m)}, X_{t,R_t^{(\theta,k,m)}}^{d,(\theta,k,m),x})\right) \right] + \left[\sum_{m=1}^{M^n} \frac{g_d(X_{t,T}^{d,(\theta,n,-m),x})}{M^n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\exists c > 0, N = (N_{d,\varepsilon})_{d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1]} : \mathbb{N} \times (0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1], x \in [0,1]^d$:

$$(\mathbb{E}[|u_d(0,x) - V_{N_{d,\varepsilon},N_{d,\varepsilon}}^{d,0}(0,x)|^2])^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\text{Cost}(V_{N_{d,\varepsilon},N_{d,\varepsilon}}^{d,0}(0,x)) \leq c d^c \varepsilon^{-c}.$$

Corresponding approximation result for DNNs and semilinear PDEs:

- Hutzenthaler, Jentzen, Kruse, Nguyen, *A proof that rectified deep neural networks overcome the curse of dimensionality in the numerical approximation of semilinear heat equations.* arXiv 2019.

DNNs for Kolmogorov PDEs with nonlinear drift: Jentzen, Salimova, Welti 2018.

Recap

- Deep learning methods for high-dim. PDEs seem to overcome curse of dim.
- A theoretical explanation: ANNs have capacity to overcome curse of dim.
- Proof method: Stochastic algorithm overcoming curse of dim. \Rightarrow ANN result
- Recent breakthrough: MLP algorithm for semilinear PDEs

Thank you!

Appendix

- Construction of random ANN for proof of approximation result
- Approximation result for nonlinear PDEs
- Motivation for the multilevel Picard algorithm
- Precise complexity for the multilevel Picard algorithm
- DNN Approximation result for nonlinear drift coefficient

Appendix: Construction of random ANN for proof of approximation result

Let $W^k: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be independent Brownian motions, let $X^k: \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be the random fields which satisfy
 $\forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$X^k(x) = \left[x_i \exp\left(\left(\alpha_{d,i} - \frac{|\beta_{d,i}|^2}{2}\right)T + \beta_{d,i} W_T^{d,\theta,i}\right) \right]_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}}.$$

Observe that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$: $u_d(0, x) = \mathbb{E}[g_d(X^1(x))]$.

We define $\mathcal{X}: \mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d: \quad \mathcal{X}(x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^M (\mathcal{R}(\phi_{d,\delta})) (X^k(x)),$$

for suitable $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta \in (0, 1]$.

Appendix: Approximation result for nonlinear PDEs

Theorem (Hutzenthaler, Jentzen, Kruse, Nguyen)

Let $T, \kappa > 0, f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Lip. cont., $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}$ let $g_d \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ and let $u_d: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an at most poly. grow. solution of

$$\frac{\partial u_d}{\partial t} + \Delta_x u_d + f(u_d) = 0 \quad \text{with} \quad u_d(T, \cdot) = g_d,$$

and let $(\phi_{d,\varepsilon})_{d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1]} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ satisfy $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1], x \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$\mathcal{R}(\phi_{d,\varepsilon}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{P}(\phi_{d,\varepsilon}) \leq \kappa d^\kappa \varepsilon^{-\kappa}, |(\mathcal{R}(\phi_{d,\varepsilon}))(x)| \leq \kappa d^\kappa (1 + \|x\|^\kappa)$, and

$$|g_d(x) - (\mathcal{R}(\phi_{d,\varepsilon}))(x)| \leq \varepsilon \kappa d^\kappa (1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^\kappa).$$

Then $\exists c \in (0, \infty), (\psi_{d,\varepsilon})_{d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1]} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1]$:

$\mathcal{R}(\psi_{d,\varepsilon}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{P}(\psi_{d,\varepsilon}) \leq c d^c \varepsilon^{-c}$, and

$$\left[\int_{[0,1]^d} |u_d(0, x) - (\mathcal{R}(\psi_{d,\varepsilon}))(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Appendix: Motivation for the multilevel Picard algorithm

The Feynman-Kac formula ensures that $u_d \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the fixed point equation $\forall t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned} u_d(t, x) &= \mathbb{E} \left[g_d(X_{t,T}^{d,0,x}) + \int_t^T f(u_d(r, X_{t,r}^{d,0,x})) dr \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[g_d(X_{t,T}^{d,0,x}) + (T-t)f(u_d(R_t^0, X_{t,R_t^0}^{d,0,x})) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Note that $\forall d, M, n \in \mathbb{N}, \theta \in \Theta, t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[V_{M,n}^{d,\theta}(t, x)] &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (T-t) \mathbb{E} \left[f(V_{M,k}^{d,(\theta,1)}(R_t^{(\theta,1)}, X_{t,R_t^{(\theta,1)}}^{d,(\theta,1),x})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{N}}(k) f(V_{M,k-1}^{d,(\theta,-1)}(R_t^{(\theta,1)}, X_{t,R_t^{(\theta,1)}}^{d,(\theta,1),x})) \right] + \mathbb{E}[g_d(X_{t,T}^{d,\theta,x})] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[g_d(X_{t,T}^{d,\theta,x}) + (T-t)f(V_{M,n-1}^{d,\theta}(R_t^\theta, X_{t,R_t^\theta}^{d,\theta,x})) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- Hence $V_{M,n}^{d,\theta}: \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, behave, in expectation, like Picard iterations for (1).
- Expectation in (2) is approximated with multilevel Monte Carlo over full history of previous approximations.

Appendix: Precise complexity for the multilevel Picard algorithm

Assume the setting of the MLP statement, let $p, \mathfrak{P}, q \in [0, \infty)$, recall that $g_d \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R})$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, are the terminal conditions of the considered PDEs, let $\xi_d \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and assume that $\sup_{d \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{|g_d(x)|}{d^{\mathfrak{P}}(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^p)} + \frac{\|\xi_d\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}}{d^q} \right) < \infty$. Then $\forall \delta > 0$ there exist $c > 0$ and $N: \mathbb{N} \times (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ it holds that

$$(\mathbb{E}[|u_d(0, \xi_d) - V_{N_{d,\varepsilon}, N_{d,\varepsilon}}^{d,0}(0, \xi_d)|^2])^{1/2} \leq \varepsilon$$

and

$$\text{Cost}_{d, N_{d,\varepsilon}} \leq c d^{1+(\mathfrak{P}+qp)(2+\delta)} \varepsilon^{-(2+\delta)}.$$

Appendix: DNN Approximation result for nonlinear drift coefficient

Theorem (Jentzen, Salimova, & Welti 2018)

Let $T, \kappa, p > 0$, let $(a_{d,i,j})_{(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, d\}^2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, be sym. positive semi-def., let $g_d: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f_d: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$, be functions, $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}$ let $u_d: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be at most poly. growing visc. solution of

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_d)(t, x) = (\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_d)(t, x) f_d(x) + \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{d,i,j} (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} u_d)(t, x), \quad u_d(0, x) = g_d(x)$$

for $(t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, and let $(\phi_\varepsilon^{m,d})_{m \in \{0,1\}, d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1]} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ satisfy

$\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d: \mathcal{R}(\phi_\varepsilon^{0,d}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{R}(\phi_\varepsilon^{1,d}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|g_d(x)| + \sum_{i,j=1}^d |a_{d,i,j}| \leq \kappa d^\kappa (1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^\kappa),$$

$$\|f_d(x) - f_d(y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \leq \kappa \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}, \quad \|(\mathcal{R}\phi_\varepsilon^{1,d})(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \leq \kappa (d^\kappa + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}),$$

$$|(\mathcal{R}\phi_\varepsilon^{0,d})(x) - (\mathcal{R}\phi_\varepsilon^{0,d})(y)| \leq \kappa d^\kappa (1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^\kappa + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^\kappa) \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{R}^d},$$

$$|g_d(x) - (\mathcal{R}\phi_\varepsilon^{0,d})(x)| + \|f_d(x) - (\mathcal{R}\phi_\varepsilon^{1,d})(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \leq \varepsilon \kappa d^\kappa (1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}^\kappa),$$

and $\sum_{m=0}^1 \mathcal{P}(\phi_\varepsilon^{m,d}) \leq \kappa d^\kappa \varepsilon^{-\kappa}$. Then $\exists c \in (0, \infty), (\psi_{d,\varepsilon})_{d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0,1]} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon \in (0, 1]: \mathcal{R}(\psi_{d,\varepsilon}) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}), \mathcal{P}(\psi_{d,\varepsilon}) \leq c d^c \varepsilon^{-c}$, and

$$\left[\int_{[0,1]^d} |u_d(0, x) - (\mathcal{R}(\psi_{d,\varepsilon}))(x)|^p dy \right]^{1/p} \leq \varepsilon.$$